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**Article summary**

- The aim of this study was to investigate the mechanisms governing the aberrant nuclear localization of β-catenin in myeloid leukemia.
- This study has characterized the first β-catenin interactomes for hematopoietic cells and identified the transcription factor LEF-1 as a driver of nuclear β-catenin localization in myeloid leukemia cells.

**Abstract**

Canonical Wnt/β-catenin signaling is frequently dysregulated in myeloid leukemias and is implicated in leukemogenesis. Nuclear-localized β-catenin is indicative of active Wnt signaling and is frequently observed in acute myeloid leukemia patients; however, some patients exhibit little or no nuclear β-catenin even where cytosolic β-catenin is abundant. Control of the subcellular localization of β-catenin therefore represents an additional mechanism regulating Wnt signaling in hematopoietic cells. To investigate the factors mediating the nuclear-localization of β-catenin we carried out the first nuclear/cytoplasmic proteomic analysis of the β-catenin interactome in myeloid leukemia cells and identified putative novel β-catenin interactors. Comparison of interacting factors between Wnt-responsive cells (high nuclear β-catenin) versus Wnt-unresponsive cells (low nuclear β-catenin) suggested the transcriptional partner, LEF-1, could direct the nuclear-localization of β-catenin. The relative levels of nuclear LEF-1 and β-catenin were tightly correlated in both cell lines and in primary AML blasts. Furthermore, LEF-1 knockdown perturbed β-catenin nuclear-localization and transcriptional activation in Wnt-responsive cells. Conversely, LEF-1 overexpression was able to promote both nuclear-localization and β-catenin-dependent transcriptional responses in previously Wnt-unresponsive cells. This is the first β-catenin interactome study in hematopoietic cells and reveals LEF-1 as a mediator of nuclear β-catenin level human myeloid leukemia.
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Introduction

Canonical Wnt signaling is an evolutionary conserved signal transduction pathway strictly controlled during normal development but frequently dysregulated in cancer. In the absence of a Wnt ligand, the central mediator of this signaling pathway, β-catenin, is constitutively phosphorylated by a destruction complex (DC) consisting of GSK3β, CK1, Axin and APC, priming it for subsequent degradation by the proteasome. Upon Wnt ligand binding to the Wnt receptors (Frizzled and LRP5/6), the DC becomes saturated with phosphorylated β-catenin (which cannot be degraded) resulting in cytosolic accumulation of non-phosphorylated β-catenin. Following nuclear translocation, β-catenin complexes with the T-cell factor (TCF)/lymphoid enhancer factor (LEF) transcriptional regulators and promotes activation of proto-oncogenic Wnt target genes like c-myc, cyclinD1 and survivin (http://web.stanford.edu/group/nusselab/cgi-bin/wnt/target_genes). Thus, Wnt signaling activation is dependent on the movement of β-catenin into the nucleus, yet this remains a poorly understood process in blood cells. β-Catenin lacks canonical nuclear-localization or -export sequences and its subcellular distribution has instead been associated with multiple factors in context-dependent settings.

β-Catenin is frequently overexpressed in acute myeloid leukemia (AML) where its expression correlates with inferior patient survival. β-Catenin has been shown to play a key role in the initiation of AML and chronic myeloid leukemia (CML). Furthermore, frequent chromosomal aberrations driving AML and CML are known to cooperate with β-catenin. Key to the activation of Wnt signaling is the movement of β-catenin into the nucleus and this is frequently observed in AML. We have previously demonstrated that around 10% of primary AML patient blast samples exhibit little nuclear β-catenin expression, despite substantial cytosolic levels, a phenomenon replicated in 10-20% of myeloid leukemia cell lines upon Wnt stimulation. In fact, this is characteristic of normal human hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells (HSPC) which similarly limit β-catenin nuclear-localization possibly to protect normal HSC from detrimental levels of Wnt signaling.
permissive nuclear-localization of β-catenin observed in myeloid leukemias is therefore aberrant and warrants further investigation.

To better understand β-catenin nuclear-localization mechanisms in myeloid leukemia cells, we generated the first β-catenin interactomes in hematopoietic cells. These analyses have shown that LEF-1, a β-catenin-dependent transcription factor, can also regulate the level of nuclear β-catenin in myeloid leukemia cells. The relative level of nuclear LEF-1 expression correlates with relative nuclear levels of β-catenin in primary AML patient blasts indicating this axis has clinical relevance. Furthermore, the nuclear-localization of β-catenin can be promoted by LEF-1 overexpression and conversely is reduced by LEF-1 knockdown. Finally, we demonstrate LEF-1 expression is suppressed in Wnt-unresponsive cells through rapid proteolytic degradation that is not observed in Wnt-responsive cells. Overall, this study characterizes β-catenin interactions within a hematopoietic context and identifies LEF-1 as a regulator of nuclear β-catenin localization in human leukemia.

**Methods**

*Patient samples, cell culture and β-catenin stabilization*

Bone marrow, peripheral blood or leukapheresis samples from patients diagnosed with AML/MDS (Clinical information in Supplementary Table S1) were collected in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and with approval of University Hospitals Bristol NHS Trust and London Brent Research Ethics Committee. Mononuclear cells were separated using Ficoll-Hypaque (Sigma-Aldrich, Poole, UK) and samples with ≥80% viability included in the study. K562, HEL, ML-1, U937, THP1 and PLB-985 cell lines (ECACC, Salisbury, UK) were cultured as previously described.\(^{11}\) For proliferation assays cell lines were seeded in triplicate at 1×10^5/ml into 24-well plates within medium containing 10, 5, 1 or 0.5% fetal bovine serum (Labtech, East Sussex, UK) and cellular density counted using a hemocytometer at 24, 48 and 72 hours. For Wnt signaling activation, cell lines were treated with 5μM of the GSK-3β inhibitor CHIR99021 (Sigma-Aldrich) or 1μg/ml recombinant murine Wnt3a (Peprotech, London, UK) for 16hr (unless otherwise stated) at 37°C.
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**Nuclear/Cytoplasmic fractionation**

2-8x10⁶ cells were washed in PBS and resuspended in 250μl cytoplasmic lysis buffer (10mM Tris-HCl (pH8), 10mM NaCl, 1.5mM MgCl₂, 0.5% Igepal-CA630/NP40) containing complete™ Mini Protease-Inhibitor Cocktail (PIC; Sigma-Aldrich) for 10min at 4°C. The supernatant (cytosolic fraction) was recovered following centrifugation at 800g for 5min, and the nuclear pellet washed twice with PBS. Nuclear pellets were resuspended in lysis buffer (Cell Signaling Technology, Leiden, Netherlands) containing PIC and incubated for 45min with sonication to maximize nuclear lysis. Insoluble material was removed at 21,000g for 10min and solubilized nuclear fractions stored at -80°C.

**Lentiviral transduction**

K562 and HEL cells were lentivirally-transduced with the β-catenin-activated reporter (BAR) or mutant ‘found unresponsive’ control (fuBAR) system as previously.¹¹ For LEF-1 knockdown/overexpression, cells were lentivirally-transduced with human LEF-1 shRNA (TRCN0000-020163, -413476, -418104, -428178 and -428355, MISSION® Sigma), or LEF-1 overexpression vector (pLV-EGFP:T2A:Puro-EF1A>hLEF-1 VectorBuilder, Neu-Isenburg, Germany). Cells transduced with scrambled shRNA/empty vector served as controls.

**β-catenin co-immunoprecipitation and immunoblotting**

For co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP), 8μg of crosslinked β-catenin (Clone-14) or IgG (Clone MOPC-31C) antibody (Becton Dickinson, Oxford, UK) were incubated with 1mg of either pre-cleared cytoplasmic, or nuclear lysate, overnight at 4°C (Supplementary Methods). Subsequently beads were washed x5 prior to proteomic analyses or boiled for 95°C for 5min following washes for immunoblotting. Immunoblotting was performed as previously¹³ using antibodies to total β-Catenin (as above), phosphorylated β-catenin (Ser33/37/Thr41), α-Catenin (Clone-5), E-Cadherin (Clone-36), GSK3β (Clone-7; BD), α-tubulin (DM1A), lamin A/C (4C11; Sigma), Axin1 (C76H11), Axin2 (76G6), TCF-4 (C48H11), LEF-1 (C12A5), Survivin (71G4B7), CyclinD1 (92G2; Cell Signaling Technology), active β-catenin (8E7, Merck-Millipore, Watford, UK) and c-MYC (9E10; Santa Cruz, Heidelberg, Germany). β-Catenin and LEF-1 densitometry were performed as described in Supplementary Methods.
Mass spectrometry (MS) and data analyses
Cytosolic or nuclear β-Catenin/IgG co-IP’s were prepared and analyzed by MS as detailed in Supplementary Methods. Post-acquisition, duplicate values and proteins detected by only a single peptide were first removed. Tandem Mass Tag (TMT) ratios were imported into Perseus v1.5.6.0 (Max Planck Institute of Biochemistry, Munich, Germany) followed by logarithmic transformation, normalization (through median subtraction) and removal of proteins not present in at least 2 of 3 replicates. A one-sample $t$ test was performed with significance of protein binding (-Log$_{10}$ $p$ value) plotted versus fold change in protein binding (Log$_{2}$). The MS proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium (http://proteomecentral.proteomexchange.org) via the PRIDE partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD009305. Interaction specificity was assessed using the publicly available CRAPome database (Contaminant Repository for Affinity Purification: http://www.crapome.org).

Assessment of TCF reporter and flow cytometry
Activity of BAR lentiviral construct was performed as previously.$^{11}$ Multi-parameter flow cytometric measurements were acquired using a MACSQuant® Analyzer 10 in conjunction with MACSQuantify™ v2.8 (Miltenyi Biotec, Bisley, UK) or an Accuri C6 in conjunction with C sampler software v1.0.264.21 (BD). Post-acquisition analyses were performed using FlowJo v10.5.3 (Tree Star Inc, Ashland, OR). Threshold for TCF reporter fluorescence was set using matched-controls expressing mutant ‘found unresponsive’ fuBAR. Cell viability was assessed using 2μg/mL propidium iodide (Miltenyi Biotec).

Statistics
Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism v7.0 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA) and Perseus. Correlation was assessed using a Spearman’s Rank correlation coefficient (R). Significance of difference was assessed using a one-sample or Students’ $t$ test and data represents mean±1 SD derived from three biological replicates.
Results

Myeloid leukemia cell lines exhibit heterogeneous nuclear β-catenin localization and Wnt activation

Previously we showed that myeloid leukemia cell lines vary markedly in their capacity for nuclear β-catenin localization upon Wnt stimulation, mimicking the heterogeneity of nuclear β-catenin translocation in AML patients. To investigate the mechanistic basis for this, we selected two sets of myeloid leukemia lines which differed markedly in Wnt signaling output in response to agonist. K562 and HEL were Wnt-responsive cell lines that localized high levels of β-catenin into the nucleus upon treatment with the Wnt agonist, CHIR99021, a GSK3β inhibitor (Figure 1A). Cell viability was not significantly affected by 16hr CHIR99021 treatment (Supplementary Figure S1AB). Similar patterns of subcellular localization were observed for the active (non-phosphorylated) form of β-catenin in response to CHIR99021 (Supplementary Figure S1C). As expected, the phosphorylated forms of β-catenin (Ser33/37/Thr41) were reduced upon CHIR99021 treatment (Supplementary Figure S1C). Correspondingly these lines showed robust induction of a TCF reporter (a measure of β-catenin-dependent transcription), whilst cells expressing reporter with a mutated TCF binding site showed no induction (Figure 1B). In contrast, ML-1 and U937 cells had a highly restricted capacity for nuclear β-catenin localization (versus K562 and HEL cells) despite substantial cytosolic stabilization of the protein (Figure 1B and C). Consistent with this, CHIR99021 treatment of ML-1 or U937 cells caused no detectable activation of the TCF reporter (Figure 1B and 1D). The same patterns of Wnt-responsiveness were observed when cell lines were treated with rWnt3a (Supplementary Figure S2). These findings demonstrate that the ability of some leukemia cells to drive a transcriptional response to Wnt agonist is limited by their capacity to permit the nuclear accumulation of β-catenin and we termed these cells Wnt-unresponsive.

β-Catenin interactome analyses reveal contrasting protein interactions between Wnt-responsive and Wnt-unresponsive leukemia cell lines

Given the wealth of factors previously reported to regulate the nuclear localization of β-catenin, we designed an interactome screen of cytosolic and nuclear β-catenin interacting partners from representative Wnt-responsive (K562 and HEL), and Wnt-
unresponsive (ML1) cell lines so that we could shortlist candidate factors involved in this process (Figure 2A). Prior to mass spectrometry, we validated the efficiency of β-catenin co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) (Figure 2B) from both a positive control for high β-catenin expression (SW620 colorectal cells containing mutated APC: demonstrating a 4.0- and 4.3-fold enrichment of β-catenin in cytosol and nuclear fractions respectively) and in the context of agonist-stabilized β-catenin (HEL: showing a 6.3- and 9.1-fold enrichment). We also confirmed co-IP of a known interactor; TCF-4 (TCF7L2) from the nuclear compartment.

Following mass spectrometry, raw tandem mass tag (TMT) ratios were processed to generate a set of statistically ranked interactions based on significance of fold-change in protein binding (raw and processed MS data available in Supplementary MS Data Excel sheets). An extensive profile of β-catenin interactions were observed in K562 cells (225 significantly enriched cytosolic interactions, 118 significantly enriched nuclear interactions; Figure 3A and 3B). In contrast, a comparatively sparse interaction profile was observed in ML1 cells (38 significantly enriched cytosolic interactions, 26 significantly enriched nuclear interactions; Figure 3C and 3D). An extensive repertoire of β-catenin interactions was also detected in the other Wnt-responsive cell line analyzed, (HEL; 154 significantly enriched cytosolic interactions, 138 significantly enriched nuclear interactions; Supplementary Figure S3). The sparse nuclear β-catenin interaction network observed in ML1 cells is perhaps unsurprising given the low levels of nuclear β-catenin, however the relative paucity of interactors observed in the cytosol of this line was more surprising given the comparable abundance of β-catenin in this fraction compared with K562/HEL cells.

Our experimental and bioinformatics strategy was validated by the identification of known β-catenin interactions (highlighted in green), which were also more abundant in the K562 cells (23 in cytosol, 26 in nucleus) versus the ML-1 cells (7 in cytosol, 8 in nucleus). From our significantly enriched interactions (red dots; Figure 3 panels), we identified several putative novel partners for β-catenin as summarized for K562 (Figure 4A, B and Supplementary Table S2), ML1 (Figure 4C, D and Supplementary Table S2), and for HEL (Supplementary Figure S4 and Supplementary Table S3). Within these significant interactions we identified a number of novel associations of particular interest to myeloid leukemias and/or Wnt signaling which appeared in one
or more cell lines (red asterisks), but were outside the remit of this study to investigate further. MBD3 and PRC1 have been found to cooperate with the oncogenic fusion proteins PML/RARα\textsuperscript{14} or PLZF/RARα\textsuperscript{15} in acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL), and regulate stemness through Wnt/β-catenin signaling.\textsuperscript{16,17} The RNA binding protein MSI2 predicts poor prognosis in AML,\textsuperscript{18} more aggressive CML,\textsuperscript{19} and can promote cancer via Wnt signaling.\textsuperscript{20} LIN28B, a microRNA-binding protein, is overexpressed in multiple leukemias including AML,\textsuperscript{21} where it promotes proliferation,\textsuperscript{22} and cooperates with Wnt signalling to drive malignancy.\textsuperscript{23} DDX10, RBM6 and RBM15 are known to form oncogenic fusion proteins in myeloid leukemias,\textsuperscript{24-26} and DDX10 and RBM15 also have roles in promoting Wnt signaling.\textsuperscript{27,28} PUM2 and MKRN2 are two further proteins reported to promote the growth of both normal and malignant hematopoietic cells.\textsuperscript{29,30} We also confirmed the first reported β-catenin interaction with Wilms Tumour-1 (WT1) by MS and immunoblotting (Supplementary Figure S5), which is of considerable interest in leukemia biology given its frequent dysregulation in AML and association with adverse patient survival.\textsuperscript{31}

**Nuclear LEF-1 expression correlates with nuclear β-catenin localization in cell lines and primary AML patient cells**

We next examined the data from the fractions of Wnt-responsive cells for candidate proteins that could promote the nuclear-localization of β-catenin. Several had previously been implicated in negative regulation of β-catenin nuclear localization: GSK3β,\textsuperscript{32} α-catenin,\textsuperscript{33} Axin1/2,\textsuperscript{34} and APC\textsuperscript{34}; whilst TCF-4\textsuperscript{34} and LEF-1\textsuperscript{35} are nuclear localized transcription factors that bind β-catenin. To validate the MS data, we examined the protein expression of these candidates in a panel of myeloid cell lines by immunoblotting. With the exception of APC (which is problematic to blot)\textsuperscript{36} immunoblotting confirmed MS analysis in that expression of the negative regulators was mostly limited to Wnt-responsive cells making it unlikely they were responsible for restricted nuclear β-catenin in Wnt-unresponsive cells (Figure 5A).

We next examined the expression of two known nuclear β-catenin interactors, TCF-4 and LEF-1, which are ubiquitously expressed in multiple tissues. Both of these proteins are predominantly nuclear in Wnt-responsive cell lines and were absent from the Wnt-unresponsive lines (Figure 5A; matching the proteomics data in Figure
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3 and also Supplementary Figure S3). Of these two proteins, LEF-1 bound β-catenin with higher significance than TCF4 in both K562 and HEL nuclei. This, together with our previous observation that overexpression of TCF-4 actually suppressed β-catenin-dependent transcription37 (and thus is unlikely to promote nuclear β-catenin level) led us to focus our investigation on LEF-1. This protein is known to be dysregulated in AML38 so we examined the clinical relevance of this by correlating relative LEF-1 nuclear localization with that of β-catenin in primary AML blasts. In our cohort of 23 nuclear/cytosol fractionated AML patient samples we observed a highly significant degree of correlation (Spearman Rank R=0.63, P<0.005) between the relative nuclear-localized (as a proportion of total) β-catenin protein and relative nuclear-localized (as a proportion of total) LEF-1 protein (Figures 5B and C). Interestingly, the overall frequency of β-catenin protein expression observed in this largely pediatric AML cohort was higher than previous reports (Supplemental Figure S6). Taken together, these data indicate that nuclear β-catenin translocation capacity is linked to LEF-1 expression in myeloid cell lines, and nuclear LEF-1 is a strong clinical predictor of nuclear β-catenin levels in patient-derived AML blasts.

Modulation of LEF-1 expression in myeloid cells regulates nuclear-localization of β-catenin and alters Wnt-responsiveness.

The above data indicated a correlative relationship between nuclear LEF-1 level and capacity for nuclear β-catenin localization. To demonstrate causation, we examined the effect of modulating LEF-1 expression on β-catenin localization. Initially, we tested multiple LEF1 shRNA sequences (Supplementary Figure S7A) and selected the most optimal (TRCN0000428355) for knockdown of nuclear LEF-1 expression in the Wnt-responsive K562 and HEL cell lines. This approach resulted in a 72%±7% knockdown in LEF-1 protein in the nuclei of K562 cells and an 89%±4% knockdown in HEL cells (Figure 6A). Somewhat lower levels of LEF-1 knockdown were observed in CHIR99021-treated cells (65%±19% and 83%±7%, respectively) probably a result of LEF1 being a Wnt target gene and thus being induced through Wnt agonist treatment.39 LEF-1 knockdown perturbed nuclear localization of β-catenin by approximately a third (28%) in K562 following CHIR99021 treatment, proportionate to control cells. This reduction was accentuated in HEL cells (41%) which corresponded to the greater degree of LEF-1 knockdown in these cells (Figure 6B).
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The knockdown of LEF-1 protein resulted in significantly reduced growth of both K562 and HEL cells at multiple timepoints across a range of serum concentrations (Figure 6C). Use of a second LEF1 shRNA and a different method of Wnt stimulation (rWnt3a) resulted in a similar finding (Supplementary Figure S7B and C). These data suggest LEF-1 promotes the optimal translocation of β-catenin into the nucleus of Wnt-responsive cells and contributes partly to their growth.

Next, we examined whether LEF-1 expression was sufficient to permit nuclear-localization of β-catenin. To establish this, we stably overexpressed LEF1 in the Wnt-unresponsive (and LEF-1 negative) U937 and ML1 cells. Overexpression of LEF-1 resulted in substantial cytosolic expression of the full-length LEF-1 protein (50kDa) but weak nuclear expression; despite this we observed a dramatic increase in nuclear localized β-catenin in both ML1 (4-fold) and U937 (2.3-fold) cells overexpressing LEF1 following CHIR99021 treatment (Figure 6D and E). This disparity may be explained by the abundant expression of a short-form of LEF-1 in the nucleus (25-30kDa) that was absent in Wnt-responsive lines (discussed below). These effects were mirrored using Wnt3a treatment (Supplementary Figure S7C) and we also showed that LEF1 overexpression was able to facilitate nuclear localization of β-catenin in two further AML cell lines (PLB-985 and THP1; Supplementary Figure S7D). These data demonstrate that overexpression of LEF-1 can significantly increase the capacity for nuclear β-catenin localization in Wnt-unresponsive cell lines.

To assess the impact of LEF-1 knockdown (and subsequent nuclear β-catenin reduction) on Wnt signaling, we measured TCF reporter activity. As predicted, Wnt signaling induction in Wnt-responsive K562 and HEL cells following CHIR99021 treatment was severely diminished following LEF-1 knockdown (Figures 7A and B). A significant reduction in Wnt signaling output was also observed following use of an alternative LEF1 shRNA in response to CHIR99021 or Wnt3a stimulation (Supplementary Figure S8). Assessment of the TCF reporter activity in the LEF1 overexpressing lines was not possible due to the confounding expression of the GFP selectable marker; instead we examined the protein expression of the classic downstream Wnt target proteins survivin, c-MYC and cyclinD1. We found that LEF1 overexpression significantly enhanced the expression of these proteins following
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CHIR99021 treatment (Figure 7C and D), indicating that the observed translocation of β-catenin was sufficient to generate a transcriptional response. A caveat to these data is that these observations cannot be linked exclusively to the modulation of β-catenin nuclear-localization since LEF-1 expression is a co-variable in these experiments. Taken together, these data indicate LEF-1 can regulate the nuclear level of β-catenin and our observations are also consistent with a concomitant regulation of Wnt signaling activity.

LEF-1 protein is proteolytically cleaved in Wnt-unresponsive cells

In the experiments above, overexpression of full-length LEF-1 in Wnt-unresponsive cell lines resulted in the emergence of a 25-30kDa species of LEF-1 protein. To evaluate the contribution of this short LEF-1 form with the increased nuclear β-catenin level observed in these cell lines we examined the β-catenin binding capacity of this species. We performed β-catenin co-IP from both the cytosol and nucleus of CHIR99021 treated LEF1-overexpressing U937 cells and immunoblotted for LEF-1 protein. As observed in Figure 8A, both the full-length and short-forms of LEF-1 protein co-IP’d with β-catenin from the cytosol implying both forms bind β-catenin in this cell line. In the nuclear fraction, β-catenin preferentially co-IP’d with the short LEF-1 form, though the proportion of short-form was highly enriched in this fraction (Figure 8A; input lane). These data confirm that the short-form LEF-1 has β-catenin binding ability and could mediate the increased nuclear β-catenin translocation observed in Wnt-unresponsive cell lines above upon LEF-1 overexpression with CHIR99021 treatment.

Finally, we examined the origin of the short LEF-1 polypeptide. This variant was unlikely derived from alternative splicing since the ectopic expression of LEF-1 was driven from cDNA. Furthermore, these short forms of LEF-1 were also observed endogenously in colorectal cell lines (Supplementary Figure S9A) and in primary AML samples featured in Figure 5 (full blots in Supplementary Figure S9B). Therefore, the presence of short-form LEF-1 was most consistent with a proteolytic cleavage mechanism. To investigate this, nuclear lysates from both K562 control and U937-LEF1 cells ± protease inhibitor cocktail (PIC) were incubated at 37°C and the relative proportions of full-length to short LEF-1 forms in each cell line observed over 0-60 minutes. In K562 control cells, full-length LEF-1 protein was stable with no
detectable breakdown of full-length LEF-1 protein even in the absence of PIC (Figure 8B). In contrast, the full-length LEF-1 band present in U937-LEF-1 cells was reduced with concomitant enrichment of the short-form polypeptide after a 10 min incubation at 37°C (Figure 8C). The removal of the PIC reduced the half-life of full-length LEF-1 by approximately 50% with degradation occurring within 5 min. Together these data are consistent with the short-form of LEF-1 arising through proteolytic processing.

These data suggest that LEF-1-targeted proteases are active in Wnt-unresponsive U937 cells, but inactive/absent in Wnt-responsive K562 cells. To test this, we mixed nuclear lysates from K562 cells directly with whole cell lysates from U937 control cells (which are LEF-1 negative). Remarkably, the previously stable full-length LEF-1 band present in K562 cells exhibited marked and rapid reduction with a concomitant increase in the short-form polypeptide, a process exacerbated by PIC removal (Figure 8D). These data confirm that constitutive LEF-1 degradation mechanisms are active in Wnt-unresponsive cells but are absent/inhibited in Wnt-responsive cells.

**Discussion**

Canonical Wnt signaling has emerged as one of the most frequently dysregulated signaling pathways in myeloid neoplasms which has led to considerable interest in targeting this pathway. The central mediator β-catenin represents an appealing therapeutic target because of its leukemogenic role,\(^6,7\) prognostic influence\(^5\) and functional redundancy in normal hematopoietic development.\(^40\) The characterization of β-catenin’s hematopoietic interactome is therefore of considerable interest and the experimental approach adopted in this study was validated by the identification of multiple known β-catenin partners such as Axin, TCF-4, \(\alpha\)-catenin and APC. Associations with key hematopoietic transcription factors, C/EBP\(\zeta\) and GATA-1, were identified and these are known to co-occupy genomic sites with TCF-4 (a known β-catenin partner) during hematopoietic development.\(^41\) We confirmed the previously reported interaction of β-catenin with the oncogenic fusion protein BCR-ABL in CML, which is present in K562 cells.\(^9\) In addition to known partners, we identified putative novel interactions which have known relevance to leukemia and/or Wnt signaling (MBD3,\(^14,16\) PRC1,\(^15,17\) MSI2,\(^18-20\) LIN28B,\(^21-23\) DDX10,\(^24,27\) RBM6,\(^25\) RBM15,\(^26,28\) PUM2,\(^29\) MKRN2\(^30\) and WT1\(^31\)).
For investigating β-catenin nuclear localization mechanisms we focused on LEF-1 given that it was one of the most significantly enriched interactors present in Wnt-responsive cell nuclei, and its nuclear expression was highly predictive of β-catenin nuclear-localization in both myeloid cell lines and primary AML blasts. A role for LEF-1 in mediating nuclear-localization of β-catenin was confirmed using both knockdown and overexpression approaches. LEF-1 contains a nuclear localization sequence and is known to shuttle between cytoplasmic and nuclear compartments. This suggests LEF-1 could serve as a cytosolic-nuclear chaperone for β-catenin, however the high nuclear:cytosol ratio of LEF-1 expression observed in Wnt-responsive cells (K562 and HEL) would be more consistent with LEF-1 serving as a nuclear retention factor for β-catenin, as has been demonstrated previously for other Wnt signaling components. This is the first evidence of LEF-1 contributing to β-catenin nuclear-localization capacity in human myeloid leukemia cells, however our data cannot exclude the role of other factors in this process. Nuclear export mechanisms may also be influential in limiting nuclear accumulation of β-catenin in Wnt-unresponsive cell lines. In particular, RanBP1 was an interacting partner detected for β-catenin in ML-1 nuclei but absent in K562/HEL nuclei and may warrant further study given the documented role for RanBP3 in mediating β-catenin export. Two factors recently implicated in nuclear β-catenin regulation including RAPGEF5 and Twa1, were not detected in our analyses, suggesting their interaction with β-catenin is context-dependent.

A strong correlation between the relative levels of nuclear localized β-catenin and LEF-1 was identified in primary AML samples suggesting this relationship may have clinical relevance. Indeed, expression of constitutively active LEF-1 in HSPCs induced AML in mice, and LEF-1 expression promotes the survival of myeloid leukemia cell lines. In our study, we observed significantly inhibited growth in K562 and HEL cells harboring LEF-1 knockdown (Figure 6C). Given the adverse prognosis of nuclear β-catenin in AML and recent studies demonstrating its therapeutic merit in AML models, LEF-1 represents an attractive target in myeloid leukemia.
through also inhibiting β-catenin nuclear localization. Small molecule inhibitors of β-catenin: TCF/LEF interaction are in development and have shown efficacy in leukemia treatment.\textsuperscript{50-52} It would be interesting to observe if this efficacy is partly driven by reducing the level of nuclear β-catenin in leukemia cells. This study focused on the role of LEF-1 driving aberrant β-catenin nuclear-localization in myeloid leukemia however, given the frequency of Wnt/β-catenin dysregulation in human cancer, this axis could be active in other malignancies.

We also observed that LEF-1 is proteolytically degraded in Wnt-unresponsive leukemia cells resulting in the emergence of short-form LEF-1 proteins (25-30kDa). These were smaller than the 38kDa transcriptional isoforms previously reported to derive from alternative splicing or alternative promoter usage\textsuperscript{39,53} which serve as dominant-negative inhibitors of Wnt signaling because they lack the β-catenin binding domain necessary to initiate transcription.\textsuperscript{39} In contrast, the proteolytic fragments observed in this study retained β-catenin binding capacity (Figure 7A) and did not appear to have dominant-negative function (Wnt targets were still activated; Figure 6C and D). Under the conditions of the experiment, however, where LEF-1 is being overexpressed, the abundance of these fragments may have a dominant effect on β-catenin retention in the nucleus, whereas under normal circumstances, it is likely that degradation serves to remove LEF-1 and suppress the nuclear retention of β-catenin. This post-translational regulation of LEF-1 has parallels with embryonic stem cells where proteolytic cleavage of TCF-3 (TCF7L1), a closely related family member, removes it from target genes when differentiation signals trigger the suppression of Wnt signaling.\textsuperscript{54} In leukemia cells this proteolytic cleavage could be mediated by NLK (Nemo-like kinase) which binds the E3 ubiquitin-ligase NARF (NLK associated RING finger protein) and reportedly induces ubiquitylation (and proteasomal degradation) of LEF-1 in cooperation with the E2 conjugating enzyme E2-25K;\textsuperscript{55} a mechanism previously reported to be active in leukemia cells.\textsuperscript{56}

In summary, our study has made three key findings; firstly, the generation of the first β-catenin interactomes in leukemia cells; secondly, the characterization of LEF-1 as
a regulator of nuclear β-catenin localization in leukemia and finally, the demonstration of post-transcriptional proteolytic degradation mechanisms for controlling LEF-1 expression in myeloid leukemia cells.
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Figure Legends

Figure 1. Myeloid leukemia cell lines exhibit a heterogeneous response to Wnt stimulation. (A) Representative immunoblots showing total β-catenin subcellular-localization in myeloid cells following CHIR99021 treatment (GSK3β inhibitor). Lamin A/C and α-tubulin indicate the purity/loading of the nuclear (N) and cytosol (C) fractions respectively. (B) Representative flow cytometric histograms showing intensity of the TCF-dependent expression of YFP from the ‘β-catenin activated reporter’ (BAR) reporter, or negative control ‘found unresponsive β-catenin activated reporter’ (fuBAR) control (containing mutated promoter binding sites) following treatment with CHIR99021/vehicle control (DMSO) as above. (C) Summary showing the relative percentage nuclear β-catenin localization (as a proportion of the total) induced in myeloid cell lines upon CHIR99021 treatment. (D) Summary showing the median fluorescence intensity generated from the BAR/fuBAR reporters in myeloid cell lines treated ± CHIR99021. Statistical significance is denoted by *P<0.05 and ****P<0.0001, ns=not significant.

Figure 2. Experimental strategy for analysis of β-catenin interaction partners in myeloid leukemia cell lines. (A) Wnt-responsive K562/HEL cells and Wnt-unresponsive cells ML-1 cells were treated with CHIR99021 to stabilize β-catenin prior to cytosolic/nuclear fractionation. From these fractions, either an IgG or β-catenin (β-cat) co-IP was performed generating 8 samples which were each TMT labelled with a unique isobaric mass tag. All samples were pooled, fractionated, cleaned and analysed by mass spectrometry. Mass intensities from each tag report the relative peptide abundance in each sample. Quantitative fold-enrichment of β-catenin co-IP was obtained by comparison with fraction-matched IgG co-IP control.
Three biological replicates were performed on each cell line. (B) Representative immunoblots showing the efficiency of total β-catenin co-IP performed from the cytosol or nuclear fractions of SW620 cells and HEL cells. Detection of the known interaction partner TCF-4 was used to assess binding partner efficiency. ID= immunodepleted lysate. β-Catenin arrows represent full-length/degradation intermediates; TCF-4 arrows indicate 58/79kDa transcriptional isoforms.

**Figure 3. Proteomics analyses reveal contrasting β-catenin interaction profiles between Wnt-responsive and Wnt-unresponsive leukemia cell lines.** Scatter plots showing β-catenin protein interactions detected in (A) K562 cytosolic, (B) K562 nuclear, (C) ML1 cytosolic and (D) ML1 nuclear fractions. Vertical dashed red line indicates the threshold for 2-fold change in protein binding at log2 (≈1) relative to IgG co-IP. Horizontal red line represents threshold for significant interactions at \( p=0.05 \) on log10 scale (≈1.3). Highlighted red dots indicate statistically significant interactions and green highlighted events/labels indicate known interactions/associations for β-catenin. Remaining black dots represent other proteins detected in the MS analysis; see also Supplementary MS Data Excel sheets. Fold change values less than 0 are not shown because these likely represent contaminants (see Supplementary MS Data Excel sheets).

**Figure 4. Mass spectrometric analyses identify putative novel interaction partners for β-catenin in myeloid leukemia cell lines.** Bar graphs summarising the average fold change in protein binding (relative to matched IgG co-IP) for novel, significant β-catenin interactions observed in (A) K562 cytosolic, (B) K562 nuclear, (C) ML1 cytosolic, and (D) ML1 nuclear fractions. Significant proteins only are shown (red dots in Figure 2 panels) with a frequency on the CRAPome database of ≤10% and known interactions were removed. Red asterisks represent proteins of particular significance to myeloid leukemias and/or Wnt signaling (see results). Proteins are ranked along X-axis according to statistical significance (left = most significant); see also Supplementary Table S2.

**Figure 5. Correlation between nuclear LEF-1 and nuclear β-catenin in leukemia cell lines and primary AML samples.** (A) Representative immunoblot screen of myeloid leukemia cell lines showing the relative cytoplasmic (C) and nuclear (N) abundance of candidate proteins influencing β-catenin nuclear localization detected
by MS. SW620 colorectal cells were used as a positive control. TCF-4 arrows indicate 58/79kDa transcriptional isoforms. (B) Representative immunoblots showing the cytosolic and nuclear expression of both LEF-1 and total β-catenin in primary AML patient samples. LEF-1 protein isoforms migrate as bands between 40-55kDa. Lamin A/C and GAPDH or α-tubulin were used to assess fractionation efficiency and equal protein loading. (C) Summary scatter plot showing correlation between relative percentage nuclear β-catenin localization and relative percentage nuclear LEF-1 localization in primary AML patient blasts.

Figure 6. Modulation of LEF-1 expression affects relative nuclear β-catenin level. (A) Representative immunoblots showing the level and subcellular localization of total β-catenin and LEF-1 protein in K562 and HEL cells in response to control/LEF1 shRNA ± CHIR99021 treatment. Shorter 6hr CHIR99021 treatments were used for these cells to minimise the LEF-1 expression induced through Wnt stimulation. (B) Summary graph showing the relative percentage nuclear β-catenin localization induced upon 6hr CHIR99021 treatment of K562 or HEL cells ± control/LEF-1 shRNA. (C) Effect of LEF1 shRNA on the proliferation of K562 and HEL at different concentrations of serum after 24, 48 and 72 hours. (D) Representative immunoblots showing the level and subcellular localization of total β-catenin and LEF-1 protein in ML-1 and U937 cells in response to control/LEF1 overexpression (o/e) ± 16hr CHIR99021 treatment. The positions of full-length (FL) and short-forms (SF) of LEF-1 protein on the blot are indicated by arrows. Lamin A/C and α-tubulin were used to assess fraction purity and protein loading. (E) Summary graph showing the relative percentage nuclear β-catenin localization induced upon CHIR99021 treatment of ML-1 and U937 cells for 16hr ± control/LEF1 overexpression. Statistical significance is denoted by *P<0.05 and **P<0.01.

Figure 7. Modulation of LEF-1 expression affects downstream Wnt signalling. (A) Representative flow cytometric histograms showing intensity of the TCF reporter (BAR) in K562 and HEL cells treated with control/LEF1 shRNA ± CHIR99021. (B) Summary data showing the median fluorescence intensity generated from the BAR reporter in K562 and HEL cells treated with control/LEF1 shRNA ± CHIR99021. (C) Representative immunoblots showing expression of known Wnt target proteins survivin, c-MYC and cyclinD1 in ML-1 and U937 cells in response to control/LEF1
overexpression ± CHIR99021. Lamin A/C and α-tubulin were used to assess fraction purity and protein loading. (D) Summary data showing the relative fold-change in nuclear protein expression of classic Wnt targets survivin, c-MYC and cyclinD1 in CHIR99021 treated ML1 and U937 cells overexpressing LEF1. Dashed line represents relative level (=1) present in nuclei of CHIR99021-treated ML1 and U937 cells expressing control plasmid. Statistical significance is denoted by *P<0.05, **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001, ns=not significant.

Figure 8. Short-form LEF-1 is proteolytically-derived and is capable of β-catenin binding. (A) Representative immunoblot showing total β-catenin co-IP from cytosolic and nuclear fraction of LEF1-overexpressing U937 cells. Total β-Catenin and LEF-1 protein levels are shown. ID= immunodepleted lysate. Representative immunoblot showing LEF-1 protein levels in (B) K562 control and (C) U937-LEF1 nuclear lysates ± protease inhibitor cocktail (PIC) during time course incubation at 37°C. (D) Representative immunoblots showing LEF-1 protein levels in K562 control nuclear lysate after mixing with U937 control whole cell lysate (1:1 protein concentration) ± PIC during time course incubation at 37°C. Nuclear lamin A/C detection was used to assess protein loading.
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