

# Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository: <http://orca.cf.ac.uk/109352/>

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Debnath, Kumar Biswajit and Mourshed, Monjur 2018. Corruption significantly increases the capital cost of power plants in developing contexts. *Frontiers in Energy Research* 6 , 8. 10.3389/fenrg.2018.00008 file

Publishers page: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fenrg...>  
<<https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fenrg.2018.00008/abstract>>

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See <http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html> for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



# Corruption significantly increases the capital cost of power plants in developing contexts

1 **Kumar Biswajit Debnath<sup>1\*</sup>, Monjur Mourshed<sup>1</sup>**

2 <sup>1</sup>School of Engineering, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK

3 **\* Correspondence:**

4 Kumar Biswajit Debnath

5 DebnathK@cardiff.ac.uk

6 **Keywords: Corruption, power plant, energy sector, capital cost, developing context.**

## 7 **Abstract**

8 Emerging economies with rapidly growing population and energy demand, own some of the most  
9 expensive power plants in the world. We hypothesized that corruption has a relationship with the  
10 capital cost of power plants in developing countries such as Bangladesh. For this study, we analyzed  
11 the capital cost of 61 operational and planned power plants in Bangladesh. Initial comparison study  
12 revealed that the mean capital cost of a power plant in Bangladesh is twice than that of the global  
13 average. Then, the statistical analysis revealed a significant correlation between corruption and the  
14 cost of power plants, indicating that higher corruption leads to greater capital cost. The high up-front  
15 cost can be a significant burden on the economy, at present and in the future, as most are financed  
16 through international loans with extended repayment terms. There is, therefore, an urgent need for the  
17 review of the procurement and due diligence process of establishing power plants, and for the  
18 implementation of a more transparent system to mitigate adverse effects of corruption on  
19 megaprojects.

## 20 **1 Introduction**

21 Bangladesh is the world's eighth most populous country of 161 million people with annual  
22 population and GDP growth rates of 1.2% and 6.6% respectively (WB, 2016). While the population  
23 grew linearly, electricity consumption per capita increased exponentially since 1970, as shown in  
24 Figure 1A and G. The GDP-electricity elasticity of the country exhibits a strong linear relationship  
25 ( $R^2= 0.989$ ); electricity consumption increased by 4.15 kWh/capita for every US\$ increase in  
26 GDP/capita in 1971-2011 (Figure 2). Moreover, past research indicates a unidirectional causal  
27 relationship is running from electricity consumption to investment and economic growth in  
28 Bangladesh—implying that over time, increasing electricity consumption results in higher economic  
29 growth (Masuduzzaman, 2012). Therefore, the government aimed towards giving access to electricity  
30 all households by 2021 (BPDB, 2015). The causal relationship between economic growth and  
31 electricity consumption illustrated in Figure 2 and available literature suggested that, as more people  
32 get access to electricity, the demand of electric power may increase significantly as Bangladesh aims  
33 at transition from a lower-middle-income to a middle-income country by 2021 (MoF, 2011) and  
34 developed by 2040 (FE, 2015; Jalil & Islam, 2010). The required additional economic growth is  
35 expected to result from the expansion and development of the energy-intensive manufacturing and  
36 service sectors (ILO & ILS, 2013; Nath, 2012; ADB, 2016).

37 The power sector in Bangladesh underwent several significant restructurings since its humble  
38 beginning at the turn of the 20<sup>th</sup> century. Electricity was provided only to the wealthy residents in the  
39 capital with small power plants (Omprasad, 2016) but gradually shifted its focus towards serving  
40 essential businesses and industries by 1947 (Ebinger, 2011). Post-independence evolution of the  
41 power sector is illustrated in Figure 1, which commenced with the establishment of Bangladesh  
42 Power Development Board (BPDB) and Rural Electrification Board (REB) in 1972 and 1977, to  
43 foster economic development in urban and rural areas respectively (BPDB, 2017; BREB, 2016). In  
44 light of increasing demand in divisional cities and the need for interconnection, East and West zones  
45 were connected by a 230 kV transmission line in 1982 (Ebinger, 2011). Despite the expansion of the  
46 grid, most of the population did not have access to uninterrupted electricity supply (Figure 1B).  
47 Moreover, only 62.4% of the population has access to grid electricity in 2014 (WB, 2016).

48 National Energy Policy 1994 paved the way for deregulation to encourage broader participation in  
49 power generation (Ebinger, 2011). Publicly-owned Rural Power Company Limited (RPCL) was set  
50 up as the first independent power producer (IPP) in the same year (Mourshed, 2013). Privately  
51 owned IPPs started operation in 1997, under the build-own-operate (BOO) model of public-private  
52 partnership (PPP). The effects of increased generation can be seen in the economic growth in the  
53 subsequent decades. The average GDP growth rate of 3.8% in the 1980s rose to 4.8%, 5.6%, 6.2% by  
54 1990s, 2000s and mid-2010s respectively (Figure 1C). Further deregulation allowed the  
55 establishment of rental power plants (RPPs) on 3- and 15-year contracts to meet the peak demand  
56 (Figure 1E) (MoF, 2009).

57 <Insert Figure 1 about here>

58 Most RPPs are oil based that relies on imported petroleum as Bangladesh has insufficient oil reserve.  
59 By increasing oil dependency (Mujeri, et al., 2014), the energy sector was exposed to the volatile  
60 international oil market (Mourshed, 2013). Energy sector subsidies have escalated because of  
61 growing import prices for fuels to encounter the accelerated energy demand (Mujeri, et al., 2014).  
62 Moreover, the lack of adequate governance acted as an incentive for corruption to grow in the energy  
63 sector of Bangladesh (Khan & Rasheduzzman, 2013; Ahmed, 2011; Khatun & Ahamad, 2013).  
64 Public sector generation capacity has increased exponentially between 1970 and 1997. The growth in  
65 the public sector slowed down when the private sector began supplementing generation in 1997  
66 (Figure 1E and F). Majority of the increase since 1997 came from the private sector and the trend is  
67 projected to continue towards an installed capacity of 33.7 GW by 2030 (JICA & TEPCO, 2011)  
68 from 13.7 GW in 2017 (BPDB, 2017).

69 <Insert Figure 2 about here>

70 In the case of fuel types, increased oil-based RPPs have changed the generation fuel-mix within five  
71 years of their expansion. Figure 1H illustrates that 80% of installed capacity in 2010 was based on  
72 natural gas (hereafter gas only), which reduced to 68% in 2015 (MoF, 2010). Although the increase  
73 in oil-based generation was suggested as short-term quick-fix to meet the burgeoning growth in  
74 demand for electricity, the overall direction of generation fuel mix is sub-optimal. The 2010 Power  
75 Sector Master Plan (PSMP2010) focuses on coal-based generation increase to a fuel mix of coal-gas-  
76 others (50%-25%-25%) in 2030 (JICA & TEPCO, 2011), which is a significant departure from the  
77 mix of gas-liquid fuel-renewables (54%-28%-8%) in 1980 (Figure 1D). Although the number of  
78 privately owned power plants are five times in number than that of public ones, public sectors have  
79 1.66 times greater installed capacity than that of the private counterpart (BPDB, 2017). Most of the  
80 public generation capacity in Bangladesh is divided into four subsidiaries such as Ashuganj Power

81 Station Company Limited (APSCL), Electricity Generation Company of Bangladesh (EGCB), North-  
82 West Power Generation Company Limited (NWPGL) and West Zone Power Distribution Company  
83 Limited (WZPDCL). BPDB is the sole purchaser of the generated electricity, which is then  
84 transmitted via the Power Grid Company of Bangladesh Limited (PGCB) and distributed by State-  
85 owned area-based distribution companies. At present, bulk generation is centralized and mostly fossil  
86 fuel based. However, there are decentralized renewable power generation projects such as mini-grid,  
87 solar home systems (SHS) have been operational and under development for off-grid rural and  
88 remote areas. The government have targeted to generate 10% of the total electricity from renewable  
89 resources by 2021 (IDCOL, 2017). Although, IDCOL is aiming towards total SHS installed capacity  
90 of 200 MW by 2021, and installing 50 solar mini-grid by 2018 (IDCOL, 2017), the cumulative  
91 capacity of the off-grid generation will contribute very little to the electricity supply. Bangladesh  
92 takes a considerable amount of loans from national and international funding bodies for establishing  
93 power plants. Therefore, they would need to borrow a significant amount of loan to construct the  
94 substantial number of stations to achieve a 20 GW of additional installed capacity by 2030 than that  
95 of 2017 (BPDB, 2017; JICA & TEPCO, 2011). With considerable capital costs involved and  
96 previous evidence of corruption in the energy sector (Khan & Rasheduzzman, 2013; Ruth, 2002) as  
97 well as in public procurement (Mahmood, 2010), the utilization of massive amount of money can  
98 prove to be a significant concern in Bangladesh.

99 There have been different studies on the relationship between corruption and cost of big public  
100 projects. Study on Italian high-speed railways megaprojects demonstrated that corruption worsens  
101 both cost and temporal performance (Locatelli, et al., 2017). This study also identified the project  
102 contexts such as the discretionary power of officials, economic rents of policy/decision makers and  
103 weak institutions would make a country ideal for corruption. Another study demonstrated that capital  
104 cost of IPPs selected without competitive bidding was 44-56% higher than that of with competitive  
105 bidding in developing countries including Bangladesh (Phadke, 2009). However, only two projects  
106 with competitive bidding (4.87% of the total projects analysed) were considered in the case of  
107 Bangladesh. Therefore, the result was generic for developing countries, and the conclusion was not  
108 robust for Bangladesh. In another research, the Malaysian context was analysed to find the reasons  
109 behind corruption were an abuse of power, opportunity and moral compromise within the  
110 government officials (Othman, et al., 2014). Also, different studies suggest that the ongoing  
111 corruption can be controlled via random and regular supervision, severe punishment and prosecution  
112 of corrupt personnel, and anti-corruption awareness development (Zou, 2006; De Chiara & Livio,  
113 2017). Although the literature suggests that government personals are mostly responsible for active  
114 corruption by asking bribes, the private sector can also contribute by acting as passive corruption  
115 through approaching bureaucrats by offering bribes (Capasso & Santoro, 2017). However, there is a  
116 gap in the literature regarding studies on cost evolution of energy sector in developing economies  
117 such as Bangladesh, and their relation to corruption, due to the lack of political transparency and  
118 data. There are two objectives of this paper. Initially, to investigate the capital cost of establishing  
119 various power plants in Bangladesh and compare with other countries, regions and world, to find any  
120 differences. Furthermore, to understand the reason behind the differences, we hypothesized that  
121 corruption might have influenced the capital cost of power plants in Bangladesh. The correlation  
122 between the capital cost of the power plants and Corruption Perceptions Index (CPI) of Bangladesh  
123 was examined to test the hypothesis.

## 124 **2 Methodology**

125 The study was conducted in three stages. First, annual (BPDB, 2008; BPDB, 2009; BPDB, 2010;  
126 BPDB, 2011; BPDB, 2012; BPDB, 2013; BPDB, 2014; BPDB, 2015; KPCL, 2014), project

127 (APSCCL, 2015; CPGCBL, 2015; EGCBL, 2015; APSCCL, 2015) and financial aid reports (IDCOL,  
128 2015; WB, 2017) from national bodies and international organizations were reviewed to develop a  
129 capital cost database of power plants in Bangladesh. Capital cost refers to all the expenses incurred  
130 before a plant becomes operational and comprises the cost associated with the acquisition of land;  
131 permits and legal matters; plant equipment and construction; financing; and the commissioning of the  
132 plant. Independent scrutiny of public expenditure does not feature strongly in Bangladesh's  
133 governance structure. Hence, the total capital cost or the breakdown of the capital cost of all the  
134 operational power plants is not publicly available. There were 100 public power plants in January  
135 2016, of which 96 were operational (BPDB, 2017). We collected primary data such as installed  
136 capacity, commissioning year, fuel and owner of 165 units from 113 public and private operational  
137 Bangladeshi power plants in January 2016. The number of public and private owned units were 80  
138 (6968 MW) and 85 (5566 MW) respectively. Among the operational units, ninety-five utilize gas,  
139 and seven are dual fuel type, of which four can use gas and heavy fuel oil (HFO). The rest of the  
140 three dual-fuel plants utilize high-speed diesel (HSD) and gas. Moreover, only HFO and HSD based  
141 units were 32 and 23 respectively. Two units were coal-based, and four units were hydroelectric.  
142 Also, there was a 500 MW interconnection with India in Khulna. Due to data constraints, the capital  
143 cost of 61 fossils (gas, coal and petroleum) and renewable (nuclear, hydro, the wind and solar) power  
144 plants in Bangladesh commissioned since 1962 and planned up to 2030, were collected (Table 1). Of  
145 the 61 plants, 34 are operational, and 27 are under construction, repair or future planned. The study  
146 had to test the hypothesis with lower data constrain and bias generated by it because of unavailability  
147 of cost data. The government has started to provide cost data since 2007 through the annual reports  
148 (BPDB, 2017). With more data and transparency in the future, the studies regarding cost can be  
149 improved to make the power plants more cost-effective.

150 Among the analyzed 61 power plant units, gas, HFO/HSD/dual fuel, coal, nuclear and renewable  
151 based were 34, 17, four, one and five respectively. Moreover, 48 are public, and thirteen are privately  
152 operated. All the future and under-construction power plants are government owned. Among the nine  
153 analyzed dual fuel power plant units, three utilize HFO and gas, of which two are public, and one is  
154 privately owned. Six dual fuel power plant units use HSD and gas, of which one is private, and five  
155 are publicly owned. There are only nine HFO based power plant units, of which two are planned for  
156 future and rest of them are operational. All the HFO based functional power plants are privately  
157 owned. In the case of coal-based power plants units, only two are functional, and three are planned  
158 for future, and all of them are publicly owned. Similarly, all the renewable power plants are  
159 government owned, of which one is the Kaptai hydroelectric plant (5 units) and two small solar  
160 energy plants. The only planned nuclear power plant (Rooppur 1 and 2) would be publicly owned  
161 too. Three phases (Unit 1 and 2; Unit 3; Unit 4 and 5) of Kaptai hydroelectric power plant was  
162 considered separately because three stages had different cost individually. Among the coal power  
163 generation technologies, domestic coal-fueled subcritical plants were built in Barapukuria. Moreover,  
164 two new ultra-supercritical plants are under construction which would operate with imported coal.  
165 Cost per installed capacity in kW in a specific year of construction of the power plant was calculated  
166 and converted to the US dollar (USD) equivalent using the currency exchange rate with Bangladeshi  
167 Taka (BDT) on December 31 of the same year, obtained from Bangladesh Bank (BB, 2016). In cases  
168 where a power plant is going to be built after 2015, the cost was converted to 2015 USD using BDT  
169 to USD exchange rate on December 31, 2015. Then the historical cost data was converted using  
170 Consumer Price Index (Coinnews, 2016) of 2015 USD so that all cost can be compared on the 2015  
171 USD basis.

172 <Insert Table 1 about here>

173 Second, country- and region-wise capital costs of power plants for the same technology used in  
174 Bangladesh were collected from the International Energy Agency's (IEA) World Energy Investment  
175 Outlook 2014 (IEA, 2014) for USA, Japan, Russia, China, India, Brazil, Europe, the Middle East and  
176 Africa. There were three data points for all the countries for 2012, 2020, and 2035. Data for Sri  
177 Lanka were collected from 'Long-Term Generation Expansion Planning Studies 2015- 2034' for  
178 2015 (Samarasekara & Silva, 2015). In the case of USA, further data on cost and performance of  
179 power generation technologies were collected from National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL)  
180 to augment the IEA data (NREL, 2012). There were ten data points for the cost data from NREL for  
181 2008, 2010, 2015, 2020, 2025, 2030, 2035, 2040, 2045 and 2050. Also, CPI score between 1995 and  
182 2016 was collected from Transparency International (TI) (TI, 2017).

183 Third, the average cost of power plants in Bangladesh was compared with that of the identified  
184 countries, regions and the World using 2015 as a base year. The evolution of cost was also analysed  
185 for both public and private sectors in Bangladesh. Pearson's test was conducted at normalised capital  
186 cost and CPI score to examine the effect of corruption on power plant capital cost in Bangladesh. CPI  
187 data is available only from 1995, which reduced the sample size down to 31 for the correlation study.  
188 Among the collected cost data, power plants commissioned from 2004 to 2015 were considered.  
189 There were no cost data available for any power plants established between 1995 and 2003. There  
190 were also some cost data for power plants built before 1995. As the CPI index started in 1995, the  
191 cost data before that was not considered for the correlation study. As the sample size is less than 50,  
192 Shapiro-Wilk and Kolmogorov-Smirnov test of normality were conducted (Ghasemi & Zahediasl,  
193 2012) and Table 2 indicated that the distribution of interval data was normal, supporting the selection  
194 of Pearson's test.

195 <Insert Table 2 about here>

### 196 **3 Discussion**

197 Power generation technology is the critical factor for the variation in the capital cost. For this study,  
198 initially, capital cost of various public and private power plants in Bangladesh with varied  
199 technologies such as gas turbines (GT) and combined-cycle power plant (CCPP); subcritical and  
200 ultra-supercritical plants; hydroelectric; nuclear and solar PV plants were compared with the world  
201 average, to find out the cost difference. When the cost of GT and steam turbines (ST) are compared,  
202 public power plants (hereafter plants) in Bangladesh are found to be approximately 2.2 times more  
203 expensive than that of the world mean (Figure 3A). The cost of public GTs is even higher, around 1.5  
204 times than the private plants in Bangladesh. In the case of CCPP, public plants' mean is 1.2 and 1.7  
205 times more expensive than that of the world mean and the private plants' mean respectively (Table  
206 3).

207 The private CCPP with an average cost of \$540 /kW, where publicly owned ones ranged from \$853-  
208 3005 /kW (Figure 3B). Moreover, future planned public CCPP cost range from \$554-1612 /kW.  
209 Therefore, public CCPP in Bangladesh can be built with as low-cost as China (\$568 /kW between  
210 2012 and 2020) to 19% greater than that of the highest cost of USA (\$1358 /kW between 2015 and  
211 2020). The difference between lowest and highest capital cost of CCPP (going to be commissioned in  
212 2017) is \$1058 /kW, the equivalent of constructing almost two CCPP plants in China. Cost difference  
213 can happen depending on the installed capacity. From long-term generation expansion planning study  
214 of Sri Lanka, two separate CCPP cost difference was \$202 /kW depending on the installed capacity.  
215 The capital cost of CCPP-Auto Diesel of 144 and 288 MW was \$853 and \$1055 /kW respectively in  
216 Sri Lanka (Samarasekara & Silva, 2015), which means higher installed capacity may reduce cost.

217 However, in the case of Bangladesh, Siddhirganj 335 MW and Bibiana (South) 383 MW CCPP plant  
218 would cost \$1612 /kW and \$873 /kW respectively (operational by 2017). Though Bibiana (South)  
219 has 48 MW higher installed capacity than that of the Siddhirganj, it would cost approximately half.  
220 On the other hand in the private sector, Meghnaghat 450 MW CCPP (Unit 2) was constructed with  
221 \$560 /kW in 2014.

222 Figure 3C and D compares the cost of coal power plants. The subcritical coal plant, capital cost range  
223 from \$1245-\$1923 /kW, which is higher than the expense of the USA in the upper bound, and Africa  
224 in lower bound. However, the cost of the proposed ultra-supercritical power plant is going to be  
225 highest compared to the rest of the world (Figure 3D).

226 <Insert Figure 3 about here>

227 In the case of renewable energy, Bangladesh has been utilizing hydroelectricity from Kaptai power  
228 plant since 1962, making it the oldest active power plant in Bangladesh with a capital cost of  
229 \$6408 /kW for Unit 1 and 2, and parts of Unit 3. Capital cost reduced with the construction of Unit 3  
230 in 1982, from \$6408 /kW to \$543 /kW (Figure 4B). Unit 3 was partially built during the construction  
231 of Unit 1 and 2 in 1962, which reduced the capital cost of completion of Unit 3 in 1982. However,  
232 the capital cost for Unit 4 and 5 was \$1075 /kW, which was constructed in 1988. Unit 4 and 5 were  
233 constructed in an already established infrastructure with facilities such as dam, reservoir during the  
234 construction of Unit 1, 2 and 3. Therefore, Unit 4 and 5 had higher capital cost than that of Unit 3.  
235 While comparing the capital cost of Bangladeshi hydroelectric plants with the world, the cost  
236 reported for other countries were suggested for the construction of new plants.

237 Currently, Bangladesh has small-scale solar home systems in households, but no significant  
238 commercial, operational project. Two large solar PV plants are going to be constructed in 2016-17  
239 with an installed capacity of 5 and 7 MW costing \$4906 /kW and \$2391 /kW respectively (Figure  
240 4A). Despite the continuing descending trend in cost, one is costing higher than that of the highest in  
241 the world for that year. Also, it is not clear why the difference in cost would be \$2515 /kW for just  
242 2 MW, almost two times more than the cost of establishing similar technology power plant in China  
243 in 2020.

244 In the case of nuclear power plants, the planned power plant in Bangladesh would be established with  
245 the assistance from Russia in 2024-2025. However, the capital cost would be 1.45 times than that of  
246 Russia and almost equivalent to Japan (Figure 4C).

247 <Insert Figure 4 about here>

248 Although power plants cost more in Bangladesh, the public plants are significantly more expensive  
249 than the private ones, indicating that there may as well be other factors related to public sector  
250 governance in play (Table 3). Further studies may reveal other factors such as political instability,  
251 inefficient project management leading to construction delays and eventual increase in cost.  
252 However, the deep-rooted and widespread corruption culture could have a higher impact on the  
253 capital cost of the power plants, which needs further investigation with more data.

254 <Insert Table 3 about here>

### 255 3.1 Cost evolution

256 The cost evolution in Bangladeshi public and private plants do not follow the same trend. The cost of  
257 most of the power generation technology in the world reduces with time (Neij, 2008). However, in  
258 the Bangladeshi public plants, the cost appears to be increasing (Figure 5). Among the operational  
259 power plants, GT, ST and CCPP have been generating the most of electricity in Bangladesh in the  
260 last three decades in both public and private sectors. For the cost evolution analysis, the relatively  
261 new or less utilized technologies (subcritical, ultra-supercritical and hydro) are not considered. Solar  
262 PV, nuclear are new technologies compared to CCPP or GT in Bangladesh. The capital cost trend of  
263 CCPP is following a second order polynomial and augmenting after 2010. In the case of the similar  
264 technology, the private sector is showing a linear descending trend with only two data points.  
265 Establishing cost of public GT/ST trend is third order polynomial and increasing after 2014.  
266 However, private power plants with similar technology, demonstrating a second order polynomial  
267 trend with a reduction in cost.

268 <Insert Figure 5 about here>

269 Independent-samples T-test was conducted to compare the capital cost in public and private owned  
270 power plants. The cost of GT/ST and CCPP technologies were considered for the tests. In the case of  
271 GT/ST, there was a significant difference in the capital cost of public ( $M=1226.09$ ,  $SD=360.62$ ) and  
272 private ( $M=751.68$ ,  $SD=108.80$ ) owned power plants;  $t(11.97)=4.16$ ,  $p=0.001$ . These results suggest  
273 that GT/ST power plant ownership depending on being public and private influences its capital cost.  
274 The test results suggest that public power plants have higher capital cost. In the case of CCPP, there  
275 was not a significant difference in the capital cost of public ( $M=1075.33$ ,  $SD=263.21$ ) and private  
276 ( $M=704$ ,  $SD=203.60$ ) owned power plants;  $t(19)=1.92$ ,  $p=0.070$ . These results suggest that CCPP  
277 power plant ownership depending on being public and private does not influence its capital cost.  
278 However, the private CCPP power plants number was only two. With more data points in the future,  
279 this analysis may be improved.

### 280 3.2 Corruption

281 Several reports and articles suggested that there has been significant evidence of corruption in  
282 electricity generation projects and operations, as well as in distribution system in Bangladesh (Khan  
283 & Rasheduzzman, 2013; TIB, 2016; Khan, 2007; Kenny, 2007; D'costa, 2012; IBP, 2012; Ruth,  
284 2002; Hossain & Tamim, 2005/06). The measurement of corruption is complicated as it depends on  
285 complex variables (Galtung, 2006). Therefore, no single source or polling method has yet been  
286 developed that can provide a convincing methodology (Lambsdorff, 2006). Transparency  
287 International started CPI score for different countries in 1995 to put the issue of corruption on the  
288 international policy agenda (TI, 2017). For this study, CPI score for Bangladesh was adopted to be  
289 analysed with the capital cost of power plants in the same year to examine the effect of corruption on  
290 cost evolution. Before 2012, CPI scores were ranked 0-10. However, the scale was amended in 2012  
291 to the range of 0-100, to demonstrate the better effect of corruption on the economy of a country (TI,  
292 2012). The CPI scores before 2012 were converted to 0-100 scale by multiplying 10 with the scores  
293 to compare the data from 2004-16. Higher CPI score is interpreted as lower corruption, which may  
294 result in reduced capital cost and vice versa (Figure 6A&B). Only 42 public and private power plants  
295 (among studied 61) cost data, which were built within 2004-16, were found and analyzed in this  
296 correlation study. The cost data scarcity worked as a limitation in rendering a detailed relationship.  
297 With increased data available in the future, the correlation may improve. Independent-samples T-test  
298 was conducted to compare the capital cost in public and private owned power plants. There was a  
299 significant difference in the capital cost of public ( $M=1156.20$ ,  $SD=332.40$ ) and private ( $M=734.26$ ,  
300  $SD=118.29$ ) owned power plants;  $t(40)=4.09$ ,  $p=0.000$ . These results suggest that being public and



301 private owned influences its capital cost. The test results suggest that public power plants have higher  
302 capital cost.

303 <Insert Figure 6 about here>

304 To assess the relationship between the CPI score and the capital cost of power plants in Bangladesh,  
305 Pearson's test for normally distributed interval data was conducted. There was a negative correlation  
306 between the two variables,  $r = -0.477$ ,  $n = 42$ ,  $p = 0.001$  (Table 4). Figure 7 summarizes the results  
307 and demonstrated that corruption in Bangladesh is negatively related to a capital cost of power plants  
308 with  $R^2=0.32$  for annual CPI scores. Overall, there was a strong, negative correlation between  
309 corruption and capital cost of power plants. Decreases in corruption (increase in annual CPI score)  
310 were correlated with increased capital cost of power plants. Corruption is a continual socio-economic  
311 phenomenon traversing through years from megaproject construction and operation. CPI score  
312 represents an annual performance of a country. Whereas the power plant megaproject constructions  
313 usually go on for 2-7 years (GoB, 2015). Furthermore to the Pearson's correlation test between  
314 annual CPI score and capital costs, additional correlation study was undertaken in this research to  
315 explore the relationship of the cost with biannual, triannual and quadrennial average CPI scores. The  
316 main objective of the study was to examine the correlation between different temporal CPI scores and  
317 capital costs. Table 4 summarizes the results. Figure 7 illustrated that the correlation  $R^2$  value was  
318 better between the biannual CPI score and capital cost. However, the Pearson's correlation test  
319 showed that the best relationship was between the annual CPI scores and capital costs as the p-value  
320 was the lowest (Table 4).

321 <Insert Table 4 about here>

322 <Insert Figure 7 about here>

323 The upper and lower limits of cost reduction per CPI score increase were \$116.94 /kW and  
324 \$45.47 /kW respectively. In some cases, the capital cost of public plants was two times higher than  
325 that of the private ones for the similar technology and time frame. Therefore, Bangladesh can reduce  
326 their cost of establishing power plants by reducing corruption. The power plant projects are  
327 expensive, and the government takes 66-94% (GoB, 2015) of the total cost of loans from banks and  
328 aid organisations such as World Bank, Asian Development Bank. Higher capital cost means a more  
329 significant loan from funding bodies, which the government have to repay in the future from the  
330 revenues. Larger repayment can put pressure on the economy and people.

331 One of the reasons behind this significant corruption was the lack of governance in the energy sector.  
332 Implementing 'Quick Enhancement of Electricity and Energy Supply (Special Provisions) Act, 2010'  
333 enabled the government and responsible departments with authority to take rapid energy  
334 development initiatives while bypassing the 2006 public procurement law, with easy and quick  
335 procurement procedure for investing in the energy sector outside the bar of jurisdiction of the court  
336 (GoB, 2016). Laws such as 'Quick Enhancement of Electricity and Energy Supply (Special  
337 Provisions) Act, 2010' raised significant concern regarding transparency allowing enhanced scope  
338 for corruption among the donors (Choudhury, et al., 2010). The findings of this study suggest that the  
339 corruption may have influenced the higher cost of power plants in the past and increased after the  
340 implementation of the special provisions act (2010).

341 **4 Conclusion**

342 As a rapidly developing economy, Bangladesh has been establishing and will continue to build more  
343 power plants to support the growing demand for electricity. Literature suggested that there is a lack  
344 of research on the cost analysis of the rapidly growing energy sector in Bangladesh; partially because  
345 of the data inadequacy and lack of transparency in the government. Initially, a cost databased was  
346 compiled from different resources for this study. For analyzing the cost of installing power plants in  
347 Bangladesh, the cost (public and private) data were compared with the world. The results  
348 demonstrated an intriguing aspect of a rapidly developing economy. Most of the public plants  
349 showed higher capital cost compared to the world average. Also, the cost of similar power generation  
350 technologies in private and public sector has a significant difference in Bangladesh. On top of the  
351 higher capital cost, the cost evolution demonstrated that cost of establishing public power plants is  
352 augmenting with time, whereas its opposite in private sector as well as in the world. In the case of  
353 expanding cost, this study showed a significant correlation between corruption and higher cost of  
354 power plants. Higher corruption may increase the cost of a power plant in a developing context such  
355 as Bangladesh.

356 This study renders the opportunity to focus on the amendable condition of corruption within the  
357 governmental system to reduce the cost of establishing public power plants in Bangladesh. The  
358 government should implement more transparent and supervised system for establishing power plants  
359 to reduce the adverse influences of corruption on the megaprojects. Otherwise, there is a possibility  
360 the expensive power plants would become into 'white elephant' projects (Ross & Staw, 1993; Lewis  
361 & Williams, 1985), where the output is smaller than that of investment. The higher cost would  
362 impose an additional burden on the future economy.

### 363 **References**

364 ADB, 2016. *Bangladesh: Consolidating Export-led Growth*, s.l.: Asian Development Bank.

365 Ahmed, Z., 2011. Electricity Crisis of Bangladesh: Result of Organizational Inefficiency?. *Energy*  
366 *and Environment Research*, 1(1), p. 12.

367 APSCCL, 2015. *Ashuganj Power Station Company LTD.*. [Online]  
368 Available at: [http://www.apscl.com/plant\\_status.php](http://www.apscl.com/plant_status.php)  
369 [Accessed 2015].

370 APSCCL, 2015. *Power Generation Development Plan-2012, Ashuganj*, s.l.: Ashuganj Power Station  
371 Company LTD..

372 BB, 2016. *Exchange rate of Taka*. [Online]  
373 Available at: <https://www.bb.org.bd/econdata/exchangerate.php>  
374 [Accessed 2016].

375 BPDB, 2008. *Annual report 2007-2008*, Dhaka: Bangladesh Power Development Board.

376 BPDB, 2009. *Annual Report 2008-2009*, Dhaka: Bangladesh Power Development Board.

377 BPDB, 2010. *Annual report 2009-2010*, Dhaka: Bangladesh Power Development Board.

378 BPDB, 2011. *Annual report 2010-2011*, Dhaka: Bangladesh Power Development Board.

379 BPDB, 2012. *Annual report 2011-2012*, Dhaka: Bangladesh Power Development Board.

- 380 BPDB, 2013. *Annual report 2012-2013*, Dhaka: Bangladesh Power Development Board.
- 381 BPDB, 2014. *Annual report 2013-2014*, Dhaka: Bangladesh Power Development Board.
- 382 BPDB, 2015. *Annual report 2014-2015*, Dhaka: Bangladesh Power Development Board.
- 383 BPDB, 2017. [Online]  
384 Available at: <http://www.bpdb.gov.bd/bpdb/>  
385 [Accessed August 2017].
- 386 BREB, 2016. *History of Rural Electrification in Bangladesh*. [Online]  
387 Available at: <http://www.reb.gov.bd/index.php/2-reb>
- 388 Capasso, S. & Santoro, L., 2017. Active and Passive Corruption: Theory and Evidence. *European*  
389 *Journal of Political Economy*.
- 390 Choudhury, S. R. et al., 2010. *Evaluation of the Implementation of the Paris Declaration, Phase – II,*  
391 *Country Evaluation Bangladesh*, s.l.: Natural Resources Planners Ltd. Dhaka, Bangladesh.
- 392 Coinnews, 2016. *Consumer Price Index Data from 1913 to 2016*. [Online]  
393 Available at: [http://www.usinflationcalculator.com/inflation/consumer-price-index-and-annual-](http://www.usinflationcalculator.com/inflation/consumer-price-index-and-annual-percent-changes-from-1913-to-2008/)  
394 [percent-changes-from-1913-to-2008/](http://www.usinflationcalculator.com/inflation/consumer-price-index-and-annual-percent-changes-from-1913-to-2008/)  
395 [Accessed 2016].
- 396 CPGCBL, 2015. *Coal Power Generation Company Bangladesh Limited*. [Online]  
397 Available at: [http://www.cpgcbl.gov.bd/?page\\_id=73](http://www.cpgcbl.gov.bd/?page_id=73)  
398 [Accessed 2015].
- 399 D'costa, B., 2012. Bangladesh in 2011. *Asian Survey*, 52(1), pp. 147-156.
- 400 De Chiara, A. & Livio, L., 2017. The threat of corruption and the optimal supervisory task. *Journal*  
401 *of Economic Behavior & Organization*, Volume 133, pp. 172-186.
- 402 Ebinger, C. K., 2011. *Energy and Security in South Asia: Cooperation Or Conflict?*. s.l.:Brookings  
403 Institution Press.
- 404 EGCBL, 2015. *Electricity Generation Company of Bangladesh Limited*. [Online]  
405 Available at: <http://www.egcb.com.bd/120mw.php>
- 406 FE, 2015. Bangladesh to be developed country by 2040. *The Financial Express*, 21 6.
- 407 Galtung, F., 2006. Measuring the immeasurable: boundaries and functions of (macro) corruption  
408 indices. *Measuring corruption*, Volume 101.
- 409 Ghasemi, A. & Zahediasl, S., 2012. Normality tests for statistical analysis: a guide for non-  
410 statisticians. *International journal of endocrinology and metabolism*, 10(2), p. 486.
- 411 GoB, 2015. *Annual report 2015*, Dhaka: Power division, Ministry of Power, Energy and Mineral  
412 Resources (MPEMR).

- 413 GoB, 2016. *Quick Enhancement of Electricity and Energy Supply (Special Provisions) Act, 2010*,  
414 Dhaka: Ministry of Power, Energy & Mineral Resources, Government of the People's Republic of  
415 Bangladesh .
- 416 Hossain, I. & Tamim, M., 2005/06. *Energy and Sustainable Development in Bangladesh*, s.l.: HELIO  
417 International'.
- 418 IBP, 2012. *Bangladesh Country Study Guide: Strategic Information and Developments*.  
419 s.l.:International Business Publications, USA.
- 420 IDCOL, 2015. *Annual Environmental and Social Compliance Audit: 108 MW Power Project at*  
421 *Kolagaon, Chittagong, Bangladesh* , s.l.: Infrastructure Development Company Limited (IDCOL).
- 422 IDCOL, 2017. *Renewable energy*. [Online]  
423 Available at: <http://idcol.org/home/penergy>
- 424 IEA, 2014. *Assumed investment costs, operation and maintenance costs and efficiencies in the IEA*  
425 *World Energy Investment Outlook 2014*, s.l.: International Energy Agency.
- 426 ILO & ILS, 2013. *Bangladesh: seeking better employment conditions for better socioeconomic*  
427 *outcomes*, s.l.: ILO, Geneva, Switzerland.
- 428 Jalil, M. A. & Islam, M. S., 2010. Towards a long-term development vision for Bangladesh: some  
429 socioeconomic and legal aspects. *Asian Culture and History*, 2(2), p. 58.
- 430 JICA & TEPCO, 2011. *The study for master plan on coal power development in the People 's*  
431 *Republic of Bangladesh, Power System Master Plan 2010*, s.l.: Energy and Meneral Resources,  
432 Ministry of Power, People's Republic of Bangladesh.
- 433 Kenny, C., 2007. *Infrastructure governance and corruption: where next?*. s.l.:World Bank  
434 Publications.
- 435 Khan, M. Z. H. & Rasheduzzman, M., 2013. *Performance of the Power Sector of Bangladesh:*  
436 *Governance Failures and Remedial Measures*, s.l.: Transparency International Bangladesh.
- 437 Khan, S., 2007. Honesty = Success, Dishonesty = Failure An overview of power sector unbundling in  
438 Bangladesh (1996-2006). *The Daily Star*, 01, 2(1).
- 439 Khatun, F. & Ahamad, M., 2013. *FDI in the Energy and Power Sector and Economic Growth in*  
440 *Bangladesh*, s.l.: CPD-CMI Working Paper Series.
- 441 KPCL, 2014. *Annual report* , s.l.: Khulna Power Company Ltd..
- 442 Lambsdorff, J. G., 2006. Measuring corruption--the validity and precision of subjective indicators  
443 (CPI). *Measuring corruption*, Volume 81, p. 81.
- 444 Lewis, J. & Williams, A., 1985. The Sines Project: Portugal's growth centre or white elephant?. *Town*  
445 *Planning Review*, 56(3), p. 339.

- 446 Locatelli, G., Mariani, G., Sainati, T. & Greco, M., 2017. Corruption in public projects and  
447 megaprojects: There is an elephant in the room!. *International Journal of Project Management*,  
448 35(3), pp. 252-268.
- 449 Mahmood, S. A. I., 2010. Public procurement and corruption in Bangladesh confronting the  
450 challenges and opportunities. *Journal of public administration and policy research*, 2(6), p. 103.
- 451 Masuduzzaman, M., 2012. Electricity consumption and economic growth in Bangladesh: Co-  
452 integration and causality analysis. *Global Journal of Management and Business Research*, 12(11).
- 453 MoF, 2009. *Invigorating Investment Initiative through Public Private Partnership: A Position Paper*,  
454 Dhaka, Bangladesh: Ministry of Finance, Government of Bangladesh.
- 455 MoF, 2010. *Towards Revamping Power and Energy Sector: A Road Map*, Dhaka, Bangladesh:  
456 Ministry of Finance, Government of Bangladesh.
- 457 MoF, 2011. *Power and Energy Sector Road Map: An Update*, Dhaka, Bangladesh: Government of  
458 Bangladesh.
- 459 Mourshed, M., 2013. Pitfalls of oil-based expansion of electricity generation in a developing context.  
460 *Energy Strategy Reviews*, 1(3), pp. 205-210.
- 461 Mujeri, M. K., Chowdhury, T. T. & Shahana, S., 2014. *Energy Sector in Bangladesh: An agenda for*  
462 *reforms*, Ontario, Canada: International Institute for Sustainable Development.
- 463 Nath, N. C., 2012. *Manufacturing Sector of Bangladesh-Growth, Structure and Strategies for Future*  
464 *Development*. s.l., s.n.
- 465 Neij, L., 2008. Cost development of future technologies for power generation—A study based on  
466 experience curves and complementary bottom-up assessments. *Energy policy*, 36(6), pp. 2200-2211.
- 467 NREL, 2012. *COST AND PERFORMANCE DATA FOR POWER GENERATION TECHNOLOGIES*,  
468 s.l.: National Renewable Energy Laboratory.
- 469 Omprasad, G., 2016. Structure, Growth and Associated Problems in Bangladesh Power Sector: A  
470 Glance Into The PreReform Period. *Indian Journal of Applied Research*, 6(6).
- 471 Othman, Z., Shafie, R. & Hamid, F. Z. A., 2014. Corruption-Why do they do it?. *Procedia-Social*  
472 *and Behavioral Sciences*, Volume 164, pp. 248-257.
- 473 Phadke, A., 2009. How many Enrons? Mark-ups in the stated capital cost of independent power  
474 producers'(IPPs') power projects in developing countries. *Energy*, 34(11), pp. 1917-1924.
- 475 Ross, J. & Staw, B. M., 1993. Organizational escalation and exit: Lessons from the Shoreham nuclear  
476 power plant. *Academy of Management Journal*, 36(4), pp. 701-732.
- 477 Ruth, M., 2002. *Corruption and the Energy Sector*, Washington, DC: Management Systems  
478 International.
- 479 Samarasekara, M. B. & Silva, M. T. D., 2015. *Long Term Generation Expansion Planning Studies*  
480 *2015- 2034*, Colombo: Ceylon Electricity Board, Sri Lanka.

- 481 TI, 2012. *Corruption Perceptions Index (CPI)*. [Online]  
482 Available at: <https://www.transparency.org/>  
483 [Accessed 14 12 2016].
- 484 TI, 2017. *Corruption perceptions index : Overview*. [Online]  
485 Available at: <https://www.transparency.org/research/cpi/overview>  
486 [Accessed 2017].
- 487 TI, 2017. *Corruption Perceptions Index: Overview*. [Online]  
488 Available at: <https://www.transparency.org/research/cpi>  
489 [Accessed 2017].
- 490 TIB, 2016. *Anti-corruption agency strengthening initiative: Assessment of the Bangladesh Anti-*  
491 *corruption agency 2016*, s.l.: Transparency International Bangladesh.
- 492 WB, 2016. *World DataBank*. [Online]  
493 Available at: <http://data.worldbank.org/>
- 494 WB, 2017. *Siddhirganj Power Project*. [Online]  
495 Available at: [http://projects.worldbank.org/P095965/siddhirganj-peaking-power-](http://projects.worldbank.org/P095965/siddhirganj-peaking-power-project?lang=en&tab=documents&subTab=projectDocuments)  
496 [project?lang=en&tab=documents&subTab=projectDocuments](http://projects.worldbank.org/P095965/siddhirganj-peaking-power-project?lang=en&tab=documents&subTab=projectDocuments)
- 497 Zou, P. X., 2006. Strategies for minimizing corruption in the construction industry in China. *Journal*  
498 *of construction in Developing Countries*, 11(2), pp. 15-29.
- 499
- 500

## Tables

**Table 1.** Background information on the cost data

Variable	Scale/ Category	Electricity generation technology							Total (n)	%
		GT	CCPP	Subcritical	Ultra- supercritical	Solar PV	Hydroelectric	Nuclear		
Commissioning year (-)	1961-80	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	1.6%
	1981-00	2	1	-	-	-	2	-	5	8.2%
	2001-10	2	2	1	-	-	-	-	5	8.2%
	2011-20	20	24	1	-	2	-	-	47	77.0%
	2021-30	-	-	-	2	-	-	1	3	4.9%
Ownership	Public	12	26	2	2	2	3	1	48	78.7%
	Private	11	2	-	-	-	-	-	13	21.3%
Fuel	Natural gas	10	24	-	-	-	-	-	34	60.7%
	Oil	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	16.1%
	Duel fuel	5	3	-	-	-	-	-	8	14.3%
	Coal	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	4	7.1%
	Nuclear	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1.8%
Installed capacity (MW)	<10	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	3.3%
	10-100	8	7	-	-	-	3	-	18	29.5%
	101-200	9	3	1	-	-	-	-	13	21.3%
	201-300	6	4	1	-	-	-	-	11	18.0%
	301-400	-	11	-	-	-	-	-	11	18.0%
	401-500	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	3	4.9%
	>500	-	-	-	2	-	-	1	3	4.9%
Capital cost (US\$(2015)/kW)	500-600	1	2	-	-	-	1	-	4	6.6%
	601-700	4	1	-	-	-	-	-	5	8.2%
	701-800	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	3	4.9%
	801-900	6	4	-	-	-	-	-	10	16.4%
	901-1000	2	4	-	-	-	-	-	6	9.8%
	1001-1100	-	5	-	-	-	1	-	6	9.8%
	1101-1200	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	4	6.6%
	1201-1300	1	3	1	-	-	-	-	5	8.2%
	1301-1400	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	3	4.9%
	1401-1500	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	3	4.9%
	1501-1600	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0.0%
	1601-1700	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	3	4.9%
	1701-1800	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0.0%
	1801-1900	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1.6%
	1901-2000	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	1.6%
2001-3000	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	2	3.3%	

### Corruption increases power plant's cost

	3001-4000	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	2	3.3%
	4001-5000	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	1.6%
	5001-6000	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1.6%
	>6000	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	1.6%



- 1 **Table 2.** Test of normality. The data is normal because of the Sig. Value of the Shapiro-Wilk Test  
 2 was higher than 0.05.

CPI <sup>a</sup>		Kolmogorov-Smirnov <sup>b</sup>			Shapiro-Wilk		
		Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
\$/kW	25	.172	14	.200*	.882	14	.062
	26	.139	7	.200*	.974	7	.925
	27	.185	7	.200*	.916	7	.442

\* This is a lower bound of the true significance.

<sup>a</sup> CPI has been omitted when CPI Index are 15, 20 and 24 because \$/kW is constant.

<sup>b</sup> Lilliefors Significance Correction

11 **Table 3.** The capital cost of power generation plants in the World and Bangladesh. Power plants in Bangladesh are further disaggregated  
 12 into public and private. Historical and projected costs are rounded to the nearest US\$ (2015).

Fuel	Technology	Capital cost (US\$(2015)/kW)														
		World				Bangladesh (public)				Bangladesh (private)				Difference in Mean		
		Min	Max	Mean	SD	Min	Max	Mean	SD	Min	Max	Mean	SD	Public & Private	World & Public	World & Private
Natural gas, oil	Gas turbine (GT)	361	741	551	190	680	1823	1177	336	545	1495	819	235	258	-626	-268
	CCPP*	568	1381	974	407	545	3005	1164	505	560	848	704	144	460	-189	270
Coal	Subcritical	619	2168	1394	774	1245	1924	1584	479						-191	
	Ultra-supercritical	826	2374	1600	774	2867	3820	3343	477						-1743	
Renewable	Solar PV*	1910	6198	4054	2144	2391	4907	3649	1258						405	
	Hydro-electric*	1755	3977	2866	1111	543	6409	2676	2648						190	
Nuclear	Nuclear**	2065	6883	4474	2409		5625	5625								

\* CCGT, Solar photovoltaics - Large-scale and Hydropower - large-scale in International Energy Agency's (IEA) World Energy Investment Outlook 2014 (IEA, 2014)

\*\* There is only one planned nuclear power plant in Bangladesh. Caution should, therefore, be applied when interpreting the difference in mean.


13

14


15 **Table 4.** Pearson correlation test between CPI score and capital cost per installed capacity of power plants in Bangladesh

		Annual CPI (-)	Biannual average CPI (-)	Triannual average CPI (-)	Quadrennial average CPI (-)
<b>Capital cost (\$/kW)</b>	Pearson Correlation	-.565**	-.445**	-.430**	-.396**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.001	.003	.004	.010
	N	42	42	42	42
** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)					

16




**Figure 1.** (A) Population of Bangladesh (1960-2015); (B) Access to electricity (1970-2015); (C) Annual GDP growth (1960-2015); (D & H) Fuel types for electricity generation in 1980 and 2015. The total installed capacity was 438 and 12504.37 MW respectively in 1980 and 2015 (28.5 times increase in 35 years); (E) Electricity generation in Bangladesh (1970-2013); (F) Fuel use for electricity generation (1970-2013); (G) Electricity consumption per capita (1971-2014). The dotted lines in the figures illustrates the key historical events which influenced the electricity sector of Bangladesh.




**Figure 2.** GDP per capita vs. electric power consumption for Bangladesh (1971-2011) (WB 2016)


(A) Gas/steam turbine




(B) CCPP




(C) Coal: Subcritical




(D) Coal: Ultra-supercritical



**Figure 3.** Capital cost comparison among fossil fuelled power plants from the world with Bangladesh. In the case of GT/ST and CCPP, Bangladeshi public power plant's mean capital cost is higher than that of the mean of private and world counterparts. Surprisingly, private power plant's mean capital cost was lower than the world mean for CCPP. Subcritical coal plant means capital cost is slightly higher than that of global mean. However, ultra-supercritical mean capital cost of Bangladesh would be significantly greater than the world mean.




**Figure 4.** Capital cost comparison among nuclear and renewable power plants from different country/regions with Bangladesh. In the case of solar PV plants, mean capital cost of Bangladesh is lower than the world mean. However, the installed capacity is only 12 MW. Mean capital cost for hydroelectric plants is also lower than that of global mean capital cost. The reason behind this lower cost is the later units were in the same plant side, which reduced the ancillary cost. For nuclear only one plant is going to be built in Bangladesh by 2030 and its cost would be significantly higher than that of the world mean capital cost.




**Figure 5.** Cost evolution of different power generation technologies. In the case of coal, one subcritical power plants and two future ultra-supercritical ones not sufficient to see the cost evolution. For hydroelectric, solar PV and nuclear, the power plant numbers are insufficient for analysis of learning rate or cost evolution. Under these circumstances, highly utilized technologies such as gas turbine/engine and CCPP for public and private power plants were analyzed for cost evolution.




(A)



(B)



**Figure 6.** (A) Average capital cost (2004-2016) and (B) CPI score (2004-2016). Chart 'B' is demonstrating that CPI index is not gradually reducing. Moreover, the average capital cost of power plants is related to the change of CPI score. Here, higher CPI score means lower corruption.



**Figure 7.** Corruption vs. capital cost analysis for Bangladeshi power plants; (A) Normalized capital cost vs. average annual CPI scores, (B) Normalized capital cost vs. average biannual CPI scores, (C) Normalized capital cost vs. average triannual CPI scores, and (D) Normalized capital cost vs. average quadrennial CPI scores.