TPOAb and thyroid function are not associated with breast cancer outcome; evidence from a large-scale study using data from the Taxotere as Adjuvant Chemotherapy Trial (TACT, CRUK01/001)

Ilaria Muller[1], Lucy S. Kilburn[2], Peter N. Taylor[1], Peter J. Barrett-Lee[3], Judith M. Bliss[2], Paul Ellis[4], Marian E. Ludgate[1], Colin M. Dayan[1]

[1] Thyroid Research Group, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK

[2] Institute of Cancer Research - Clinical Trials & Statistics Unit (ICR-CTSU), London, UK

[3] Academic Breast Department, Velindre Cancer Centre, Cardiff, UK

[4] Guy's Hospital & King's College, London, UK

Running title: Thyroid autoimmunity and breast cancer outcome

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT: The authors have nothing to disclose.

Word count: 2499

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Dr. Ilaria Muller, MBBS, PhD

Thyroid Research Group, Division of Infection & Immunity

School of Medicine, Cardiff University

Main building Room 256 C2 Link Corridor, University Hospital of Wales, Heath Park, CF14 4XN, Cardiff, United Kingdom (UK)

Phone: +44 (0)29 2074 5409, +44 (0)29 2074 5457

Fax: +44 (0)29 2074 4671

Email address: mulleri4@cardiff.ac.uk

Key words: Autoimmune thyroid disease; Autoimmunity; Hyperthyroidism; Hypothyroidism; Thyroid function; Thyroid peroxidase antibodies; Breast cancer.

ABSTRACT

Background: Small-scale studies correlated the presence of thyroid autoimmunity with both improved or worsened breast cancer outcome.

Objectives: We aimed to clarify this association in a large cohort using the phase-III randomized controlled "Taxotere as Adjuvant Chemotherapy Trial" (TACT, CRUK01/001).

Methods: TACT women >18-years-old with node-positive or high risk node-negative early breast cancer (pT1-3a,pN0-1,M0), with stored plasma (n=1974), taken 15.5 [7.0-24.0] months (median [IQR]) after breast surgery were studied. Patients had also received chemotherapy (100%), radiotherapy (1745/1974 [88.4%]), hormonal therapy (1378/1974 [69.8%]), or trastuzumab (48/1974 [2.4%]). History of thyroid diseases and/or related treatments was not available.

The prognostic significance of autoantibodies to thyroid peroxidase (TPOAb; positive ≥6 kIU/L), free-thyroxine and thyrotropin (combined: euthyroid, hypothyroid, hyperthyroid) was evaluated for disease-free survival (DFS), overall-survival (OS), time-to-recurrence (TTR), with Cox regression models in univariate and multivariable analyses. The extended median follow-up was 97.5 months.

Results: No difference in DFS was found by TPOAb status (unadjusted-hazard ratio [HR]: 0.97, 95%CI: 0.78-1.19, P=0.75) and/or thyroid function (unadjusted-HR [hypothyroid versus euthyroid]: 1.15, 95%CI: 0.79-1.68, P=0.46; unadjusted-HR [hyperthyroid versus euthyroid]: 1.14, 95%CI: 0.82-1.61, P=0.44). Similar results were obtained for OS, TTR, multivariable analyses, when TPOAb titre by tertiles was considered and in a subgroup of 123 patients with plasma collected before adjuvant treatments.

Conclusions: No evidence for a prognostic role of TPOAb and/or thyroid function in moderate-high risk early breast cancer was found in the largest and longest observational study to date.

INTRODUCTION

An association between breast cancer (BC) and benign thyroid disorders has been debated for decades, reported in several [1,2], but not all [3] studies; the most recent meta-analyses and reviews reached contrasting conclusions [1,4-6]. Hypothyroidism was found to correlate with both an increased [7,8] or reduced [9-11] risk of developing BC, whilst other authors did not report a significant correlation [12,13]. BC has been particularly associated with thyroid autoimmunity (TA); a higher prevalence of anti-thyroid peroxidase (TPO) autoantibodies (TPOAb) was found among BC patients, compared with healthy controls [8,14]. Furthermore, a better BC outcome has been reported in TPOAb positive (TPOAb+) versus TPOAb negative (TPOAb-) patients in some [15-18], but not all [19] studies.

Currently no validated major blood prognostic markers for BC are available; carcinoembryonic antigen and cancer antigen 15.3 are the most used, but have low specificity and sensitivity [20]. Circulating tumour DNA and tumour cells seem very promising markers, however further studies are needed to validate them in routine clinical practice [21]. It would therefore be valuable if TPOAb could be confirmed as a blood BC prognostic marker.

Two studies evaluated 5-year outcomes in 142 [15] and 47 [16] BC women: Smyth *et al.* [15] reporting TPOAb- as a poor prognostic factor for disease-free survival (DFS) and overall survival (OS), and Fiore *et al.* [16] reporting 6.7% mortality in patients positive for anti-thyroid autoantibodies (TAb), mainly TPOAb+, compared with 46.9% in TAb negative patients. Farahati *et al.* evaluated 314 newly diagnosed BC patients and found no distant metastases among TPOAb+ patients compared with 6.6% among TPOAb- patients [17]. In contrast, Jiskra et al. followed 84 BC patients for 136 months (median), finding no impact of TPOAb on DFS or OS [19].

The aim of the present study was to clarify the impact of TPOAb on BC prognosis in a large, well powered patient cohort with long-term follow-up, according to the "REporting recommendations for tumour MARKer prognostic studies (REMARK)" guidelines [22]. The

"Taxotere as Adjuvant Chemotherapy Trial (TACT)" recruited 4162 women diagnosed with moderate-high risk early BC, evaluating whether sequential docetaxel (Taxotere) after anthracycline therapy would improve patient outcome compared with standard anthracycline chemotherapy: analyses were conducted at 62 months [23] and 97.5 months [24] follow-up, both showing no evidence of a difference between the two chemotherapy regimens. Of relevance, stored plasma was available in a significant number of these patients.

Furthermore, TPO is expressed in BC tissue [25], providing a possible mechanistic link: a thyroid/breast shared autoimmune response might target tumour cells and improve BC outcome. If TPOAb+ was confirmed as associated with a better BC outcome, new BC therapeutic approaches based on antigen-specific immunotherapies targeting TPO could be explored.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

The TACT study [23] was a multicentre, open-label, phase-III, randomised controlled trial of women aged >18 years diagnosed with operable early BC (pT1-3a, pN0-1, M0), with indication for adjuvant chemotherapy, including both lymph-node positive (node+) patients and lymph-node negative (node-) but high risk (e.g., tumour grade 3, hormonal-receptor expression negative, or lymphovascular invasion) patients.

Between February 2001 and June 2003, 4162 women were enrolled across 103 UK and one Belgian centres. All subjects underwent surgery, mastectomy or wide-local-excision (WLE), and were randomized (1:1 ratio) to the experimental regimen FEC-D (n=2073; fluorouracil, epirubicin, cyclophosphamide [FEC] followed by docetaxel) or centre's choice of control chemotherapy, either FEC (n=1265) or E-CMF (n=824; epirubicin followed by CMF [cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, and fluorouracil]). Adjuvant radiotherapy was mandatory after WLE or used after mastectomy according to local guidelines. Endocrine treatments

(tamoxifen or aromatase-inhibitor monotherapy, tamoxifen followed by aromatase-inhibitor) were administered to patients with oestrogen receptor (ER) positive expression (ER+). Patients with human epidermal growth factor receptor-2 (HER2) positive expression (HER2+) were allowed to enter clinical trials assessing trastuzumab. All subjects have given their informed consent and the study protocol has been approved by the institute's committee on human research.

Laboratory measurements

Following a protocol amendment (November 2002), blood was taken for future translational research at the time of randomization, or at their next follow-up visit. Plasma samples were stored at -20°C for 6.5-13 years (range) at The Institute of Cancer Research (London, UK), and transferred to the Thyroid Research Group (Cardiff, UK) for TPOAb, thyrotropin (TSH) and free-thyroxine (FT4) analyses (October 2014) using an ADVIA Centaur automated immunoassay analyser (Bayer plc, UK) and Chemiluminescent Microparticle Immunoassay methods by the ARCHITECT® System (ABBOTT Laboratories, USA). According to the assay cut-off, TPOAb values were dichotomized as ≥6 kIU/L (positive: TPOAb+) versus <6 kIU/L (negative: TPOAb-); TPOAb+ were also categorized into tertiles. FT4 and TSH normal ranges were 9.0–19.1 pmol/L and 0.30–4.40 mIU/L, respectively; they were also combined in a thyroid function status variable: euthyroid (FT4 and TSH within the normal ranges), hypothyroid (FT4 <9.0 pmol/L and/or TSH >4.40 mIU/L); hyperthyroid (FT4 >19.1 pmol/L and/or TSH <0.3 mIU/L).

Statistical analysis

According to TPOAb prevalence in age-matched females of general population [26,27], 20% of BC individuals were expected to be TPOAb+. Power calculations indicated 1158 and 1430 samples required to provide respectively 80% and 90% power to detect a 81% 5-year

DFS in TPOAb+ versus 73% in TPOAb- subjects (HR, 0.64; two-sided log-rank test with a 0.05 probability of a type I error), consistent with a 74.9% 5-year DFS rate in the whole TACT cohort [23].

Baseline characteristics, BC treatments and DFS-related characteristics were compared between TACT patients included or not in this study, and presented by dichotomized TPOAb and thyroid function status. Correlations between thyroid biomarkers were assessed using the Spearman rank method.

The primary outcome was to assess TPOAb prognostic significance in relation to DFS; secondary outcomes were TPOAb prognostic significance in relation to OS and time-to-recurrence (TTR), and thyroid function in relation to DFS, OS and TTR.

For DFS, OS and TTR, Kaplan-Meier curves were plotted and biomarkers compared with the log-rank test, and assessed firstly in a univariate Cox proportional hazards regression model stratified by centre's choice of control chemotherapy regimen and ER status, and subsequently included in a multivariable Cox model along with known BC prognostic factors: age, HER2 status, nodal involvement, tumour size and tumour grade. Additional variables, i.e. trial treatment (experimental versus control), type of surgery, trastuzumab use, radiotherapy and menopausal status, were included if, by stepwise selection ($P<0.05$), shown to add value. TPOAb, TSH and FT4 were subsequently considered for inclusion if providing independent prognostic information. Interaction tests were used to explore differential effects within subgroups. HR with 95% CI were obtained, with $HR<1$ indicating a better BC prognosis.

All patients with a biomarker value available were included in the analysis, as per an intention-to-treat analysis. All analyses were conducted using Stata version 13.1 (STATACORP, TX) [23,24].

RESULTS

All available TACT plasma samples (N=2000) were analysed for thyroid biomarkers, and 1974 samples were considered for the statistical analyses ("analysis population"; **Supplemental Fig. 1**). The median (IQR; range) blood collection time was 15.5 (7.0-24.0; 0.5–57.2) months after surgery.

Supplemental Table 1 reports analysis population's characteristics; the median (IQR; range) follow-up was 96.7 (87.4-106.3; 3.4-126.4) months. Overall 5-year estimates for DFS, OS and TTR were 79.5% (95% CI, 77.6-81.2), 87.4% (95% CI, 85.9-88.8) and 81.1% (95% CI, 79.3-82.8), respectively.

Distribution of TPOAb and thyroid function

TPOAb+ was detected in 406/1974 (20.6%) patients, distributed in the following tertiles: 137 (6.9%) 6-40 kIU/L (T1), 134 (6.7%) 41-238 kIU/L (T2), 135 (6.8%) 240-2000 kIU/L (T3). Baseline characteristics were largely comparable between TPOAb+ and TPOAb- patients (**Table 1**), apart from age, with TPOAb+ patients slightly older than TPOAb- patients (mean [SD] age, 50.2 [7.7] years versus 48.8 [8.5] years, respectively; P=0.005).

Plasma material was sufficient to determine FT4 and TSH values in 1974/1974 (100%) and 1971/1974 (99.8%) samples respectively. Among the 1974 patients, 1760 (89.2%) were euthyroid, 96 (4.9%) hypothyroid and 118 (6.0%) hyperthyroid; all 3 subgroups had similar baseline characteristics (**Table 1**), apart from age, with hypothyroid and hyperthyroid patients slightly older than euthyroid patients (mean [SD] age, respectively 50.5 [6.6] years and 50.7 [7.6] years, versus 48.9 [8.5] years; P=0.03).

As shown in **Supplemental Fig. 2**, FT4 and TSH were inversely correlated (Spearman rank, -0.23; P<0.001) and TPOAb was positively associated with TSH (Spearman rank, 0.24; P<0.001). The inverse correlation between TPOAb and FT4 was weak (Spearman rank, -0.04;

P=0.09). TPOAb+ cases were more prevalent among hypothyroid and hyperthyroid patients compared with the euthyroid group (73/96 [76.0%] hypothyroid; 45/118 [38.1%] hyperthyroid; 288/1760 [16.4%] euthyroid; P<0.001).

TPOAb and BC prognosis

The majority of DFS events were related to distant recurrence in both TPOAb+ and TPOAb- groups (**Supplemental Table 2**). There was no evidence of a difference in DFS between TPOAb+ and TPOAb- patients (unadjusted-HR: 0.97, 95% CI: 0.78-1.19, P=0.75, **Fig. 1A**; adjusted-HR: 1.00, 95% CI: 0.81-1.24, P=0.98, **Table 2**). Subgroup analyses showed no evidence of any significant interaction effects (**Fig. 2**). Similarly, there was no evidence of a difference by TPOAb status on OS (unadjusted-HR: 0.86, 95% CI: 0.66-1.11, P=0.24, **Fig. 1B**; adjusted-HR: 0.89, 95% CI: 0.69-1.14, P=0.35, not shown) and TTR (unadjusted-HR: 0.97, 95% CI: 0.78-1.21, P=0.80, **Fig. 1C**; adjusted-HR: 1.02, 95% CI: 0.81-1.27, P=0.89, not shown). TPOAb+ tertiles showed no evidence of a prognostic effect in both univariate (**Fig. 3**) and multivariable (data not shown) analyses for DFS, OS and TTR.

Two sensitivity analyses included 126 node+ patients not treated with radiotherapy, similar to Fiore *et al.* cohort [16], and 123 patients with blood taken before any adjuvant therapy. The median (IQR; range) time of blood collection after surgery was 12.4 (4.9-21.6; 0.7–47.2) months and 1.1 (0.9-1.4; 0.5-5.9) months, respectively. There was no evidence of a significant impact on DFS by TPOAb status in either of the two analyses, with unadjusted-HRs of 1.48 (95% CI, 0.68-3.25; P=0.32) and 0.83 (95% CI, 0.35-2.03; P=0.69) respectively.

Thyroid function and BC prognosis

There was no evidence of a significant difference for DFS, OS and TTR by thyroid function status in either univariate (**Fig. 4**) or multivariable (data not shown) analyses, and

when considering FT4 and TSH separately (DFS, **Supplemental Table 3**; OS and TTR, not shown).

DISCUSSION

In this large cohort of moderate-high risk early BC patients receiving adjuvant systemic treatments we found that neither the presence nor the titre of plasma TPOAb, assessed after BC diagnosis and measured with standard assays, had a substantial impact on long-term recurrence or mortality; similar findings were observed for thyroid status. These results confirm one previous finding [19], but contrast with two other studies [15,16]. We believe that our study is reliable, considering that our patient cohort is the largest to date, with one of the longest follow-ups, and focused on a well-defined BC population. Previous studies used smaller patient cohorts with shorter follow-ups [15,16,19], mixed different BC stages [19], or provided no information about BC stage [15], histological [15,19] and molecular subtypes [15,16,19], and adjuvant treatments received [15,19]; they may be susceptible to bias and random findings. In addition, the BC population analysed in this study is very similar to that of Fiore *et al.*, who recruited non-metastatic aggressive BC all treated with chemotherapy [16].

The long survival of our patient cohort could obscure a minor prognostic effect of TPOAb and/or thyroid function on BC, hypothetically detectable only among patients not suitable for standard treatments (e.g. medical contraindications) and targeted therapies (e.g. triple negative BC). This is possible but unlikely, since our exploratory analysis conducted among different BC subtypes confirmed our negative results. Furthermore, the multivariable analyses confirmed nodal status and tumour size as the two most important BC prognostic factors [28], proving that the cohort used was appropriate for the research question, and the model reasonably sensitive. Similarly, the better BC prognosis characterizing the intermediate age group (50-59 years) is consistent with the results of a recent large cohort study [29].

Our study cannot exclude a role of different TA parameters on BC prognosis, i.e. the presence of goitre [15] or incidental TA-related ^{18}F-FDG PET/CT uptake [18]. Furthermore, differences in the alternative splicing of TPO in the breast as compared to the thyroid have been described [25], therefore this might also result in different TPO epitopes being targeted.

TPOAb prevalence in our cohort, similar to our *a priori* predicted value, reflects TPOAb prevalence among women of general population [26,30], increasing with age [26,31]. It remains possible that TPOAb+ rates are higher in the BC population, as our study was not designed to compare TPOAb prevalence among BC patients and the general population.

The principal limitations of the present study are the lack of clinical history for thyroid diseases or medications and that, similarly to previous studies [15,19], blood was mainly collected during/after adjuvant BC therapy. The first limitation might influence the prognostic role of thyroid function, but marginally of TPOAb, since they should exert an effect when either pre-existing, or appearing at a later time [32]; however, the evidence that thyroid function influences BC outcome is weak [6]. The finding of more cases of hyper- (6.0%) than hypo-thyroidism (4.9%) may reflect over-treatment with levothyroxine in some individuals.

Regarding BC adjuvant treatments, an increased risk of hypothyroidism after chemotherapy [33,34] or radiotherapy [35,36] for BC has been suggested in a few small studies, but not confirmed by others [37]. Tamoxifen can exert a modulation of thyroid function, mainly via an anti-thyroid effect [38,39] and the stress related to the surgical procedure itself has been suggested to cause immunomodulation [40]. However no clear large-scale effects of adjuvant treatments for BC, including trastuzumab, on thyroid function and immunity have been described, and our sensitivity analysis in a subgroup of 123 patients in whom blood was collected before BC adjuvant therapy showed no evidence of TPOAb prognostic ability, even if the wide 95% CI suggests a lack of statistical power.

To draw definitive conclusions, a prospective study collecting blood before cancer treatments would be ideal, but difficult to realise because of the large patient number required,

as shown by our *a priori* power calculation. Furthermore, this study analysed moderate-high risk early BC only. BC is a heterogeneous disease, with many subtypes characterised by different clinical behaviour and prognosis; it could be possible that TPOAb and/or thyroid function affect the prognosis of certain specific BC subtypes and stages only, therefore they should be all investigated separately, with a much higher total patient numbers required to reach significant and definitive results.

In conclusion, the present study is to our knowledge the largest currently available investigating the impact of blood TPOAb and thyroid function on BC prognosis, providing a detailed description of the BC population analysed, and therefore representing a key-work to clarify this debate over decades. We found that TPOAb and thyroid function, both measured with standard assays and after BC diagnosis, appear not to influence substantially the long-term recurrence and mortality of moderate-high risk early BC in the modern era. Major confounding in this conclusion due to BC treatments seems unlikely. Future studies might explore different BC stages and/or specific subtypes, also searching for non-conventional or breast-specific immune responses to particular TPO epitopes, to determine whether aspects of TA other than standard TPOAb and thyroid function may be relevant to BC outcome.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by the Tenovus Cancer Care, Cardiff, UK (2013 Tenovus Innovation Grant number TIG2014-28).

Authors thank all the patients who participated in this study and all the investigators involved in the TACT trial (funded by Cancer Research UK; ICR-CTSU also receives core funding from Cancer Research UK).

Authors thank Dr. C Evans and her team (Department of Medical Biochemistry, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, UK) for running the laboratory assays.

REFERENCES

1. Hardefeldt PJ, Eslick GD, Edirimanne S: Benign thyroid disease is associated with breast cancer: a meta-analysis. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2012;133:1169-77.

2. Prinzi N, Baldini E, Sorrenti S, De Vito C, Tuccilli C, Catania A, Carbotta S, Mocini R, Coccaro C, Nesca A, Bianchini M, De Antoni E, D'Armiento M, Ulisse S: Prevalence of breast cancer in thyroid diseases: results of a cross-sectional study of 3,921 patients. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2014;144:683-8.

3. Sarlis NJ, Gourgiotis L, Pucino F, Tolis GJ: Lack of association between Hashimoto thyroiditis and breast cancer: a quantitative research synthesis. Hormones (Athens) 2002;1:35-41.

4. Angelousi AG, Anagnostou VK, Stamatakos MK, Georgiopoulos GA, Kontzoglou KC: Mechanisms in endocrinology: primary HT and risk for breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Endocrinol 2012;166:373-81.

5. Moeller LC, Fuhrer D, Moeller LC, Fuhrer D: Thyroid hormone, thyroid hormone receptors, and cancer: a clinical perspective. Endocrine-Related Cancer 2013;20:R19-29.

6. Smyth PP: The thyroid and breast cancer. Curr Opin Endocrinol Diabetes Obes 2016;23:389-93.

7. Mittra I, Hayward JL: Hypothalamic-pituitary-thyroid axis in breast cancer. Lancet 1974;1:885-9.

8. Kuijpens JL, Nyklictek I, Louwman MW, Weetman TA, Pop VJ, Coebergh JW: Hypothyroidism might be related to breast cancer in post-menopausal women. Thyroid 2005;15:1253-9.

9. Cristofanilli M, Yamamura Y, Kau SW, Bevers T, Strom S, Patangan M, Hsu L, Krishnamurthy S, Theriault RL, Hortobagyi GN: Thyroid hormone and breast carcinoma.

Primary hypothyroidism is associated with a reduced incidence of primary breast carcinoma. Cancer 2005;103:1122-8.

10. Tosovic A, Becker C, Bondeson AG, Bondeson L, Ericsson UB, Malm J, Manjer J: Prospectively measured thyroid hormones and thyroid peroxidase antibodies in relation to breast cancer risk. Int J Cancer 2012;131:2126-33.

11. Sogaard M, Farkas DK, Ehrenstein V, Jorgensen JO, Dekkers OM, Sorensen HT: Hypothyroidism and hyperthyroidism and breast cancer risk: a nationwide cohort study. Eur J Endocrinol 2016;174:409-14.

12. Hedley AJ, Jones SJ, Spiegelhalter DJ, Clements P, Bewsher PD, Simpson JG, Weir RD: Breast cancer in thyroid disease: fact or fallacy? Lancet 1981;1:131-3.

13. Hellevik AI, Asvold BO, Bjoro T, Romundstad PR, Nilsen TI, Vatten LJ: Thyroid function and cancer risk: a prospective population study. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2009;18:570-4.

14. Giani C, Fierabracci P, Bonacci R, Gigliotti A, Campani D, De Negri F, Cecchetti D, Martino E, Pinchera A: Relationship between breast cancer and thyroid disease: relevance of autoimmune thyroid disorders in breast malignancy. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1996;81:990-4.

15. Smyth PP, Shering SG, Kilbane MT, Murray MJ, McDermott EW, Smith DF, O'Higgins NJ: Serum thyroid peroxidase autoantibodies, thyroid volume, and outcome in breast carcinoma. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1998;83:2711-6.

16. Fiore E, Giustarini E, Mammoli C, Fragomeni F, Campani D, Muller I, Pinchera A, Giani C: Favorable predictive value of thyroid autoimmunity in high aggressive breast cancer. J Endocrinol Invest 2007;30:734-8.

17. Farahati J, Roggenbuck D, Gilman E, Schutte M, Jagminaite E, Seyed Zakavi R, Loning T, Heissen E: Anti-thyroid peroxidase antibodies are associated with the absence of

distant metastases in patients with newly diagnosed breast cancer. Clin Chem Lab Med 2012;50:709-14.

18. Kim SS, Kim IJ, Kim SJ, Lee JY, Bae YT, Jeon YK, Kim BH, Kim YK: Incidental diffuse thyroid 18F-FDG uptake related to autoimmune thyroiditis may be a favorable prognostic factor in advanced breast cancer. J Nucl Med 2012;53:1855-62.

19. Jiskra J, Barkmanova J, Limanova Z, Lanska V, Smutek D, Potlukova E, Antosova M: Thyroid autoimmunity occurs more frequently in women with breast cancer compared to women with colorectal cancer and controls but it has no impact on relapse-free and overall survival. Oncol Rep 2007;18:1603-11.

20. Cheung KL, Graves CR, Robertson JF: Tumour marker measurements in the diagnosis and monitoring of breast cancer. Cancer Treat Rev 2000;26:91-102.

21. Ignatiadis M, Lee M, Jeffrey SS: Circulating Tumor Cells and Circulating Tumor DNA: Challenges and Opportunities on the Path to Clinical Utility. Clin Cancer Res 2015;21:4786-800.

22. McShane LM, Altman DG, Sauerbrei W, Taube SE, Gion M, Clark GM, Statistics Subcommittee of the NCIEWGoCD: REporting recommendations for tumor MARKer prognostic studies (REMARK). Nat Clin Pract Oncol 2005;2:416-22.

23. Ellis P, Barrett-Lee P, Johnson L, Cameron D, Wardley A, O'Reilly S, Verrill M, Smith I, Yarnold J, Coleman R, Earl H, Canney P, Twelves C, Poole C, Bloomfield D, Hopwood P, Johnston S, Dowsett M, Bartlett JM, Ellis I, Peckitt C, Hall E, Bliss JM, Group TTM, Trialists T: Sequential docetaxel as adjuvant chemotherapy for early breast cancer (TACT): an open-label, phase III, randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2009;373:1681-92.

24. Bliss JM, Ellis P, Kilburn L, Bartlett J, Bloomfield D, Cameron D, Canney P, Coleman RE, Dowsett M, Earl H, Verrill M, Wardley A, Yarnold J, Ahern R, Atkins N, Fletcher M, McLinden M, Barrett-Lee P: Mature analysis of UK Taxotere as Adjuvant

Chemotherapy (TACT) trial (CRUK 01/001); effects of treatment and characterisation of patterns of breast cancer relapse. Cancer Res Suppl. 2012;72:Abstract P1-13-03.

25. Muller I, Giani C, Zhang L, Grennan-Jones FA, Fiore E, Belardi V, Rosellini V, Funel N, Campani D, Giustarini E, Lewis MD, Bakhsh AD, Roncella M, Ghilli M, Vitti P, Dayan CM, Ludgate ME: Does thyroid peroxidase provide an antigenic link between thyroid autoimmunity and breast cancer? Int J Cancer 2014;134:1706-14.

26. Vanderpump MP, Tunbridge WM, French JM, Appleton D, Bates D, Clark F, Grimley Evans J, Hasan DM, Rodgers H, Tunbridge F, et al.: The incidence of thyroid disorders in the community: a twenty-year follow-up of the Whickham Survey. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 1995;43:55-68.

27. Bulow Pedersen I, Knudsen N, Carle A, Vejbjerg P, Jorgensen T, Perrild H, Ovesen L, Banke Rasmussen L, Laurberg P: A cautious iodization program bringing iodine intake to a low recommended level is associated with an increase in the prevalence of thyroid autoantibodies in the population. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 2011;75:120-6.

28. Fitzgibbons PL, Page DL, Weaver D, Thor AD, Allred DC, Clark GM, Ruby SG, O'Malley F, Simpson JF, Connolly JL, Hayes DF, Edge SB, Lichter A, Schnitt SJ: Prognostic factors in breast cancer. College of American Pathologists Consensus Statement 1999. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2000;124:966-78.

29. Brandt J, Garne JP, Tengrup I, Manjer J: Age at diagnosis in relation to survival following breast cancer: a cohort study. World J Surg Oncol 2015;13:33.

30. Hollowell JG, Staehling NW, Flanders WD, Hannon WH, Gunter EW, Spencer CA, Braverman LE: Serum TSH, T(4), and thyroid antibodies in the United States population (1988 to 1994): National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III). J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2002;87:489-99.

31. Tunbridge WM, Brewis M, French JM, Appleton D, Bird T, Clark F, Evered DC, Evans JG, Hall R, Smith P, Stephenson J, Young E: Natural history of autoimmune thyroiditis. Br Med J (Clin Res Ed) 1981;282:258-62.

32. Franzke A, Peest D, Probst-Kepper M, Buer J, Kirchner GI, Brabant G, Kirchner H, Ganser A, Atzpodien J: Autoimmunity resulting from cytokine treatment predicts long-term survival in patients with metastatic renal cell cancer. J Clin Oncol 1999;17:529-33.

33. Kumar N, Allen KA, Riccardi D, Bercu BB, Cantor A, Minton S, Balducci L, Jacobsen PB: Fatigue, weight gain, lethargy and amenorrhea in breast cancer patients on chemotherapy: is subclinical hypothyroidism the culprit? Breast Cancer Res Treat 2004;83:149-59.

34. de Groot S, Janssen LGM, Charehbili A, Dijkgraaf EM, Smit VTHBM, Kessels LW, van Bochove A, van Laarhoven HWM, Meershoek-Klein Kranenbarg E, van Leeuwen-Stok AE, van de Velde CJH, Putter H, Nortier JWR, van der Hoeven JJM, Pijl H, Kroep JR: Thyroid function alters during neoadjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer patients: results from the NEOZOTAC trial (BOOG 2010-01). Breast Cancer Research and Treatment 2015;149:461-466.

35. Bruning P, Bonfrer J, De Jong-Bakker M, Nooyen W, Burgers M: Primary hypothyroidism in breast cancer patients with irradiated supraclavicular lymph nodes. Br J Cancer 1985;51:659-63.

36. Cutuli B, Quentin P, Rodier JF, Barakat P, Grob JC: Severe hypothyroidism after chemotherapy and locoregional irradiation for breast cancer. Radiother Oncol 2000;57:103-5.

37. Smith GL, Smith BD, Giordano SH, Shih YC, Woodward WA, Strom EA, Perkins GH, Tereffe W, Yu TK, Buchholz TA: Risk of hypothyroidism in older breast cancer patients treated with radiation. Cancer 2008;112:1371-9.

38. Anker GB, Lonning PE, Aakvaag A, Lien EA: Thyroid function in postmenopausal breast cancer patients treated with tamoxifen. Scand J Clin Lab Invest 1998;58:103-7.

39. Zidan J, Rubenstein W: Effect of adjuvant tamoxifen therapy on thyroid function in postmenopausal women with breast cancer. Oncology 1999;56:43-5.

40. Boomsma MF, Garssen B, Slot E, Berbee M, Berkhof J, Meezenbroek Ede J, Slieker W, Visser A, Meijer S, Beelen RH: Breast cancer surgery-induced immunomodulation. J Surg Oncol 2010;102:640-8.

TABLES

Table 1: Baseline characteristics and treatments for breast cancer by autoantibodies to thyroid peroxidase (TPOAb) and thyroid function status

	TPOAb- N = 1568	TPOAb+ N = 406	P value	Hypothyroid N = 96	Euthyroid N = 1760	Hyperthyroid N = 118	P value
Age (years): mean (SD)	48.8 (8.5)	50.2 (7.7)	0.005[a]	50.5 (6.6)	48.9 (8.5)	50.7 (7.6)	0.03[d]
Age group (years): n (%)							
<40	257 (16.4)	49 (12.1)	0.08[b]	8 (8.3)	287 (16.3)	11 (9.3)	0.62[b]
40-49	575 (36.7)	151 (37.2)		36 (37.5)	647 (36.8)	43 (36.4)	
50-59	590 (37.6)	167 (41.1)		45 (46.9)	657 (37.3)	55 (46.6)	
≥60	146 (9.3)	39 (9.6)		7 (7.3)	169 (9.6)	9 (7.6)	
Nodal status: n (%)							
Node negative	314 (20.0)	93 (22.9)	0.62[b]	18 (18.8)	367 (20.9)	22 (18.6)	0.61[b]
1-3 positive nodes	719 (45.9)	171 (42.1)		33 (34.4)	808 (45.9)	49 (41.5)	
≥4 positive nodes	535 (34.1)	142 (35.0)		45 (46.9)	585 (33.2)	47 (39.8)	
Tumour grade: n (%)							
Grade 1	77 (4.9)	23 (5.7)	0.74[b]	4 (4.2)	88 (5.0)	8 (6.8)	0.72[b]
Grade 2	603 (38.5)	155 (38.2)		35 (36.5)	681 (38.7)	42 (35.6)	
Grade 3	883 (56.3)	228 (56.2)		57 (59.4)	986 (56.0)	68 (57.6)	
Unknown	5 (0.3)	0 (0.0)		0 (0.0)	5 (0.3)	0 (0.0)	
Tumour size (cm): n (%)							
≤2	578 (36.9)	147 (36.2)	0.59[b]	25 (26.0)	659 (37.4)	41 (34.8)	0.38[b]
>2 and ≤5	857 (54.7)	220 (54.2)		61 (63.5)	952 (54.1)	64 (54.2)	
>5	132 (8.4)	39 (9.6)		10 (10.4)	148 (8.4)	13 (11.0)	
Unknown	1 (0.1)	0 (0.0)		0 (0.0)	1 (0.1)	0 (0.0)	
ER & HER2 status: n (%)							
ER+	1107 (70.6)	289 (71.2)	0.85[c] (ER) 0.45[c] (HER2)	69 (71.9)	1248 (70.9)	79 (67.0)	0.62[c] (ER) 0.84[c] (HER2)
& HER2+	198 (12.6)	49 (12.1)		13 (13.5)	220 (12.5)	14 (11.9)	
& HER2-	772 (49.2)	201 (49.5)		46 (47.9)	873 (49.6)	54 (45.8)	
& HER2 unknown	137 (8.7)	39 (9.6)		10 (10.4)	155 (8.8)	11 (9.3)	
ER-	461 (29.4)	117 (28.8)		27 (28.1)	512 (29.1)	39 (33.1)	
& HER2+	118 (7.5)	43 (10.6)		8 (8.3)	141 (8.0)	12 (10.2)	
& HER2-	289 (18.4)	61 (15.0)		15 (15.6)	313 (17.8)	22 (18.6)	
& HER2 unknown	54 (3.4)	13 (3.2)		4 (4.2)	58 (3.3)	5 (4.2)	
Molecular subgroup: n (%)							
ER+/HER2-[1]	784 (50.0)	203 (50.0)	0.40[c]	47 (49.0)	885 (50.3)	55 (46.6)	0.94[c]
HER2+	316 (20.2)	92 (22.7)		21 (21.9)	361 (20.5)	26 (22.0)	
Triple negative	277 (17.7)	59 (14.5)		14 (14.6)	301 (17.1)	21 (17.8)	

	TPOAb- N = 1568	TPOAb+ N = 406	P value	Hypothyroid N = 96	Euthyroid N = 1760	Hyperthyroid N = 118	P value
Type of surgery and radiotherapy use: n (%)			0.74[c] (surgery) 0.61[c] (radiotherapy)				0.99[c] (surgery) 0.33[c] (radiotherapy)
Mastectomy	854 (54.5)	225 (55.4)		53 (55.2)	962 (54.7)	64 (54.2)	
with radiotherapy ^	688 (80.6)	177 (78.7)		47 (88.7)	772 (80.2)	46 (71.9)	
Wide local excision	714 (45.5)	181 (44.6)		43 (44.8)	798 (45.3)	54 (45.8)	
with radiotherapy [#]	704 (98.6)	176 (97.2)		41 (95.3)	787 (98.6)	52 (96.3)	
Endocrine treatment in ER+ patients: n (%)*			0.13[c]				0.09[c]
Tamoxifen monotherapy	696 (62.9)	167 (57.8)		43 (62.3)	772 (61.9)	48 (60.8)	
Tamoxifen followed by AI	354 (32.0)	100 (34.6)		20 (29.0)	409 (32.8)	25 (31.7)	
AI monotherapy	46 (4.2)	15 (5.2)		6 (8.7)	53 (4.3)	2 (2.5)	
No endocrine treatment/unknown	11 (1.0)	7 (2.4)		0 (0.0)	14 (1.1)	4 (5.1)	
Trastuzumab in HER2+ patients: n (%)**			0.36[c]				0.71[c]
Yes	40 (12.7)	8 (8.7)		1 (4.8)	44 (12.2)	3 (11.5)	
No/Not known	276 (87.3)	84 (91.3)		20 (95.2)	317 (87.8)	23 (88.5)	
Chemotherapy: n (%)			0.52[c]				0.90[c]
Control (FEC)	498 (31.8)	128 (31.5)		27 (28.1)	568 (32.3)	31 (26.3)	
Control (E-CMF)	271 (17.3)	61 (15.0)		16 (16.7)	301 (17.1)	15 (12.7)	
FEC-D	799 (51.0)	217 (53.4)		53 (55.2)	891 (50.6)	52 (44.1)	

[1] includes ER-, PgR+, HER2-

^ denominators calculated using patients treated with mastectomy

[#] denominators calculated using patients treated with wide local excision

* denominators calculated using ER+ patients

** denominators calculated using HER2+ patients

[a] t-test

[b] trend test; note "unknowns" excluded from the test

[c] Fisher's exact test

[d] ANOVA

AI, aromatase-inhibitors; ER+, positive estrogen receptor (ER); ER-, negative ER; E-CMF, epirubicin 100 mg/m^2 for 4 cycles followed by CMF (cyclophosphamide 600 mg/m^2, methotrexate 40 mg/m^2 and fluorouracil 600 mg/m^2) for 4 cycles; FEC, fluorouracil 600 mg/m^2, epirubicin 60 mg/m^2 and cyclophosphamide 600 mg/m^2 for 8 cycles; FEC-D, FEC for 4 cycles followed by docetaxel 100 mg/m^2 for 4 cycles; HER2+, positive human epidermal growth factor receptor-2 (HER2); HER2-, negative HER2; PgR+, positive progesterone receptor (PgR); SD, standard deviation; TPOAb+, positive TPOAb; TPOAb-, negative TPOAb; triple negative, negative HER2, ER and PgR.

Table 2: Multivariable analysis for disease-free survival by dichotomized autoantibodies to thyroid peroxidase (TPOAb)

		HR	95% CI	P value
TPOAb status	negative (n=1568)	1.00	-	-
	positive (n=406)	1.00	0.81-1.24	0.98
Nodal status	positive (n=1567)	1.00	-	-
	negative (n=407)	0.49	0.37-0.64	< 0.001
HER2 status	negative (n=1323)	1.00	-	-
	positive (n=408)	1.19	0.97-1.46	0.09
	unknown (n=243)	0.93	0.71-1.23	0.63
Age group (years)	<40 (n=306)	1.00	-	-
	40-49 (n=726)	0.78	0.61-1.00	0.05
	50-59 (n=757)	0.75	0.59-0.96	0.02
	≥60 (n=185)	0.95	0.69-1.31	0.76
Tumour grade	Grade 1 (n=100)	1.00	-	-
	Grade 2 (n=758)	1.15	0.74-1.78	0.55
	Grade 3 (n=1111)	1.39	0.89-2.17	0.14
	unknown (n=5)	0.77	0.10-5.75	0.80
Tumour size (cm) *	≤2 (n=725)	1.00	-	-
	>2 and ≤5 (n=1077)	1.37	1.12-1.66	0.002
	>5 (n=171)	1.88	1.41-2.52	< 0.001
Type of surgery	Mastectomy (n=1079)	1.00	-	-
	WLE (n=895)	0.79	0.66-0.95	0.01

HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor-2; HR, hazard ratio (HR <1 indicates a favorable breast cancer outcome); WLE, wide local excision; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.
* The patient with unknown tumour size (n=1) has not been considered for this analysis.

FIGURES

Fig. 1: Univariate analyses by dichotomized autoantibodies to thyroid peroxidase (TPOAb)



Kaplan-Meier curves relative to breast cancer (BC) outcome (median follow-up 96.7 months) in patients positive (≥6 kIU/L) and negative (<6 kIU/L) for TPOAb. HR, hazard ratio (HR <1 indicates a favorable BC outcome); 95% CI, 95% confidence interval. Panel A: disease-free survival (DFS). Panel B: overall survival (OS). Panel C: time to recurrence (TTR).

Fig. 2: Exploratory subgroup analyses for disease-free survival by dichotomized autoantibodies to thyroid peroxidase (TPOAb)



ER+, positive estrogen receptor (ER); ER-, negative ER; HER2+, positive human epidermal growth factor receptor-2 (HER2); HER2-, negative HER2; NK, not known; N-, lymph-node negative; N1-3+, 1-3 lymph-nodes positive, N4+, 4 or more lymph-nodes positive; TPOAb+, positive TPOAb; TPOAb-, negative TPOAb; triple negative, negative HER2, ER and progesterone receptor; WLE, wide local excision; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.

Fig. 3: Univariate analyses by autoantibodies to thyroid peroxidase (TPOAb) categorized into tertiles



	Unadjusted HR	95%CI	P value
TPOAb negative (Neg)	1.00	-	-
TPOAb positive (T1)	0.97	0.69 - 1.36	0.86
TPOAb positive (T2)	1.06	0.76 - 1.48	0.73
TPOAb positive (T3)	0.88	0.62 - 1.25	0.47

	Unadjusted HR	95%CI	P value
TPOAb negative (Neg)	1.00	-	-
TPOAb positive (T1)	0.90	0.60 - 1.35	0.61
TPOAb positive (T2)	1.03	0.70 - 1.53	0.87
TPOAb positive (T3)	0.67	0.42 - 1.05	0.08

	Unadjusted HR	95%CI	P value
TPOAb negative (Neg)	1.00	-	-
TPOAb positive (T1)	0.96	0.68 - 1.37	0.84
TPOAb positive (T2)	1.15	0.82 - 1.61	0.43
TPOAb positive (T3)	0.82	0.56 - 1.20	0.31

Kaplan-Meier curves relative to breast cancer (BC) outcome (median follow-up 96.7 months) in patients negative (<6 kIU/L) and positive for TPOAb categorized into tertiles: 6-40 kIU/L (T1), 41-238 kIU/L (T2), 240-2000 kIU/L (T3). HR, hazard ratio (HR <1 indicates a favorable BC outcome); 95% CI, 95% confidence interval. Panel A: disease-free survival (DFS). Panel B: overall survival (OS). Panel C: time to recurrence (TTR).

Fig. 4: Univariate analyses by thyroid function status



	Unadjusted HR	95% CI	P value
Hypothyroid	1.15	0.79 - 1.68	0.46
Euthyroid	1.00	-	-
Hyperthyroid	1.14	0.82 - 1.61	0.44



	Unadjusted HR	95% CI	P value
Hypothyroid	1.13	0.73 - 1.76	0.58
Euthyroid	1.00	-	-
Hyperthyroid	1.17	0.79 - 1.74	0.43



	Unadjusted HR	95% CI	P value
Hypothyroid	1.24	0.85 - 1.82	0.27
Euthyroid	1.00	-	-
Hyperthyroid	1.18	0.82 - 1.67	0.37

Kaplan-Meier curves relative to breast cancer (BC) outcome (median follow-up 96.7 months) according to thyroid function status. Euthyroid, free-thyroxine (FT4) 9.0–19.1 pmol/L and thyrotropin (TSH) 0.30–4.40 mIU/L; hyperthyroid, FT4 >19.1 pmol/L and/or TSH <0.3 mIU/L; hypothyroid, FT4 <9.0 pmol/L and/or TSH >4.40 mIU/L. HR, hazard ratio (HR <1 indicates a favorable BC outcome); 95% CI, 95% confidence interval. Panel A: disease-free survival (DFS). Panel B: overall survival (OS). Panel C: time to recurrence (TTR).

477 **SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL**

478

479 **Supplemental Table 1: Baseline characteristics, treatments for breast cancer and disease-free**

480 **survival (DFS) related characteristics**

481

	Analysis population N = 1974	Not included patients N = 2188	All TACT trial patients N = 4162
Age (years), mean (SD)	49.1 (8.4)	48.2 (8.6)	48.6 (8.5)
Age group (years), n (%)			
<40	306 (15.5)	412 (18.8)	718 (17.3)
40-49	726 (36.8)	841 (38.4)	1567 (37.7)
50-59	757 (38.4)	730 (33.4)	1487 (35.7)
≥60	185 (9.4)	205 (9.4)	390 (9.4)
Nodal status, n (%)			
Node negative	407 (20.6)	428 (19.6)	835 (20.1)
1-3 positive nodes	890 (45.1)	949 (43.4)	1839 (44.2)
≥4 positive nodes	677 (34.3)	811 (37.1)	1488 (35.8)
Tumor grade, n (%)			
Grade 1	100 (5.1)	129 (5.9)	229 (5.5)
Grade 2	758 (38.4)	778 (35.6)	1536 (36.9)
Grade 3	1111 (56.3)	1271 (58.1)	2382 (57.2)
Unknown	5 (0.3)	10 (0.5)	15 (0.4)
Tumor size (cm), n (%)			
≤2	725 (36.7)	711 (32.5)	1436 (34.5)
>2 and ≤5	1077 (54.6)	1253 (57.3)	2330 (56.0)
>5	171 (8.7)	221 (10.1)	392 (9.4)
Unknown	1 (0.1)	3 (0.1)	4 (0.1)
ER & HER2 status, n (%)			
ER+	1396 (70.7)	1479 (67.6)	2875 (69.1)
& HER2+	247 (12.5)	247 (11.3)	494 (11.9)
& HER2-	973 (49.3)	990 (45.2)	1963 (47.2)
& HER2 unknown	176 (8.9)	242 (11.1)	418 (10.0)
ER-	578 (29.3)	709 (32.4)	1287 (30.9)
& HER2+	161 (8.2)	194 (8.9)	355 (8.5)
& HER2-	350 (17.7)	411 (18.8)	761 (18.3)
& HER2 unknown	67 (3.4)	104 (4.8)	171 (4.1)
Molecular subgroup, n (%)			
ER+/HER2-*	987 (50.0)	1014 (46.3)	2001 (48.1)
HER2+	408 (20.7)	441 (20.2)	849 (20.4)
Triple negative	336 (17.0)	387 (17.7)	723 (17.4)
Type of surgery and radiotherapy, n (%)			
Mastectomy	1079 (54.7)	1186 (54.2)	2265 (54.4)
with radiotherapy	865 (43.8)	949 (43.4)	1814 (43.6)
breast	159 (8.1)	254 (11.6)	413 (9.9)
chest wall	709 (35.9)	693 (31.7)	1402 (33.7)
supraclavicular fossa	480 (24.3)	500 (22.9)	980 (23.5)
axilla	85 (4.3)	103 (4.7)	188 (4.5)
Wide local excision	895 (45.3)	1002 (45.8)	1897 (45.6)
with radiotherapy	880 (44.6)	961 (43.9)	1841 (44.2)
breast	856 (43.4)	921 (42.1)	1777 (42.7)
chest wall	31 (1.6)	47 (2.1)	78 (1.9)
supraclavicular fossa	291 (14.7)	283 (12.9)	574 (13.8)
axilla	103 (5.2)	70 (3.2)	173 (4.2)
Endocrine treatment in ER+ patients, n (%)			
Tamoxifen monotherapy	863 (61.8)	927 (62.7)	1790 (62.3)

	Analysis population N = 1974	Not included patients N = 2188	All TACT trial patients N = 4162
Tamoxifen followed by AI	454 (32.5)	439 (29.7)	893 (31.1)
AI monotherapy	61 (4.4)	76 (5.1)	137 (4.8)
No endocrine treatment/unknown	18 (1.3)	37 (2.5)	55 (1.9)
Trastuzumab in HER2+ patients, n (%)			
Yes	48 (11.8)	28 (6.4)	76 (9.0)
No/Not known	360 (88.2)	413 (93.7)	773 (91.0)
Chemotherapy, n (%)			
Control (FEC)	626 (31.7)	639 (29.2)	1265 (30.4)
Control (E-CMF)	332 (16.8)	492 (22.5)	824 (19.8)
FEC-D	1016 (51.5)	1057 (48.3)	2073 (49.5)
Number of patients with event contributing to DFS analysis	**551 (27.9)**	**778 (35.6)**	**1329 (31.9)**
Local recurrence	76 (3.8)	107 (4.9)	183 (4.4)
Distant recurrence	405 (20.5)	572 (26.1)	977 (23.5)
New breast disease	43 (2.2)	44 (2.0)	91 (2.2)
Death from other cause (no recurrence)	27 (1.4)	51 (2.3)	78 (1.9)
Distant relapse ever reported	**462 (23.4)**	**655 (29.9)**	**1117 (26.8)**
New breast disease ever reported	**57 (2.9)**	**67 (3.1)**	**124 (3.0)**
All non-breast cancer second primary	**52 (2.6)**	**54 (2.5)**	**106 (2.5)**
All deaths	**397 (20.1)**	**620 (28.3)**	**1017 (24.4)**
Breast cancer	369 (18.7)	568 (26.0)	937 (22.5)
Death from other causes	28 (1.4)	52 (2.4)	80 (1.9)
Cancer (non-breast)	15 (0.8)	21 (1.0)	36 (0.9)
Treatment toxicity	0	5 (0.2)	5 (0.1)
Other	13 (0.7)	26 (1.2)	39 (0.9)

* includes ER-, PgR+, HER2-

AI, aromatase-inhibitors; ER+, positive estrogen receptor (ER); ER-, negative ER; E-CMF, epirubicin 100 mg/m^2 for 4 cycles followed by CMF (cyclophosphamide 600 mg/m^2, methotrexate 40 mg/m^2 and fluorouracil 600 mg/m^2) for 4 cycles; FEC, fluorouracil 600 mg/m^2, epirubicin 60 mg/m^2 and cyclophosphamide 600 mg/m^2 for 8 cycles; FEC-D, FEC for 4 cycles followed by docetaxel 100 mg/m^2 for 4 cycles; HER2+, positive human epidermal growth factor receptor-2 (HER2); HER2-, negative HER2; PgR+, positive progesterone receptor (PgR); SD, standard deviation; TACT, "Taxotere as adjuvant chemotherapy trial"; TPOAb, autoantibodies to thyroid peroxidase.

Supplemental Table 2: Events contributing to disease-free survival (DFS) and numbers of deaths by dichotomized TPOAb status

	TPOAb- (N = 1568) n (%)	TPOAb+ (N = 406) n (%)
Number of patients with event contributing to DFS analysis	**442 (28.2)**	**109 (26.8)**
Local recurrence	59 (3.8)	17 (4.2)
Distant recurrence	327 (20.9)	78 (19.2)
New breast disease	33 (2.1)	10 (2.5)
Death from other cause (no recurrence)	23 (1.5)	4 (1.0)
All deaths	**325 (20.7)**	**72 (17.7)**
Breast cancer	301 (19.2)	68 (16.7)
Death from other causes (without distant recurrence)	24 (1.5)	4 (1.0)
Cancer (non-breast)	14 (0.9)	1 (0.2)
Treatment toxicity	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Other	9 (0.6)	3 (0.7)
Vascular (cardiac)	1 (0.1)	1 (0.2)
Vascular (cerebral)	1 (0.1)	0 (0.0)
Vascular (thromboembolic)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Respiratory	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Accident, suicide, alcoholism	5 (0.3)	0 (0.0)
Infection (not treatment related)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.2)
Gastrointestinal bleed	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Chronic liver disease	1 (0.1)	0 (0.0)
Unknown	2 (0.1)	1 (0.2)

TPOAb+, positive autoantibodies to thyroid peroxidase (TPOAb); TPOAb-, negative TPOAb.

Supplemental Table 3: Univariate analyses for disease-free survival by FT4 and TSH

Variable		Unadjusted HR	95% CI	P value
FT4	Continuous	1.00	0.96-1.04	0.91
	<9.0 pmol/L (Hypothyroid; n=13)	1.61	0.67-3.88	0.29
	9.0–19.1 pmol/L (Euthyroid; n=1917)	1.00	-	-
	>19.1 pmol/L (Hyperthyroid; n=44)	1.08	0.62-1.87	0.79
TSH*	Continuous	1.03	0.94-1.13	0.48
	>4.40 mIU/L (Hypothyroid; n=94)	1.08	0.73-1.59	0.71
	0.3-–4.40 mIU/L (Euthyroid; n=1781)	1.00	-	-
	<0.3 mIU/L (Hyperthyroid; n=96)	1.19	0.82-1.72	0.36

FT4, free-thyroxine; HR, hazard ratio (HR <1 indicates a favorable breast cancer outcome); TSH, thyrotropin; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.
*TSH value was available in 1971/1974 (99.8%) samples

505 **Supplemental Fig. 1: Flowchart regarding sample availability and data handling**



506
507 FT4, free-thyroxine; TPOAb, autoantibodies to thyroid peroxidase; TSH, thyrotropin.
508

509 **Supplemental Fig. 2: Correlation between thyroid markers**



510

511 FT4, free-thyroxine; TPOAb, autoantibodies to thyroid peroxidase; TSH, thyrotropin. Panel A:

512 inverse correlation between TSH (logarithmic scale) and FT4. Panel B: positive correlation

between TPOAb and TSH (logarithmic scale). Panel C: inverse correlation between TPOAb and FT4.