

**Genome-wide analysis in UK Biobank identifies four loci associated with mood instability and genetic correlation with MDD, anxiety disorder and schizophrenia.**

Joey Ward MSc<sup>1</sup>, Rona J. Strawbridge PhD<sup>1,2</sup>, Mark E. S. Bailey PhD<sup>3</sup>, Nicholas Graham MBChB<sup>1</sup>, Amy Ferguson BSc<sup>1</sup>, Donald M. Lyall PhD<sup>1</sup>, Breda Cullen DClInPsy<sup>1</sup>, Laura M. Pidgeon PhD<sup>1</sup>, Jonathan Cavanagh MD<sup>1</sup>, Daniel F. Mackay PhD<sup>1</sup>, Jill P. Pell MD<sup>1</sup>, Michael O'Donovan PhD<sup>4</sup>, Valentina Escott-Price PhD<sup>4</sup>, Daniel J. Smith MD\*<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Health and Wellbeing, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK. <sup>2</sup>Department of Medicine Solna, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden. <sup>3</sup>School of Life Sciences, College of Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK. <sup>4</sup>MRC Centre for Neuropsychiatric Genetics and Genomics, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK.

**\*Corresponding author:** Professor Daniel J. Smith, Institute of Health and Wellbeing, University of Glasgow, Room 112, Public Health, 1 Lilybank Gardens, Glasgow, G12 8RZ, UK. Tel: +44 141 211 3935.  
E-mail: daniel.smith@glasgow.ac.uk

**Running title:** Genome-wide analysis study of mood instability.

## Abstract

Mood instability is a core clinical feature of affective and psychotic disorders. In keeping with the Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) approach, it may be a useful construct for identifying biology that cuts across psychiatric categories. We aimed to investigate the biological validity of a simple measure of mood instability and evaluate its genetic relationship with several psychiatric disorders, including major depressive disorder (MDD), bipolar disorder (BD), schizophrenia, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), anxiety disorder and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). We conducted a genome-wide association study (GWAS) of mood instability in 53,525 cases and 60,443 controls from UK Biobank, identifying four independently-associated loci (on chromosomes eight, nine, 14 and 18), and a common single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)-based heritability estimate of approximately 8%. We found a strong genetic correlation between mood instability and MDD ( $r_g=0.60$ ,  $SE=0.07$ ,  $p=8.95 \times 10^{-17}$ ) and a small but significant genetic correlation with both schizophrenia ( $r_g=0.11$ ,  $SE=0.04$ ,  $p=0.01$ ) and anxiety disorders ( $r_g=0.28$ ,  $SE=0.14$ ,  $p=0.04$ ), although no genetic correlation with BD, ADHD or PTSD. Several genes at the associated loci may have a role in mood instability, including the *deleted in colorectal cancer (DCC)* gene, *eukaryotic initiation factor 2B (eIF2B2)*, *placental growth factor (PGF)*, and *protein tyrosine phosphatase, receptor type D (PTPRD)*. Strengths of this study include the very large sample size but our measure of mood instability may be limited by the use of a single question. Overall, this work suggests a polygenic basis for mood instability. This simple measure can be obtained in very large samples; our findings suggest that doing so may offer the opportunity to illuminate the fundamental biology of mood regulation.

## Introduction

Mood instability is a common clinical feature of affective and psychotic disorders, particularly major depressive disorder (MDD), bipolar disorder (BD) and schizophrenia<sup>1</sup>. It may also be relatively common in the general population, estimated to affect around 13% of individuals<sup>2</sup>. As a dimensional psychopathological trait, it is potentially a useful construct in line with the Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) approach<sup>3</sup>. Mood instability may be of fundamental importance for understanding the pathophysiology of MDD and BD, as well as conditions such as borderline personality disorder, anxiety disorders, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and psychosis<sup>4</sup>. This trait is reported by 40-60% of individuals with MDD<sup>5</sup> and is recognised as part of the prodromal stage of BD<sup>6</sup>. In established BD, it is a clinical feature which independently predicts poor functional outcome<sup>7</sup>. Furthermore, general population twin studies suggest that an additive genetic influence accounts for 40% of the variance in measures of affect intensity and 25% of the variance in affective liability<sup>8</sup>.

Population-based studies such as the Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey (APMS) have defined mood instability based on responses to a single question, while clinical studies have made use of more detailed rating scales<sup>4</sup>. However, there is a lack of consensus about how best to measure and classify mood instability, and none of the currently available instruments adequately capture intensity, speed and frequency of affective change, or physiological and behavioural correlates. A recent systematic review proposed that mood instability be defined as “rapid oscillations of intense affect, with a difficulty in regulating these oscillations or their behavioural consequences”<sup>9</sup>. Applying this definition will require the future development and validation of a multidimensional assessment of mood instability, which is currently not available.

Within the UK Biobank population cohort of over 0.5 million individuals<sup>10</sup>, the baseline assessment interview contained a question of relevance to mood instability, specifically: *“Does your mood often go up and down?”* This is similar to the question for mood instability used within the APMS (*“Do you have a lot of sudden mood changes, suffered over the last several years?”*). Hypothesizing that this

simple question taps into pathological mood instability, we predicted it would be more commonly endorsed by individuals within UK Biobank with MDD and BD, compared to individuals with no psychiatric disorder. Moreover, under the hypothesis that this trait has cross-disorder pathophysiological relevance, we predicted that a genome-wide association study (GWAS) would identify shared genetic liability to mood instability and risk for psychiatric disorders in which disordered mood is a feature, including MDD, BD, schizophrenia, ADHD, anxiety disorder and PTSD. Given the size of the sample, we also aimed to identify loci associated with this measure of mood instability.

## **Materials and methods**

### **Sample**

UK Biobank is a large cohort of more than 502,000 United Kingdom residents, aged between 40 and 69 years<sup>10</sup>. The aim of UK Biobank is to study the genetic, environmental and lifestyle factors that cause or prevent disease in middle and older age. Baseline assessments occurred over a four-year period, from 2006 to 2010, across 22 United Kingdom (UK) centres. These assessments were comprehensive and included social, cognitive, lifestyle, and physical health measures. For the present study, we used the first genetic data release based on approximately one third of UK Biobank participants. Aiming to maximise homogeneity, we restricted the sample to those who reported being of white UK ancestry (around 95% of the sample).

UK Biobank obtained informed consent from all participants and this study was conducted under generic approval from the NHS National Research Ethics Service (approval letter dated 13 May 2016, Ref 16/NW/0274) and under UK Biobank approvals for application #6553 “Genome-wide association studies of mental health” (PI Daniel Smith).

### **Mood instability phenotype**

As part of the baseline assessment, UK Biobank participants completed the 12 items of the neuroticism scale from the Eysenck Personality Questionnaire-Revised Short Form (EPQ-R-S)<sup>11</sup>. One of these items assesses mood instability, namely: *"Does your mood often go up and down?"* Participants responding 'yes' to this question were considered to be cases of mood instability and those responding 'no' were considered controls. From the control sample, we excluded those who reported being on psychotropic medication, and those who reported a physician diagnosis of psychiatric disorder (including MDD, BD, anxiety/panic attacks, 'nervous breakdown', schizophrenia and deliberate self-harm/suicide attempt).

After quality control steps (detailed below) and exclusions (3,679 participants responded 'don't know' and 211 responded 'prefer not to say'), the final sample for genetic analysis comprised 53,525 cases of mood instability and 60,443 controls. Mood instability cases were younger than controls (mean age 55.8 years (SD=8.05) versus 57.7 years (SD=7.74);  $p < 0.0001$ ) and had a greater proportion of females (55.5% versus 49.6%;  $p < 0.0001$ ).

### **Genotyping and imputation**

In June 2015, UK Biobank released the first set of genotypic data for 152,729 UK Biobank participants. Approximately 67% of this sample was genotyped using the Affymetrix UK Biobank Axiom array (Santa Clara, CA, USA) and the remaining 33% were genotyped using the Affymetrix UK BiLEVE Axiom array. These arrays have over 95% content in common. Only autosomal data were available under the data release. Data were pre-imputed by UK Biobank as fully described in the UK Biobank interim release documentation<sup>12</sup>. Briefly, after removing genotyped SNPs that were outliers, or were multiallelic or of low frequency (minor allele frequency (MAF)  $< 1\%$ ), phasing was performed using a modified version of SHAPEIT2 and imputation was carried out using IMPUTE2 algorithms, as implemented in a C++ platform for computational efficiency<sup>13, 14</sup>. Imputation was based upon a merged reference panel of 87,696,888 biallelic variants on 12,570 haplotypes constituted from the 1000 Genomes Phase 3 and UK10K haplotype panels<sup>15</sup>. Variants with MAF  $< 0.001\%$  were excluded from the imputed marker set.

Stringent quality control before release was applied by the Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics, as described in UK Biobank documentation<sup>16</sup>.

## **Statistical analyses**

### Quality control and association analyses

Before all analyses, further quality control measures were applied. Individuals were removed based on UK Biobank genomic analysis exclusions (Biobank Data Dictionary item #22010), relatedness (#22012: genetic relatedness factor; a random member of each set of individuals with KING-estimated kinship coefficient  $>0.0442$  was removed), gender mismatch (#22001: genetic sex), ancestry (#22006: ethnic grouping; principal component (PC) analysis identified probable Caucasians within those individuals who were self-identified as British and other individuals were removed from the analysis), and quality control failure in the UK BiLEVE study (#22050: UK BiLEVE Affymetrix quality control for samples and #22051: UK BiLEVE genotype quality control for samples). A sample of 113,968 individuals remained for further analyses. Of these, 53,525 were classed as cases and 60,443 were classified as controls. Genotype data were further filtered by removal of SNPs with Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium  $P < 10^{-6}$ , with MAF  $< 0.01$ , with imputation quality score  $< 0.4$  and with data on  $< 90\%$  of the sample after excluding genotype calls made with  $< 90\%$  posterior probability, after which 8,797,848 variants were retained.

Association analysis was conducted in PLINK<sup>17</sup> using logistic regression under a model of additive allelic effects with sex, age, genotyping array and the first 8 PCs (Biobank Data Dictionary items #22009.01 to #22009.08) as covariates. Sex and age were included as covariates because cases and controls differed significantly on these measures. Genetic PCs were included to control for hidden population structure within the sample, and the first 8 PCs, out of 15 available in the Biobank, were selected after visual inspection of each pair of PCs, taking forward only those that resulted in multiple clusters of individuals after excluding individuals self-reporting as being of non-white British ancestry (Biobank

Data Dictionary item #22006). Overall, population structure had little impact on mood instability status. The threshold for genome-wide significance was  $p < 5.0 \times 10^{-8}$ .

#### Heritability and genetic correlation between mood instability and psychiatric phenotypes

We applied Linkage Disequilibrium Score Regression (LDSR)<sup>18</sup> to the GWAS summary statistics to estimate SNP heritability ( $h^2_{\text{SNP}}$ ). Genetic correlations between mood instability and MDD, BD, schizophrenia, ADHD, anxiety disorder and PTSD were also evaluated using LDSR<sup>19</sup> (with unconstrained intercept), a process that corrects for potential sample overlap without relying on the availability of individual genotypes<sup>18</sup>. For the MDD, BD, schizophrenia, ADHD, anxiety disorder and PTSD phenotypes, we used GWAS summary statistics provided by the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (<http://www.med.unc.edu/pgc/>)<sup>20-25</sup>. Note that for the purposes of these genetic correlation analyses we re-ran the GWAS of mood instability excluding from the cases those 9,865 participants who reported being on psychotropic medication, or who self-reported psychiatric disorder (MDD, BD, anxiety/panic attacks, 'nervous breakdown', schizophrenia and deliberate self-harm/suicide attempt). This secondary GWAS output (rather than the primary GWAS reported below) was used for the genetic correlation calculations and for polygenic risk score analyses, the rationale being that this was a more conservative approach which would avoid genetic correlations between mood instability and MDD/BD/schizophrenia/ADHD/anxiety disorders/PTSD being driven by a subset of individuals with psychiatric disorder.

#### Polygenic risk analysis of MDD, BD and schizophrenia as predictors of mood instability

Profile risk scores (PRS) were created using the output of the PCG MDD 29 of 32 cohort GWAS (supplied by the MDD working group of the PGC, <http://www.med.unc.edu/pgc/pgc-workgroups>), BD GWAS<sup>20</sup> and schizophrenia GWAS<sup>21</sup>. Five profile scores were created for each psychiatric phenotype using p-value cut offs of  $p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ,  $p < 0.1$ , and  $p < 0.5$ , with the exception of MDD for which there were no genome wide significant SNPs. Ambiguous SNPs, indels (insertion/deletion mutations) and SNPs with an imputation score of less than 0.8 were removed. LD was performed via PLINK on a random sample of 10,000 individuals using an  $r^2 > 0.05$  in a 250kb window. SNPs were

clumped into sets and filtered, selecting the SNP with the lowest p-value from each set. In the event that 2 or more SNPs from a set had the same p-value, the SNP with the largest beta coefficient was used. PLINK was also used to calculate the profile scores to produce a per-allele weighted score with no mean imputation.

#### Profile risk scores (PRS) modelling

Only those subjects who were used for the genetic correlations analyses were used in the PRS analyses (that is, PRS analyses also excluded individuals in UK Biobank with psychiatric disorder). Modelling was performed in R (version 3.1.2) using the glm function. Full sample and age-stratified analysis models were adjusted for age, sex, chip and PGCs 1-8, whereas sex-stratified analysis was not adjusted for sex. Scores were then split into deciles using the ntile function of the dplyr package. Model Nagelkerke  $r^2$  was calculated using the fmsb package.

## **Results**

### **Mood instability in MDD and BD within UK Biobank**

In previous work we have identified individuals within UK Biobank with a probable diagnosis of mood disorder, including cases of MDD (sub-divided into single episode MDD, recurrent moderate MDD and recurrent severe MDD) and BD, as well as non-mood disordered controls<sup>26</sup>. These classifications were independent of response to the mood instability question or other questions from the EPQ-R-S. For the group of participants who could be classified in this way, we assessed the proportion with mood instability within each mood disorder category. All mood disorder groups had a significantly greater proportion of individuals with mood instability compared with the control group (Table 1), in which the prevalence was 35.3%. This proportion was highest in the BD group (74.0%) followed by the three MDD groups (71.7% for recurrent severe MDD, 64.2% for recurrent moderate MDD and 43.7% for single episode MDD). There were too few UK Biobank participants with a reliable classification of



schizophrenia, ADHD, anxiety disorder or PTSD to allow for an assessment of the prevalence of mood instability in these groups.

### **GWAS of mood instability**

The mood instability GWAS results are summarised in Figure 1 (Manhattan plot), Figure 2 (QQ plot) and Table 2 (genome-wide significant loci associated with mood instability). Regional plots are provided in Figures 3a-3d.

Overall, the GWAS data showed modest deviation in the test statistics compared with the null ( $\lambda_{GC} = 1.13$ ); this was negligible in the context of sample size ( $\lambda_{GC} 1000 = 1.002$ ). LDSR suggested that deviation from the null was due to a polygenic architecture in which  $h^2_{SNP}$  accounted for approximately 8% of the population variance in mood instability (observed scale  $h^2_{SNP} = 0.077$  (SE 0.007)), rather than inflation due to unconstrained population structure (LD regression intercept = 0.998 (SE 0.009)).

We observed four independent genomic loci exhibiting genome-wide significant associations with mood instability (Figure 1, Table 2 and Figures 3a-d), on chromosome eight (index SNP rs7829975; *CLDN23* and *MFHAS1*), chromosome nine (index SNP rs10959826; *PTPRD*), chromosome 14 (index SNP rs397852991; *LTBP2*, *AREL1*, *FCF1*, *YLPM1*, *PROX2*, *DLST*, *RPS6KL1*, *PGF*, *EIF2B2* and *MLH3*) and chromosome 18 (index SNP rs8084280; *DCC*). In total, there were 111 genome-wide significant SNPs across all loci. Given the functional alleles that drive association signals in GWAS may not affect the nearest gene, we use the above gene names to provide a guide to location rather than to imply that altered function or expression of those genes are the sources of the association signals.

We also repeated this GWAS for males and females separately (supplementary material Figure S1 and Figure S2) and for the sample stratified according to median age (age 58 and below, and age 59 and above; supplementary material Figure S3 and Figure S4). No genome-wide significant loci were observed from these stratified analyses, possibly because of reduced power, apart from the retention

of a single genome-wide significant finding at rs8084280 on chromosome 18 (the *DCC* gene) for males only (supplementary material Figure S1).

Within supplementary materials, we also present the results of the secondary GWAS of mood instability which excluded 9,865 participants with a psychiatric disorder (supplementary table S1). This GWAS was used to assess for genetic correlation between mood instability and MDD, BD, schizophrenia, ADHD, anxiety disorders and PTSD. Supplementary table S1 shows that the risk allele frequencies (RAFs) of the index SNPs within the four genome-wide significant loci from the primary GWAS were very similar to the RAFs for these same SNPs within this secondary GWAS: for rs7829975 the RAF was 0.516 versus 0.523; for rs10959826 it was 0.785 versus 0.789; for rs397852991 it was 0.606 versus 0.673; and for rs8084280 it was 0.508 versus 0.514). However, it should be noted that, perhaps due to a loss of power from excluding 9,865 individuals, only one of these four loci retained genome-wide significance (rs7829975 on chromosome 8).

#### **Genetic correlation of mood instability with MDD, schizophrenia, BD, ADHD, anxiety disorder and PTSD**

We identified strong genetic correlation between mood instability and MDD ( $r_g=0.60$ ,  $SE=0.07$ ,  $p=8.95 \times 10^{-17}$ ) and a smaller, but significant, correlation between mood instability and both schizophrenia ( $r_g=0.11$ ,  $SE=0.04$ ,  $p=0.01$ ) and anxiety disorders ( $r_g=0.28$ ,  $SE=0.14$ ,  $p=0.04$ ) (Table 3). We did not find significant genetic overlap between mood instability and BD ( $r_g=0.01$ ,  $SE=0.05$ ,  $p=0.27$ ), ADHD ( $r_g=0.14$ ,  $SE=0.11$ ,  $p=0.18$ ) or PTSD ( $r_g=0.33$ ,  $SE=0.17$ ,  $p=0.06$ ).

#### **Polygenic risk score analysis of MDD, BD and schizophrenia as predictors of mood instability**

Using the PRS approach, both MDD and schizophrenia had significant positive correlations with mood instability status (for MDD at  $p<0.5$  PRS threshold:  $OR=1.029$ ,  $95\%CI=1.02-1.033$ ,  $r^2=0.023$ ,  $p=1.00 \times 10^{-34}$  and for schizophrenia at  $p<0.1$  PRS threshold:  $OR=1.009$ ,  $95\%CI=1.005-1.014$ ,  $r^2=0.021$ ,  $p=6.71 \times 10^{-05}$ ) (supplementary material Table S2). There was no evidence of an association between PRS for BD and mood instability. This finding of a positive correlation between PRS for MDD and PRS

for schizophrenia with mood instability status (and no such correlation for BD PRS) was consistent across additional analyses stratified for sex and age (supplementary material Tables S3-S6).

## Discussion

We have identified four independent loci associated with mood instability within a large population cohort, in what is to date the only GWAS of this phenotype. We also identified a SNP-based heritability estimate for mood instability of approximately 8%, and a strong genetic correlation between mood instability and MDD, suggesting substantial genetic overlap between mood instability and vulnerability to MDD. There was also a small but significant genetic correlation between mood instability and schizophrenia and between mood instability and anxiety disorders, but no significant genetic correlation with BD, ADHD or PTSD. Polygenic risk score analyses found a positive correlation between both MDD and schizophrenia and mood instability status but this was not the case for BD.

The strong genetic correlation between mood instability and MDD is of interest because it is consistent with the hypothesis that at least part of the pathophysiology of MDD might include a reduced capacity to effectively regulate affective states. In support of this is evidence that individuals with MDD tend to have maladaptive responses to intense emotions, responding with worry, rumination and self-criticism, which can then exacerbate negative emotional states<sup>27</sup>. This maladaptive pattern of responses is also consistent with our finding of a small but significant genetic correlation between mood instability and both anxiety disorder and schizophrenia.

The lack of genetic correlation between mood instability and BD was unexpected, given that mood instability is considered a core deficit in BD<sup>4</sup> and was more common in our BD cases than MDD cases. Similarly, a genetic correlation between mood instability, ADHD and PTSD might have been anticipated. This lack of correlation between mood instability and both BD/ADHD/PTSD is difficult to account for but might be explained by the relatively under-powered nature of the BD, ADHD and PTSD

GWAS analyses, compared to the analyses used for MDD and schizophrenia. It is worth noting that, although not significant, the magnitude of the genetic correlation between mood instability and ADHD was 0.14. Similarly, the genetic correlation between mood instability and PTSD was not significant but had a magnitude of 0.33. It is well documented that MDD occurs more commonly in females than in males and it is possible that mood instability may be of greater relevance as a cross-cutting phenotype for women compared to men. We therefore carried out a GWAS of mood instability for males and females separately (supplementary material Figure S1 and Figure S2). These stratified analyses found no genome-wide significant loci for females and only one genome-wide significant locus for males (the previously identified locus on chromosome 18). Although these analyses had reduced power, they suggest that there is no consistent difference in the genetic architecture of mood instability between the sexes. Similarly, we carried out GWAS stratified by age, for those in the sample at or below the median age of 58 and for those above age 58 (supplementary material Figure S3 and Figure S4). These age-stratified analyses did not identify any genome-wide significant loci.

It is not possible to be certain which of the genes within associated loci are likely to be most relevant to the pathophysiology of mood instability but several genes of interest were identified. For example, the lead SNP within the associated region on chromosome 18 lies in intron 9 of the *DCC netrin 1 receptor* (originally named *deleted in colorectal cancer; DCC*) gene, with no other protein-coding genes for >500kb on either side (Figure 3d). *DCC* is the receptor for the guidance cue *netrin-1*, which has a central role in the development of the nervous system, including (but not limited to) the organization and function of mesocorticolimbic dopamine systems<sup>28</sup>. Recent studies have shown a range of human phenotypes associated with loss-of-function mutations in *DCC*, including agenesis of the corpus callosum, learning disabilities and mirror movements, all associated with a large-scale disruption of the development of commissural connectivity and lateralisation<sup>29, 30</sup>. Manitt and colleagues have identified that *DCC* has a role in regulating the connectivity of the medial prefrontal cortex during adolescence and found that *DCC* expression was elevated in the brain tissue of antidepressant-free subjects who committed suicide<sup>31</sup>. This suggests a possible role for *DCC* variants in increasing

predisposition to mood instability and mood disorders, as well as related psychopathological phenotypes.

The associated region on chromosome 14 contains at least 10 candidate genes (Table 2 and Figure 3c). One of these is *eukaryotic initiation factor 2B (EIF2B2)*, mutations in which are known to cause a range of clinically heterogeneous leukodystrophies<sup>32</sup>. Reduced white matter integrity has been consistently associated with negative emotionality traits (such as harm avoidance, neuroticism and trait anxiety)<sup>33</sup>, as well as with MDD and BD<sup>34</sup>. It is therefore possible that variation in *EIF2B2* may have a role in mood instability.

Another gene within the associated region on chromosome 14 is *placental growth factor (PGF)*, a member of the *angiogenic vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)* family<sup>35,36</sup>, which is expressed at high levels in the placenta and thyroid<sup>37</sup>. *PGF* has a wide range of functions, including embryonic thyroid development<sup>38</sup> and immune system function<sup>39, 40</sup>, as well as a role in atherosclerosis, angiogenesis in cancer, cutaneous delayed-type hypersensitivity, obesity, rheumatoid arthritis and pre-eclampsia<sup>39, 41-44</sup>. *PGF* may be of interest because of the long-established association between thyroid dysfunction and both MDD and BD<sup>45</sup>, along with the recent observation that pre-eclampsia may be a marker for the subsequent development of mood disorders<sup>46</sup>.

Also of interest is the finding that the gene for *protein tyrosine phosphatase, receptor type D (PTPRD)* lies within 1Mb of the associated region on chromosome 9 (Figure 3b). *PTPRD* encodes a receptor-type protein tyrosine phosphatase known to be expressed in brain and with an organising role at a variety of synapses, including those that play a role in synaptic plasticity<sup>47</sup>. As such, it may have a role in a broad range of psychopathology.

Two of the genomic loci associated with mood instability (on chromosomes eight and nine) overlap with loci found to be associated with neuroticism in a recent GWAS and meta-analysis which combined data from the UK Biobank cohort, the Generation Scotland cohort, and a cohort from the Queensland

Institute of Medical Research<sup>48</sup>. The neuroticism study made use of scores on the 12-item EPQ-R-S questionnaire, of which one of the questions was the mood instability question used in the present study. This overlap in findings suggests that mood instability is a key component of neuroticism as defined by the EPQ-R-S and that at least some of the gene variants implicated in mood instability are likely to contribute to the broader phenotype of neuroticism. We did not assess for genetic correlation between mood instability and neuroticism using LDSR because both GWAS outputs were predominantly from the same UK Biobank sample. **Strengths and limitations**

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first reported GWAS of mood instability. It has enabled objective estimates of heritability and genetic correlation with important psychiatric disorders to be made for the first time. In the future, genotyping data for the full UK Biobank sample (502,000 participants) will be available. This increased sample size may identify larger estimates of shared variance between mood instability and psychiatric disorders.

Some important limitations of this work are acknowledged. The mood instability phenotype used was based on response to a single-item question (*"Does your mood often go up and down?"*) which may be an imperfect measure of mood instability. Approximately 44% of the whole UK Biobank cohort answered 'yes' to this question, a much larger proportion than the 13% of participants classified as having mood instability within the UK APMS<sup>2</sup>. This may be because the assessment of mood instability in the APMS was based on a slightly different question (*"Do you have a lot of sudden mood changes"*) and because respondents had to additionally report that they *"suffered this symptom over the last several years"*. Clearly, a potential limitation of self-report is the possibility of responder bias and, further, a more complete and objectively-assessed measure of mood instability would have been preferable. However, this was not available to us in the UK Biobank phenotype dataset and is unlikely to be feasible to collect within a population cohort of this size.

## **Conclusions**

Despite a recognition that mood instability is likely to be an important phenotype underpinning a range of psychiatric disorders - particularly mood disorders<sup>4</sup> - there has to date been very little work on its neural correlates. Early investigations tentatively suggest a role for altered function and/or connectivity of the amygdala<sup>49</sup> but this is an area which is currently under-developed. It is hoped that our findings will stimulate new research on mood instability, which may be a clinically useful and biologically valid trait that cuts across traditional diagnostic categories<sup>50</sup>.

## **Acknowledgements**

This research was conducted using the UK Biobank resource. UK Biobank was established by the Wellcome Trust, Medical Research Council, Department of Health, Scottish Government and Northwest Regional Development Agency. UK Biobank has also had funding from the Welsh Assembly Government and the British Heart Foundation. Data collection was funded by UK Biobank. JW is supported by the JMAS Sim Fellowship for depression research from the Royal College of Physicians of Edinburgh (173558). DJS is supported by an Independent Investigator Award from the Brain and Behaviour Research Foundation (21930) and a Lister Prize Fellowship (173096). AF is supported by an MRC Doctoral Training Programme Studentship at the University of Glasgow (MR/K501335/1). The work at Cardiff University was funded by Medical Research Council (MRC) Centre (G0800509) and Program Grants (G0801418). The funders had no role in the design or analysis of this study, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

## **Conflict of interest**

All authors declare no conflicts of interest. JPP is a member of UK Biobank advisory committee; this had no bearing on the study.



## References

1. Balbuena L, Bowen R, Baetz M, Marwaha S. Mood Instability and Irritability as Core Symptoms of Major Depression: An Exploration Using Rasch Analysis. *Frontiers in Psychiatry* 2016; **7**: 174.
2. Marwaha S, Parsons N, Flanagan S, Broome M. The prevalence and clinical associations of mood instability in adults living in England: Results from the Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey 2007. *Psychiatry Research* 2013; **205**(3): 262-268.
3. Cuthbert B, Insel, T. Toward the future of psychiatric diagnosis: the seven pillars of RDoC. *BMC Medicine* 2013; **11**(1): 126.
4. Broome MR, Saunders KEA, Harrison PJ, Marwaha S. Mood instability: significance, definition and measurement. *The British Journal of Psychiatry* 2015; **207**(4): 283-285.
5. Marwaha S, Gordon-Smith, K., Broome, M., Briley, P. M., Perry, A., Forty, L., Craddock, N., Jones, I., Jones, L. Affective instability, childhood trauma and major affective disorders. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 2016; **190**: 764-771.
6. Howes OD, Lim, S., Theologos, G., Yung, A. R., Goodwin, G. M., McGuire, P. A comprehensive review and model of putative prodromal features of bipolar affective disorder. *Psychological Medicine* 2011; **41**(8): 1567-1577.
7. Strejilevich SA, Martino, D. J., Murru, A., Teitelbaum, J., Fassi, G., Marengo, E., Igoa, A., Colom, F. Mood instability and functional recovery in bipolar disorders. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica* 2013; **128**(3): 194-202.
8. Coccaro EF, Ong AD, Seroczynski AD, Bergeman CS. Affective intensity and lability: Heritability in adult male twins. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 2012; **136**(3): 1011-1016.
9. Marwaha S, He, Z., Broome, M., Singh, S. P., Scott, J., Eyden, J., Wolke, D. How is affective instability defined and measured? A systematic review. *Psychological Medicine* 2014; **44**(9): 1793-1808.
10. Sudlow C, Gallacher, J., Allen, N., Beral, V., Burton, P., Danesh, J., Downey, P., Elliott, P., Green, J., Landray, M., Liu, B., Matthews, P., Ong, G., Pell, J., Silman, A., Young, A., Sprosen, T., Peakman, T., Collins, R. UK Biobank: An Open Access Resource for Identifying the Causes of a Wide Range of Complex Diseases of Middle and Old Age. *PLoS Med* 2015; **12**(3): e1001779.
11. Eysenck SBG, Eysenck HJ, Barrett P. A revised version of the psychoticism scale. *Personality and Individual Differences* 1985; **6**(1): 21-29.

12. UK Biobank. Genotype imputation and genetic association studies of UK Biobank, Interim Data Release, 11 September 2015. Available at. 2015.
13. Delaneau O, Zagury J-F, Marchini J. Improved whole-chromosome phasing for disease and population genetic studies. *Nat Meth* 2013; **10**(1): 5-6.
14. Howie B, Marchini J, Stephens M. Genotype Imputation with Thousands of Genomes. *G3: Genes/Genomes/Genetics* 2011; **1**(6): 457.
15. Huang J, Howie B, McCarthy S, Memari Y, Walter K, Min JL, *et al.* Improved imputation of low-frequency and rare variants using the UK10K haplotype reference panel. *Nature Communications* 2015; **6**: 8111.
16. UK Biobank. Genotyping of 500,000 UK Biobank participants. Description of sample processing workflow and preparation of DNA for genotyping, 11 September 2015. Available at:.
17. Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MAR, Bender D, *et al.* PLINK: A Tool Set for Whole-Genome Association and Population-Based Linkage Analyses. *The American Journal of Human Genetics* **81**(3): 559-575.
18. Bulik-Sullivan BK, Loh, P-R., Finucane, H.K., Ripke, S., Yang, J., Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium., Patterson, N., Daly, M.K., Price, Alkes L., Neale, B.M. LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. *Nat Genet* 2015; **47**(3): 291-295.
19. Bulik-Sullivan B, Finucane, H.K., Anttila, V., Gusev, A., Day, F.R., Loh, P-R., ReproGen, Consortium., Psychiatric Genomics Consortium., Genetic Consortium for Anorexia Nervosa of the Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium., Duncan, L., Perry, J.R.B., Patterson, N., Robinson, E.B., Daly, M.J., Price, A.L., Neale, B.M. An atlas of genetic correlations across human diseases and traits. *Nat Genet* 2015; **advance online publication**.
20. Bipolar Disorder Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium. Large-scale genome-wide association analysis of bipolar disorder identifies a new susceptibility locus near ODZ4. *Nat Genet* 2011; **43**(10): 977-983.
21. Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium. Biological insights from 108 schizophrenia-associated genetic loci. *Nature* 2014; **511**(7510): 421-427.
22. Major Depressive Disorder Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium. A mega-analysis of genome-wide association studies for major depressive disorder. *Molecular Psychiatry* 2013; **18**(4): 10.1038/mp.2012.1021.

23. Neale BM, Medland SE, Ripke S, Asherson P, Franke B, Lesch K-P, *et al.* Meta-Analysis of Genome-Wide Association Studies of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry* 2010; **49**(9): 884-897.
24. Otowa T, Hek K, Lee M, Byrne EM, Mirza SS, Nivard MG, *et al.* Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies of anxiety disorders. *Mol Psychiatry* 2016; **21**(10): 1391-1399.
25. Duncan LE, Ratanatharathorn A, Aiello AE, Almli LM, Amstadter AB, Ashley-Koch AE, *et al.* Largest GWAS of PTSD (N=20[thinsp]070) yields genetic overlap with schizophrenia and sex differences in heritability. *Mol Psychiatry* 2017.
26. Smith DJ, Nicholl BI, Cullen B, Martin D, Ul-Haq Z, Evans J, *et al.* Prevalence and Characteristics of Probable Major Depression and Bipolar Disorder within UK Biobank: Cross-Sectional Study of 172,751 Participants. *PLoS ONE* 2013; **8**(11): e75362.
27. Mennin DS, Fresco DM. What, Me Worry and Ruminates About DSM-5 and RDoC? The Importance of Targeting Negative Self-Referential Processing. *Clinical psychology : a publication of the Division of Clinical Psychology of the American Psychological Association* 2013; **20**(3): 258-267.
28. Manitt C, Mimee A, Eng C, Pokinko M, Stroth T, Cooper HM, *et al.* The Netrin Receptor DCC Is Required in the Pubertal Organization of Mesocortical Dopamine Circuitry. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 2011; **31**(23): 8381-8394.
29. Jamuar SS, Schmitz-Abe K, D'Gama AM, Drottar M, Chan W-M, Peeva M, *et al.* Biallelic mutations in human DCC cause developmental split-brain syndrome. *Nat Genet* 2017; **advance online publication**.
30. Marsh APL, Heron D, Edwards TJ, Quartier A, Galea C, Nava C, *et al.* Mutations in DCC cause isolated agenesis of the corpus callosum with incomplete penetrance. *Nat Genet* 2017; **advance online publication**.
31. Manitt C, Eng C, Pokinko M, Ryan RT, Torres-Berrío A, Lopez JP, *et al.* dcc orchestrates the development of the prefrontal cortex during adolescence and is altered in psychiatric patients. *Translational Psychiatry* 2013; **3**(12): e338.
32. Horzinski L, Huyghe A, Cardoso M-C, Gonthier C, Ouchchane L, Schiffmann R, *et al.* Eukaryotic Initiation Factor 2B (eIF2B) GEF Activity as a Diagnostic Tool for EIF2B-Related Disorders. *PLoS ONE* 2009; **4**(12): e8318.
33. Mincic AM. Neuroanatomical correlates of negative emotionality-related traits: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neuropsychologia* 2015; **77**: 97-118.

34. Sexton CE, Mackay CE, Ebmeier KP. A Systematic Review of Diffusion Tensor Imaging Studies in Affective Disorders. *Biological Psychiatry* 2009; **66**(9): 814-823.
35. De Falco S. The discovery of placenta growth factor and its biological activity. *Exp Mol Med* 2012; **44**: 1-9.
36. Xie T, Stathopoulou MG, de Andres F, Siest G, Murray H, Martin M, *et al.* VEGF-related polymorphisms identified by GWAS and risk for major depression. *Transl Psychiatry* 2017; **7**: e1055.
37. Viglietto G, Maglione D, Rambaldi M, Cerutti J, Romano A, Trapasso F, *et al.* Upregulation of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and downregulation of placenta growth factor (PlGF) associated with malignancy in human thyroid tumors and cell lines. *Oncogene* 1995; **11**(8): 1569-1579.
38. Korevaar TI, Steegers EA, Schalekamp-Timmermans S, Ligthart S, de Rijke YB, Visser WE, *et al.* Soluble Flt1 and placental growth factor are novel determinants of newborn thyroid (dys)function: the generation R study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2014; **99**(9): E1627-1634.
39. Oura H, Bertocini J, Velasco P, Brown LF, Carmeliet P, Detmar M. A critical role of placental growth factor in the induction of inflammation and edema formation. *Blood* 2003; **101**(2): 560-567.
40. Luttun A, Tjwa M, Moons L, Wu Y, Angelillo-Scherrer A, Liao F, *et al.* Revascularization of ischemic tissues by PlGF treatment, and inhibition of tumor angiogenesis, arthritis and atherosclerosis by anti-Flt1. *Nat Med* 2002; **8**(8): 831-840.
41. Carmeliet P, Moons L, Luttun A, Vincenti V, Compernelle V, De Mol M, *et al.* Synergism between vascular endothelial growth factor and placental growth factor contributes to angiogenesis and plasma extravasation in pathological conditions. *Nat Med* 2001; **7**(5): 575-583.
42. Yoo SA, Yoon HJ, Kim HS, Chae CB, De Falco S, Cho CS, *et al.* Role of placenta growth factor and its receptor flt-1 in rheumatoid inflammation: a link between angiogenesis and inflammation. *Arthritis and rheumatism* 2009; **60**(2): 345-354.
43. Lijnen HR, Christiaens V, Scroyen I, Voros G, Tjwa M, Carmeliet P, *et al.* Impaired adipose tissue development in mice with inactivation of placental growth factor function. *Diabetes* 2006; **55**(10): 2698-2704.
44. Chappell LC, Duckworth S, Seed PT, Griffin M, Myers J, Mackillop L, *et al.* Diagnostic accuracy of placental growth factor in women with suspected preeclampsia: a prospective multicenter study. *Circulation* 2013; **128**(19): 2121-2131.

45. Bauer M, Goetz T, Glenn T, Whybrow PC. The Thyroid-Brain Interaction in Thyroid Disorders and Mood Disorders. *Journal of Neuroendocrinology* 2008; **20**(10): 1101-1114.
46. Bergink V, Laursen TM, Johannsen BM, Kushner SA, Meltzer-Brody S, Munk-Olsen T. Pre-eclampsia and first-onset postpartum psychiatric episodes: a Danish population-based cohort study. *Psychol Med* 2015; **45**(16): 3481-3489.
47. Takahashi H CA. Protein tyrosine phosphatases PTP $\delta$ , PTP $\sigma$ , and LAR: presynaptic hubs for synapse organization. . *Trends Neurosci* 2013; **36**: 522-534.
48. Smith DJ, Escott-Price V, Davies G, Bailey MES, Colodro-Conde L, Ward J, *et al*. Genome-wide analysis of over 106,000 individuals identifies 9 neuroticism-associated loci. *Mol Psychiatry* 2016; **21**(6): 749-757.
49. Broome MR, He Z, Iftikhar M, Eyden J, Marwaha S. Neurobiological and behavioural studies of affective instability in clinical populations: A systematic review. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews* 2015; **51**: 243-254.
50. Insel T. The NIMH Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) Project: Precision Medicine for Psychiatry. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 2014; **171**(4): 395-397.

## Figure legends

Figure 1. Manhattan plot of GWAS of mood instability in UK Biobank (n=113,968).

Figure 2. QQ plot for UK Biobank mood instability GWAS results.

Figures 3a-3d. Regional plots of the four genome-wide significant mood instability loci.

Figure 3a. Chromosome 8 region 8.5MB-8.8MB

Figure 3b. Chromosome 9 region 10MB – 12MB

Figure 3c. Chromosome 14 region 75MB-75.5MB

Figure 3d. Chromosome 18 region 50.5MB-51MB

Figure S1. Manhattan plot of GWAS of mood instability in UK Biobank (males only).

Figure S2. Manhattan plot of GWAS of mood instability in UK Biobank (females only).

Figure S3. Manhattan plot of GWAS of mood instability in UK Biobank (age 58 and below).

Figure S4. Manhattan plot of GWAS of mood instability in UK Biobank (age 59 and above).

**Table 1. Proportion of individuals with mood instability within mood disorder groups, compared to non-mood disordered controls.**

	<b>Mood instability N (%)</b>	<b>Pearson Chi- squared</b>	<b>P-value</b>
<b>BD</b>	1,180 (74.0)	$1.0 \times 10^3$	<0.001
<b>Recurrent MDD, severe</b>	6,303 (71.7)	$4.5 \times 10^3$	<0.001
<b>Recurrent MDD, moderate</b>	9,509 (64.2)	$4.4 \times 10^3$	<0.001
<b>Single episode MDD</b>	3,403 (43.7)	221.1	<0.001
<b>Non-mood disordered controls</b>	30,844 (35.3)	-	-

*BD bipolar disorder; MDD major depressive disorder*

**Table 2. Genome-wide significant loci associated with mood instability in UK Biobank.**

Index SNP	Chr	Position	Risk Allele/Other Allele	RAF	Beta (SE)	P-value	Associated region	Nearby Genes
rs7829975	8	8,548,117	A/T	0.516	0.051 (0.0085)	$1.8 \times 10^{-9}$	8,548,117 – 8,704,330	<i>CLDN23, MFHAS1</i>
rs10959826	9	11,459,410	G/A	0.785	0.060 (0.01)	$7.7 \times 10^{-9}$	11,459,410 – 11,701,596	<i>PTPRD</i>
rs397852991	14	75,268,920	C/CA	0.606	0.053 (0.0088)	$2.98 \times 10^{-9}$	75,144,618 – 75,359,229	<i>LTBP2, AREL1, FCF1, YLPM1, PROX2, DLST, RPS6KL1, PGF, EIF2B2, MLH3</i>
rs8084280	18	50,726,749	T/A	0.508	0.050 (0.0085)	$3.15 \times 10^{-9}$	50,635,119 – 50,893,647	<i>DCC</i>

*Shown are LD-independent genome-wide significant SNP associations for mood instability (sorted by genomic position according to NCBI Build 37). Chromosome (Chr) and Position denote the location of the index SNP. RAF = risk allele frequency. Beta = logistic regression coefficient for allele1, SE = standard error for Beta. P-value = the probability of getting the derived test statistic under the null hypothesis. The final column indicates protein-coding genes at the associated loci (see regional plots in supplementary information) or, where there are no genes at the associated locus, the nearest gene if less than 1 MB from the locus.*



**Table 3. Genetic correlation between mood instability and MDD, schizophrenia, BD and ADHD.**

Phenotype	R <sub>g</sub>	se	z	p	h <sup>2</sup> obs	h <sup>2</sup> obs se	h <sup>2</sup> int	h <sup>2</sup> int se	Gcov int	Gcov int se
MDD	0.6	0.07	8.32	8.95 x10 <sup>-17</sup>	0.11	0.01	0.99	0.008	-0.0019	0.006
Schizophrenia	0.11	0.04	2.48	0.01	0.25	0.01	1.03	0.01	0.0008	0.007
BD	0.01	0.05	0.27	0.27	0.12	0.01	1.02	0.008	0.0069	0.005
PTSD	0.33	0.17	1.9	0.06	0.10	0.004	0.99	0.007	0.0004	0.005
ADHD	0.14	0.11	1.35	0.18	0.4	0.15	1.01	0.01	0.0046	0.004
Anxiety disorder	0.28	0.14	2.04	0.04	0.06	0.03	1.00	0.01	0.01	0.005

R<sub>g</sub> = genetic correlation with mood instability; SE = standard error of the genetic correlation; Z = the test statistic; P= p-value. h<sup>2</sup> obs = heritability on the observed scale; h<sup>2</sup> obs SE = the standard error of the heritability; h<sup>2</sup> int = intercept of the heritability; h<sup>2</sup> int SE = standard error of the heritability intercept; Gcov int = intercept of the genetic covariance; Gcov int SE = standard error of the genetic covariance intercept. MDD = major depressive disorder; BD = bipolar disorder; PTSD = post-traumatic stress disorder; ADHD = attention deficit hyperactivity disorder.

## Supplementary material

**Table S1. Genome-wide significant loci associated with mood instability in UK Biobank (excluding 9,865 participants with psychiatric disorder)**

Index SNP	Chr	Position	Risk Allele/Other Allele	RAF	Beta (SE)	P-value
rs7829975	8	8,548,117	A/T	0.523	0.052 (0.009)	$5.32 \times 10^{-9}$
rs10959826	9	11,459,410	G/A	0.789	0.055 (0.01)	$4.77 \times 10^{-7}$
rs397852991	14	75,268,920	C/CA	0.673	0.045 (0.009)	$1.25 \times 10^{-6}$
rs8084280	18	50,726,749	T/A	0.514	0.047 (0.008)	$1.35 \times 10^{-7}$

*Shown are LD-independent genome-wide significant SNP associations for mood instability (sorted by genomic position according to NCBI Build 37). Chromosome (Chr) and Position denote the location of the index SNP. RAF = risk allele frequency. Beta = logistic regression coefficient for allele1, SE = standard error for Beta. P-value = the probability of getting the derived test statistic under the null hypothesis. The final column indicates protein-coding genes at the associated loci (see regional plots in supplementary information) or, where there are no genes at the associated locus, the nearest gene if less than 1 MB from the locus.*

Table S2 and Tables S3-S6 to follow....