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Obeticholic acid ameliorates dyslipidemia but not glucose tolerance in mouse model of gestational diabetes
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McLyride S, Nikolova V, Fan HM, McDonald JA, Wahlström A, Bellafante E, Jansen E, Adorni L, Shapiro D, Jones P, Marchesi JR, Marschall HU, Williamson C. Obeticholic acid ameliorates dyslipidemia but not glucose tolerance in mouse model of gestational diabetes. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 317: E399–E410, 2019. First published June 25, 2019; doi:10.1152/ajpendo.00407.2018.—Metabolism alters markedly with advancing gestation, characterized by progressive insulin resistance, dyslipidemia, and raised serum bile acids. The nuclear receptor farnesoid X receptor (FXR) has an integral role in bile acid homeostasis and modulates glucose and lipid metabolism. FXR is known to be functionally suppressed in pregnancy. The FXR agonist, obeticholic acid (OCA), improves insulin sensitivity in patients with type 2 diabetes with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. We therefore hypothesized that OCA treatment during pregnancy could improve disease severity in a mouse model of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM). C57BL/6J mice were fed a high-fat diet (HFD; 60% kcal from fat) for 4 wk before and throughout pregnancy to induce GDM. The impact of the diet supplemented with 0.03% OCA throughout pregnancy was studied. Pregnant HFD-fed mice displayed insulin resistance and dyslipidemia. OCA significantly reduced plasma cholesterol concentrations in non-pregnant and pregnant HFD-fed mice (by 22.4%, P < 0.05 and 36.4%, P < 0.001, respectively) and reduced the impact of pregnancy on insulin resistance but did not change glucose tolerance. In non-pregnant HFD-fed mice, OCA ameliorated weight gain, reduced mRNA expression of inflammatory markers in white adipose tissue, and reduced plasma glucagon-like peptide 1 concentrations (by 62.7%, P < 0.01). However, these effects were not evident in pregnant mice. OCA administration can normalize plasma cholesterol levels in a mouse model of GDM. However, the absence of several of the effects of OCA in pregnant mice indicates that the agonistic action of OCA is not sufficient to overcome many metabolic consequences of the pregnancy-associated reduction in FXR activity.
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INTRODUCTION

Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is increasingly prevalent worldwide in association with the rising incidence of obesity in women of reproductive age (13, 17). Affected women have increased risks of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, including preeclampsia (52), and they have associated dyslipidemia (48) in addition to hyperglycemia (48, 60). There are also risks for the baby, including increased fetal growth that can cause large-for-gestational-age infants and associated shoulder dystocia, birth injury, and neonatal hypoglycemia (3, 7, 23, 45). Furthermore, GDM has long-term consequences for both the mother and offspring, with increased risk of diabetes and other morbidities associated with metabolic syndrome later in life (6, 20).

The nuclear receptor farnesoid X receptor (FXR) not only regulates bile acid homeostasis but also influences lipid and glucose metabolism (27). FXR expression is reduced in rodent models of both type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus (16), and Fxr−/− mice have elevated serum glucose concentrations and insulin resistance (9, 32, 64), as well as hypercholanemia (37, 50). A recent study found that elevated total serum bile acids in the first trimester of pregnancy was associated with increased risk of developing GDM (25). Individual bile acid species also differ between women with GDM and normal pregnancy (19). Another study reported a strong inverse correlation between taurine-conjugated bile acids and glycemic index that enabled discrimination between GDM and uncomplicated pregnancy (15). These changes in bile acid metabolism suggest that FXR activity is altered in GDM. Indeed, one study has reported reduced plasma levels of fibroblast growth factor (FGF) 19 in GDM, which could be indicative of diminished intestinal FXR activation (58). Furthermore, FXR activity is reduced in pregnancy (36), and women with gestational cholestasis have increased rates of GDM (34, 61). We therefore hypothesized that pharmacological activation of FXR could ameliorate the insulin resistance and dyslipidemia that occurs in GDM.

Obeticholic acid (OCA) is a bile acid analog with markedly higher affinity for FXR than the natural ligand, chenodeoxycholic acid (47). OCA is approved for the treatment of primary biliary cholangitis and is in phase 3 studies for the treatment of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, and clinical studies have shown that OCA administration affects the lipid profile leading to reduced plasma triglycerides (42, 57) and improves insulin sensitivity (41). We hypothesized that OCA treatment could
ameliorate impaired glucose tolerance, insulin resistance, and dyslipidemia in a high-fat diet (HFD) mouse model of GDM.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animal studies.** Six- to seven-week-old C57BL/6J mice were purchased from Envigo (United Kingdom) and acclimatized to the animal facility for 1 wk. Mice were maintained on a 12-h light-dark cycle with free access to food and water. All experiments were performed in accordance with the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 and approved by King’s College London’s Animal Welfare and Ethical Review Body and the Home Office.

Female mice were fed standard CRM diet (normal chow (NC)) or a high-fat diet (HFD; RM AFE 60% fat, cat. no. 824054, Special Diets Services, UK), for 4 wk before being mated with age-matched male mice (see Table 1 for diet composition). Upon identification of a copulatory plug, considered to be day 1 of pregnancy (GD1), the females either continued the same diet or received a diet supplemented with 0.03% OCA (50 mg/kg per day). This dose was based on a previous study of OCA administration in mice (4). A high dose was chosen due to the short window of treatment allowed by pregnancy. Diet-matched virgin female mice (D0) were used as nonpregnant controls and received OCA-supplemented diets for the same period of time as pregnant mice. Mice were euthanized by CO₂ inhalation on GD18 (or equivalent) after fasting for 4 h from 8 AM and blood and tissues were collected.

**Glucose and insulin tolerance tests.** Glucose and insulin tolerance tests were performed on GD16 or GD17, respectively. Mice were fasted for 6 h from 8 AM and administered either 2 g/kg body weight glucose or 0.75 IU/kg body weight insulin by intraperitoneal injection. Blood glucose concentrations were measured using a FreeStyle Lite glucometer (Abbott Healthcare, UK).

**mRNA expression analysis.** Total RNA was isolated from frozen tissue samples using the RNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN, UK) and reverse transcribed using Superscript II Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, UK) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Gene expression was quantified by real-time PCR using SYBR Green Mastermix (Sigma-Aldrich, UK) and a Viia7 system (Life Technologies, UK). Cyclophilin b was used as a housekeeping gene, and relative expression of target genes was calculated by the ∆∆Ct method. The relative change in expression is given as 2^−∆∆Ct. Primer sequences are provided in Table 2.

**Plasma glucagon-like peptide-1 measurement.** Glucagon-like peptide (GLP)-1 was measured in plasma samples taken from the portal vein at euthanization on GD18 or equivalent by GLP-1 (Active) ELISA (Millipore, UK) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

**Lipid biochemistry.** Lipids were extracted from tissues in a 0.125 M potassium phosphate buffer and normalized to total protein content. Cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, triglycerides, free fatty acids, and total protein were measured in plasma and tissue extracts using a Unicel Dxc 800 autoanalyzer (Beckman-Coulter, the Netherlands) and dedicated kits, with the exception of free fatty acids, which were measured using a kit from Wako Diagnostics (Germany).

**Bile acid quantification.** Bile acids were measured in plasma and cecal samples collected at euthanization on GD18 or equivalent using a high-performance liquid chromatography Alliance 2695 system coupled to a Xevo TQ mass spectrometer using a SunFire C18 column (4.6 × 100 mm, 3.5 μm; Waters, UK), as previously described (49). 16S rRNA gene sequencing. DNA was extracted from cecal samples using the QIAamp Fast DNA Stool Mini Kit (QIAGEN, UK) according to the manufacturers’ instructions. Sample libraries were prepared as previously described (35). Sequencing was performed using the MiSeq Reagent Kit v3 and paired-end 300 bp chemistry on an Illumina MiSeq platform (Illumina). Data analysis was performed using Mothur software (v1.35.1; https://www.mothur.org/) following

### Table 1. Diet composition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition [% (wt/wt)]</th>
<th>CRM (Normal Chow)</th>
<th>High-Fat Diet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>4.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein</td>
<td>18.35</td>
<td>25.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetable-derived fat</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>4.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal-derived fat</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>29.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fiber</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>4.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen-free extract</td>
<td>57.39</td>
<td>25.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFE (kcal AFE/g)</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>5.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFE from fat (% kcal)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFE from protein (% kcal)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFE from carbohydrate (% kcal)</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AFE, Atwater fuel energy; CRM, standard diet.

**Table 2. Primer sequences**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Forward Primer (5’-3’)</th>
<th>Reverse Primer (3’-5’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abcg5</td>
<td>TCAATGACTTTTACGCTGTAAG</td>
<td>GCAGTGTGGTTAAATGGAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abcg8</td>
<td>TGCCACCTCCAGATGCCTCC</td>
<td>ATGAAGCGCGCATAAAGTGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asbt</td>
<td>TCTGCGCAAGCTCCGGCC</td>
<td>CTGAGGTGTCATCCGGAGTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bsep</td>
<td>AAACGACATCTTGCTAGTTG</td>
<td>CAATAGCTGCTGACCGCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd68</td>
<td>CCACCGAGCAGCACTGGAGAA</td>
<td>GCAGAAGTCTGCGCAAGGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp7a1</td>
<td>GCACGACAGTCCGAGTATA</td>
<td>GCACGAGCTGCTGACCGCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclophilin B</td>
<td>TGGAGGACCCAGCAAGACACA</td>
<td>TCCCGAGTGGCAACATGAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp801</td>
<td>TGAGCGCTCTCTGCTGAGC</td>
<td>GAGGAGCTGCTGACCCAGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fgfr4</td>
<td>GCACACCTGCTACATATAAAA</td>
<td>GCAGAGACCTGCTGACCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hmgcr</td>
<td>TGGGACACCATGCTGACCGG</td>
<td>AGCGAGCAGACGCGGGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibabp</td>
<td>TGAGATGAGAGAGAGATTCAG</td>
<td>TTACTCCTCTTCTCAACAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ldb</td>
<td>CTGGCTGCAAGGAAACATCCAGT</td>
<td>AATCCAGCAATAGGAGGAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ntcp</td>
<td>GAATGCTGAAAGGGGCTATATTGT</td>
<td>AACCGCAAGAAGGAGGAAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ost</td>
<td>TGAGCAGCTCTCCTGCTTCCG</td>
<td>AGCCGAGTGCTGCTGAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostb</td>
<td>TGGACAGCGCTGCTTGTCTG</td>
<td>CTGCTTCATTGCAGGTTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shp</td>
<td>CGATCTCTCTGCTCCACAGAGATG</td>
<td>AGGCCTCAAGACGCTGCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Srb1</td>
<td>GAGGAGCTGGGACCTGATG</td>
<td>AGCCGAGTGCTGCTGAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Srebp2</td>
<td>CTTCAGCTCCCGGAAGGTTG</td>
<td>AGCCGAGTGCTGCTGAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tnfa</td>
<td>AGACGACATGCTGAGAAGGCA</td>
<td>GGCTTCGCGGCAAGGCTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-klotho</td>
<td>GATAGCAAATTCTAAGACAGGOTT</td>
<td>AGCCGAGTGCTGCTGAGG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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the MiSeq SOP Pipeline (54). Sequence alignments were obtained from the Silva bacterial database (https://www.arb-silva.de/), and sequences were classified according to the Ribosomal Database Project reference sequence files using the Wang method (18). Nonmetric multidimensional scaling plots and permutational multivariate analysis of variance P values were produced using the UniFrac weighted distance matrix created by Mothur, and analysis was carried out using the statistical software, R (www.r-project.org). Bacterial relative abundance was expressed as extended error bar plots using the Statistical Analysis of Metagenomic Profiles software package using White’s nonparametric t-test with Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate. P and q values < 0.05 were considered significant.

**Statistical analysis.** Data are expressed as means ± SE. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism software (version 7; GraphPad Software), unless otherwise specified. Data were assessed for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk normality test. Where two groups were being compared, Student’s t-test was used. For comparison of three or more groups, ANOVA was used, followed by Tukey’s post hoc analysis, unless otherwise specified. A P value < 0.05 was considered significant.

**RESULTS**

OCA ameliorates weight gain and white adipose tissue inflammation in nonpregnant HFD-fed mice. Nonpregnant mice that were treated with OCA gained significantly less weight than mice fed HFD alone; however, no difference was observed in pregnant mice (Fig. 1A). This difference was also evident when maternal weight was normalized by subtracting the weight of the uterine horn and contents at GD18 (Fig. 1B). The liver-to-body weight ratio was significantly reduced by HFD feeding in nonpregnant mice only, which was also lessened by OCA treatment (Fig. 1C). OCA also significantly reduced the expression of inflammatory markers Cd68 and Tnf-α in white adipose tissue of nonpregnant HFD-fed mice (Fig. 1D).

OCA does not improve glucose tolerance in HFD-fed mice. Glucose and insulin tolerance tests were performed on GD16 or GD17, respectively, or equivalent for nonpregnant controls. Both nonpregnant and pregnant mice displayed significantly
impaired glucose tolerance upon HFD feeding (Fig. 2A), but only pregnant HFD-fed mice had significantly reduced insulin tolerance (Fig. 2B). There was no effect of OCA treatment on glucose tolerance. Insulin tolerance was improved by OCA in pregnant HFD-fed mice, but it did not return to baseline levels. OCA significantly reduced fasting plasma GLP-1 levels in NC- and HFD-fed nonpregnant mice, but not in pregnant mice (Fig. 2C).

OC reduces plasma cholesterol in nonpregnant and pregnant mice. HFD feeding caused a significant increase in total plasma cholesterol in pregnant mice only. However, OCA administration significantly reduced the total cholesterol in HFD-fed nonpregnant mice (Fig. 3A). In pregnant mice, OCA treatment significantly reduced total cholesterol in both NC- and HFD-fed mice (Fig. 3A). This effect was largely due to a reduction in HDL cholesterol, although OCA also significantly reduced LDL cholesterol in HFD-fed pregnant mice (Fig. 3, B and C). There was no effect of OCA on plasma triglyceride or free fatty acid levels (data not shown), and hepatic lipid levels were also unaffected by OCA treatment (data not shown). When expression of lipid homeostasis targets was assayed in maternal liver, the analysis showed that in NC-fed mice OCA significantly reduced the expression of targets, including Srebp2, a transcription factor regulating cholesterol synthesis; Abcg5/8, which effluxes sterols (including cholesterol) into bile; and the LDL and HDL receptors, Ldlr and Srb1. Expression of Hmgcr, the rate-limiting enzyme in cholesterol synthesis, was unchanged by OCA treatment. However, these effects were not apparent in pregnant mice (Fig. 3E), despite them also having reduced plasma cholesterol. This observation led us to conclude that either the reduction in cholesterol is not due to any transcriptional changes in hepatic lipid homeostasis pathways or the mechanisms are distinct in nonpregnant and pregnant mice. Consistent with previous studies (43), mRNA expression of cholesterol homeostasis targets is reduced in late pregnancy in mice (Fig. 3D). Furthermore, HFD feeding also reduced expression of these targets, with no further effect of OCA with the exception of increased Srebp2 expression in HFD-fed nonpregnant mice. This suggests that the repression of these targets by pregnancy and HFD has abrogated any impact of OCA. There was no effect of OCA on lipid biochemistry in the placenta or fetal liver (data not shown).

Fig. 2. Obeticholic acid (OCA) does not improve glucose tolerance in high-fat diet (HFD)-fed mice. A: glucose tolerance tests (GTT) were performed on day 16 of pregnancy (or equivalent for nonpregnant (D0) controls); n = 7–10 mice per group. B: insulin tolerance tests (ITT) were performed on day 17 of pregnancy (or equivalent for D0 controls); n = 7–11 mice per group. *P < 0.05 as determined by two-way repeated measures ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test; a, normal chow (NC) vs. NC OCA; b, NC vs. HFD. For area under the curve (AUC), *P < 0.05 vs. NC, #P < 0.05 vs. HFD, as determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test; n = 6–8 mice per group. Data are expressed as means ± SE.
OCA modulates plasma bile acids in NC- but not HFD-fed mice. Because of the key role of FXR in modulating bile acid homeostasis, bile acid concentrations were measured in maternal plasma. There was no significant effect of HFD or OCA on either total plasma bile acids or total unconjugated and conjugated bile acids (Fig. 4, A–C). HFD did not significantly impact individual bile acid species concentrations (Fig. 4, D and E). In nonpregnant NC-fed mice, OCA caused an increase in α- and ω-muricholic acid (MCA), chenodeoxycholic acid (CDCA), and ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA) and a decrease in deoxycholic acid (DCA). OCA did not alter any bile acid species in HFD-fed nonpregnant mice. In pregnancy, OCA treatment similarly caused a significant increase in UDCA and decrease in DCA and also caused a decrease in taurocholic acid (TCA) in NC-fed mice. However, in HFD-fed mice, OCA decreased DCA concentrations only. Of note, OCA and tauro-OCA were both present in plasma at similar levels across all groups fed OCA, confirming absorption of OCA from the diet.

OCA affects cecal bile acids disparately in pregnant and nonpregnant mice. Bile acids were also measured in the cecum, which reflects the bile acid composition of the colon and feces (49). Total cecal bile acid concentrations were not different between any of the groups (Fig. 5A). However, HFD and OCA affected total primary and secondary bile acids disparately in nonpregnant and pregnant mice (Fig. 5, B and C). HFD feeding caused an increase in the total concentration of primary bile acids in D18 mice and also elevated total secondary bile acids in D0 mice. OCA caused an increase in total primary bile acids in HFD-fed D0 mice, predominantly due to raised conjugated and unconjugated MCA (Fig. 5, D and E). However, in pregnant mice, OCA reduced total secondary bile acids in NC-fed D18 mice, largely due to reduced DCA and ωMCA (Fig. 5D). As expected, cecal bile acids were predominantly unconjugated (Fig. 5, D and E).

OCA alters cecal microbiome in NC- but not HFD-fed mice. Because of the relationship between bile acids and the intestinal microbiome (56), 16S rRNA genes were sequenced to characterize the cecal microbiota. Distribution of cecal microbiota was most affected by HFD feeding (Fig. 6A), with alterations in Bacteroidetes, Proteobacteria, and Deferribacteres in particular. Similarly, this is reflected in nonmetric multidimensional scaling plots showing that the composition of cecal microbiota is markedly different in HFD-fed mice compared with NC-fed mice (Fig. 6, B and C). However, no effect of OCA was observed on bacterial taxa in the HFD groups (data not shown). In NC-fed nonpregnant mice, however, OCA treatment led to distinct differences in microbiota with pregnant mice (Fig. 6C). In particular, OCA treatment caused a large increase in an unclassified Bacteroidales family and a decrease in the Firmicutes family Ruminococcaceae.

Both pregnancy and HFD alter OCA’s impact on FXR signaling. Our data show that several effects of OCA in nonpregnant mice are absent in pregnant mice. Gene expression of FXR targets in the liver and distal ileum was reduced in pregnancy (Fig. 7, A and C). However, in pregnant mice, there was induction of Shp and reduction of Cyp8b1, Ntcp, Fgf15, and β-klotho in the livers of nonpregnant NC-fed mice (Fig. 7C). In pregnant mice, only Fgf15 was significantly altered in pregnant NC-fed mice (Fig. 7D). OCA treatment in HFD-fed mice had minimal impact on gene expression in the liver, with only Bsep increased in nonpregnant mice (Fig. 7B). In the ileum, Shp,
Ibabp, and Ostα/β were all induced by OCA in nonpregnant mice, but only Ostα/β was increased in pregnant HFD-fed mice (Fig. 7D).

**DISCUSSION**

Our data show that OCA improves dyslipidemia and insulin resistance but has no impact on impaired glucose tolerance in a mouse model of GDM. Many of the effects of OCA that were observed in nonpregnant mice were not seen in pregnancy, consistent with the known reduction in FXR activity in pregnancy (36); thus, the efficacy of OCA was limited.

To induce features of gestational diabetes, mice were fed a high-fat diet (HFD) for 4 wk before and during pregnancy. This model has previously been used to induce features of GDM in mice, such as insulin resistance and dyslipidemia (24, 26, 31). A period of only 4 wk of HFD exposure before pregnancy is not sufficient to cause a diabetic phenotype; however, continued feeding throughout pregnancy leads to progressive glucose intolerance and insulin resistance, mimicking human disease. In our model, the mice receiving a HFD were significantly glucose intolerant but only demonstrated significant insulin resistance when coupled with pregnancy. This difference could explain why OCA had no effect on insulin sensitivity in nonpregnant animals, in contrast to previous animal and human studies (41, 55). Alternatively, it could be due to the duration of OCA treatment, which is limited in our model by the 19–21 day gestation length in mice. Although insulin resistance was lessened in pregnant HFD-fed OCA mice, there was no effect of OCA on glucose tolerance. Furthermore, OCA treatment reduced fasting plasma
GLP-1 in both NC- and HFD-fed nonpregnant mice. The involvement of FXR in GLP-1 secretion is unclear, with reports of FXR activation both increasing and inhibiting GLP-1 secretion (46, 53). Nevertheless, this effect was not apparent in pregnant mice, suggesting that OCA interacts differently with FXR in pregnancy.

OCA did consistently impact plasma cholesterol levels, as total cholesterol was significantly decreased in nonpregnant HFD-fed mice and pregnant NC- and HFD-fed mice treated with OCA. This change was due to decreased HDL and LDL cholesterol in pregnant HFD-fed mice. This finding has previously been observed in nonpregnant animals (14, 62) and has been suggested to be due to increased reverse cholesterol transport. Xu et al. (62) observed increased fecal cholesterol and reduced fecal bile acids, whereas Dong and colleagues (14) observed increased mRNA and protein expression of hepatic scavenger receptor class B type 1 (SR-B1) accompanied by increased fecal cholesterol. Fecal cholesterol concentrations were not measured in this study. However, we did not observe any changes in fecal bile acids with OCA treatment. Interestingly, our data show that hepatic Srb1 expression was reduced in nonpregnant NC-fed mice treated with OCA, a group with no changes in plasma cholesterol, but it was not altered in pregnant animals and there was no impact of OCA treatment in HFD-fed mice.

OCA significantly reduced weight gain at D14 in nonpregnant HFD-fed mice, as has been observed previously (22). This effect was not apparent in pregnant animals and is likely due to the gestational changes that impact maternal morphometry, such as hyperphagia and promotion of storage of energy in white adipose tissue, which have a greater impact on body weight than HFD. HFD is commonly used to induce maternal obesity in rodents, but the prepregnancy exposure period is typically longer than 4 wk (21, 26, 28, 30). Adipose depot mass

Fig. 5. Obeticholic acid (OCA) affects cecal bile acids disparately in pregnant (D18) and nonpregnant (D0) mice. Cecal concentrations of total bile acids (A), total primary bile acids (B), total secondary bile acids (C), and individual bile acid species (D–E) in D0 and D18 mice are shown; \( n = 5–6 \) mice per group. *\( P < 0.05 \) vs. normal chow (NC), #\( P < 0.05 \) vs. high-fat diet (HFD), as determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. a/b/oMCA, α/β/ω-muricholic acid; CA, cholic acid; CDCA, chenodeoxycholic acid; DCA, deoxycholic acid; UDCA, ursodeoxycholic acid; LCA, lithocholic acid; HDCA, hyodeoxycholic acid; T, taurine; TCA, taurocholic acid.
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was not measured in our study; therefore, it is possible that OCA may have caused differences without affecting total body weight, as has been observed in HFD-fed rabbits treated with OCA (33). As well as reducing weight gain, OCA also reduced mRNA expression of inflammatory markers in white adipose tissue of HFD-fed nonpregnant mice. FXR has been shown to regulate inflammatory responses via nuclear factor-κB (59), and OCA has previously been shown to reduce expression of inflammatory markers in adipose tissue (22). Notably, this effect was not observed in pregnant mice. It is known that expression of proinflammatory adipokines is increased in white adipose tissue in rodent pregnancy (8, 10, 63); therefore, it is possible that the gestational signals that mediate this proinflammatory profile are not overcome by FXR activation by OCA.

OCA also impacted cecal bile acids differently in pregnant and nonpregnant mice. In plasma, the effect of OCA on individual bile acids generally followed the same pattern in pregnant and nonpregnant mice, whereas in the cecum OCA caused a significant increase in the total concentration of primary bile acids in nonpregnant HFD-fed OCA mice. In pregnancy, however, OCA caused a significant decrease in the total concentration of secondary bile acids in NC-fed OCA mice. There is a trend for primary bile acids to also be reduced in the cecum from this group, as well as increased plasma...
unconjugated bile acids, which could suggest increased absorption of bile acids in the ileum.

Because of the alterations in cecal bile acid concentrations, we investigated whether OCA-treated mice had altered microbiota in the cecum. Feeding mice HFD caused the most marked changes in the cecal microbiota, which could potentially mask any effect of OCA treatment in these groups. OCA treatment of NC-fed mice also caused significant differences in microbiota that were not observed in pregnant mice, such as significantly reduced Ruminococcaceae, which are a family of Firmicutes bacteria, and a significant increase in an unclassified family of the Bacteroidetes phylum. This is consistent with a recent study from our group showing that mice fed CA, an FXR ligand albeit significantly less potent than OCA, have an increased ratio of Bacteroidetes to Firmicutes accompanied by enhanced enterohepatic feedback via Fgf15 (44). These large changes were absent in pregnant mice, highlighting the differential effect of OCA on the microbiome in pregnant and non-pregnant mice. However, it was surprising that there were not more differences between microbiota of nonpregnant and pregnant control mice, which is in contrast to our recent study that found that pregnancy, as well as CA feeding, is associated with an increased ratio of Bacteroidetes to Firmicutes. We observed a small trend for an increased Bacteroidetes-to-Firmicutes ratio, but it did not reach significance. This may be explained by the fact that the Ovadia study (44) used whole shotgun genome metagenomic sequencing; additionally, the mice were bred and housed differently and received a different control diet (RM3).

Several of the effects of OCA described herein were not evident in pregnant mice. The similar plasma and cecal levels of OCA and tauro-OCA across all of the groups suggest that this is not due to differences in OCA intake or absorption. A potential explanation for the absence of OCA-related effects in pregnancy could have been higher circulating amounts of FXR antagonistic bile acids, such as taurine-conjugated αβ-MCA (49); however, our serum data do not support this explanation. Hepatic FXR activity is known to be reduced in pregnancy (36) due to the effects of reproductive hormones including progesterone sulphates (1) and 17β-estradiol (51). Ileal expression of FXR targets is also known to be reduced in pregnancy (39, 44). Therefore, it is plausible that activation of FXR by OCA is diminished in pregnancy, and this decreased activation is why effects such as decreased white adipose tissue inflammation and reduced GLP-1 secretion are not seen. It should also be noted that induction of FXR targets by OCA differed between NC- and HFD-fed pregnant mice; in particular, there was no increase in ileal Fgfl5 and corresponding decrease in Cyp7a1 in the liver of HFD-fed OCA pregnant mice. It has been observed previously in male C57BL/6 mice that Fgfl5 expression was decreased in the distal ileum after 4–8 wk of HFD (12), and decreased circulating FGF19 has been reported in human obese subjects (2). We observed no impact of HFD alone on FXR targets in the ileum; nevertheless, it is possible...
that HFD has an independent effect on enterohepatic feedback via FGF15 that should be taken into consideration. Despite these differences, the effect of OCA on plasma cholesterol is consistent between nonpregnant and pregnant mice and was also evident in HFD-fed mice. The mechanism for the reduction in plasma cholesterol is unclear, as expression of genes involved in hepatic lipid homeostasis was unchanged by OCA treatment in pregnant mice; therefore, future studies are needed.

A limitation of this study is the relatively mild disease phenotype induced by the HFD. Although pregnant HFD-fed mice were significantly insulin resistant compared with pregnant NC-fed mice, fasting glucose and plasma triglyceride levels were normal. We were unable to monitor food intake due to the consistency of the HFD, and a recent study has shown that consumption of HFD can vary substantially in C57BL/6J mice (11). It would therefore be of interest to also study a genetic model of GDM, such as the heterozygous leptin receptor deficient (Lepr(db/+) mouse (29). Although we cannot be certain that food intake was similar between groups, it is known that OCA supplementation of HFD did not affect food intake in transgenic mice bred on a C57BL/6J background (38). Another limitation of using HFD is that it is manufactured with purified ingredients, unlike the control NC. Although we are not specifically interested in the effects of OCA on a HFD in pregnancy but rather the disease features it induces, it could be a confounding factor to take into consideration.

In conclusion, OCA does not improve glucose tolerance in a HFD model of GDM. However, OCA treatment significantly improved maternal hypercholesterolemia. It is likely that FXR activation by OCA is blunted in pregnant mice due to the gestational suppression of FXR activity. Therefore, gestational suppression of FXR activity should be taken into account when considering FXR agonists as a treatment for metabolic disorders in pregnancy.
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