

Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository: <http://orca.cf.ac.uk/126049/>

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Vermeulen, Jentien M., Wootton, Robyn E., Treur, Jorien L., Sallis, Hannah M., Jones, Hannah J., Zammit, Stanley, van den Brink, Wim, Goodwin, Guy M., de Haan, Lieuwe and Munafò, Marcus R. 2019. Smoking and the risk for bipolar disorder: evidence from a bidirectional Mendelian randomisation study. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 10.1192/bjp.2019.202 file

Publishers page: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1192/bjp.2019.202> <<http://dx.doi.org/10.1192/bjp.2019.202>>

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See <http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html> for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



Smoking and the risk for bipolar disorder: causal evidence from a bidirectional Mendelian randomisation study

Authors.

Jentien M Vermeulen¹, Robyn E Wootton^{2,4,5}, Jorien L Treur¹, Hannah M Sallis^{2,3,4}, Hannah J Jones^{3,4}, Stanley Zammit^{3,7}, Wim van den Brink¹, Guy M Goodwin⁸, Lieuwe de Haan¹, Marcus R. Munafò^{2,4,6}

Affiliations.

¹Department of Psychiatry, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Meibergdreef 5, 1105 AZ The Netherlands

²School of Psychological Science, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 1TU, United Kingdom

³Department of Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 2PR, United Kingdom

⁴MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit at the University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 2PR, United Kingdom

⁵NIHR Biomedical Research Centre at the University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust and the University of Bristol, (BS8 2BN), United Kingdom

⁶UK Centre for Tobacco and Alcohol Studies, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 1TU, United Kingdom

⁷MRC Centre for Neuropsychiatric Genetics and Genomics, Division of Psychological Medicine and Clinical Neurosciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff CF24 4HQ, United Kingdom

⁸Department of Psychiatry, University of Oxford and Oxford Health NHS Foundation Trust United Kingdom

***Corresponding author:**

Jentien Vermeulen, M.D.

Amsterdam UMC

Meibergdreef 9,

1105 AZ, Amsterdam,

The Netherlands

Phone: 00312089137500

E-mail: j.m.vermeulen@amc.uva.nl

ABSTRACT

Background: There is increasing evidence that smoking is a risk factor for severe mental illness, including bipolar disorder. Conversely, patients with bipolar disorder might smoke more (often) as a result of the psychiatric disorder.

Aims: We aimed to investigate the direction and causal nature of the relationship between smoking and bipolar disorder we conducted a bidirectional Mendelian randomisation (MR) study.

Method: We used publicly available summary statistics from genome-wide association studies on bipolar disorder, smoking initiation, smoking heaviness, smoking cessation and lifetime smoking (i.e., a compound measure of heaviness, duration and cessation). We applied analytical methods with different, orthogonal assumptions to triangulate results, including inverse-variance weighted (IVW), MR-Egger or Egger SIMEX, weighted median, weighted mode, and Steiger filtered analyses.

Results: Across different methods of MR, consistent evidence was found for a positive effect of smoking on the odds of bipolar disorder (smoking initiation $OR_{IVW}=1.46$, 95% CI=1.28-1.66, $P=1.44 \times 10^{-8}$, lifetime smoking $OR_{IVW}=1.72$, 95% CI=1.29-2.28, $P=1.8 \times 10^{-4}$). The MR analyses of the liability to bipolar disorder on smoking provided no clear evidence of a strong causal effect (smoking heaviness $\beta_{IVW}=0.028$, 95% CI= 0.003-0.053, $P=2.9 \times 10^{-2}$).

Conclusions: These findings suggest that smoking initiation and lifetime smoking are likely to be a causal risk factor for developing bipolar disorder. We found some evidence that liability to bipolar disorder increased smoking heaviness. Given that smoking is a modifiable risk factor, these findings further support investment into smoking prevention and treatment in order to reduce mental health problems in future generations.

INTRODUCTION

There is an increasing disparity in the prevalence of cigarette smoking between the general population and patients with severe mental illness, such as bipolar disorder. (1, 2) A metaanalysis including worldwide studies showed that smoking rates are much higher in patients with bipolar than in the general population (OR 3.5, 95%CI 3.39-3.54). (2) This is important since smoking in bipolar disorder patients is associated with negative physical and mental health outcomes compared with non-smoking bipolar disorder patients. (3, 4) Smoking has been suggested as a risk factor for developing severe mental illness, including psychosis (5) and - to a lesser extent - bipolar disorder. (6-9) There is also an alternative explanation, namely that patients who suffer from bipolar disorder initiate smoking or increase existing smoking. Since smoking is the most important modifiable risk factor that affects population health, more knowledge about the causal nature of the relationship between smoking and bipolar disorder could inform prevention or intervention programs. In the latest genome-wide association studies (GWASs) of smoking, smoking initiation and heaviness and bipolar disorder were not found to be genetically correlated (cigarettes per day $r_g=0.040$ SE 0.130 $p=0.055$, smoking initiation $r_g=0.055$ SE 0.032 $p=0.09$). Smoking and schizophrenia are genetically correlated (cigarettes per day $r_g=0.095$ SE 0.026 $p=2.16 \times 10^{-4}$, smoking initiation $r_g=0.137$ SE 0.019 $p=1.374 \times 10^{-13}$). Nevertheless, smoking rates in bipolar disorder are also very high. A recent study showed furthermore that bipolar I disorder and schizophrenia and bipolar II disorder and major depressive disorder are highly correlated. (10) Moreover, previous findings support a causal relationship between smoking and other severe mental illnesses (e.g., schizophrenia and major depressive disorder) (11) It is, therefore, of interest to investigate the causal relationship between smoking and bipolar disorder. So far, very few observational studies have been used to investigate the relationship between

smoking and bipolar disorder.(6) Observational results can be limited in their ability to determine causality, particularly due to residual confounding and reverse causation. Mendelian randomisation (MR) is a method that aims to overcome these limitations. To the best of our knowledge, there have been no previous studies conducted into the causal relationship between smoking and bipolar disorder using this technique. Therefore, our aim was to investigate the direction and causal nature of the relationship between smoking and bipolar disorder using a bidirectional MR approach. Based on the current evidence(6, 7, 9) and a strong genetic correlation between bipolar disorder and schizophrenia(10), we hypothesized that smoking is a causal risk factor for bipolar disorder. Based on conflicting clinical findings(8) and the fact that smoking initiation often precedes the onset of bipolar disorder symptoms, we hypothesized that the liability to bipolar disorder is not a causal risk factor for smoking.

METHODS

Mendelian randomisation

In this study, genetic variants are used as instrumental variables and plausibility of the findings depends on assumptions. The three key assumptions are: The multiple genetic variants associate with the risk factor of interest, there are no unmeasured confounders of the associations between genetic variants and outcome, and the genetic variants affect the outcome only through their effect on the risk factor.(12) Pleiotropic effects refer to the effects of a genetic variant on multiple biological pathways, affecting the outcome either via the pathway under investigation, known as horizontal pleiotropy, or affect other traits through the risk factor, known as vertical pleiotropy.(13) Horizontal pleiotropy is problematic as it violates the third key assumption of MR.

Data sources and genetic instruments

In this MR study, multiple genetic variants that collectively explain some of the variance in the risk factors are used to investigate support for causal inferences with clinical outcomes. For smoking and bipolar disorder, genome-wide significant SNPs ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) from the most recently available GWASs were used as genetic instruments. No overlap existed in GWAS samples used for the exposure and outcome instruments.

Smoking behavior

Smoking initiation

For smoking initiation, we used summary data from the GSCAN GWAS as an exposure and outcome variable. This GWAS identified 378 independent significant loci from a sample of 1,232,091 individuals of mixed ancestry: these loci explained 2.3% of the variance in smoking initiation.(14) Data from the 23andMe sub-sample (n= 599,289) were excluded when smoking initiation was the outcome because genome-wide summary statistics were not available for the 23andMe sub-sample. Smoking initiation (yes/no) was defined as having smoked >100 cigarettes over the course of your life, smoked every day for at least a month or ever smoked regularly. The GSCAN GWAS provided effect sizes calculated from z-values weighted by the average prevalence across all studies. The effect sizes for smoking initiation as the outcome are, therefore, presented as betas and correspond to the increase of one standard deviation of prevalence of smoking initiation.(14)

Smoking heaviness and cessation

In order to assess causal effects of smoking heaviness and smoking cessation on the risk for bipolar disorder, the analyses would have to be stratified into groups of smokers and nonsmokers.

However, in the current two-sample MR study this was not possible due to a lack of on smoking in the bipolar GWAS. Therefore, summary data about smoking heaviness and cessation from the GSCAN GWAS were used as an outcome variable only (Supplement).(14)

Lifetime smoking

The recently developed lifetime smoking index is a measure that applies to all individuals (i.e., ever and never smokers), and among smokers it captures smoking severity, length of exposure and cessation. This instrument does not require stratification and is therefore the best proxy to investigate a ‘dose-response’-effect on the risk for bipolar disorder. Therefore, we used lifetime smoking summary data from the GWAS in the UK Biobank.(11) This GWAS of lifetime smoking identified 126 independent genome-wide significant SNPs in a sample of 462,690 individuals with European ancestry.(11) It has been validated in an independent sample, where the 126 SNPs explained 0.36% of the variance in lifetime smoking, and against positive control disease outcomes (e.g., lung cancer).(11)

Bipolar disorder

For bipolar disorder as an exposure, we used summary statistics from the most recent GWAS comprising 20,352 cases from Europe, North-America and Australia and 31,358 controls of European descent.(15) The GWAS found 30 independent, genome-wide significant loci in the combined meta-analysis of the discovery GWAS and follow-up samples. This combined analyses also used 9,412 cases and 137,760 controls from follow-up samples. The polygenic risk score, including all measured genetic variants, accounted for about 8% of the variance in diagnosis. In this dataset, three clinically recognized subtypes of bipolar disorder were present: bipolar I disorder (n=14,879), bipolar II disorder (n=3,421) and schizoaffective disorder bipolar type (n=977). When bipolar disorder was the outcome, we used the one publicly available GWAS summary data made available by Psychiatric Genomics Consortium with a similar number of bipolar disorder cases and controls.(15)

Statistical Analyses

We used the MR Base Package(16) in R version 3.5.1(17) to harmonise SNP information and conduct bidirectional summary level MR analyses between smoking and bipolar disorder. The magnitude of the causal effect can be estimated by dividing the genetic variant-outcome association by the genetic variant-risk factor association.(18) Results were compared across the following methods: inverse-variance weighted (IVW), MR Egger(19) or Egger SIMEX(20), weighted median(20), weighted mode(21) and MR RAPS(22) (see supplementary materials for a comparison of each method and their assumptions). A consistent direction of effect across all of these methods strengthens causal evidence as each method makes different assumptions about pleiotropy.(23) Cochran’s Q (for IVW) and Rucker’s Q (for MR Egger) statistics were therefore calculated to investigate heterogeneity between SNP causal effects and thus potential evidence of horizontal pleiotropy. Evidence of directional pleiotropy (whereby pleiotropic effects are biasing the estimates in the same direction) was also assessed using the MR Egger intercept. The difference between Cochran’s Q and Rucker’s Q statistics (Q’) was used to assess the extent to which MR Egger was a better fit to the data than the IVW method. The intercept from MR Egger provides a formal test for the presence of directional pleiotropy (whereby pleiotropic effects are biasing the estimates in the same direction). The validity of the MR Egger method was also evaluated using the weighted and unweighted regression dilution I_2^{GX} statistic.(24) For values of $I_2^{GX} < 0.9$, MR Egger SIMEX corrections are presented using the highest of the two regression dilution statistics. For values of $I_2^{GX} < 0.6$, MR Egger and MR Egger SIMEX are unreliable

and so neither are performed. Furthermore, mean F-statistics were calculated to assess the strength of the genetic instruments used.(25) As a rule of thumb, values of the mean F-statistic above 10 indicate that the results do not suffer from substantially weak instrument bias.(18) Lastly, we applied Steiger filtering to remove the genetic variants that explain more variance in the outcome than the exposure to avoid possible reverse causation.(26) For smoking initiation, lifetime smoking, and bipolar disorder, we reported the number of variants that were used in the bidirectional analyses after removing insertions or deletions and harmonisation of the data (Table 1).

RESULTS

Summary level Mendelian randomisation of smoking on bipolar disorder

Across different methods of MR analyses, consistent evidence was found for a positive effect of smoking initiation on the odds of bipolar disorder ($OR_{IVW}=1.46$, 95% CI=1.28-1.66, $P=1.4 \times 10^{-08}$) (see Table 1 and Figure 1). MR analyses using lifetime smoking as the exposure variable showed significant effects in the same direction with a similar effect size ($OR_{IVW}=1.72$, 95% CI= 1.89-2.28, $P=1.8 \times 10^{-04}$) (see Table 1 and Supplemental figure S7). The I^2_{GX} suggested to use MR Egger SIMEX results (see Supplemental Table S1 and Table 1). Tests for heterogeneity suggest evidence for significant horizontal pleiotropy (see Supplemental Table S1), but MR Egger intercepts suggest that bias of the estimates by pleiotropy is not likely (see Supplemental Table S2). To explore whether the genetic variants for lifetime smoking and smoking initiation explained more variance in the exposure than in the outcome, Steiger filtering was applied. For smoking initiation, 20 SNPS dropped out and 342/362 SNPS (94%) could be included after Steiger filtering. For lifetime smoking, 12 SNPS dropped out and 107/119 SNPs (90%) could be included in the analyses following Steiger filtering. The analyses were repeated across methods and similar effects were observed for smoking initiation and lifetime smoking on bipolar disorder (smoking initiation $OR_{IVW}=1.44$, 95% CI=1.29-1.62, 1.0×10^{-04} ; lifetime smoking $OR_{IVW}=1.47$, 95% CI=1.17-1.83, $P=7.9 \times 10^{-04}$) (see Supplemental Table S5). Again, MR Egger was not conducted as I^2_{GX} values were below 0.9 (see Supplemental Table S1).

INSERT Figure 1. Scatter plot of IVW and MR Egger analyses of smoking initiation on bipolar disorder

INSERT TABLE 1. Bidirectional Mendelian randomisation analyses of the effects of smoking and bipolar disorder using summary level data

Summary level Mendelian randomisation of bipolar disorder on smoking

The MR analyses of liability to bipolar disorder on risk of smoking initiation ($\beta_{aIVW}= -0.008$, 95% CI= -0.026-0.009, $P=0.35$), lifetime smoking ($\beta_{aIVW}= -0.001$, 95% CI= -0.032-0.030, $P=0.95$) and smoking cessation ($OR_{VW}= -0.003$, 95% CI= 0.981-1.014, $P=0.74$) revealed no evidence of a causal effect (see Table 1 and Supplemental Figures S4 and S10). MR analyses of liability to bipolar disorder on smoking heaviness ($\beta_{aIVW}= 0.028$, 95% CI= 0.003-0.053, $P=2.9 \times 10^{-2}$) showed significant, small effects for MR IVW and MR RAPS but not MR weighted median or MR weighted mode (Table 1 and Supplemental Figure S13). The I^2_{GX} suggested not to use MR Egger or MR Egger SIMEX results (see Supplemental Table S1). The tests for heterogeneity indicated no evidence for significant heterogeneity (Supplemental Table S2) and MR Egger intercepts suggested that bias of the estimates by horizontal pleiotropy is not likely (Supplemental Table S3). Steiger filtering indicated that all genetic variants for bipolar disorder explained more variance in bipolar disorder than smoking outcomes. The mean F-statistic also suggested that results were not subject to weak

instrument bias (Supplemental Table S4). Although we did not find an effect using multiple genome-wide significant genetic variants, we noted that one SNP (rs3804640) stood out in particular showing a large, positive effect in the single SNP analysis of bipolar disorder to smoking initiation and lifetime smoking (Supplemental Figure S5 and S11). This SNP is an intron in the gene *CD47*, which encodes a membrane protein shown to play a role in synaptic plasticity in the hippocampus.(27-29)

DISCUSSION

The current study shows that smoking is a causal risk factor for bipolar disorder by applying MR to two measures of smoking behaviour using GWAS summary statistics. In contrast, liability to bipolar disorder does not have a causal effect on smoking initiation, smoking cessation or lifetime smoking. We found some evidence that suggested a small effect of liability for bipolar disorder on increased smoking heaviness. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study providing robust evidence on the direction of the association between smoking and bipolar disorder.

Mendelian randomisation of smoking on bipolar disorder

When comparing the current findings to those of a previous study evaluating the relation between smoking and other severe mental illnesses, the effect sizes we found are comparable to those testing lifetime smoking and smoking initiation as a risk factor for schizophrenia and major depressive disorder.(11) The evidence for smoking as a risk factor for bipolar disorder has been meager.(7-9) However, one conventional population-based birth cohort study found that maternal smoking was a risk factor for bipolar disorder in the offspring.(6) To rule out the effect of maternal smoking as an explanation of our results, future cohorts with measures of maternal and offspring smoking with enough bipolar cases are needed. In line with our findings, a study that used polygenic risk scores of smoking to predict bipolar disorder revealed a significant association but not vice versa.(30) Although this is suggestive of a causal effect, the current study adds to the body of evidence by overcoming residual confounding and providing an estimate of the causal effect size. The reported effect sizes of smoking in the current study are similar to other environmental risk factors (e.g. neurodevelopmental, substances and physical/psychological stress) for developing bipolar disorder as found in systematic reviews. (31, 32) Of note, the latter reviews included the following exposures as substance abuse: cocaine, cannabis, opioids, tranquilizers, smoking during pregnancy. We argue that tobacco needs to be included in this list.

Mendelian randomisation of bipolar disorder on smoking

Although other studies have found an effect of bipolar disorder on smoking behavior(8), the current study did not replicate this finding for most smoking behaviours. The lack of an effect indicates that liability to bipolar disorder is not a risk factor for smoking initiation, cessation or lifetime smoking. From a methodological point of view, one might explain the lack of a clear effect of the liability to bipolar disorder on smoking initiation, cessation and lifetime smoking by using weaker instruments for bipolar disorder as compared with smoking. This would result in reduced statistical power to detect a causal effect. However, we argue that this explanation is unlikely since the effect sizes consistently show values around the null, confidence intervals were small and the mean F-statistic, which is a proxy for the strength of the instrumental variable, showed an acceptable value. The results of the liability to bipolar disorder on smoking heaviness suggested from two MR methods a significant, small effect and from two other methods no significant effect. This could indicate that liability to bipolar disorder increases smoking heaviness or there might be a bias from pleiotropy. Of note, MR typically investigates long-term effects of liability to bipolar disorder on life-time smoking

behaviour and firm conclusions on acute transient effects (e.g., more severe bipolar symptoms increasing heaviness of smoking) could, therefore, not be drawn.

Strengths and limitations

A strength of this study is the substantial power, since we used summary data from recent, large GWAS consortia to conduct a summary level MR.(33) Also, using genetic variants to determine the effects reduces the chance of reverse causation and residual confounding.(34) Furthermore, a consistent effect across different methods increases the confidence in most of our findings.(23) Some limitations should be noted. First, the GWAS were conducted in individuals from European ancestry which could limit generalizability to other populations. Second, although the smoking instruments capture smoking initiation, duration, heaviness and cessation, the instruments cannot be used to determine effects at specific life stages. The results should be interpreted as cumulative effects of smoking over the life course (potentially from conception and potentially from second hand smoke from family members). In other words, it is not certain that our findings regarding smoking are confined to a specific period. Third, we assumed that the exclusion restriction criteria hold since the MR-Egger intercept test for directional horizontal pleiotropy was not significant. Still, pleiotropy could be in place as regression dilution values pointed that Egger results might not be trustworthy. The underlying biological process of mental illnesses are relatively poorly understood which complicates the possibility to disentangle the plausibility of potential pathways. For example, genetic variants that were significantly associated with smoking initiation coded for several biologically plausible pathways such as acetylcholine nicotinic receptors and glutamate pathways that affect reward processing and addiction.(14) However, general biological pathways such as sodium, potassium and calcium voltage-gated channels were also implied, potentially overlapping with horizontal pleiotropic pathways. Nevertheless, results after Steiger filtering (e.g., testing whether the SNP explains more variance in the exposure or outcome and only selecting those explaining more in the outcome) showed similar effects of smoking on bipolar disorder. Although unlikely, pleiotropic effects can still be in place. Fourth, genetic vulnerability for smoking could also be related to environmental factors that are associated with the outcome which could lead to spurious causal effects. Nevertheless, the consistent findings across all sensitivity analyses with different assumptions point towards robust findings. Unlike the prospective and longitudinal evidence concerning smoking and psychosis, epidemiological research regarding smoking as a risk factor for bipolar disorder is scarce. Future studies are needed to triangulate the evidence further. Lastly, sensitivity analyses into bipolar subtypes could not be performed due to a low number of associated genetic variants. Future GWAS with larger sample sizes are required to potentially overcome this issue.

Possible mechanisms

There are several, non-mutually exclusive, plausible explanations for causal pathways from smoking to bipolar disorder.(7-9) First, nicotine binds to subtypes of the nicotinic acetylcholinergic receptor which is implicated in the regulation of central nervous system pathways relevant to mood disorders.(35) Although the quality of the evidence is low, several case studies have related the use of a partial nicotine receptor agonist (varenicline) to induced mania or new onset of bipolar disorder.(36-41) Second, nicotine exposure leads to desensitization of the nicotine acetylcholinergic receptor by phosphorylation of subunits.(42) These dysmorphic receptors then have reduced binding capacity for endogenous acetylcholine: craving on drug withdrawal is clear evidence of changed function in reward pathways. The reduced functioning of these receptors could have an effect on neurotransmitter levels and subsequently lead to a higher vulnerability to develop bipolar

disorder, although evidence about this topic is lacking. Third, burning tobacco releases toxic compounds which induce inflammation and oxidative stress which may be associated with bipolar disorder.(35)

Clinical implications

Many hypotheses exist to explain the high prevalence of smoking in severe mental illness.(43) The lack of a consistent, bidirectional effect and the causal evidence that smoking initiation liability increases the risk for bipolar disorder point toward the two-hit or diathesis-stress model.(44) This implies a neurobiological vulnerability for severe mental illness(45) interacting with an environmental stressor, such as smoking, leading to an increased vulnerability for severe mental illness.(44) Several studies have shown that smoking in adolescents and adults with bipolar disorder is associated with earlier age at onset of mood disorder, greater severity of symptoms(46-51), poorer functioning, comorbid substance abuse(52), suicide attempts(53) and other adverse outcomes such as readmission(54). Our results underline the importance of strategies to prevent adolescents from starting smoking and treat smoking in bipolar patients. The frequently mentioned reason for smoking by bipolar patients is stress-coping behavior(55) and a tailored prevention strategy might be helpful in learning healthy coping strategies. Regardless of the mechanism which may eventually be confirmed, our findings underline the importance of prevention and treatment programs to reduce smoking and its consequences. Investing in a smoke free future could reduce mental health problems in future generations.

Funding

Prof van den Brink received fees in the last 3 years from Indivior, C&A Pharma, Opiant and Angelini. Prof Goodwin is a NIHR Emeritus Senior Investigator holds shares in P1vital and has served as consultant, advisor or CME speaker in the last 3 years for Allergan, Angelini, Compass pathways, MSD, Lundbeck (/Otsuka or /Takeda), Medscape, Minervra, P1Vital, Pfizer, Sage, Servier, Shire, Sun Pharma. Dr. Wootton is a member of the MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit at the University of Bristol funded by the MRC: <http://www.mrc.ac.uk> [MC_UU_00011/7]. This study was supported by the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre at the University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust and the University of Bristol. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health. Other authors declare no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Dickerson F, Schroeder J, Katsafanas E, Khushalani S, Origoni AE, Savage C, et al. Cigarette Smoking by Patients With Serious Mental Illness, 1999-2016: An Increasing Disparity. *Psychiatric services*. 2018;69(2):147-53.
2. Jackson JG, Diaz FJ, Lopez L, Leon J. A combined analysis of worldwide studies demonstrates an association between bipolar disorder and tobacco smoking behaviors in adults. *Bipolar disorders*. 2015;17(6):575-97.
3. Ickick R, Gard S, Barde M, Carminati M, Desage A, Guillaume S, et al. Physical and mental health burden in cases of bipolar disorder classified as current, former, or non-tobacco smokers. *Journal of affective disorders*. 2017;208:406-13.
4. Depp CA, Bowie CR, Mausbach BT, Wolyniec P, Thornquist MH, Luke JR, et al. Current smoking is associated with worse cognitive and adaptive functioning in serious mental illness. *Acta psychiatrica Scandinavica*. 2015;131(5):333-41.
5. MacCabe JH. It is time to start taking tobacco seriously as a risk factor for psychosis: self-medication cannot explain the association. *Acta psychiatrica Scandinavica*. 2018;138(1):3-4.
6. Ardesheer Talati, Yuanyuan Bao, Jake Kaufman, Ling Shen, Catherine A. Schaefer, Alan S. Brown. Maternal Smoking During Pregnancy and Bipolar Disorder in Offspring. *Am*

- J Psychiat. 2013;170(10):1178-85.
7. Thomson D, Berk M, Dodd S, Rapado-Castro M, Quirk SE, Ellegaard PK, et al. Tobacco Use in Bipolar Disorder. *Clin Psychopharm Neu*. 2015;13(1):1-11.
 8. Heffner JL, Strawn JR, DeBello MP, Strakowski SM, Anthenelli RM. The cooccurrence of cigarette smoking and bipolar disorder: phenomenology and treatment considerations. *Bipolar disorders*. 2011;13(5-6):439-53.
 9. Slyepchenko A, Brunoni AR, McIntyre RS, Quevedo J, Carvalho AF. The Adverse Effects of Smoking on Health Outcomes in Bipolar Disorder: A Review and Synthesis of Biological Mechanisms. *Curr Mol Med*. 2016;16(2):187-205.
 10. Ruderfer DM, Ripke S, McQuillin A, Boocock J, Stahl EA, Pavlides JMW, et al. Genomic Dissection of Bipolar Disorder and Schizophrenia, Including 28 Subphenotypes. *Cell*. 2018;173(7):1705-15.e16.
 11. Wootton RE, Richmond RC, Stuijzand BG, Lawn RB, Sallis HM, Taylor GMJ, et al. Causal effects of lifetime smoking on risk for depression and schizophrenia: Evidence from a Mendelian randomisation study. *bioRxiv*. 2018.
 12. Aad G, Abbott B, Abdallah J, Abdinov O, Aben R, Abolins M, et al. Search for Dark Matter in Events with Missing Transverse Momentum and a Higgs Boson Decaying to Two Photons in pp Collisions at $\sqrt{s}=8$ TeV with the ATLAS Detector. *Physical review letters*. 2015;115(13):131801.
 13. Davey Smith G, Hemani G. Mendelian randomization: genetic anchors for causal inference in epidemiological studies. *Human molecular genetics*. 2014;23:R89-R98.
 14. Liu M, Jiang Y, Wedow R, Li Y, Brazel DM, Chen F, et al. Association studies of up to 1.2 million individuals yield new insights into the genetic etiology of tobacco and alcohol use. *Nature Genetics*. 2019.
 15. Stahl EA, Breen G, Forstner AJ, McQuillin A, Ripke S, Trubetskoy V, et al. Genomewide association study identifies 30 loci associated with bipolar disorder. *Nat Genet*. 2019;51(5):793-803.
 16. Hemani G, Zheng J, Elsworth B, Wade KH, Haberland V, Baird D, et al. The MRBase platform supports systematic causal inference across the human phenome. *eLife*. 2018;7.
 17. R Core Team. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2016.
 18. Davies NM, Holmes MV, Davey Smith G. Reading Mendelian randomisation studies: a guide, glossary, and checklist for clinicians. *BMJ*. 2018;362:k601.
 19. Bowden J, Smith GD, Burgess S. Mendelian randomization with invalid instruments: effect estimation and bias detection through Egger regression. *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 2015;44(2):512-25.
 20. Bowden J, Smith GD, Haycock PC, Burgess S. Consistent Estimation in Mendelian Randomization with Some Invalid Instruments Using a Weighted Median Estimator. *Genet Epidemiol*. 2016;40(4):304-14.
 21. Hartwig FP, Smith GD, Bowden J. Robust inference in summary data Mendelian randomization via the zero modal pleiotropy assumption. *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 2017;46(6):1985-98.
 22. Zhao QW, Jingshu; Hemani, Gibran; Bowden, Jack; Small, Dylan S. Statistical inference in two-sample summary-data Mendelian randomization using robust adjusted profile score. 2018.
 23. Lawlor DA, Tilling K, Davey Smith G. Triangulation in aetiological epidemiology. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2016;45(6):1866-86.
 24. Bowden J, Del Greco MF, Minelli C, Smith GD, Sheehan NA, Thompson JR. Assessing the suitability of summary data for two-sample Mendelian randomization analyses using MR-Egger regression: the role of the I² statistic. *International Journal of*

Epidemiology. 2016;45(6):1961-74.

25. Staiger D, Stock JH. Instrumental variables regression with weak instruments. *Econometrica*. 1997;65(3):557-86.