Treatment of psychiatric comorbidities in patients with epilepsy and intellectual disabilities: Is there a role for the neurologist?
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A b s t r a c t

This paper will explore the nature of psychiatric co-morbidities in people with an intellectual disability (ID) who have epilepsy. The complexity of clinical presentations and associated co-morbidities require thorough assessment utilising both neurological and psychiatric skills. The neurologist plays a central role in the management of epilepsy in people with ID and therefore requires basic competencies in the assessment of neuropsychiatric co-morbidities. This is key to liaison with other specialist services to ensure individuals receive holistic person centred care.

1. Introduction

This paper will explore the nature of psychiatric comorbidities in people with an intellectual disability (ID) who have epilepsy. The complexity of clinical presentations and associated comorbidities means that thorough assessment needs both neurological and psychiatric skills.

There is a strong relationship between epilepsy and ID, with the prevalence of epilepsy increasing with severity of ID. A pooled meta-analysis of people with ID estimates an overall prevalence of epilepsy of 22% [1]. Epilepsy is associated with high levels of comorbidity including a wide range of neurological and psychiatric disorders, and the prevalence of common psychiatric disorders is higher in people with epilepsy than in the general population (Table 1). This includes the population with ID [9], particularly those with active epilepsy [10]. Psychiatric symptoms may be directly linked to seizure activity and present perictally (preictal, ictal, or postictal) or temporally independent of seizure occurrence (interictally).

Neuropsychiatric symptoms have a detrimental impact upon quality of life [2]. They may be explained by common risk factors, underlying anatomical problems, pathological processes that interact both directly and indirectly or the wider impact of epilepsy as a chronic condition [11,12]. A major challenge in attempting to identify the incidence of psychiatric diagnoses for people with epilepsy is sampling a representative population. Sample populations are often derived from specialist epilepsy centers with complex disease and higher rates of neuropsychiatric comorbidities. Comparing epidemiological studies is difficult, owing to methodological differences, especially when specifically considering epilepsy and ID. Studies are limited with numerous confounding factors, variability in assessment tools, and heterogeneous populations [13].

2. Psychiatric comorbidities in people with epilepsy and ID

Up to one-third of people with epilepsy and ID may have a diagnosable psychiatric illness, far above the rate observed in a comparable sample of people with ID alone [14]. A recent systematic review examined neuropsychiatric comorbidities in people with epilepsy and ID. People with epilepsy and ID show higher levels of mood dysregulation, negative mood symptoms, and a lack of empathy [13]. In contrast to other research, there is some evidence that people with epilepsy and ID have less psychiatric symptoms when compared with people with ID alone. Investigators suggest that this may be related to the effect of anticonvulsant medication [15]. There are a number of risk factors for psychiatric comorbidities in people with epilepsy and ID (see Table 2). These include genotype, autistic features, ID severity, and antiepileptic drug (AED) prescription [16]. Both
Seizure severity and frequency have consistently been identified as independent risk factors for psychiatric symptoms in people with epilepsy and ID [14].

People with epilepsy and ID should not be excluded from epilepsy surgical interventions (National Institute for Health and Care Excellence [NICE], CG137) [17]. However, surgical interventions for people with epilepsy and ID remain lower than those without ID [18]. Improvements in behavioral outcomes measures have been observed a year after epilepsy surgery [19]. There is evidence to suggest that epilepsy surgery actually improves both behavioral and cognitive functioning in specific subpopulations of people with epilepsy and ID [16].

The presence of epilepsy in the ID population is also associated with an overall increased risk of behavioral problems [20]. Behavioral changes that commonly manifest in people with epilepsy and ID include aggression, self-injurious behavior (SIB), disruptive or destructive behavior, and stereotyped behaviors [21]. Behavioral disorders are multifactorial and may be influenced by a wide range of environmental factors. A number of genetic syndromes (Angelman, Cornelia de Lange, Cri du Chat, Fragile X, Prader–Willi, Smith–Magenis) are associated with specific and nonspecific behavioral changes including increased aggression [22]. The severity of intellectual disability itself is associated with a wide range of behavioral problems including hyperactivity and stereotypical behavior [14,23]. However, there is evidence to suggest that when confounders are controlled particularly psychiatric comorbidities, increased rates of aggression become statistically insignificant [24].
2.1. Autism

Autism or autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a common comorbidity found in association with epilepsy and ID [25] with an estimated prevalence of over 25% [26]. Autism and ID is associated with increased stereotyped behaviors, SIB, property destruction, aggression, anxiety, irritability, and impaired attention and hyperactivity [27,28]. Autism is also associated with an impairment in overall functioning, a known risk factor for behavior problems, suggesting comorbid neurodevelopmental disorders increase the risk of both behavior problems and psychopathology [29,30].

2.2. Antiepileptic drugs (AEDs)

For some individuals, certain AEDs may be associated with adverse neuropsychiatric symptoms (Table 3). However, improvement in seizure control with AEDs can lead to improvement in the cognitive and behavioral effects of epilepsy [32,33]. It has been consistently shown that those individuals with preexisting psychiatric comorbidities are more likely to develop psychiatric adverse effects with AEDs. It is possible that psychiatric side effects may be less common with AEDs that block sodium channels [34]. There is limited evidence evaluating the impact of AEDs in the population with epilepsy and ID. A Cochrane review shows that many of the investigations conducted in this population are low powered, uncontrolled, retrospective, and use unreliable measures of behavior [35]. Behavioral and cognitive side effects of AEDs in this population require further investigation with robust methodology and appropriate assessment measures [35].

Antiepileptic drugs can be used to treat a wide range of psychiatric and behavioral disorders (Table 4). The mood stabilizing properties of valproate, lamotrigine, and carbamazepine have been well-established and they are routinely used as treatment as an acute or prophylactic agent in affective disorders [38]. A systematic review has demonstrated the wide range of psychiatric conditions treated with gabapentin, ranging from anxiety disorders to dependence syndromes [39].

3. Managing psychiatric comorbidities — key components

3.1. Assessment

Assessment and treatment of psychiatric comorbidities can be complex because of the wide range of etiologies and comorbidities in people with epilepsy and ID. There are consensus guidelines for the management of behavioral disorder in people with epilepsy and ID (Table 5) [16, 40]. The assessment process should adopt a person-centered approach and aim to identify whether changes in behavior or psychiatric symptoms are directly related to the epilepsy or influenced by its management.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3: Potential neuropsychiatric effects of AEDs (adapted from Schmitz, 2006 [31]).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phenobarbital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenytion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethosuximide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbamazepine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valproate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vigabatrin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamotrigine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabapentin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topiramate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levetiracetam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zonisamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacosamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perampanel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Specific evidence in population with ID.

It is important to exclude potentially reversible causes of new onset psychiatric symptoms in people with epilepsy. These include delirium, which typically has a fluctuating course, inattention, and disordered thinking.
or altered level of consciousness. Delirium can be precipitated by infections, metabolic disturbances, toxins, hypoxia, urinary retention, and constipation [42]. Nonconvulsive status epilepticus can cause behavioral change as well as altered awareness, and there should be a low threshold for requesting an electroencephalogram (EEG) and/or considering a trial of benzodiazepines if this is considered. Other diagnoses to consider include alcohol and illicit drugs, limbic encephalitis, and neurodegenerative diseases [42]. Depending on the initial assessment, blood tests and/or brain imaging might be necessary if some of these conditions are suspected.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AED</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lamotrigine</td>
<td>McKee et al., 2003</td>
<td>Improvement in stereotypy and lethargy. Adverse events included emotional change.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>McKee et al., 2006</td>
<td>Improvements in all areas of the Aberrant Behavior Checklist (ABC)^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sunder et al., 2006</td>
<td>Significant improvement in stereotypy, hyperactivity, lethargy, and inappropriate speech on ABC in community sample.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamotrigine and Gabapentin</td>
<td>Crawford et al., 2001</td>
<td>Reduction in challenging behavior.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topiramate</td>
<td>Kerr et al., 2005</td>
<td>No significant change in behavior compared to placebo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Martin et al., 2009</td>
<td>ABC- small improvements in major behavioral areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levetiracetam</td>
<td>Helmstaedter et al., 2008</td>
<td>More negative side effects in people with ID vs general population.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perampanel</td>
<td>Shankar et al., 2017</td>
<td>Behavioral changes across sample. Behavioral and adverse mental health effects — aggression, agitation, disruptive behavior, depression, anxiety, mood swings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Andres et al., 2017</td>
<td>Behavioral adverse effects — aggression.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a ABC – Aberrant Behavior Checklist — a scale devised to assess treatment effects in people with ID [37].
3.1.1. Defining the behavior
A video-EEG can be useful for people with epilepsy and ID to help differentiate seizure activity from behavior [43]. This, along with detailed information gathering and observations, will help discriminate seizures from other complex behaviors or stereotyped movements [44].

3.1.2. Psychiatric symptoms in people with an intellectual disability
The presentation of psychiatric symptoms in the population with ID can be complex and as heterogeneous as the population itself. This complexity may be increased by common comorbidities including neurodevelopmental disorders such as autism. The presence of communication difficulties alters our approach to assessment and diagnosis, and may diminish reliability. Therefore, attempting to apply objective and subjective diagnostic criteria to psychiatric symptoms is not straightforward.

In order to fully understand behavior, a detailed functional analysis may be required. This process will often require the input of specialist multidisciplinary learning disability teams to provide a holistic approach. This process is inevitably longer but needs to take into account all potential variables that may influence behavior and symptoms including environmental, social, sensory, emotional, and biological factors. Work may be required from a number of specialists from within the team including speech and language therapy, occupational therapy, nursing, and psychology in order to reach an accurate formulation of any individual's needs. There are specific evidence-based adapted diagnostic criteria available for people with ID that may help with the assessment process [45].

Following this assessment there will be a clearer indication as to whether the behavior is related to seizures, epilepsy treatment, or other factors (Table 5). There are validated tools available to help inform the assessment process including the Aberrant Behavior Checklist (ABC) [46].

3.2. Treatment
The NICE clinical guidelines on epilepsies (CG137) [17] suggest the same treatment for people with ID as the general population. However, there is no clear guidance on the specific complexities associated with epilepsy and ID. The Royal College of Psychiatrists has produced a technical paper advising on the prescription of AEDs in epilepsy for people with ID [47], based on current evidence. The College Report also includes considerations around the treatment of psychiatric comorbidities.

3.2.1. AED-related neuropsychiatric symptoms
The consensus guidelines [40] produced by the Health Special Interest Group of the International association for the Scientific Study of Intellectual Disability (IASSIDD), on the management of epilepsy in adults with ID, consider the impact of AEDs on behavior and cognition a priority. It is recommended that prior to the introduction of an AED, baseline assessments of behavior and cognition are completed, using validated tools, for later comparison [48]. Some AEDs have neuropsychiatric side effect profiles (see Table 5), and these should be borne in mind before they are prescribed to people with epilepsy and ID. For example phenobarbitone, phenytoin, and topiramate have been shown to have adverse effects upon cognition, which is particularly relevant for people with ID [49]. Prescribing older AEDs including phenobarbitone and phenytoin is not advised for people with ID [47]. The prescription of multiple AEDs, at high doses, with rapid titration, is associated with an increased risk of adverse cognitive and behavioural effects [50]; AEDs should therefore be prescribed at the lowest possible dose with slow titration. This will help accurate assessment of efficacy and side effects. Slow titration will help identify the minimal therapeutic dose. If considering adding a new AED to treatment, it is advisable to do so before withdrawing the old AED. This will help assess the cause for changes in seizure control and side effect profile [47].

For individuals with particular risk factors including previous psychiatric history, history of behavioral disturbance, treatment-resistant epilepsy, and family history of psychiatric disorders, there should be close monitoring for the emergence of neuropsychiatric symptoms. These symptoms may emerge during any change in AED medication, if withdrawing AEDs with mood stabilizing effect (carbamazepine, oxcarbazepine, valproate, lamotrigine), or if seizure freedom is achieved [50].

3.2.2. Intercital psychiatric comorbidity

The treatment of interictal psychiatric manifestations including depression, anxiety disorders, and psychotic illness will follow guidelines as advised for the general population. However, there are some specific considerations for people with epilepsy and ID. Psychological therapies, for example, may need to be adapted and tailored specifically to an individual’s level of understanding and communication. The evidence for the use of psychological therapies for people with epilepsy and ID is limited with no randomized controlled trials available [35]. There is evidence to support the use of tailored cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) in people with ID for the treatment of anxiety, depression, and psychosis [16]. Prior to the initiation of any therapy a 'step-wise' approach with formal neuropsychological and metacognitive assessment is recommended. This will help to gain a baseline understanding of cognitive ability, skills, emotional regulation, and insight, all aspects relevant to successful CBT [51].

3.2.3. Pharmacological management

3.2.3.1. Depression. If a depressive disorder is considered then the first step may be referral to specialist mental health services for assessment and diagnosis. The first line management of a depressive disorder may include supportive therapy and consideration for psychological therapy such as CBT where available [16]. If it is established that the depressive episode warrants pharmacological treatment then selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) are considered first line therapy. Serotonin norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs) have also been shown be have no detrimental impact on seizure control at therapeutic doses. Older agents such as the tricyclic antidepressant clomipramine may reduce the seizure threshold [52]. There appears to be a dose-dependent relationship between the prescription of antidepressants and seizures. Therefore when prescribing, it is advised to start at a low dose with slow titration. When considering which SSRI to prescribe, it may be advised to consider alternatives to fluoxetine such as citalopram or sertraline. This is because fluoxetine has a long half-life and is associated with more drug interactions [47].

3.2.3.2. Psychosis. The treatment of interictal psychosis or psychotic disorders such as schizophrenia should follow usual treatment pathways as for the general population. It is well-understood that antipsychotic medications have the propensity to impact upon seizure control. In particular chlorpromazine and clozapine have been shown to demonstrate proconvulsive effects in patients with epilepsy [53]. Clozapine can cause epileptiform EEG changes and seizures even at therapeutic doses [54]. Rapid titration and high dose of clozapine may be the most detrimental to good seizure management [12]. A study examining the influence of antipsychotic drugs on seizures has highlighted that first generation antipsychotics have a slighter higher association with seizure risk. Among the second generation antipsychotic drugs, Clozapine has been associated with the highest incidence of seizures when compared with placebo, followed by olanzapine and then
Aripiprazole may have the lowest potential to induce seizures, and is worth considering first, if seizure control is paramount.

### 3.2.4. Behavioral disorders

Behavioral assessment and intervention should form a core component of the management of a behavioral disorder according to NICE guideline NG11. A randomized, single-blind, controlled trial of specialist behavior therapy for challenging behavior in people with ID showed significant improvement in ABC scores and other measures of behavior. Given the complexity of presentation of behavioral disorders in people with epilepsy and ID, this process would be best shared with specialist ID psychiatrist services.

### 3.2.5. Pharmacological management

There is limited evidence to support using psychotropic medications to treat challenging behavior in people with ID. A randomized controlled trial assessing the efficacy of risperidone and haloperidol in the treatment of aggression in people with ID showed no benefit compared with placebo. Despite this, a large proportion of people with ID are treated with antipsychotic medication for challenging behavior without a diagnosis of serious mental illness.

When psychotropic medication for behavioral disturbances is necessary then prescription and monitoring should be done in liaison with specialist psychiatric services. The prescription of antipsychotic medication for challenging behavior should be short-term and monitored for efficacy. Target behaviors should be identified from the outset for treatment and monitored considering the multifactorial nature of behavior. As discussed, concerns will remain over the potential impact of the prescribed psychotropic medications on seizure control.

### 3.2.6. Periictal disturbance and postictal psychosis

Fear and anxiety can also be associated with seizures themselves either in the preictal or ictal period. Differentiation between these two presentations can be difficult but is important in terms of treatment decisions.

Postictal psychosis can severely affect quality of life and pose particular difficulties in management. Classically a postictal psychosis is observed after a symptom-free period following the seizure. This time lag can be anything from hours to seven days. This disturbance is usually relatively short-lived; however, prolonged debilitating presentations may warrant antipsychotic treatment. The antipsychotic can then be reduced and discontinued gradually following improvement in symptoms.

Improvement in seizure control will help reduce the likelihood of further postictal events, and so, treatment should be maximized. However, with seizure freedom comes the risk of so-called ‘forced-normalization’. This is a risk of developing potentially severe psychotic and affective symptoms with seizure remission in patients with complex treatment-resistant epilepsy. This may be more likely with certain AEDs and should be managed as with interictal disturbances.

### 4. Delivery of care — the role of the neurologist

Epilepsy in people with ID is managed by various healthcare professionals including primary care physicians, specialist nurses, neurologists, psychiatrists, and neuropsychiatrists. Care pathways vary both within and between countries with limited guidance as to the appropriate care pathway for individuals. In the UK, multidisciplinary teams for people with ID tend to be based in the community where as neurological services tend to be hospital based. Two studies of English patients with learning disability and epilepsy found that around 40% received care for their epilepsy from a hospital-based neurology service. People with ID and epilepsy managed primarily by neurologists had more neurological comorbidities while those managed by community ID teams had more psychiatric comorbidity.

Most neurologists with an interest in epilepsy will see patients with ID and should therefore be aware of the spectrum of psychiatric comorbidities in this population, how to screen for them, avoid them, and treat if appropriate. Neurologists may have the best skills to exclude and or diagnose other underlying conditions that can cause psychiatric features, e.g., limbic encephalitis or neurodegenerative disorders. Neurologists should also be able to manage psychiatric side effects of AEDs.
Neurologists may lack specific training and competence in managing significant psychiatric comorbidities in epilepsy. A recent survey highlighted a lower level of self-perceived knowledge and confidence in dealing with psychiatric comorbidities and epilepsy when comparing neurologists to psychiatrists [63]. In the same survey only just over 20% of adult neurologists felt their knowledge of epilepsy and ID was good or very good compared with 70% of psychiatrists. Given this, it may be appropriate that patients with more complicated or severe psychiatric comorbidities are managed by psychiatrists/neuropsychiatrists.

There is clearly therefore a need for greater support of neurologists both in terms of training and direct support form psychological services. This notwithstanding the neurologist has a current and important role in managing psychiatric comorbidities. This role can be divided into three areas of expertise. These three areas we describe below.

**Competency A.** The neurologist and the assessment of psychiatric comorbidities. All neurologists should have the competency to identify the presence, or the suspicion of the presence of psychiatric disturbance, such as nonconvulsive status, infection, metabolic or toxic causes. Following this all neurologists should have the competency to refer for further psychiatric assessment or manage as in competencies B and C below.

**Competency B.** The neurologist managing epilepsy-related psychiatric comorbidities. Following identification of epilepsy-related psychiatric comorbidity all neurologists will have the competency to alter AED medication if needed and to assess treatment options to reduce seizures or to manage neuropsychiatric side effects. Competency in managing postictal psychiatric symptoms will depend on training and experience. Where needed referral to psychiatric services should occur.

**Competency C.** The treatment of psychiatric comorbidity. This is again an area for personal skill and experience. Many neurologists have experience in treating depression for example. However, most neurologists lack the skills necessary to treat psychosis and behavioral disturbance. The nature of psychiatric comorbidity, particularly challenging behavior needs the input of a multidisciplinary team. In this context, the neurologist best works as a source of guidance and skills to the team.

5. Conclusions
Psychiatric symptoms and behavioral disturbance are common in patients with epilepsy and ID and can be related to the epilepsy, epilepsy treatment, or other conditions or can occur as comorbid conditions. Careful assessment of psychiatric symptoms and behavioral disturbance are necessary to work out their etiology. Structured multidisciplinary assessment techniques can be a useful adjunct due to the complexity or etiology and comorbidities. Neurologists have a role in the multidisciplinary management of patients with ID and epilepsy with psychiatric comorbidities. Neurologist’s skills may be particularly suited to screening for other conditions that may cause psychiatric symptoms, managing seizures, and changing AEDs if necessary. It might be more appropriate however for psychiatrists to advise on or manage psychiatric comorbidities, particularly if treatment with antipsychotic medication is warranted.
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