

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository: <https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/106445/>

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Rasmann, Sergio, Sanchez Vilas, Julia , Glauser, Gaetan, Cartolano, Maria, Lempe, Janne, Tsiantis, Miltos and Pannell, John R. 2018. Pleiotropic effect of the Flowering Locus C on plant resistance and defence against insect herbivores. *Journal of Ecology* 106 (3) , pp. 1244-1255. 10.1111/1365-2745.12894

Publishers page: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12894>

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See <http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html> for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



1 **Pleiotropic effect of the *Flowering Locus C* on plant resistance and defence against insect**
2 **herbivores**

3

4 Sergio Rasmann^{1†*}, Julia Sánchez Vilas^{2†}, Gaetan Glauser³, Maria Cartolano⁴, Janne Lempe⁴,
5 Miltos Tsiantis⁴, John R. Pannell⁵

6

7 ¹Institute of Biology, University of Neuchâtel, Rue Emile-Argand 11, 2000 Neuchatel,
8 Switzerland

9 ²Organisms and Environment Division, Sir Martin Evans Building, Cardiff School of
10 Biosciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff CF10 3AX, UK

11 ³Neuchâtel Platform of Analytical Chemistry, University of Neuchatel, Rue Emile-Argand 11,
12 2000 Neuchatel, Switzerland

13 ⁴Department of Comparative Development and Genetics, Max-Planck-Institute for Plant
14 Breeding Research, Carl-von-Linne-Weg 10, 50829 Cologne - Germany

15 ⁵Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Lausanne, Biophore Building, 1015
16 Lausanne, Switzerland

17

18

19 ***Author for correspondence:** telephone: +41327182337, e-mail: sergio.rasmann@unine.ch

20 † co-first authorship

21

22 **Running title:** The *Flowering Locus C* influences plant defences and resistance against
23 herbivores

24

25

26 **Summary**

- 27 1. Plants vary widely in the extent to which they defend themselves against herbivores.
28 Because the resources available to plants are often site-specific, variation among sites
29 dictates investment into defence, and may reveal a growth-defence trade-off.
30 Moreover, plants that have evolved different life-history strategies in different
31 environments may situate themselves on this trade-off curve differently. For instance,
32 plants that flower later have a longer vegetative lifespan, and may accordingly defend
33 themselves differently than those that flower earlier.
- 34 2. Here, we tested whether late-flowering plants, with a longer vegetative lifespan, invest
35 more in defence than early-flowering plants, using recombinant genotypes of the
36 annual herb *Cardamine hirsuta* that differ in flowering time as a result of differences
37 in the activity of the major floral repressor *Flowering Locus C (FLC)*.
- 38 3. We found that variation at *FLC* was mainly responsible for regulating flowering time
39 and allocation to reproduction, but this partially depended on where the plants grew.
40 We also found that variation at *FLC* mediated plant allocation to defence, with late-
41 flowering plants producing higher levels of total glucosinolates and stress-related
42 phytohormones. Nonetheless, plant growth and the qualitative values of plant defence
43 and plant resistance against specialist herbivores were mainly independent from *FLC*.
- 44 4. *Synthesis* - Our results highlight pleiotropic effects associated with flowering-time
45 genes that might influence plant defence and plant-herbivore interactions.

46

47 **Keywords:** Altitudinal gradients, flowering time, glucosinolates, growth-defence trade-off
48 hypothesis, plant-herbivore interaction, *Pieris*, jasmonic acid.

49

50 **Introduction**

51 Plants have evolved a complex array of barriers to reduce damage imposed by
52 herbivore attack, ranging from the production of low-nutritional quality leaves to the
53 accumulation of toxic molecules in their tissues (Schoonhoven, van Loon & Dicke 2005).
54 Such toxins may be constitutively produced throughout life, or may be induced following
55 herbivore attack (Karban & Baldwin 1997). These defence responses are typically mediated
56 by stress-related phytohormones, including jasmonic (JA), salicylic (SA) and abscisic acids
57 (ABA), which tend to increase in concentration after insect or pathogen attack (Farmer,
58 Alméras & Krishnamurthy 2003; Schmelz *et al.* 2003; De Vos *et al.* 2005; Erb *et al.* 2009).

59 Despite several decades of work, we still lack a full understanding of the ecological
60 and evolutionary factors that contribute to plant defence-trait variation (Benderoth *et al.* 2006;
61 Futuyma & Agrawal 2009). Syndromes of plant defence depend on inherited functional traits,
62 biotic and abiotic conditions, and the geographical and historical contingencies affecting the
63 community (Futuyma & Agrawal 2009). As a consequence, several theories have been
64 advanced to explain relative plant investment in defence and subsequent herbivore
65 performance in terms of resource availability and trade-offs between defence and other traits,
66 such as growth or development time (Agrawal, Conner & Rasmann 2010).

67 Growth-defence trade-offs ultimately give rise to a negative correlation between the
68 ability to grow fast and the ability to defend well (Herms & Mattson 1992). Intra- and
69 interspecific comparisons revealed that inherently fast-growing genotypes have lower levels
70 of defence and generally experience higher levels of herbivory than inherently slow-growing
71 ones (e.g. Cates & Orians 1975; Chapin, Johnson & McKendrick 1980; Coley 1983; Coley,
72 Bryant & Chapin 1985; Fine, Mesones & Coley 2004; Endara & Coley 2011). In other words,
73 the environment selects among species or genotypes that grow at a particular rate, within the
74 context of investment trade-offs mediated by responses to herbivore damage (Fine, Mesones
75 & Coley 2004; Agrawal, Conner & Rasmann 2010). For annual plants, investment in growth
76 should be strongly associated with short generation times. Environments selecting for shorter
77 generations (i.e., early flowering) might therefore be expected to select for decreased
78 allocation to defence. If so, we might expect pleiotropic effects of genes that govern flowering
79 time on the expression of defence against herbivores. Pleiotropic effects in general have been
80 observed in several well-studied plant systems such as *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Swarup *et al.*
81 1999; Loudet *et al.* 2003; McKay, Richards & Mitchell-Olds 2003) and *Mimulus guttatus*
82 (Hall, Basten & Willis 2006) including evidence for intersection of flowering time and biotic
83 stress pathways (Winter 2011). However information on pleiotropic effects of flowering-time

84 genes on plant defence against herbivores in a natural setting remains scarce. This is an
85 important lacuna in our knowledge of how trade-offs between diverse, seemingly unrelated
86 traits shape plant phenotypic variation.

87 We tested for pleiotropic effects of genes influencing flowering time on herbivore
88 resistance/defence traits in *Cardamine hirsuta* (Brassicaceae), an annual plant that occurs
89 throughout Europe and Asia and that shows wide variation across a number of traits,
90 including flowering time (see below). *C. hirsuta* produces a particular class of secondary
91 metabolites, the glucosinolates, that are common in the Brassicaceae. Herbivory causes these
92 molecules to spill from cell vacuoles and come into contact with myrosinases, which
93 transform them into molecules that are poisonous or distasteful to generalist herbivores and,
94 to some extent, even to specialists (Bodenhausen & Reymond 2007). Because flowering-time
95 and defence chemistry are both well known in *C. hirsuta* (see below), and are both likely to
96 affect fitness through trade-offs with one another, the species provides an ideal model to seek
97 novel pleiotropic effects of genes affecting both life history and defence.

98 Our study involved growing genotypes of *C. hirsuta* with differences in flowering
99 time. In particular, we used near isogenic lines (see Methods) that differ at a genomic location
100 harbouring *Flowering Locus C (FLC)*, a floral integrator with major effect on flowering time
101 (Michaels & Amasino 1999; Cartolano *et al.* 2015a), and possible pleiotropic effects on other
102 processes including water use efficiency (McKay, Richards & Mitchell-Olds 2003), circadian
103 leaf movement (Swarup *et al.* 1999) biotic stress (Winter 2011), seed size (Alonso-Blanco
104 1999), seed dormancy (Alonso-Blanco 2003), germination (Chiang 2009), and nitrate content
105 (Loudet *et al.* 2003); see Fig. S1. We conducted our experiment on plants grown at four
106 contrasting sites that were likely to differ markedly in their growth conditions and interactions
107 with herbivores. We measured flowering time, biomass and resistance to herbivore damage.
108 We subsequently assayed levels of constitutive and induced glucosinolate production as part
109 of a feeding experiment using a sample of plants brought back to a glasshouse. We
110 specifically asked whether late-flowering plants differ in biomass or growth, whether they
111 have increased levels of glucosinolates and defensive phytohormones, and whether they are
112 more resistant to herbivory than early-flowering genotypes.

113

114 **Materials and Methods**

115 *Seed material*

116 The hairy bittercress *Cardamine hirsuta* (Brassicaceae) is an annual plant native to
117 Asia and Europe (Barkoulas *et al.* 2008; Canales *et al.* 2010; Hay & Tsiantis 2010; Hay *et al.*

118 2014). In the Swiss Alps, where we conducted our study, *C. hirsuta* preferentially grows in
119 lowlands, between about 300 and 700 m above sea level (asl), but it may also occur at
120 altitudes up to 1500 m asl (Rasmann S., personal observations, www.infoflora.ch). In the
121 field, *C. hirsuta* can be heavily damaged by a variety of herbivores including, for instance,
122 caterpillar species in the family Pieridae (Pellissier *et al.* 2016). To test whether adaptations in
123 flowering time affect plant resistance and defence, we used seeds from the two *C. hirsuta* wild
124 strains Ox and Wa (Oxford and Washington, Hay *et al.* 2014) that differ in their flowering
125 time (early-flowering vs. late-flowering, respectively), as well as seeds from two near
126 isogenic lines (NILs) of *C. hirsuta* (NIL_Ox and NIL_Wa). These two NILs are genetically
127 nearly identical across the genome, but differ in a genomic region of 1.3 Mbp comprising the
128 *Flowering Locus C (FLC)*, a major regulator of flowering responses to seasonal
129 environmental factors (Chiang *et al.* 2009; Cartolano *et al.* 2015a). The NILs were generated
130 from an F1 intercross of Ox and Wa accessions, followed by repeated backcrossing with the
131 Ox accession, with extensive genotyping (Cartolano *et al.* 2015a). The NIL_Ox should be
132 essentially the same as Oxford, whilst the NIL_Wa has an introgressed allele from
133 Washington at the *FLC* locus (Table 4).

134

135 *Experimental design*

136 Seeds of the four genotypes of *C. hirsuta* (the two wild strains, and the two
137 corresponding NILs) were cold-stratified for 7 days, sown and germinated in the glasshouse at
138 the University of Lausanne, Switzerland. On the 26th of July 2012, i.e., around one week after
139 germination, seedlings started to produce their first pair of true leaves, and they were
140 transplanted into plastic pots (13 cm in diameter), filled with a mixture of potting soil (Orbo-
141 2, Schweizer AG, Lausanne; Switzerland) and vermiculite (3:1). Four days later, they were
142 moved to four common gardens at sites in the Alps that differ in their altitudes (from about
143 400 m to 1800 m above sea level, See Fig. S2 in Supporting Information) and associated
144 growth conditions, especially temperature (Körner 2007). The sites were chosen both to
145 represent habitats where the study species grows (see above), as well as to investigate
146 phenotypic variation in *C. hirsuta* in response to contrasting environments. A total of 35
147 replicates of each genotype were placed at each site. Plants were watered *ad libitum* in order
148 to avoid extreme desiccation in periods of hot weather, and they were allowed to grow for a
149 total of seven weeks in the field. Flowering time was recorded 14, 20 and 30 days after
150 establishment of the common gardens by scoring all plants of each genotype at each site at the
151 time of bolting (i.e., the production of flowering stems).

152 To measure natural herbivore damage, we randomly selected and marked 15 plants per
153 genotype at each site at the onset of the experiment and scored herbivore damage after seven
154 weeks on a percentage scale from 0 to 100%, with 5% increments. Visual estimation is both
155 rapid and cost-effective and provides a precise and accurate method for quantifying herbivory
156 (Johnson, Bertrand & Turcotte 2016).

157 After four weeks of growth, on the 30th August 2012, 10 plants were haphazardly
158 selected (excluding those that had been damaged by herbivores) at each site from each
159 genotype (i.e., 10 of the initial 35 plants per genotype at each site described above). These
160 plants were brought back to the glasshouse to be assayed for herbivory (see below).

161 Finally, after seven weeks of growth outside, when all plants were setting fruits, we
162 harvested the aboveground biomass of 12 plants, haphazardly selected from the remaining 25
163 plants at each site, to measure their reproductive effort, i.e., the ratio of reproductive dry mass
164 (i.e., flowering stems + fruits) to vegetative dry mass (i.e., rosette dry mass). Dry mass was
165 obtained by oven drying at 78°C for 4 days.

166

167 *Herbivory assay*

168 To measure plant resistance and defence induction, we performed an herbivory assay
169 on 10 haphazardly selected plants that were brought back to the glasshouse (on the 30th
170 August 2012, see above) from each genotype from all four sites. Plants were brought to the
171 glasshouse after four weeks of growth outside, and not later, to avoid losing too many plants
172 to herbivory. Once in the glasshouse (25/18°C, 60 % relative humidity, and a photoperiod
173 consisting of 14 h of daylight), we initiated the treatments as follows: seven plants per
174 genotype and site were inoculated with five first-instar larvae of the specialist *Pieris brassicae*
175 (Lepidoptera, Pieridae), whereas the remaining (undamaged) plants were later measured for
176 constitutive levels of secondary metabolites (N = 10 plants × 4 genotypes × 4 altitudes = 160
177 plants).

178 After a week of feeding, on the 7th September 2012, we assessed plant resistance
179 against caterpillar herbivory by measuring larval weight (i.e. resistance is a measure of insect
180 performance Karban & Baldwin 1997), after drying the larvae at 70°C for 48 hours.

181 Immediately after larval collection, two leaves per plant were collected in damaged (N=4
182 plants × 4 genotypes × 4 altitudes) and undamaged plants (N= 3 plants × 4 genotypes × 4
183 altitudes), weighed fresh, and frozen in liquid nitrogen in two separate tubes, one for the
184 measurement of glucosinolates, and the other for the measurement of phytohormones (see
185 below). Plant biomass was next measured by drying the aboveground biomass in an oven at

186 70°C for 48 hours. For each plant, we also visually scored damage on a percentage scale as
187 for the field survey, and transformed this value into mg of tissue consumed by the caterpillars
188 in terms of (percentage damage * plant biomass) / (100 – percentage damage). For this
189 experiment, we did not measure reproductive effort, as flowering had just commenced in most
190 individuals at the time of the herbivory assay.

191

192 *Leaf chemistry*

193 We measured plant defence in term of glucosinolate levels in the *C. hirsuta* genotypes
194 following the protocol of Glauser et al. (2012), with slight modifications. Briefly, about 15
195 mg of lyophilized and powder-ground leaf material was extracted in 2.0 mL of ice-cold
196 MeOH:water (70:30, v/v) by incubation at 80°C for 15 minutes. UHPLC-QTOFMS analyses
197 of 1 µL of extracted solution were performed on an Acquity UPLC™ (Waters), interfaced to a
198 Synapt G2 QTOF (Waters) with electrospray ionization. We found that five glucosinolates
199 (gluconapin, glucobrassicinapin, glucotropeolin, glucobrassicin and gluconasturtiin)
200 accounted for more than 99% of the total glucosinolate content in all samples of *C. hirsuta*.
201 These five glucosinolates were quantified as gluconapin equivalents using standard curves of
202 gluconapin.

203 For phytohormone analyses, we focused on measuring the major hormones involved
204 in the expression of defence against biotic attack: abscisic acid (ABA), jasmonic acid (JA),
205 jasmonoyl isoleucine (JA-Ile), and salicylic acid (SA)(Erb & Glauser 2010). JA and, in part,
206 ABA mainly mediate herbivore attack (Howe & Jander 2008), whereas SA mainly mediates
207 pathogen attack (Ton *et al.* 2002), and JA-Ile is directly involved in JA signalling (Katsir *et*
208 *al.* 2008). Other phytohormones such as ethylene have also been shown to affect resistance
209 against herbivore cross-talk with JA and ABA, but never directly linked to chewing herbivore
210 performance (Pieterse *et al.* 2009). Phytohormone accumulation in the healthy and damaged
211 plants was monitored according to Glauser et al. (2014). The extraction of phytohormones
212 was performed by grinding 200 mg of fresh leaves to a powder under liquid nitrogen and
213 mixing with 990 µL of extraction solvent (ethylacetate/formic acid, 99.5:0.5) and 10 µL of
214 internal standards (ISs; containing isotopically labelled hormones at a concentration of 100
215 ng/mL for d5-JA, d6-SA, d6-ABA, 13C6-JA-Ile) in a mixer mill at 30 Hz. After
216 centrifugation, re-extraction of the pellet with 500 µL of extraction solvent and evaporation of
217 the combined supernatants, the residue was re-suspended in 100 µL 70 % MeOH. 5 µL of the
218 solution was injected for UHPLC-MS/MS analysis, following Glauser et al. (2014). The final

219 concentration of the phytohormones was calculated for each sample using calibration curves
220 in which the ISs were present at the same concentrations as in the plant samples.

221

222 *Data analysis*

223 All statistical analyses were performed with R software, version 3.2.2 (R Development
224 Core Team 2015).

225 For the field survey, we assessed the effects of site, genotype, and their interactions
226 (fixed effects) on flowering time, reproductive effort and percentage natural herbivore
227 damage using two-way permutation ANOVAs (PERMANOVAs), accounting for
228 heteroscedasticity of the residuals using the *aovp* function in the package *lmPerm* (Wheeler
229 2010). We examined the mean differences among factors using Tukey's HSD post-hoc tests
230 by means of *TukeyHSD* function in R.

231 For the resistance bioassay, to determine whether herbivore treatment had influenced
232 the composition (i.e., identity and relative abundance) of glucosinolate and phytohormone
233 compounds, we used non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) implemented in the *vegan*
234 package in R (Oksanen *et al.* 2013). Differences in glucosinolates and phythormone
235 composition among genotypes, herbivore treatment and their interaction were tested using
236 PERMANOVA, using the *adonis* function in the package *vegan* in R (Oksanen *et al.* 2013).
237 The Bray–Curtis metric was used to calculate a dissimilarity matrix of all compounds among
238 samples for both the NMDS and PERMANOVA.

239 The effects of site, genotypes, herbivore treatment and all interactions on the total
240 amount of phytohormones and glucosinolates were assessed with three-way PERMANOVAs,
241 while the effects of site, genotype and their interactions on larval biomass, plant biomass were
242 assessed with two-way PERMANOVAs using the *aovp* function in the package *lmPerm*
243 (Wheeler 2010). We examined the mean differences among factors using Tukey's HSD.

244 Finally, we analysed the relationship between herbivore-induced glucosinolates (and
245 phytohormones, separately) and the data from the herbivore bioassay (larval mass, plant mass,
246 and tissue consumed) using the environmental fitting analysis (*envfit* function) on the NMDS
247 analysis of the chemical compounds. When applied to NMDS, the environmental fitting
248 analysis can estimate the strength of the correlation of maximal correlation between the
249 NMDS configuration and the environmental variable. This approach can be used to indicate
250 whether one or more variables (larval mass, plant mass, and tissue consumed in our case) are
251 associated with differences between samples (genotypes in our case), as represented in the
252 NMDS ordination. Differences in herbivore-induced phytohormones and glucosinolates

253 among genotypes were then visualized using a principal component analysis (PCA), and by
254 including plant biomass and plant tissue consumed as covariates, using the *prcomp* function in
255 R.

256

257 **Results**

258 *Flowering time, reproductive effort and natural herbivore damage*

259 Flowering time differed among genotypes in a site-specific manner (see genotype \times
260 site interaction, Table 1, Fig. 1A). Specifically, while there were no differences between the
261 genotypes at site 1, at sites 2, 3 and 4 the late-flowering genotypes (Wa and NIL_Wa) took an
262 average of 12 days longer to flower than the early-flowering genotypes (Ox and NIL_Ox)
263 (Fig. 1A).

264 Reproductive effort varied among genotypes and sites (Table 1, Fig. 1B). Overall,
265 early-flowering genotypes sharing the Ox *FLC* allele (Ox and NIL_Ox) allocated relatively
266 more to reproduction than late-flowering genotypes sharing the WA *FLC* allele (Wa and
267 NIL_Wa). However, the magnitude of those differences varied among sites (Fig. 1B).

268 We detected no effect of genotype on the extent to which plants were eaten in the field
269 (Table 1). However, herbivory levels differed among sites, with plants grown at lower-altitude
270 sites (1 and 2) showing the highest damage (8% and 13% damage per plant respectively),
271 while those at sites 3 and 4 experienced 7% damage (Table 1), independently of genotype
272 (Table 1).

273

274 *Plant defensive chemistry (glucosinolates and phytohormones)*

275 Across the four *C. hirsuta* genotypes, the five major glucosinolates (gluconapin,
276 glucobrassicin, glucotropaeolin, glucobrassicin, and gluconasturtiin) represented more
277 than 90% of the total glucosinolates found in this species (Fig. S3), a result similar to that
278 found by Pellissier et al. (2016). The PERMANOVA multivariate analysis showed that the
279 identity and abundance of individual glucosinolates differed among genotypes, sites, and
280 herbivore treatments (Table 2, Fig. S3, Fig. 2A). When looking at total glucosinolates, in the
281 absence of herbivory, Wa plants had the greatest constitutive level of glucosinolates (around
282 38% more than the other genotypes) (Table 3, Fig. 3A). However, herbivory induced a 22%
283 increase of the total content of glucosinolates in NIL_Wa, approaching similar levels to those
284 shown by Wa (Table 3 $G \times T$ interaction; Fig. 3A), and therefore showing an effect of *FLC*
285 on the total amount of glucosinolate production (Table 3). The composition and total content
286 of glucosinolates also varied across sites, depending on the herbivory treatment (see

287 significant herbivory by site interaction, Tables 2 and 3), with the lowest values of total
288 glucosinolates (30% less) found at site 4 for plants not exposed to *P. rapae* larva (Fig. 3A).

289 Similar to the glucosinolate analyses, we found a strong effect of genotype, site, and
290 herbivore treatment on phytohormonal composition (Table 2, Fig. S4, Fig. 2B). Overall, the
291 total level of phytohormones differed among genotypes (Table 3), with Wa and NIL_Wa
292 showing almost twice that shown by Ox and NIL_Ox (Fig. 3B). We also found an overall
293 phytohormonal induction, particularly mediated by high levels of SA, after herbivore feeding
294 (Table 3, Fig. 3B), and the total levels of phytohormones depended on site (Table 3), with
295 plants at site 2 having around half the phytohormones of those at site 4.

296

297 *Plant growth and plant resistance bioassay*

298 Overall, plant biomass differed among plant genotypes in a way that was similar
299 among sites (Table 3). As expected, plant growth tended to decline with altitude, except that
300 plants growing at site 2 grew least (Fig. S5). Site 2 was also the more sun-exposed site, a
301 situation that might have driven plants to experience more severe drought stress than plants
302 growing at the other sites. Differences in size between plant genotypes, however, were only
303 found between two late-flowering strains sharing the WA *FLC* allele: Wa plants were on
304 average 47% larger than NIL_Wa plants (Fig. S5). This result and the lack of differences
305 between the early and late genotypes (i.e., Ox vs. Wa, TukeyHSD: $p = 0.49$, and NIL_Ox vs
306 NIL_Wa, TukeyHSD: $p = 0.57$) suggest that plant size was largely independent of the *FLC*
307 allelic differences, and rather dependent on the Wa genetic background.

308 In the glasshouse, we noted a tendency for both the field site locality and plant
309 genotype to affect larval growth, though the result fell short of statistical significance (Table
310 3). Again, the difference in growth among genotypes was consistent among sites (i.e. no site
311 by genotype interaction, Table 3, Fig. 4). More specifically, larvae feeding on plants that grew
312 at site 2 (where the plants were also the smallest) were half the size of those feeding on plants
313 sampled at other sites (Fig. 4).

314 The environment-fitting analyses showed positive correlations among the defence
315 compounds and the bioassay data. For glucosinolates, both plant biomass and larval growth
316 significantly correlated with variation of compounds across genotypes ($R^2 = 0.41$, $p = 0.001$,
317 and $R^2 = 0.09$, $p = 0.01$, respectively), but not plant tissue eaten ($R^2 = 0.04$, $p = 0.145$). For
318 phytohormones, all three variables of plant biomass, larval growth, and tissue eaten, were
319 significantly correlated with variation among genotypes ($R^2 = 0.52$, $p = 0.001$, $R^2 = 0.19$, $p =$
320 0.01 , and $R^2 = 0.66$, $p = 0.001$, respectively). The PCA analysis of the glucosinolates and

321 phytohormones corroborates these findings (Fig. 5). First, the PCA highlights a clear
322 qualitative difference between Wa (i.e., genetic background Wa) and the other three
323 genotypes. This difference seems to be particularly driven by higher quantities of
324 glucobrassicin (GBC), and gluconapin (GNA) in Wa (Fig. 5A). Secondly, the PCA shows a
325 strong correlation between larval biomass and tissue consumed, and between larval biomass
326 and plant biomass. Finally, the strength of the individual glucosinolates arrows is almost
327 orthogonal to the larval mass, indicating little effect of glucosinolates on plant resistance
328 against *P. brassicae*. The PCA analysis of phytohormones (Fig. 5B) highlights a more
329 homogenous production across genotypes, and again an orthogonal effect of almost all
330 phytohormones to larval mass.

331

332 **Discussion**

333 We measured the effects of *FLC* on flowering time, and its potential pleiotropic
334 effects on plant biomass, plant defence and resistance against herbivores for plants grown at
335 different sites in the Alps. Variation at *FLC* was mainly responsible for regulating flowering
336 time and allocation to reproduction (fruits and seeds), but this partially depended on where the
337 plants grew. The flowering locus also indirectly mediated plant allocation to defence, with
338 late-flowering plants producing higher levels of total glucosinolates and stress-related
339 phytohormones. Nonetheless, plant growth and the qualitative values of plant defence and
340 plant resistance against specialist herbivores (i.e., as measured in terms of reduced growth
341 rates by the specialist herbivore, *P. rapae*) were mainly independent of the *FLC* locus (Fig.
342 6). Through its effects on plant growth and secondary metabolism, *FLC* is likely to affect
343 plant resistance against a guild of more generalist herbivores, which are more susceptible to
344 changes in glucosinolate levels.

345

346 *FLC, flowering time and G x E effects*

347 As expected, variation at the *FLC* locus affected flowering time (Michaels & Amasino
348 1999; Michaels *et al.* 2003). However, we observed important variation among sites in early-
349 and late-flowering genotypes, highlighting the influence of the environment on gene
350 expression in general (i.e., plasticity) (Kooke & Keurentjes 2012). In particular, differences in
351 flowering time between the genotypes depended on the site at which they were growing: at
352 site 1, the site at lowest altitude and likely the site offering the best conditions for *C. hirsuta*
353 growth, all genotypes began flowering within the interval of a week, whereas larger
354 differences between late and early flowering genotypes were apparent at the remaining sites

355 (lower amount of glucosinolates at site 4). Theory would suggest that the different
356 ontogenetic stages of plant growth at different altitudes might itself modify plant chemistry
357 (Barton & Koricheva 2010). Accordingly, high altitude-growing plants, due to a decreased,
358 temperature-mediated, development and a growth-defence trade-off, should produce more
359 glucosinolates. However, because we did not find this pattern, and because measurements
360 were taken when most plants had already started bolting, we could rule out a site-mediated
361 ontogenetic effect on plant defences.

362 Nonetheless, our results suggest that differences among plants brought about by variation
363 at *FLC* become more evident under more stressful conditions (e.g., colder and drier
364 conditions) (Mitchell-Olds & Schmitt 2006; Marais, Hernandez & Juenger 2013). Also,
365 variation expressed among genotypes growing at different sites was mainly attributable to
366 late-flowering genotypes (Wa and NIL_Wa), suggesting that a single-locus introgression may
367 alter the expression of phenotypic plasticity related to flowering time. Finally, it is worth
368 noting that *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants infested with different stains of pathogens generally
369 reduced their time to flowering (Korves & Bergelson 2003; Kazan & Lyons 2016). Therefore,
370 it might be that higher herbivore pressure (at sites 1 and 2) also stimulated a reduction in
371 flowering time, but this hypothesis requires further testing.

372 Additionally, because our results are based on NILs, where genes located within the
373 introgressed genomic region comprising *FLC* differ between the Ox and Wa strains (see
374 methods), it will also be important to validate our conclusions using genome editing
375 approaches to create strains where *FLC* is the only gene mutated in the Ox and Wa
376 backgrounds.

377

378 *Pleiotropic effects of FLC*

379 Variation at *FLC* also affected reproduction (fruit production) and total allocation to
380 defence, i.e., there were clear pleiotropic effects of *FLC*. The greater allocation to
381 reproduction found in the early-flowering genotypes can be related to an earlier flowering
382 time; at high altitude (where differences were also more apparent between genotypes), this
383 may be advantageous; allowing plants to flower and fully mature their fruits before the onset
384 of severe cold compromises their survival.

385 Variation at the *FLC* locus influenced the total production of glucosinolates, including the
386 induction response after herbivore damage, with a greater content of glucosinolates in the
387 late-flowering genotypes. The *FLC* locus also influenced the phytohormone composition and
388 production, with late-flowering genotypes showing greater levels of phytohormones than

389 early-flowering ones. Although JA is the most important phytohormone linked to plant
390 defence against herbivores, particularly induced by chewing herbivore damage (*i.e.* caterpillar
391 feeding here), we observed that the greatest differences between the genotypes were brought
392 about by salicylic acid (SA). In addition, SA was predominantly induced after herbivory
393 damage, despite being typically induced in response to piercing and sucking type of insect
394 herbivores. Nonetheless, SA is also an important phytohormone involved in regulation of
395 plant defence against a wide variety of herbivores besides piercing-suckers, and has been
396 found to induce several glucosinolates in several species (Kiddle, Doughty & Wallsgrove
397 1994; van Dam *et al.* 2003). SA induction by specialist herbivores such as *Pieris*, however,
398 merits further exploration, particularly in light of antagonistic cross-talk between SA and JA
399 (Thaler, Humphrey & Whiteman 2012).

400 Pleiotropy is common for genes involved in the control of flowering time; e.g., *FLC* has
401 been found to have an effect on the number of nodes and branches on the inflorescence
402 (Scarcelli *et al.* 2007), on leaf shape and development (Cartolano *et al.* 2015b) and bacterial
403 defence response (Winter 2011). Evidence also exists for pleiotropic effects of flowering time
404 on the circadian clock period (Swarup 1999), water use efficiency, seed size (Alonso-Blanco
405 1999), dormancy (Alonso-Blanco 2003), germination (Chian 2009) and nitrate content (Loudet
406 2003, McKay 2003). However, to our knowledge, this is the first report of pleiotropic effects
407 of a flowering-time locus on herbivore defence-related traits such as glucosinolate and
408 phytohormone production. Pleiotropic effects are thought to reflect functional and
409 developmental relationships among traits (Cheverud 2000). In this regard, the greater level of
410 constitutive defence may be related to a physiological trade-off (Agrawal, Conner & Rasmann
411 2010): plants that flower early allocate resources not only to growth but also to reproduction,
412 compromising allocation to defence. On the other hand, late-flowering genotypes need longer
413 to complete their life cycle, and we may therefore expect a greater level of constitutive
414 defence to increase their fitness in an environment with longer herbivore risk of attack.

415

416 *Effects of genetic background on growth and chemical defence*

417 We found no difference in biomass between the late and early genotypes at the time of
418 harvest, suggesting the absence of any clear size-threshold that might influence flowering
419 time. However, it has been suggested that differences in leaf development (not investigated
420 here) might influence resource allocation to seeds; early-flowering plants have leaves
421 progressing to adult shapes faster than late flowering plants, with more leaflets and potentially
422 a higher capacity to produce and transfer photosynthetic metabolites to flowers and fruits

423 (Cartolano *et al.* 2015b). We did find differences in plant size between the two late-flowering
424 genotypes, with the wild-type line (Wa) being greater than the near isogenic line (NIL-Wa),
425 pointing to a likely role for other genes in controlling plant growth in addition to *FLC* (Hay &
426 Tsiantis 2010; Cartolano *et al.* 2015a). Interestingly, the wild-type (Wa) also had the greatest
427 levels of constitutive glucosinolates, so that the genetic background at loci other than *FLC*
428 was clearly important for some of the variation we observed.

429

430 *Plant growth and resistance*

431 It is widely supposed that variation in defence traits is strongly governed by trade-offs
432 between growth and resistance (Huot *et al.*; Herms & Mattson 1992). In our experiment,
433 larger plants also had a greater level of constitutive defences (see above for Wa). In addition,
434 the level of defences increased with plant size, even though larger plants were also more
435 susceptible to attack by *P. brassicae*. This result, which is strongly driven by the slower
436 growth of plants at site 2, which were also the most resistant against *P. brassicae*, has two
437 plausible implications. First, it is possible that specialist herbivores might be less sensitive to
438 the outcome of a growth-defence trade-off than generalist herbivores. Indeed, glucosinolates
439 likely defend plants against generalist herbivores, but they may not harm, or might even
440 benefit, specialized herbivores such as *P. brassicae* used in this study (Ali & Agrawal 2012).
441 In addition, we found that *P. brassicae* larve feeding on plant that grew at site 2 gained
442 significantly less weight compared to the other sites. This result points to the interactive
443 effects between plant responses to abiotic stress (warm conditions in this case) and biotic
444 resistance (i.e. leaves of highly stressed plants became more unpalatable) (Rasmann, Alvarez
445 & Pellissier 2014). Second, it is possible that biomass alone might not be a good predictor for
446 measuring the postulated plant growth-defence trade off (Cipollini, Purrington & Bergelson
447 2003; Paul-Victor *et al.* 2010). For instance, latex production in milkweeds was also
448 positively correlated with plant growth, while the cost of cardenolide production was
449 observed only when plant growth was dissected into different components, such as relative
450 growth rate and net assimilation rate (Züst, Rasmann & Agrawal 2015). In our experiment, we
451 observed that late-flowering genotypes of *C. hirsuta* had higher overall levels of defence.
452 Thus, if resources are shifted towards the production of flowers, fruits and seeds, we might
453 expect to see a trade-off between reproduction and defence, which would have a negative
454 impact on both growth and allocation to defence.

455 Another way to view trade-offs between resistance and allocation to growth is to consider
456 their impact on herbivore avoidance. Plants with early maturation may, for example, avoid

457 herbivores that only arrive late in the season (Chew & Courtney 1991). Similarly, when plants
458 delay seed-set in favour of vegetative growth, divestment from immediate reproduction may
459 decrease seed predator loads (Janzen 1971). In the present case, a strategy of delayed
460 flowering may avoid early-fruit herbivore attack, because *P. brassicae* caterpillars tend to
461 move between leaves and flowers and fruits throughout their life while feeding (Mauricio &
462 Bowers 1990). Interestingly, it was observed that individuals of tarweed plants (*Madia*
463 *elegans*, Asteraceae), which display natural variation in their phenology, have two distinct
464 phenotypes, a late-season phenotype that also possesses glandular trichomes as indirect
465 defence against herbivores, and an early-season phenotype without trichomes (Krimmel &
466 Pearse 2014), suggesting that investment in defence traits is costly and may evolve as an
467 alternative to a temporal escape strategy. Along the same lines, late-flowering *Oenothera*
468 *biennis* plants reduce seed predation by *Mompha brevivittella* moths (Agrawal et al. 2013),
469 and late-flowering *Lobelia siphilitica* plants suffer decreased herbivory (Parachnowitsch &
470 Caruso 2008). The effect of delayed flowering on resistance in *C. hirsuta* may thus not only
471 be a mere pleiotropic effect of a complex gene expression network, but also a potentially
472 adaptive strategy into which escape and resistance are incorporated as part of the defence
473 syndrome. Specifically, plants might evolve either to defend against herbivores directly, or to
474 avoid them altogether (Agrawal & Fishbein 2006).

475

476 **Acknowledgements**

477 We are grateful to Anne-Marie Labouche and Julia Bilat for helping with the
478 fieldwork in Switzerland and to Samija Amar, Britta Grosardt, Bjorn Pieper and Jessica
479 Pietsch for contributing to the Cologne field experiment. This work was supported by a Swiss
480 National Science Foundation Ambizione PZ00P3_131956 and 31003A_159869 grants to SR,
481 by funding from the National Science Foundation and University of Lausanne to JRP, and by
482 the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft “Adaptomics” grant TS 229/1-1 and the core grant
483 from the Max Planck Society to MT.

484

485 **Authors' Contributions**

486 SR, JSV and JRP conceived and designed the experiment; SR and JSV collected, analysed
487 the data and led the writing of the manuscript. GG carried out the analyses of phytohormones
488 and glucosinolates. MT and his collaborators generated the genetic material used. All authors
489 contributed critically to the drafts and gave final approval for publication.

490

491 **Data accessibility**

492 Data available from the Dryad Digital Repository: doi:10.5061/dryad.d7t8c.

493

494

496 **References**

- 497 Alonso-Blanco, C., Blankenstijn-de Vries, H., Hanhart, C.J., Koornneef, M. (1999) Natural
 498 allelic variation at seed size loci in relation to other life history traits of *Arabidopsis*
 499 *thaliana*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*, **96**, 4710–4717.
- 500 Alonso-Blanco, C., Bentsink, L., Hanhart, C.J., Blankenstijn-deVries, H., Koornneef, M.
 501 (2003) Analysis of natural allelic variation at seed dormancy loci of *Arabidopsis*
 502 *thaliana*. *Genetics*, **164**, 711–729.
- 503 Agrawal, A.A., Conner, J.K. & Rasmann, S. (2010) Tradeoffs and adaptive negative
 504 correlations in evolutionary ecology. *Evolution After Darwin: the First 150 Years* (eds
 505 M.A. Bell, D.J. Futuyma, W.F. Eanes & J.S. Levinton), pp. 243-268. Sinauer,
 506 Sunderland, MA, USA.
- 507 Agrawal, A.A. & Fishbein, M. (2006) Plant defense syndromes. *Ecology*, **87**, S132-S149.
- 508 Agrawal, A.A., Johnson, M.T., Hastings, A.P. & Maron, J.L. (2013) A field experiment
 509 demonstrating plant life-history evolution and its eco-evolutionary feedback to seed
 510 predator populations. *American Naturalist*, **181 Suppl 1**, S35-45.
- 511 Ali, J.G. & Agrawal, A.A. (2012) Specialist versus generalist insect herbivores and plant
 512 defense. *Trends in Plant Science*, **17**, 293-302.
- 513 Barkoulas, M., Hay, A., Kougoumoutzi, E. & Tsiantis, M. (2008) A developmental
 514 framework for dissected leaf formation in the *Arabidopsis* relative *Cardamine hirsuta*.
 515 *Nature Genetics*, **40**, 1136-1141.
- 516 Barton, K.E. & Koricheva, J. (2010) The ontogeny of plant defense and herbivory:
 517 characterizing general patterns using meta-analysis. *American Naturalist*, **175**, 481-
 518 493.
- 519 Benderoth, M., Textor, S., Windsor, A.J., Mitchell-Olds, T., Gershenzon, J. & Kroymann, J.
 520 (2006) Positive selection driving diversification in plant secondary metabolism.
 521 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*,
 522 **103**, 9118-9123.
- 523 Bodenhausen, N. & Reymond, P. (2007) Signaling pathways controlling induced resistance to
 524 insect herbivores in *Arabidopsis*. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*, **20**, 1406-
 525 1420.
- 526 Canales, C., Barkoulas, M., Galinha, C. & Tsiantis, M. (2010) Weeds of change: *Cardamine*
 527 *hirsuta* as a new model system for studying dissected leaf development. *Journal of*
 528 *Plant Research*, **123**, 25-33.
- 529 Cartolano, M., Pieper, B., Lempe, J., Tattersall, A., Huijser, P., Tresch, A., Darrah, P.R., Hay,
 530 A. & Tsiantis, M. (2015a) Heterochrony underpins natural variation in *Cardamine*
 531 *hirsuta* leaf form. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **112**, 10539-
 532 10544.
- 533 Cartolano, M., Pieper, B., Lempe, J., Tattersall, A., Huijser, P., Tresch, A., Darrah, P.R., Hay,
 534 A. & Tsiantis, M. (2015b) Heterochrony underpins natural variation in *Cardamine*
 535 *hirsuta* leaf form. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **112**, 10539-10544.
- 536 Cates, R.G. & Orians, G.H. (1975) Successional status and the palatability of plants to
 537 generalized herbivores. *Ecology*, **56**, 410-418.
- 538 Chapin, F.S., III, Johnson, D.A. & McKendrick, J.D. (1980) Seasonal movement of nutrients
 539 in plants of different growth form in an Alaskan USA tundra ecosystem: Implications
 540 for herbivory. *Journal of Ecology*, **68**, 189-210.
- 541 Cheverud, J.M. (2000) The genetic architecture of pleiotropic relations and differential
 542 epistasis. *The Character Concept in Evolutionary Biology* (ed. G.P. Wagner), pp. 411–
 543 433. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, USA.

- 544 Chew, F.S. & Courtney, S.P. (1991) Plant apparency and evolutionary escape from insect
545 herbivory. *The American Naturalist*, **138**, 729-750.
- 546 Chiang, G.C.K., Barua, D., Kramer, E.M., Amasino, R.M. & Donohue, K. (2009) Major
547 flowering time gene, FLOWERING LOCUS C, regulates seed germination in
548 *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **106**, 11661-
549 11666.
- 550 Cipollini, D., Purrington, C.B. & Bergelson, J. (2003) Costs of induced responses in plants.
551 *Basic and Applied Ecology*, **4**, 79-89.
- 552 Coley, P.D. (1983) Herbivory and defensive characteristics of tree species in a lowland
553 tropical forest. *Ecological Monographs*, **53**, 209-233.
- 554 Coley, P.D., Bryant, J.P. & Chapin, F.S. (1985) Resource availability and plant antiherbivore
555 defense. *Science*, **230**, 895-899.
- 556 De Vos, M., Van Oosten, V.R., Van Poecke, R.M.P., Van Pelt, J.A., Pozo, M.J., Mueller,
557 M.J., Buchala, A.J., Mettraux, J.P., Van Loon, L.C., Dicke, M. & Pieterse, C.M.J.
558 (2005) Signal signature and transcriptome changes of *Arabidopsis* during pathogen
559 and insect attack. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*, **18**, 923-937.
- 560 Endara, M.J. & Coley, P.D. (2011) The resource availability hypothesis revisited: a meta-
561 analysis. *Functional Ecology*, **25**, 389-398.
- 562 Erb, M., Flors, V., Karlen, D., de Lange, E., Planchamp, C., D'Alessandro, M., Turlings,
563 T.C.J. & Ton, J. (2009) Signal signature of aboveground-induced resistance upon
564 belowground herbivory in maize. *Plant Journal*, **59**, 292-302.
- 565 Erb, M. & Glauser, G. (2010) Family Business: Multiple Members of Major Phytohormone
566 Classes Orchestrate Plant Stress Responses. *Chemistry-a European Journal*, **16**,
567 10280-10289.
- 568 Farmer, E.E., Alm eras, E. & Krishnamurthy, V. (2003) Jasmonates and related oxylipins in
569 plant responses to pathogenesis and herbivory. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, **6**,
570 372-378.
- 571 Fine, P.V.A., Mesones, I. & Coley, P.D. (2004) Herbivores promote habitat specialization by
572 trees in amazonian forests. *Science*, **305**, 663-665.
- 573 Futuyma, D.J. & Agrawal, A.A. (2009) Macroevolution and the biological diversity of plants
574 and herbivores. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States*
575 *of America*, **106**, 18054-18061.
- 576 Glauser, G., Schweizer, F., Turlings, T.C.J. & Reymond, P. (2012) Rapid profiling of intact
577 glucosinolates in *Arabidopsis* leaves by UHPLC-QTOFMS using a charged surface
578 hybrid column. *Phytochemical Analysis*, **23**, 520-528.
- 579 Glauser, G., Vallat, A. & Balmer, D. (2014) Hormone Profiling. *Arabidopsis Protocols, 3rd*
580 *Edition* (eds J.J. SanchezSerrano & J. Salinas), pp. 597-608.
- 581 Hall, M.C., Basten, C.J. & Willis, J.H. (2006) Pleiotropic quantitative trait loci contribute to
582 population divergence in traits associated with life-history variation in *Mimulus*
583 *guttatus*. *Genetics*, **172**, 1829-1844.
- 584 Hay, A. & Tsiantis, M. (2010) KNOX genes: versatile regulators of plant development and
585 diversity. *Development*, **137**, 3153-3165.
- 586 Hay, A.S., Pieper, B., Cooke, E., Mandakova, T., Cartolano, M., Tattersall, A.D., Ioio, R.D.,
587 McGowan, S.J., Barkoulas, M., Galinha, C., Rast, M.I., Hofhuis, H., Then, C., Plieske,
588 J., Ganal, M., Mott, R., Martinez-Garcia, J.F., Carine, M.A., Scotland, R.W., Gan, X.,
589 Filatov, D.A., Lysak, M.A. & Tsiantis, M. (2014) *Cardamine hirsuta*: a versatile
590 genetic system for comparative studies. *Plant Journal*, **78**, 1-15.
- 591 Herms, D.A. & Mattson, W.J. (1992) The dilemma of plants - to grow or defend. *Quarterly*
592 *Review of Biology*, **67**, 283-335.

593 Howe, G.A. & Jander, G. (2008) Plant immunity to insect herbivores. *Annual Review of Plant*
594 *Biology*, **59**, 41-66.

595 Huot, B., Yao, J., Montgomery, B.L. & He, S.Y. Growth-defense tradeoffs in plants: a
596 balancing act to optimize fitness. *Molecular Plant*, **7**, 1267-1287.

597 Janzen, D.H. (1971) Seed predation by animals. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*,
598 **2**, 465-492.

599 Johnson, M.T.J., Bertrand, J.A. & Turcotte, M.M. (2016) Precision and accuracy in
600 quantifying herbivory. *Ecological Entomology*, **41**, 112-121.

601 Karban, R. & Baldwin, I.T. (1997) *Induced responses to herbivory*. The University of
602 Chicago Press, Chicago.

603 Katsir, L., Chung, H.S., Koo, A.J.K. & Howe, G.A. (2008) Jasmonate signaling: a conserved
604 mechanism of hormone sensing. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, **11**, 428-435.

605 Kazan, K. & Lyons, R. (2016) The link between flowering time and stress tolerance. *Journal*
606 *of Experimental Botany*, **67**, 47-60.

607 Kiddle, G.A., Doughty, K.J. & Wallsgrove, R.M. (1994) Salicylic acid-induced accumulation
608 of glucosinolates in oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.) leaves. *Journal of Experimental*
609 *Botany*, **45**, 1343-1346.

610 Kooke, R. & Keurentjes, J.J.B. (2012) Multi-dimensional regulation of metabolic networks
611 shaping plant development and performance. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, **63**,
612 3353-3365.

613 Körner, C. (2007) The use of 'altitude' in ecological research. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*,
614 **22**, 569-574.

615 Korves, T.M. & Bergelson, J. (2003) A developmental response to pathogen infection in
616 *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiology*, **133**, 339-347.

617 Krimmel, B. & Pearse, I. (2014) Generalist and sticky plant specialist predators suppress
618 herbivores on a sticky plant. *Arthropod-Plant Interactions*, **8**, 403-410.

619 Loudet, O., Chaillou, S., Krapp, A. & Daniel-Vedele, F. (2003) Quantitative trait loci analysis
620 of water and anion contents in interaction with nitrogen availability in *Arabidopsis*
621 *thaliana*. *Genetics*, **163**, 711-722.

622 Marais, D.L.D., Hernandez, K.M. & Juenger, T.E. (2013) Genotype-by-Environment
623 Interaction and Plasticity: Exploring Genomic Responses of Plants to the Abiotic
624 Environment. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, Vol 44, **44**, 5-+.

625 Mauricio, R. & Bowers, M.D. (1990) Do caterpillars disperse their damage?: larval foraging
626 behaviour of two specialist herbivores, *Euphydryas phaeton* (Nymphalidae) and *Pieris*
627 *rapae* (Pieridae). *Ecological Entomology*, **15**, 153-161.

628 McKay, J.K., Richards, J.H. & Mitchell-Olds, T. (2003) Genetics of drought adaptation in
629 *Arabidopsis thaliana*: I. Pleiotropy contributes to genetic correlations among
630 ecological traits. *Molecular Ecology*, **12**, 1137-1151.

631 Michaels, S.D. & Amasino, R.M. (1999) *FLOWERING LOCUS C* encodes a novel MADS
632 domain protein that acts as a repressor of flowering. *The Plant Cell*, **11**, 949-956.

633 Michaels, S.D., He, Y., Scortecci, K.C. & Amasino, R.M. (2003) Attenuation of
634 *FLOWERING LOCUS C* activity as a mechanism for the evolution of summer-annual
635 flowering behavior in *Arabidopsis*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*,
636 **100**, 10102-10107.

637 Mitchell-Olds, T. & Schmitt, J. (2006) Genetic mechanisms and evolutionary significance of
638 natural variation in *Arabidopsis*. *Nature*, **441**, 947-952.

639 Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F.G., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., Minchin, P.R., O'Hara, R.B., Simpson,
640 G.L., Solymos, P., Stevens, M.H.H. & Wagner, H. (2013) vegan: Community Ecology
641 Package. <http://vegan.r-forge.r-project.org/>.

- 642 Parachnowitsch, A.L. & Caruso, C.M. (2008) Predispersal seed herbivores, not pollinators,
643 exert selection on floral traits via female fitness. *Ecology*, **89**, 1802-1810.
- 644 Paul-Victor, C., Zuest, T., Rees, M., Kliebenstein, D.J. & Turnbull, L.A. (2010) A new
645 method for measuring relative growth rate can uncover the costs of defensive
646 compounds in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *New Phytologist*, **187**, 1102-1111.
- 647 Pellissier, L., Moreira, X., Danner, H., Serrano, M., Salamin, N., van Dam, N.M. & Rasmann,
648 S. (2016) The simultaneous inducibility of phytochemicals related to plant direct and
649 indirect defences against herbivores is stronger at low elevation. *Journal of Ecology*,
650 **104**, 1116-1125.
- 651 Pieterse, C.M.J., Leon-Reyes, A., Van der Ent, S. & Van Wees, S.C.M. (2009) Networking by
652 small-molecule hormones in plant immunity. *Nature Chemical Biology*, **5**, 308-316.
- 653 R Development Core Team (2015) R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
654 R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, URL
655 <http://www.R-project.org>.
- 656 Rasmann, S., Alvarez, N. & Pellissier, L. (2014) The altitudinal niche-breadth hypothesis in
657 insect-plant interactions. *Annual Plant Reviews, Volume 47, Insect-Plant Interactions*
658 (eds C. Voelckel & G. Jander), pp. 339-359. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- 659 Scarcelli, N., Cheverud, J.M., Schaal, B.A. & Kover, P.X. (2007) Antagonistic pleiotropic
660 effects reduce the potential adaptive value of the FRIGIDA locus. *Proceedings of the*
661 *National Academy of Sciences*, **104**, 16986-16991.
- 662 Schmelz, E.A., Alborn, H.T., Banchio, E. & Tumlinson, J.H. (2003) Quantitative
663 relationships between induced jasmonic acid levels and volatile emission in *Zea mays*
664 during *Spodoptera exigua* herbivory. *Planta*, **216**, 665-673.
- 665 Schoonhoven, L.M., van Loon, J.J.A. & Dicke, M. (2005) *Insect-plant biology*. Oxford
666 University Press, Oxford.
- 667 Swarup, K., Alonso-Blanco, C., Lynn, J.R., Michaels, S.D., Amasino, R.M., Koornneef, M. &
668 Millar, A.J. (1999) Natural allelic variation identifies new genes in the *Arabidopsis*
669 circadian system. *Plant Journal*, **20**, 67-77.
- 670 Thaler, J.S., Humphrey, P.T. & Whiteman, N.K. (2012) Evolution of jasmonate and salicylate
671 signal crosstalk. *Trends in Plant Science*, **17**, 260-270.
- 672 Ton, J., De Vos, M., Robben, C., Buchala, A., Mettraux, J.P., Van Loon, L.C. & Pieterse,
673 C.M.J. (2002) Characterization of *Arabidopsis* enhanced disease susceptibility
674 mutants that are affected in systemically induced resistance. *Plant Journal*, **29**, 11-21.
- 675 van Dam, N.M., Harvey, J.A., Wackers, F.L., Bezemer, T.M., van der Putten, W.H. & Vet,
676 L.E.M. (2003) Interactions between aboveground and belowground induced responses
677 against phytophages. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, **4**, 63-77.
- 678 Wheeler, R.E. (2010) multResp() lmPerm. The R project for statistical computing
679 <http://www.r-project.org/>.
- 680 Züst, T., Rasmann, S. & Agrawal, A.A. (2015) Growth–defense tradeoffs for two major anti-
681 herbivore traits of the common milkweed *Asclepias syriaca*. *Oikos*, **124**, 1404-1415.

683

684

685 Table 1. Two-way permutation ANOVA table for flowering time, reproductive effort, and
 686 percentage natural herbivore damage of the four *C. hirsuta* genotypes (G) including: the late-
 687 flowering genotypes Wa = Washington genotype and NIL_Wa a near isogenic line, in which
 688 the Wa *FLC* allele is introgressed into Ox genetic background; and the early-flowering
 689 genotypes Ox = Oxford genotype, and NIL_Ox, a near isogenic sibling line with the Ox *FLC*
 690 allele and Ox genetic background. Each genotype was grown at four sites (S) in the Swiss
 691 Alps (Fig. S2).

Variable	Factor	df	Iter	P-value
Flowering time	Genotype (G)	3	5000	<0.0001
	Site (S)	3	5000	<0.0001
	GxS	9	5000	<0.0001
	Residuals	617		
Reproductive effort	G	3	5000	<0.0001
	S	3	5000	<0.0001
	GxS	9	5000	<0.0001
	Residuals	185		
Percentage damage	G	3	1213	0.25
	S	3	5000	<0.0001
	GxS	9	3710	0.08
	Residuals	231		

692

693 Table 2. Three-way permutation ANOVA table for phytohormones, and glucosinolates of the
694 four *C. hirsuta* genotypes (G) including: the late-flowering genotypes Wa = Washington
695 genotype and NIL_Wa, a near isogenic line in which the Wa *FLC* allele is introgressed into
696 Ox genetic background; and the early-flowering genotypes Ox = Oxford genotype, and
697 NIL_Ox, a near isogenic sibling line with the Ox *FLC* allele and Ox genetic background.
698 Each genotype was grown at four sites (S) in the Swiss Alps (Fig. S2).
699

Variable	Factor	Df	F value	P value
Glucosinolates	Genotype (G)	3	105.67	<0.001
	Site (S)	3	9.25	<0.001
	Treatment (T)	1	2.61	0.08
	GxS	9	1.14	0.31
	GxT	3	1.40	0.21
	SxT	3	2.52	0.03
	GxSxT	9	1.26	0.22
	Residuals	124		
	Hormones	Genotype (G)	3	5.57
Site (S)		3	10.47	0.001
Treatment (T)		1	13.57	0.001
GxS		9	1.85	0.02
GxT		3	0.47	0.87
SxT		3	1.43	0.19
GxSxT		9	1.64	0.05
Residuals		87		

700

701

702 Table 3. Results of the three-way permutation ANOVA for total amount of glucosinolates and
703 phytohormones and the two-way permutation ANOVA for plant biomass and plant resistance
704 (i.e. *Pieris brassicae* larval growth) of the four *C. hirsuta* genotypes (G) including: the late-
705 flowering genotypes Wa = Washington genotype and NIL_Wa, a near isogenic line in which
706 the Wa *FLC* allele is introgressed into Ox genetic background; and the early-flowering
707 genotypes Ox = Oxford genotype, and NIL_Ox, a near isogenic sibling line with the Ox *FLC*
708 allele and Ox genetic background. Each genotype was grown at four sites (S) in the Swiss
709 Alps (Fig. S2).

Variable	Factor	df	Iter	P-value
Glucosinolates (total)	Genotype (G)	3	5000	<0.001
	Site (S)	3	5000	<0.001
	Treatment (T)	1	51	0.92
	GxS	9	1309	0.43
	GxT	3	2998	0.04
	SxT	3	5000	0.004
	GxSxT	9	2823	0.25
	Residuals	124		
Phytohormones (total)	Genotype (G)	3	5000	0.002
	Site (S)	3	5000	<0.001
	Treatment (T)	1	5000	<0.001
	GxS	9	1436	0.14
	GxT	3	218	0.84
	SxT	3	366	0.46
	GxSxT	9	4789	0.19
	Residuals	71		
Plant biomass	Genotype (G)	3	5000	<0.001
	Site (S)	3	5000	0.02
	GxS	9	604	0.45
	Residuals	140		
Larval biomass	G	3	5000	<0.001
	S	3	1878	0.05
	GxS	9	5000	0.16
	Residuals	90		

710

711 Fig. legends

712

713 Fig. 1. Effect of *FLC* on flowering time and reproductive effort in the field. Shown is A) the
714 average (± 1 SE) flowering time of the experiments in the field for four genotypes grown at 4
715 different sites, and B) the reproductive effort, i.e. the ratio of reproductive dry mass to
716 vegetative dry mass. The four genotypes of *C. hirsuta* include the late-flowering genotypes
717 Wa (Washington), and NIL_Wa, a near isogenic line in which the Wa *FLC* allele is
718 introgressed into Ox genetic background; and the early-flowering genotypes Ox (Oxford), and
719 NIL_Ox, a near isogenic sibling line with the Ox *FLC* allele and Ox genetic background
720 growing at different altitudes (m above sea level) in the Swiss Alps (see also Fig. S2).
721 Different lowercase letters above dots indicate statistically significant differences among sites
722 across all genotypes, and different capital letters indicate significant differences between
723 genotypes (Tukey post-hoc test; $p < 0.05$). Sample sizes are shown under each dot.

724

725 Fig. 2. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) plot illustrating variation in the
726 composition of (A) foliar glucosinolates, and (B) foliar phytohormones of the four *C.*
727 *hirsuta* genotypes, and the effects of *P. brassicae* herbivory on glucosinolates and
728 phytohormone composition, respectively. Black dots represent control (undamaged) plants,
729 while grey triangles represent response induced by *P. brassicae* attack (Ox = Oxford (n= 25),
730 and NIL_Ox = a near isogenic sibling line with the Ox *FLC* allele and Ox genetic background
731 (n=26)), and the late-flowering genotypes (Wa = Washington (n = 26), and NIL_Wa = the
732 *FLC* allele is introgressed into Ox genetic background (n = 26)) of *C. hirsuta*. Arrows
733 represent the distance in the multidimensional space between control undamaged plants (black
734 circle) and the *P. brassicae*-damaged plants (grey triangles).

735

736 Fig. 3. *FLC* effects on *C. hirsuta* defensive chemistry. Shown are mean ± 1 SE of a) total
737 glucosinolates (i.e. the sum of the five major glucosinolates found in the plant, including
738 gluconapin, glucobrassicinapin, glucotropaeolin, glucobrassicin, and gluconasturtiine), and b)
739 total phytohormones (i.e. the sum of four major phytohormones including salicylic acid,
740 jasmonic acid, jasmonoyl-L-isoleucine, and abscissic acid) found in early-flowering
741 genotypes (Ox = Oxford, and NIL_Ox = a near isogenic sibling line with the Ox *FLC* allele
742 and Ox genetic background), and the late-flowering genotypes (Wa = Washington, and
743 NIL_Wa = the *FLC* allele is introgressed into Ox genetic background) of *C. hirsuta*. Plants
744 were grown at four different locations, and were either left undamaged (Control), or they were

745 induced for seven days by the larvae of the specialist herbivore *P. rapae* (Herbivory).
746 Different lowercase letters above dots indicate statistically significant differences among sites
747 across all genotypes, and different capital letters indicate significant differences between
748 genotypes (Tukey post-hoc test; $p < 0.05$). Sample sizes are shown under each dot.

749

750 Fig. 4. *FLC* effect on *C. hirsuta* resistance against herbivores. Shown are means \pm 1SE of *P.*
751 *brassicae* larval weight gain when feeding on early-flowering genotypes (Ox = Oxford, and
752 NIL_Ox = a near isogenic sibling line with the Ox *FLC* allele and Ox genetic background),
753 and the late-flowering genotypes (Wa = Washington, and NIL_Wa = the *FLC* allele is
754 introgressed into Ox genetic background) of *C. hirsuta*. Plants were grown at four different
755 locations prior to this glasshouse bioassay (Fig. S2). Different lowercase letters above dots
756 indicate statistically significant differences among sites across all genotypes, and different
757 capital letters indicate significant differences between genotypes (Tukey post-hoc test; $p <$
758 0.05). Sample sizes are shown under each dot.

759

760 Fig. 5. Principal component analysis (PCA) of A) glucosinolates, and B) phytohormones when
761 plotted against plant biomass, larval biomass, and tissue consumed. The four different
762 genotypes (the early-flowering genotypes (Ox = Oxford, and NIL_Ox = a near isogenic
763 sibling line with the Ox *FLC* allele and Ox genetic background), and the late-flowering
764 genotypes (Wa = Washington, and NIL_Wa = the *FLC* allele is introgressed into Ox genetic
765 background) of *C. hirsuta* are visually separated with shaded polygons. Individual
766 glucosinolates are: GBN - glucobrassicinapin; GNA = gluconapin; NAS =gluconasturtin;
767 TROP = glucotropaeolin; GBC = glucobrassicin. Individual phytohormones are: JA =
768 jasmonic acid, SA = salicylic acid, Ile = jasmonoyl isoleucine, and ABA = abscisic acid.

769

770 Fig. 6. Overview of how *FLC* affects growth reproduction and defences. (A) Schematic
771 representation of the genetic background (long boxes) and *FLC* allele (squares) of the late-
772 (Wa and NIL-Wa) and early-flowering genotypes (Ox and NIL_Ox) used in this experiment;
773 same-colour long boxes represent same genetic background (Wa = white, Ox = black), and
774 same-colour squares represent same *FLC* allele (FLC_{Wa} = white, FLC_{Ox} = dark grey). (B)
775 Overview of the different effects of *FLC* and genetic background of the *C. hirsuta* genotypes
776 that were used in the experiments on reproduction-, growth-, defence-, and resistance-related
777 traits. Non-filled boxes represent the different traits measured; note that 'defences' are
778 subdivided between glucosinolates and phytohormones. Boxes on a hatched area relate to

779 resistance-related traits. Double-headed arrows (or dashed lines) represent positive
780 correlations (+) or potential trade-offs (-); where the nature of the relationship is unknown,
781 this is indicated as '?'. See text for more details.