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Abstract

The research detailed in this thesis describes the development of a high-throughput molecularly imprinted polymer (MIP) receptor that binds to phosphorylated serine residues. This new receptor would need to be biologically compatible (i.e. binds in aqueous media) and have a high degree of specificity and affinity for the phosphorylated residue compared to the non-phosphorylated amino acid. Importantly, the artificial receptor should not rely on neighbouring amino acid residues for its binding affinity or specificity.

Different molecular imprinting approaches were investigated to imprint the template molecule, Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester. The most successful approach was found by using an amidine group in stoichiometric monomer-template interactions.

To determine the binding affinity and specificity of the MIP, an equilibrium evaluation was used. Batch analyses for the template specie and a range of cross-reactants were performed in aqueous systems and the enantioselectivity was evaluated using the D-enantiomer of the template molecule. Furthermore, the selectivity of the MIP was investigated with a series of peptides containing phosphorylated serine.

The results of these studies provide evidence of selective binding sites in the MIP for Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester. The thesis concludes by discussing some applications of the MIP in life sciences, e.g. as an alternative to antibody assays.
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIBN</td>
<td>azobisisobutyronitriles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
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<td>Boc</td>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
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<td>Cb</td>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
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<tr>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
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<td></td>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
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<td>MeCN</td>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeOH</td>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP</td>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOE</td>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
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<tr>
<td>MS</td>
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<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
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<td>NMI</td>
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<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PETRA</td>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
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<td></td>
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</tr>
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<td>TFA</td>
<td>trifluoroacetic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
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<td>TFMAA</td>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC</td>
<td>thin-layer chromatography</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRIM</td>
<td>trimethylolpropane trimethacrylate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTP</td>
<td>uridine 5'-triphosphate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV</td>
<td>ultraviolet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDW</td>
<td>van der Waals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 1
Introduction

1.1 PROTEIN PHOSPHORYLATION

Protein phosphorylation is one of the most important and universal mechanisms of protein regulation in eukaryotic organisms, which affects nearly every aspect of cell life. During the 1970s, although many scientists concluded that protein phosphorylation-dephosphorylation was an exclusive feature of higher organisms, Garnak and Reeves reported that the catalytic activity of isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH) from *Escherichia coli* was regulated by phosphorylation on serine residues. This evidence subsequently led to the discovery of a number of protein kinases/phosphatases in prokaryotic organisms establishing the existence and the importance of regulatory protein phosphorylation in bacteria.

Protein phosphorylation and dephosphorylation, catalysed by protein kinase and protein phosphatase enzymes (Figure 1.1), has emerged as a prominent mechanism for the regulation of a range of molecular processes including metabolism, growth, differentiation, motility, membrane transport, learning and memory. When phosphorylated by the appropriate protein kinase, a regulatory protein or enzyme is activated, inhibited, or “marked” for targeting by other regulatory factors. The simplicity, flexibility and reversibility of this post-translational process, coupled with the ready availability of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) as a phosphoryl donor, is why it has been evolved to regulate so many biological processes.
Figure 1.1: Protein phosphorylation process. A protein kinase moves a phosphate group from ATP to the protein. A protein phosphatase removes the phosphate and the protein reverts to its original state, (Adapted from ref.11).

As many as one third of the proteins formed by phosphorylation involves the formation of phosphoserine, which accounts for ~ 90% of all cellular phosphorylation, whereas ~ 10% of the phosphorylation occurs on threonine residues and only ~ 0.1% on tyrosine residues12.

Therefore, it is not surprising that abnormal protein phosphorylation is a cause or consequence of many pathological conditions, such as cancer13, diabetes14, heart disease15, hypertension16, stroke17 and that kinases and phosphatases have become a major target for drug design18. A number of diseases that result from mutations in particular protein kinases and phosphatases are listed in Table 1.1.
Table 1.1: Diseases caused by mutations in particular protein kinases and phosphatases, (Adapted from ref.9).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Kinase/Phosphatase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Myotonic muscular dystrophy</td>
<td>Myotonin protein kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papillary renal cancer</td>
<td>Met receptor kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic myelogenous leukaemia</td>
<td>Abelson tyrosine kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li-Fraumeni syndrome</td>
<td>Chk2 kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams syndrome</td>
<td>Lyrn kinase-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolcott-Rallison syndrome</td>
<td>eIF2A-kinase 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-Linked myotubular myopathy</td>
<td>MTM1 Tyr phosphatase</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To ensure signalling fidelity, kinases must be sufficiently specific and act only on a defined subset of cellular targets. Understanding the basis for this substrate specificity is essential for identifying the role of an individual protein kinase in a particular process\(^9\). In recent years, several small, cell-permeant inhibitors of protein kinases have been developed that exhibit a relatively high degree of specificity for a particular protein kinase and these have been useful in identifying the physiological substrates and cellular functions of these enzymes\(^20\). They include Cyclosporin, the immuno-suppressant drug that inhibits a protein phosphatase and permits the widespread use of organ transplantation\(^21\), and its successor, Rapamicin, which inhibits a protein kinase\(^22\). The availability of more cell-permeant protein kinase inhibitors would be extremely useful in helping to delineate the physiological roles of these enzymes. A number of other compounds have been reported to inhibit particular serine/threonine protein kinases specifically, and are being used extensively in cell-based assays...
to evoke physiological roles for these enzymes. However, the specificity of many of these compounds has not been rigorously tested.\( ^{20} \)

1.1.1 PROTEIN KINASES: NATURE AND MECHANISM OF ACTION

Protein kinases are major players in the phosphorylation cascades central to intracellular signalling.\(^ {23} \) They catalyze protein phosphorylation, whereas their counteracting enzymes, protein phosphatases, catalyze protein dephosphorylation.\(^ {19} \) Reversible protein phosphorylation is a critical facet of cellular signalling networks and it has been established that these two enzyme superfamilies represent attractive therapeutic targets for a range of diseases, including leukemias, solid tumors, cardiovascular diseases and immune/inflammatory disorders.\(^ {24} \)

Protein kinases catalyze phosphoryl transfer by serving as a template for the two substrates ATP and peptide/protein/tyrosine and inducing phosphoryl movement from nucleotide to a side chain hydroxyl.\(^ {19} \)

The typical acceptors for eukaryotic phosphorylation are the hydroxyamino acids serine (Ser), threonine (Thr) and tyrosine (Tyr), while prokaryotes favour the use of histidine (His) and the carboxyamino acids [aspartic acid (Asp) and glutamic acid (Glu)] as phospho-acceptors. Lysine (Lys), arginine (Arg) and cysteine (Cys) have also been identified as phosphate acceptors in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes.\(^ {23} \) Figure 1.2 shows the structure of the phosphoamino acids known to be synthesised biologically.
Figure 1.2: The chemical structures of the phosphoamino acids known to be formed biologically.

The majority of the protein kinases fall into two large classes based on their ability to phosphorylate either serine/threonine or tyrosine side-chains. Protein kinases that phosphorylate serine or threonine are termed serine protein kinases [SPKs] and those that phosphorylate tyrosine are called tyrosine protein kinases [TPKs]. There is a third
class of kinases that can phosphorylate both serine and tyrosine side chains. This group, termed dual-specific protein kinases, is small in number and is not well characterized from a mechanistic standpoint. Protein kinases poorly phosphorylate free amino acids and rely on local residues for high affinity. In general they phosphorylate peptide regions based on the residues immediately flanking the site of phosphorylation.

The other phosphoamino acids, such as phospho-His [His(P)], phospho-Cys [Cys(P)] and phospho-Asp have been shown to be the phosphorylated reaction intermediates in several enzymes and are unstable under the conditions of standard protein purification procedures. Although there is an emerging awareness of critical roles of His (P) and Cys (P) in regulation, analytical techniques are limited, as detection is generally based on radiolabelling and an enzymatic approach, which is limited by the available enzymes.

Protein kinases follow a ternary complex kinetic mechanism in which direct transfer of the phosphoryl group from ATP to protein substrate occurs. They require an essential divalent metal ion, usually Mg²⁺, to facilitate the phosphoryl transfer reaction and assist in ATP binding. While the phosphoryl transfer mechanism is chemically simple, the phosphorylation of protein substrates in the active site of a protein kinase is complex and involves structural changes. The mechanism of phosphoryl groups transfer from donors to acceptors in solution reactions has been studied extensively and, in principle, it may in the extreme, utilize two different transition states, either associative or dissociative (Figure 1.3). In an associative transition state, analogous to a tetrahedral intermediate in organic acyl transfer reactions, a bond is formed between the attacking oxygen and the reactive phosphorus atom, while the bond to the departing adenosine diphosphate (ADP) would not yet have been significantly broken (Figure 1.3). A dissociative transition state, analogous to an SN1 reaction in organic substitution.
reactions, is one in which the importance of the nucleophilicity of the attacking hydroxyl is diminished and departure of the leaving group (ADP) is well advanced (Figure 1.3). Of course, these putative structures reflect extreme cases and it is possible for a given phosphoryl transition state to incorporate aspects of both. In general, it has been proposed that protein kinases are likely to utilize a dissociative transition state\textsuperscript{27}.

![Diagram of dissociative vs. associative transition states for phosphoryl transfer](image)

**Figure 1.3:** Dissociative vs. associative transition states for phosphoryl transfer, (Adapted from ref.28).

One of the most important features of protein kinases is their substrate specificity, which to a large extent is determined by the primary sequence around the phosphorylation site of their targeting proteins. To understand the regulatory mechanisms at the cellular level, it is necessary to identify the specific amino acid residues that are phosphorylated\textsuperscript{12}. Because all kinases use ATP as a phosphate source, an analysis of phosphorylated proteins inside the cell does not reveal which kinases are responsible for the placement of which phosphate groups. If a single kinase could be activated at a particular time without activating other kinases, it would be possible to identify its downstream targets;
however, known biological stimuli activate multiple kinases at once\textsuperscript{29}. Many of these kinases also have overlapping substrate specificities, further complicating the issue\textsuperscript{29}. As a result, great efforts have been made to identify the potential kinase substrates, including combinatorial synthesis of peptide libraries with radioisotope-based or antibody-based detection\textsuperscript{30,31}.

1.1.2 PROTEIN PHOSPHATASES: STRUCTURE AND FUNCTION

In the field of protein phosphorylation, kinases played the dominant role and phosphatases were considered to be the passive partners reversing the effects of kinases\textsuperscript{32}. Indeed, the kinases must act first and presumably evolved earlier; however, the function of phosphatases is far from being inferior\textsuperscript{33}. The steady state level of phosphorylation of a given protein can be modulated either by kinase or by the phosphatases, making the two types of enzyme equally important in cellular regulation\textsuperscript{34}. Serine/threonine-specific protein phosphatases can be divided into four main families. Type 1 phosphatases (PP1) dephosphorylate the β-subunit of phosphorylase kinase specifically, whereas type 2 phosphatases dephosphorylate preferentially the α-subunit of phosphorylase kinase. The type 2 phosphatases comprise three enzymes (PP2A, PP2B, and PP2C) that can be distinguished by their requirement for cations. PP2A, like PP1, does not have an absolute requirement for divalent cations, whereas PP2B and PP2C are Ca\textsuperscript{2+}/calmodulin- and Mg\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent, respectively\textsuperscript{35,36}.

Tyr-specific phosphatases (PTP) were discovered later but had a faster development. They all contain an essential cysteine and arginine residue in the active site that forms a covalent intermediate with the phospho substrate\textsuperscript{37}. 
1.1.3 DETECTION OF PROTEIN PHOSPHORYLATION

Detection of protein phosphorylation requires analysis at two levels: firstly, to determine if a protein is a phosphoprotein and secondly, to identify the amino acids on which phosphorylation occurs.

A number of techniques are used in phosphorylation studies to detect phosphoproteins, such as the in vivo or in vitro incorporation of $^{32}$P, the use of specific antibodies which recognise Ser(P), Thr(P) or Tyr(P) as part of the epitope, enzymatic or chemical removal of phosphate, and analysis using chromatographic methods [high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), thin-layer chromatography (TLC)], electrophoresis, or mass spectrometry (MS). A combination of these techniques is often employed to achieve the best results.

1.1.3.1 RADIOLABELLING

The classical approach for the detection of phosphorylation is the incorporation of radiolabelled phosphate into phosphoproteins. In vivo, protein labelling involves the incubation of intact organisms, cells or tissues with $[^{32}$P]phosphate or [$\gamma^{32}$P]ATP in permeabilised cells. The next step involves the purification of the phosphorylated proteins without their further modification by cellular proteases, protein kinases, phosphatases, or protease/phosphatase inhibitors. In vitro, protein labelling involves incubation of a cell-free system containing a divalent cation (Mg$^{2+}$ or Mn$^{2+}$), with a protein kinase [or cell fraction containing a protein kinase(s)] and [$\gamma^{32}$P]ATP as the substrate that serves as the phosphate donor for most protein phosphorylation reactions.

Radiolabelling methods are very sensitive, and provide excellent resolution, although the in vivo method is limited to organisms or cells where the radio label can be incorporated during cell growth. The major disadvantages are: (1) in order to obtain high specific labelling,
relatively large amounts of $^{32}$P in vivo or high specific activity of [y-$^{32}$P]ATP in vitro are required; (2) the difference in metabolic phosphorylation/dephosphorylation rates results in a different proportion of $^{32}$P incorporation into Ser, Thr and Tyr residues which depends also upon the labelling time. Therefore, caution must be made in drawing conclusions about the ratios of phosphorylated Ser, Thr and Tyr and indeed other kinds of protein phosphorylation; (3) constitutively phosphorylated proteins with a slow phosphate turnover rate may not be detected in short in vivo labelling studies.

1.1.3.2 FLUORESCENCE LABELLING

Another general approach for detecting protein bound phosphate groups is to derivatise them with a molecular label such as a fluorophore. Several fluorescent probes have been developed recently, such as environment-sensitive fluorophores adjacent to the phosphorylated residue, placement of fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) partners flanking a sequence that undergoes a conformational change upon phosphorylation and signalling via metal chelation between the newly introduced phosphate and an external or internal chelator. These probes are elegantly designed, but their affinity and sensitivity (i) may be affected by the amino acid sequence of the target phosphopeptide, (ii) may involve non-versatile design strategies, or (iii) may require technically challenging microfluidic setups. Therefore, there is considerable scope for the continued development of novel chemical approaches for assaying protein kinase activity and some new approaches are summarized in Table 1.2.
Table 1.2: Assay techniques for high-throughput screening of kinases, (Adapted from ref.49).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Principle</th>
<th>Pros and cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Fluorescence polarization (FP) assay | Depolarization of polarized light is dependent on molecule size, with small molecules depolarizing light faster. Fluorophore-labeled tracer peptides are used to give a signal after binding to antiphospho-antibodies during the detection step. | + nonradioactive, low enzyme need, high miniaturization potential, bead free  
- signal-decrease assay, tracer and antibody needed, peptide size limited |
| IMAP assay | Special form of fluorescence-polarization assay. Fluorophore-labeled peptides have to be used as substrates and phosphorylation is monitored through mass increase by binding of detection beads coated with trivalent metal ions. | + nonradioactive  
- peptide size limited to 5 kDa, so protein substrates cannot be used, 20-30% peptide turnover is required for a good signal |
| Caliper assay | Electrophoretic separation of substrate and phosphopeptides in microfluidic devices. Reactions may be performed on-chip or off-chip. | + nonradioactive, high accuracy due to measurement of substrate consumption and product formation, highly miniaturized on-chip format  
-20-30% peptide turnover required for a good signal, limited throughput |
| Electroluminiscence assay<sup>50</sup> | Signal is generated in an electrochemical reaction that depends on recruitment of ruthenium complexes to electrodes. | + nonradioactive  
- availability of specific antibodies, relatively high cost |
| IQ (Pierce)<sup>51</sup> assay | Phosphorylation of peptides allows binding of a proprietary quencher, thereby reducing fluorescence. | + nonradioactive  
- signal-decrease assay, compound interference |
| Kinase-Gio (Promega) | ATP consumption in a kinase reaction is monitored through luciferase activity. | + nonradioactive  
- signal-decrease assay, off-target hits due to the coupled enzyme format, so need for additional selectivity testing |
| PKlight (Cambre)<sup>52</sup> assay | ATP consumption by the kinase is measured. Remaining ATP is detected by bioluminescence. | + nonradioactive  
- signal-decrease assay, off-target hits due to the coupled enzyme format, so need for additional selectivity testing |
1.1.3.3 ANTIodies

One potentially attractive non-radioactive assay is to use phospho-specific antibodies that recognize the product of the kinase reaction, which have the advantages of simplicity and radiometric signal processing not requiring the use of radioisotopes or other specialised reagents. The simplest approach is to use phospho-specific antibodies that recognise peptides containing either a phosphoserine, phosphothreonine or phosphotyrosine residue. This method can be extremely sensitive since antibodies can detect as little as a few femtomol (fmol) of phosphorylated epitopes. In addition, due to the highly specific nature of antibody-antigen recognition, cross-reactivity of one phosphoamino acid antibody (e.g., anti-phosphotyrosine) to other phospho amino acids or non-phosphorylated amino acids has been shown to be minimal. Indeed, such antibodies have been used successfully for the assay of protein tyrosine kinases. The potential specificity of such antibodies generated against specific phosphorylation epitopes makes site-specific phosphorylation analysis feasible, providing the relevant antibodies can be prepared. However, the development of equivalent assays for serine/threonine-specific protein kinases or phosphatases has not been possible since sufficiently good antibodies, for phospho-serine or phospho-threonine containing peptides, are not available. It is therefore necessary to develop separate phospho-specific antibodies for each phosphorylated substrate. This is a key point since it means that at the present time, it is not possible to use an antibody assay to observe peptide phosphorylation (at serine or threonine) without prior knowledge of the peptide target. This is a particularly relevant problem when trying to elucidate enzyme-substrate relationships and an alternative molecular recognition approach, that would target phosphoserine and phosphothreonine independently of peptide amino acid composition, would be of great value.
1.2 MOLECULARLY IMPRINTING POLYMER

1.2.1 INTRODUCTION

The developing technique of molecularly imprinted polymer (MIP) provides an alternative strategy to antibodies for targeting both specific and non specific serine/threonine phosphorylation events. Biological recognition agents such as antibodies and enzymes have been widely employed as the specific-affinity sensing agents in analytical biochemistry, biosensors, diagnostic kits, and other applications\(^55,56\). The specificity of these molecules has referred on both protein sequence and conformation\(^57\). Antibody-assays are very efficient and sensitive but they present a number of potential drawbacks mainly related to antibodies fragility and instability. Therefore, this study aimed to use MIPs as antibody substitutes, in order to generate a general assay that could detect a wide variety of protein kinases and that could possibly resolve the high specificity of the antibodies. As antibody and receptor binding mimics, MIPs have been prepared that recognise target molecules with high affinity and specificity\(^58-63\). A significant benefit when compared to antibodies is that they tend to be physically and chemically robust which means that they can be used in harsher conditions (organic solvents, pH extremes, high pressures and elevated temperatures) than normally associated with the use of antibodies\(^64\).

1.2.2 BRIEF OF HISTORY

Molecular imprinting, as we know it today, started in 1972 but the concept itself has a long history. Around 1930, the Soviet chemist M.V. Polyakov reported the post-polymerisation selective adsorption of different additives in silica particles, for chromatography application, prepared using a novel synthetic procedure\(^65\). However, the concept of template-mediated assembly dates back to the 1940s when Linus
Pauling proposed that antibody formation took place in the presence of an antigen which served as a template for antibody formation\(^{66}\). Although this theory was later proven to be incorrect, it represented the first example of “bio-imprinting” and inspired the work of his student, Frank Dickey\(^{67}\). The methodology used by Dickey was very similar to that of Polyakov with the difference that Polyakov introduced the template (additives) during the drying process, while Dickey introduced the template (dye compound) prior to polymerisation\(^{68}\). The results were striking where the resultant polymer showed a number of selective recognition sites for the original template. Thus, Dickey started, without intention, the era of molecularly imprinted polymer technology, which was then challenged by other groups\(^{69-71}\).

Since those early days, the methodology of molecular imprinting has evolved along two routes, depending on the kind of relationship between the template molecule and the functional monomer(s)\(^{72}\). The general principles of molecular imprinting involve the binding of a target molecule to an appropriate monomer through covalent or non-covalent interactions. This complex then is polymerized in presence of a cross-linking monomer in order to rigidly fix the spatial arrangement of the functional monomers. Upon removal of the template species, cavities in the matrix are revealed whose size and functionality are complementary to that of the template (Figure 1.4).
Non-covalent imprinting, due to its versatility and practical simplicity over the covalent method, has been widely adopted today. Its use is involved in a number of applications which include membrane separations\textsuperscript{73}, selective sorption\textsuperscript{74}, capillary electrophoresis\textsuperscript{75} and various types of sensors\textsuperscript{76}.

For further information, the reader is referred to the following review articles\textsuperscript{72,77-81}.

\textbf{Figure 1.4}: Schematic representation of the molecular imprinting technique: a) and b) pre-polymerisation complex between template and functional monomer(s); c) polymer formation; d) template extraction which leaves binding sites complementary to the original template.
1.2.3 MOLECULAR IMPRINTING METHODOLOGIES

Molecular imprinting approaches can be classified in three ways depending on the type of interaction between the template guest and the host functional monomer(s): a) covalent bonding; b) non covalent bonding; c) semi covalent and sacrificial spacer approach.

1.2.3.1 COVALENT APPROACH

Covalent imprinting is characterised by the use of templates which are covalently bound to one or more polymerizable groups. After polymerisation, the template is cleaved and the functionality left in the binding site is capable of binding the target molecule by re-establishment of the covalent bond.

The boronate ester approach was undoubtedly the most successful of the reversible covalent methods. The method was first developed by Gunter Wulff at the University of Bonn, where he synthesised the boronate di-ester of phenyl-α-D-mannopyranoside by using two molecules of 4-vinylphenylboronic acid. The boronate sugar was then co-polymerised with large amounts of cross-linking agent such as ethylene dimethacrylate in the presence of an inert solvent. The template molecules were then extracted from the polymer networks by mild hydrolysis with, for example, aqueous methanol, leaving a polymer cavity containing boronic acid residues in the exact spatial arrangement necessary to rebind the template (Figure 1.5).
This work was the first in a series of papers making use of reversible covalent interactions as the basis for recognition.

The advantage of covalent imprinting is that functional groups are only associated with the template site; however, only a limited number of compounds (alcohol (diols), aldehydes, ketones, amines and carboxylic acids) can be imprinted using this approach.

1.2.3.2 NON-COVALENT APPROACH

Non-covalent imprinting approach, popularised by the Klaus Mosbach group at the University of Lund in Sweden in the 1980s, has been applied to a much wider range of template molecules including steroids, β-blockers, peptides and theophylline. This method relies on self-assembling functional monomers around the template in the polymerisation mixture and, because no covalent bonds are formed...
between the template and polymer, template removal involves simply washing the polymer repeatedly with a suitable solvent. Specific rebinding relies on reformation of the non-covalent interactions that were responsible for stabilisation of the pre-polymerisation complex (Figure 1.6).

![Figure 1.6: Non-covalent molecular imprinting of 9-ethyladenine reported by Shea et al.](image)

This is the predominant method currently used because it offers much more flexibility in terms of the functionalities on a template that can be targeted.
1.2.3.3 SEMI-COVALENT AND SACRIFICIAL SPACER APPROACH

Semi-covalent imprinting attempts to combine the advantages of both approaches, where covalent template structure is used in the polymerisation step and rebinding is entirely non-covalent in nature. Two variations in the semi-covalent approach can be distinguished: (i) the template and the monomer are connected directly by an ester or amide linkage or (ii) the template and the monomer are connected using a spacer group.

The first semi-covalent approach was reported by Sellergren and Andersson for the imprinting of p-aminophenylalanine ethyl ester. A structural analogue was used which possesses two polymerisable groups attached via ester linkages. After hydrolysis the carboxylic acid groups left in the polymer binding site interacts with the amino acid through a mixture of hydrogen bonding and electrostatic interactions (Figure 1.7).

However, this apparently simple process presents some drawbacks: template hydrolysis is often not straightforward and the steric requirements of an acid and an alcohol in hydrogen-bonding contact are rather different from the corresponding ester, which can sometimes compromise the imprinting.
Figure 1.7: Semi-covalent approach for the imprinting of \( p \)-aminophenylalanine ethyl ester, (Adapted from ref.86).

In order to overcome some limitations of the semi-covalent technique, Whitcombe et al.\textsuperscript{107,87} introduced a sacrificial spacer between the template and the functional monomer, which was subsequently removed with the template. In the first example the template, cholesterol, was attached via a carbonyl spacer to 4-vinylphenol carbonate to produce cholesteryl 4-vinylphenol carbonate as the template monomer. After polymerisation the cholesterol was cleaved from the polymer by base hydrolysis leaving a phenolic hydroxyl group in the binding site capable of interacting with cholesterol through hydrogen bond formation. The carbonyl spacer group was lost as CO\(_2\) leaving sufficient room between functional groups to establish hydrogen bonding\textsuperscript{107} (Figure 1.8).
It has been shown that MIPs for cholesterol made in this way perform better than non-covalent imprinted polymers when used as stationary phase in HPLC\textsuperscript{108}.

A number of hydroxyl group\textsuperscript{109,110} containing molecules have been imprinted using this method and the use of a carbonyl spacer in the form of the carbamate group, in order to introduce even amine groups\textsuperscript{111,112} into the binding sites, has also been reported.

1.2.3.4 PROS AND CONS OF THE THREE IMPRINTING APPROACHES

An advantage of the covalent approaches is the stoichiometric nature of the covalent pre-polymerisation step which means that all functional groups in the resultant imprinted polymers are only found in sites associated with template. This may help to lower non-specific analyte-polymer interactions\textsuperscript{80}. Further advantages of the semi-covalent approach is its compatibility with a wider range of polymerisation
conditions, allowing them to be used for the imprinting of emulsion\textsuperscript{113} and dendrimers\textsuperscript{114}, and the fact that entrapped template remains covalently bound to the polymer avoiding the problem of leaching under normal condition of use\textsuperscript{115}. However, the covalent approach presents a fundamental drawback since the re-binding step can be very slow due to the necessary formation of a covalent bond between template and MIP\textsuperscript{116}.

In contrast, due to its simplicity and broad applicability to a range of template structures, the non-covalent approach has established itself as the main methodology. In the non-covalent approach, components are simply mixed together and left to interact resulting in a mixture of different solution adducts which are in dynamic equilibrium\textsuperscript{80}. The other great strength of the approach is the range of chemical functionalities that can be targeted. These include ion-pairs, hydrogen bonding groups and aromatic systems\textsuperscript{80}. However, the non-covalent approach has three distinct drawbacks. The first is the heterogeneity of the binding site population that is formed. This occurs due to the complex and varied array of pre-polymerisation complexes that form in solution and also their transient nature due to the relative weakness of the interactions that hold them together. The second problem is the widespread distribution of the functional monomer around the polymer network, outside receptor cavities, giving rise to low affinity non-specific binding of the analyte to the polymer. The third problem is the low yield of functional high-affinity receptor sites relative to the amount of template present in the pre-polymerisation mixture\textsuperscript{80,116}.

These problems have driven research toward specifically designed high affinity monomers to be utilized in stoichiometric molecular imprinting approaches (section1.2.4).
1.2.4 STOICHIOMETRIC APPROACH

In order to optimise the non-covalent approach, a different type of imprinting called ‘stoichiometric noncovalent interaction’ has been developed. In principle, stoichiometric imprinting is non-covalent molecular imprinting using selected high affinity monomers. Such monomers have been designed to form multiple hydrogen bonds with the template and binding constants (Ka) in the range $10^3$-$10^7$ M$^{-1}$ have been reported. Crucially this makes them behave like a covalent bond. Therefore, this method combines the high yields and fast rebinding kinetics usually observed with the non-covalent approach with the precise location of functional groups and the high accessibility of the free cavities for molecular recognition, typical of covalent imprinting. The classical example of the stoichiometric interaction in non-covalent imprinting is the interaction of polymerisable amidines with carboxylic acids, phosphates and phosphonates as introduced by Wulff.

1.2.5 POLYMER MATRIX

The structure of the matrix is crucial in the imprinting process and a number of key properties are desirable. The polymer should possess:

i. High rigidity, to preserve the shape of their cavities after removing the template.

ii. Flexibility, necessary to allow fast binding and release of the substances within the cavities.

iii. The accessibility of as many cavities as possible. This depends on the flexibility of the polymer chain, on the inner surface area of the polymer and on the pore size distribution within the macroporous polymer.

iv. Mechanical stability, for application in situations where the polymer would be under pressure e.g. HPLC.

v. Thermal stability for use in applications where elevated temperatures might be desirable in order to improve kinetics.
Therefore, in order to improve the quality and quantity of recognition sites, a number of factors have to be considered when designing the polymer. These include molecular composition, the method of polymerisation and required polymer format. These variables all have some influence on the key steps of pre-polymerisation complex formation and its entrapment into the solid state.

1.2.5.1 TEMPLATE MOLECULE

In all molecular imprinting processes the template is of central importance since it directs the organisation of the functional groups contained within the functional monomers. In general, small and highly functional templates produce more specific polymers, although other factors such as solubility and rigidity are also important. Rigid templates with limited conformational fluidity can lead to more homogenous binding site populations. Furthermore, if the template possesses more than one functional group capable of interacting with the monomer, this can increase binding site heterogeneity (Figure 1.9).
A large number of small molecules have been successfully imprinted\textsuperscript{128}, while the imprinting of larger templates is still a challenge due to their solvent incompatibility, slow mass transfer and conformational flexibility. However, a number of adapted protocols have been reported for proteins\textsuperscript{129} and even cells\textsuperscript{130}.

1.2.5.2 FUNCTIONAL MONOMER

The functional monomer is responsible for specific interactions between the template and the polymeric matrix. Therefore, the choice of monomer and its stoichiometry with template and crosslinker will determine the pre-arrangement and type of interaction created. In the non-covalent imprinting approach, the most widely used functional monomer is methacrylic acid (MAA) due to its hydrogen bond donor and acceptor characteristics\textsuperscript{80}. MAA also benefits from the bulk of the methyl group, which probably restricts rotation and conformational
flexibility, compared with acrylic acid, and also provides additional van der Waals interactions, which help to define the general shape-selective elements of the receptor sites. Thus, a large number of MIPs, expressing high binding affinity and selectivity to various target analytes, have been successfully reported based on this approach. However, MAA is not the only monomer that has been used to prepare MIPs and a range of other polymerisable species has been identified based on their template complementarity.

![Figure 1.10: Representation of functional monomers for non covalent molecular imprinting.](image)

Trifluoromethacrylic acid (TFMAA) is a stronger acid than MAA due to its electronegative fluorines, so that TFMAA is capable of forming stronger ionic interactions. Frequently, other acidic monomers are
employed such as sulphonates, phosphonates, phosphates. These are particularly used to form formal ion pairs when carrying out imprinting under aqueous conditions\textsuperscript{134}.

From the basic monomers, the vinylpyridines or vinylimidazoles are the most widely used as they can participate in the formation of hydrogen bonds and can also form ionic interactions with acidic template molecules\textsuperscript{135-136}.

For some applications, it is advantageous to use "neutral" monomers, e.g. styrene or acrylamide\textsuperscript{137}, the latter has been used in imprinting of proteins in aqueous systems\textsuperscript{138} and has shown high selectivity in the imprinting of amides and carboxylic acids\textsuperscript{139}.

In most examples only one functional monomer is used in a particular polymer. However, a combination of two or more functional monomers, has, in a few instances, given polymers with better recognition capabilities than that observed from the corresponding copolymers\textsuperscript{139}.

In covalent imprinting the number of functional monomers that can be used is more limited. Boronic esters are the most commonly used in this type of imprinting\textsuperscript{140}.

\section*{1.2.5.3 CROSSLINKING AGENT}

The major fraction (80-95\% by weight) of most MIPs is made up by the crosslinking agent, which is necessary to afford the required rigidity and selectivity. In an imprinted polymer, the cross-linker has three main functions: i) to control the morphology of the polymer matrix; ii) to stabilise the imprinted binding site; iii) to impart mechanical stability to the polymer matrix\textsuperscript{122}. The most commonly used cross-linkers are ethyleneglycol dimethacrylate (EGDMA) and divinylbenzene (DVB),
which both contain only two polymerizable vinyl groups. Others cross-linking monomers have been used that possess more than two acrylate groups, these include trimethylolpropane trimethacrylate (TRIM)\textsuperscript{141} and pentaerythritol triacrylate (PETRA)\textsuperscript{142}. These monomers produce polymers of greater rigidity\textsuperscript{122}. This rigidity has been shown to lead to MIPs with higher capacities and selectivities than those prepared with EGDMA\textsuperscript{143}. The choice of cross-linker is also dependent upon the template and solvent. For example, methylene-\textit{bis}-acrylamide has been used to crosslink protein imprinted polymers where the solvent used has been water\textsuperscript{144}. In addition, Spivak and co-workers have introduced a hybrid\textsuperscript{145} of a crosslinker-functional monomer. In this way, the degree of cross-linking is maximized without imposing restrictions on functional group concentrations.

\textbf{Figure 1.11:} Selection of common cross-linkers used in molecular imprinting protocols.
1.2.5.4 POROGENS

Apart from dissolving all the components of the prepolymerisation mixture, the solvent has two other important roles. The first is in the formation of the pre-polymer complex. For polar non-covalent interactions such as ionic or hydrogen bonds, non-polar solvents are preferred in order to drive the template and functional monomer toward complex formation. When there is reliance on polar non-covalent interactions, the use of polar solvents, especially those capable of hydrogen bonding, inhibits the formation and stability of non-covalent pre-polymerisation complexes. The second effect is that the solvent is responsible for the creation of pores in macroporous polymers. For this reason it is quite common to refer to the solvent as the "porogen". The use of thermodynamically good solvent tends to lead to polymers with well-developed pore structures and high surface areas, whilst use of a thermodynamically poor solvent leads to polymers with poorly developed pore structures and low surface area. Sellergren and Shea evaluated MIPs templated with L-phenylalanine anilide using porogens and concluded that enantioselectivity is improved for polymers made with relatively nonpolar porogens, having a poor hydrogen bonding capacity.

In order to maximise the strength of polar, i.e. electrostatic interactions between the template and the functional monomer(s) in organic media, an aprotic solvent of low to medium polarity is normally chosen to act as the porogen. Normally these solvents have low dielectric constant, such as chloroform (4.806 at 20°C) and toluene (2.379 at 25°C). However, more polar solvents with higher dielectric constants, e.g. acetonitrile (30.5 at 20°C) or acetone (20.7 at 25°C), have wide application due to their great solvation properties, despite weakening the binding forces between the template and the functional monomers.
The imprinting of aqueous-based polymers remains a challenge due to the polar nature of water\textsuperscript{148}. However, a number of researchers have demonstrated efficacy in aqueous\textsuperscript{131,149} or partially aqueous environments\textsuperscript{151} and, recently, it was demonstrated that once the MIP has been synthesised in an apolar solvent, its rebinding in aqueous buffers by hydrophobic and metal coordination interactions was indeed possible, although the affinities and selectivities obtained were less than those for ligand binding in an optimal organic solvent\textsuperscript{150,151}. Certainly, these protocols cannot be used with all templates, but these studies have demonstrated that, against general belief, imprinting and the use of MIPs are possible in presence of water.

1.2.5.5 INITIATION METHODS

Generally MIPs are synthesised using radical polymerisation initiated either photochemically or thermally\textsuperscript{122}. The most common initiator employed is the azobisisobutyronitriles (AIBN), which can be conveniently decomposed by photolysis (UV) or thermolysis to give two metastable radicals capable of initiating the growth of a number of vinyl monomers\textsuperscript{122}. Azobisdimethylvaleronitrile (ABDV) has also been widely used, as it possess a thermal decomposition temperature lower than that of AIBN and allows thermal polymerisation to be initiated at $40^\circ\text{C}$\textsuperscript{151}. Several studies have shown that polymerisation of MIPs at lower temperatures forms polymers with greater selectivity against polymers made at elevated temperatures\textsuperscript{152-154}. The chemical structures of selected polymerization initiators are shown in Figure 1.12.
The polymerisation process is characterised by three distinct stages: 1) initiation; 2) propagation and 3) termination. Importantly, oxygen gas slows down free radical polymerisation and, therefore, to avoid premature chain termination it is necessary to degas and purge the monomer mixture with an inert gas such as nitrogen or argon prior to polymerisation. 

### 1.2.6 CONSIDERATION IN THE DESIGN OF NON-COVALENT IMPRINTING SYSTEM

In the choice of a stoichiometric non-covalent approach to imprint our target molecule, several parameters have been taken into consideration. We have already discussed the advantages of the 'stoichiometric non-covalent interactions' against the non-covalent approach (section 1.2.4) and the importance of the nature and level of template, monomer(s), crosslinker(s), solvent(s) in the imprinting process. The other two factors that must be considered are:

- Type of interactions
- Thermodynamics of interaction
1.2.6.1 NON-COVALENT TYPE OF INTERACTIONS

Non covalent interactions can be described as interactions between two species that do not involve covalent bonds or the specific sharing of valence electrons\textsuperscript{155}. Associations of this nature cover a variable range of energies and depend explicitly on the structure and functionality of the species involved in the formed complex as well as the local environment of the complex\textsuperscript{155}. Table 1.3 lists some types of non covalent interactions that are important in molecular imprinting and their corresponding bond energies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of interaction</th>
<th>Example</th>
<th>Approximate bond energy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Charge-charge</td>
<td>![ Charge-charge example ]</td>
<td>~60 kJ/mol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen bond</td>
<td>![ Hydrogen bond example ]</td>
<td>~40 kJ/mol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charge-dipole</td>
<td>![ Charge-dipole example ]</td>
<td>~8 kJ/mol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dipole-dipole</td>
<td>![ Dipole-dipole example ]</td>
<td>~1 kJ/mol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van der Waals</td>
<td>![ Van der Waals example ]</td>
<td>0.1-1 kJ/mol</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.3: Example of the most common non covalent interactions and approximate bond energies, (Adapted from Ref.158).
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For non covalent imprinting, the most important monomer-template interactions are hydrogen bonding, ionic interactions, dipole-dipole interactions, Van der Waals (VDW) forces and hydrophobic forces\textsuperscript{156}. Hydrogen bonding is the most important mechanism of interaction between the template and the functional monomer.

The hydrogen bond is really a special case of dipole forces. A hydrogen bond is the attractive force between the hydrogen attached to an electronegative atom of one molecule and an electronegative atom of a different molecule\textsuperscript{157}. Usually the electronegative atom is oxygen, nitrogen, or fluorine, which has a partial negative charge. The hydrogen then has the partial positive charge. Hydrogen bonding is usually stronger than normal dipole forces between molecules\textsuperscript{157}. The strength of a typical hydrogen bond is between 10 and 40 kJ/mol, which is much stronger than typical VDW interactions (~1 kJ/mol) but still considerably weaker than covalent bonds (~500 kJ/mol)\textsuperscript{158}. The length of hydrogen bonds depends on bond strength, temperature and pressure and typically its length in water is 197 pm (1.97 Å). Usually van der Waals forces play a minor role in typical imprinting procedures, as these interactions types do not display high binding energies\textsuperscript{158}.

Hydrophobicity implies the dislike of a molecular unit for water. Water is electrically polarized, and is able to form hydrogen bonds internally, which gives it many of its unique physical properties. But, since hydrophobes are not electrically polarized, and because they are unable to form hydrogen bonds, water repels hydrophobes, in favor of bonding with itself\textsuperscript{60}. However, such rearrangements are highly entropically unfavorable. A consequence of this hydrophobic effect is a relatively strong attractive interaction between two hydrophobic moieties in water compared to their interaction in a hydrocarbon-based solvent\textsuperscript{158}. 
1.2.6.2 THERMODYNAMIC CONSIDERATIONS

The formation of monomer-template complexes in the pre-polymerization mixture plays a role in determining the quality and performance of the polymer product and it is controlled by thermodynamics principles. Nicholls, in a series of papers\textsuperscript{159-161}, re-interpreting the general theory for describing molecular recognition phenomena, Equation 1.1, with respect to molecular imprinting system, Equation 1.2, postulated that this thermodynamic treatment can be used to identify factors influencing site formation and binding of a ligand to a MIP receptor site\textsuperscript{160}.

\[ \Delta G_{\text{bind}} = \Delta G_{t+r} + \Delta G_r + \Delta G_h + \Delta G_{\text{vib}} + \sum \Delta G_p + \Delta G_{\text{conf}} + \Delta G_{\text{vdw}} \quad \text{Eq.1.1} \]

\[ \Delta G_{\text{bind}} = \Delta G_{t+r} + \Delta G_r + \Delta G_h + \Delta G_{\text{vib}} + \sum \Delta G_p \quad \text{Eq.1.2} \]

Where the Gibbs free energy changes are:

- $\Delta G_{\text{bind}}$: complex formation
- $\Delta G_{t+r}$: translational and rotational
- $\Delta G_r$: restriction of rotors upon complexation
- $\Delta G_h$: hydrophobic interactions
- $\Delta G_{\text{vib}}$: residual soft vibrational modes
- $\sum \Delta G_p$: the sum of interacting polar group contributions
- $\Delta G_{\text{conf}}$: adverse conformational changes
- $\Delta G_{\text{vdw}}$: unfavorable van der Waals interactions

By considering the various terms in this thermodynamic model, Equation 1.2, the following conclusions may be drawn in regard to the design of MIPs. The $\Delta G_{t+r}$ term, in Equation 1.2, reflects the change in translational and rotational Gibbs free energy associated with combining two or more free entities in a complex\textsuperscript{160} and imply that the greater the number of these
entities for complexation with the template, the lower will be the stability of the complex\textsuperscript{162}. $\Delta G_r$ refers to the internal rotation free energy and indicates that the selectivities observed for MIPs prepared with rigid templates are superior to those of less rigid structures. The implication of $\Sigma \Delta G_p$, the sum of the free energy contributions from all electrostatic interactions, is that the stronger the interaction between the template and the monomer, the more stable is the resulting polymer\textsuperscript{161}. $\Delta G_h$ refers to the hydrophobic interactions and indicates that the stability of complex formation in water is improved by the strength of interactions between hydrophobic portions of the template structure and suitable hydrophobic functional monomers. In relation to the other terms described in the Equation 1.2, $\Delta G_{\text{vib}}$ indicates that the homogeneity of the final adduct depends on the temperature used for the polymerization process; $\Delta G_{\text{conf}}$ and $\Delta G_{\text{vdw}}$ reflect the need to have a right conformation of template and an effective solvation in order to form solution adducts\textsuperscript{162}. These last terms can be valued using molecular modelling\textsuperscript{162}. In conclusion, the position of the equilibrium for formation of self-assembled solution adducts between templates and monomers, $\Delta G_{\text{bind}}$, determines the number and degree of receptor site heterogeneity. Therefore, the use of non-covalent template-functional monomer interactions is a direct consequence of the extent of template complexation and of its stability\textsuperscript{162}.

### 1.2.7 APPLICATIONS OF MOLECULAR IMPRINTING

The spectacular versatility of the molecularly imprinted polymers has seen them adopted in a wide range of applications, from chiral chromatographic separations\textsuperscript{163,164} to the binding of ligands with a specificity emulating the one of antibodies\textsuperscript{165,166}.
1.2.7.1 CHROMATOGRAPHY

Molecularly imprinting has been used to prepare separation materials with predetermined selectivity. A wide range of print molecules, from small molecules, such as drugs, amino acids and carbohydrates, to larger entities, such as proteins, have been pursued which employ several different techniques, such as high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), thin layer chromatography (TLC), capillary electrophoresis (CE) and capillary electrochromatography (CEC). Chiral separations have been a major area of investigation, and molecularly imprinted materials have been extensively employed as chiral stationary phases in HPLC. However, sometimes the use of MIPs as chiral stationary phases presented limitations due to the broad and asymmetric peak shapes, caused by the heterogeneity of the sites and irregular particle size distribution and due to the low saturation capacities. Different approaches have been described to overcome these problems which included improvement of the column packing technique, the preparation of the polymer in situ in the HPLC column and the production of more spherical particles to allow a better distribution of the packed material.

1.2.7.2 CATALYSIS AND ARTIFICIAL ENZYMES

One of the most intriguing challenges for the use of molecularly imprinted polymer is their use as enzyme mimics. To date, four different approaches have been pursued: i) the use of transition state analogues as templates, ii) the use of coenzyme analogues for providing a useful predetermined catalytic mechanism, iii) the use of coordination compounds for mediation of catalytic reactions and iv) the use of designed "bait-and-switch" strategy which involves preparing molecular imprints against a suitable molecule, where
interaction with a potentially catalytic functional group results in an appropriate reciprocal arrangement of groups in the resulting polymer.

Polymer catalytic materials potentially have significant advantages upon other systems, particularly biological systems, due to excellent thermal stability and resistance to extreme environments. In addition, their preparation and the recovery of the catalytic product can be straightforward.

Therefore, catalytic MIPs may be highly advantageous for industrial continuous transformation and/or conversion reactions, where temperature and solvent regimes are often such that biological catalysts are rapidly denaturated or destroyed.

1.2.7.3 BIOSENSOR

MIPs have also been used as recognition elements in sensors. They have great potential to compete with antibodies and enzymes in this field since biological molecules can exhibit limited stability even in relatively mild environments. MIP sensing systems are highly adaptable and have been linked to a range of different transduction systems including electrochemical, pH, luminescence and fluorescence (Figure 1.13). Molecularly imprinted polymers for sensor technology present advantages very similar to those for antibody mimics: they are very stable and robust with the potential of performing in harsh environments.
1.2.7.4 PSEUDO IMMUNO ASSAY

A number of studies have demonstrated that molecularly imprinted polymers can serve as artificial versions of natural antibodies and can be used as recognition elements in immunoassays. The first molecularly imprinted 'antibody replacement' radioassay was reported by Vlatakis et al\textsuperscript{56}. This study describes MIPs, specific against the tranquillizer diazepam and the bronchodilator theophylline, which demonstrated comparable cross-reactivity profiles to standard antibodies. Subsequently, similar studies have been reported for the analysis of other compounds, such as: caffeine\textsuperscript{85}, theophylline\textsuperscript{86}, propanolol\textsuperscript{131}, morphine\textsuperscript{149}, Leu-enkephaline\textsuperscript{187}, penicillin G\textsuperscript{188} and many others\textsuperscript{81}.

In order to avoid the use of radiolabels, alternatives approaches have also been developed which use fluorescent, electroactive, or enzyme-
labelled probes and an increasing number of non-radiolabel MIPs have been produced\textsuperscript{189-191}. Moreover, researches have also focussed on preparing MIPs in new formats for easier handling or compatibility with standard instrumentation, e.g. microplates\textsuperscript{166}. They include the preparation and use of magnetic MIP beads\textsuperscript{192}, fine particles (< 1\,\mu m)\textsuperscript{193}, microspheres\textsuperscript{193}, immobilized templates\textsuperscript{194}, thin polymer films on glass\textsuperscript{195}, thin layers on microtiter plate wells\textsuperscript{196}. Additional advantages of MIP over antibodies for this form of assay is that they can be used in both aqueous and non-aqueous systems and there is no need for conjugation of the template to an immunogenic carrier\textsuperscript{81}. Despite the obvious advantages, the standard issues associated with molecular imprinting, that is polyclonality, low comparative affinities compared to antibodies and the requirements for a large amount of the template in their preparation\textsuperscript{166} still apply.
1.3 CONCLUSION

The success of the molecular imprinting approach has led to a dramatic increase in the number of molecular imprinting research articles (Figure 1.14) and has resulted in the exploitation of a range of new approaches for preparing imprinted materials in an increasingly wide range of formats for a broad range of applications. Particularly significant has been the recent improvements towards aqueous compatibility and an increased understanding of the processes of recognition. As a greater understanding of the fundamental processes underpinning molecular imprinting become better understood so confidence in the science has increased. It now seems more likely that the obvious potential of molecular imprinting will be realised and that in the coming years a number of new and exciting commercial products are likely to appear.

Figure 1.14: The number of articles published from 1931-2003. (Data obtained and adapted from the Society for Molecular Imprinting, ref.197)
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Chapter 2
Aims and objectives

2.1 AIM

The aim of this project was to develop a selective synthetic molecularly imprinted receptor that demonstrated high affinity for serine phosphorylated peptides. It was important that the receptor had minimal affinity for the non-phosphorylated form and also that binding to phosphoserine was independent of adjacent amino acid residues. In addition, it was important that the system should function in a near aqueous environment.

2.2 OBJECTIVES

i. Development of a general procedure for the design of MIPs with improved affinity and selectivity.

ii. To design and synthesise a template able to mimic ‘peptide incorporated’ phosphoserine.

iii. To synthesise the functional monomer $N,N'$-diethyl-4-vinylbenzamidine.

iv. To investigate by computational analysis monomer-template interaction.


vi. Equilibrium evaluation of template affinity and characterisation of selectivity.

vii. Optimise aqueous performance of the MIP.

viii. Evaluate the enantio-selectivity of the optimised system.

ix. To evaluate the affinity and specificity of the MIP for a serine-phosphorylated peptide.
Chapter 3
Design and synthesis of the template and the functional monomer

3.1 MIP DESIGN

The first goal of this project was to identify a suitable template and an appropriate monomer system from which to prepare a MIP that possesses the characteristics set out in the objectives of this project listed in Chapter 2. The first step in this process was to evaluate previous molecular imprinting studies in which the template molecule contained a phosphate or related functional group.

3.1.1 IMPRINTING OF PHOSPHATES OR PHOSPHONATES

The literature contains a few reports on the imprinting of phosphate or phosphonate esters but no reports on the imprinting of phosphorylated serine. These studies focus on the detection of pesticides and toxic chemical warfare nerve agents. In 1999 Jenkins et al. combined molecular imprinting with a sensitized lanthanide luminescence to create the basis for a sensor that was capable of selectively monitoring the hydrolysis product of the nerve agent Soman in water (Figure 3.1).
Following these studies, Meng Zi-Hui et al. were able, not only to imprint the nerve agents pinacolyl methylphosphonate, ethyl methylphosphonate and methane phosphonic acid, but also, by taking the advantage of their crossreactivity, they were able to recognise the degradation product of other nerve agents (Figure 3.2).

Figure 3.1: Chemical warfare nerve agents Sarin (1) and Soman (2).

Figure 3.2: The molecular structures of the degradation products of nerve agents. Isopropyl methylphosphonate (3), pinacolyl methylphosphonate (4), ethyl methylphosphonate (5), cyclohexyl methylphosphonate (6) and isobutyl methylphosphonate (7) are the degradation products of the main nerve agents: Sarin, Soman, VX, GF and Russian VX. Methylphosphonic acid (8) is the final degradation product of all nerve agents and their products.
Moller et al.\textsuperscript{3} reported the use of molecular imprinting to prepare a solid-phase extraction (SPE) MIP that was used to selectively extract diphenyl phosphate from biological samples, such as human blood and urine. Diphenyl phosphate was identified as the major degradation product of the flame retardant additive triphenyl phosphate which was found to induce several biological responses, including allergenic effects (Figure 3.3). Diphenyl phosphate esters are highly polar and acidic compounds, which are difficult to extract from aqueous samples using conventional extraction methods. Thus, the combined use of molecular imprinting solid-phase extraction (MISPE) and ion pairing-LC-ES-MS-MS was reported as a fast and easy clean-up method for acidic diphosphate esters in complex matrices.
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\textbf{Figure 3.3:} Structures of triphenyl (9) and diphenyl (10) phosphates.

Recently, Sellergren et al.\textsuperscript{4} successfully demonstrated the non-covalent molecular imprinting of phosphorus esters containing a single hydrogen bond acceptor site using a urea-based functional monomer (Figure 3.4). In particular, they developed a different approach using an excess of template compared with the functional monomer, in order to increase the imprinting effect in non-polar and polar mobile phase.
Figure 3.4: Chemical structures of the urea-based functional monomer (14) and the templates: triphenyl phosphine oxide (11), phosphorus ester triphenyl phosphate (12) and phosphorus ester diethylphenyl phosphate (13).
3.1.2 DESIGN OF THE TARGET MOLECULE

As reported\textsuperscript{1-4}, the use of phosphonates and related phosphate groups has met with increased interest in molecular imprinting due to the fact that these groups make excellent molecular recognition functionalities for forming directed hydrogen bonds with guests containing electropositive groups.

The aim of this project is to prepare an imprinted polymer capable of recognising peptide associated phospho-serine. Importantly, the polymer should not interact with the non-phosphorylated form. Therefore, in order to mimic the serine peptide bond, a template was designed with the amino group protected by t-butyloxycarbonyl (Boc) and the carboxyl group esterified with a methyl group. The esterification was aimed to imitate the amide function in the peptide linkages. In fact, like the amide group, the ester group is water-soluble and is planar with bond angles about the carbonyl tending to 120°.

Thus, the natural isomer (L) of Boc-serine methyl ester was selected for the next step of phosphorylation and for the molecularly imprinting process.
Phosphorylated serine containing peptide

N-Boc-serine-methyl ester

Figure 3.5: Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester chosen as target molecule.
3.1.3 RATIONALE BEHIND THE CHOICE OF THE AMIDINE AS THE FUNCTIONAL MONOMER

3.1.3.1 BIOLOGICAL AND SYNTHETIC RECEPTORS

Non-covalent binding interactions play an important role in Nature. The interactions in the antigen-antibody reaction are mainly based on non-covalent interactions between ionic and polar groups of the amino acids, and proteins rely on hydrogen bonding for their secondary and tertiary structures and for the molecular recognition of hormones and synthetic drugs at receptor binding sites. Since molecular recognition plays such an important role in life, it is not surprising that chemists have thought to prepare artificial receptor molecules combining the recognition abilities of natural systems with the flexibility or rigidity possible through the modern synthetic chemistry. In modern drug design, the guanidinium moiety of arginine is a biological receptor system often mimicked and replaced by an amidine, which works quite well since amidines and guanidines are strong organic bases. The biological activity of many amidines is attributed to a cationic hydrogen-bond donor group that can act as binding sites for both carboxylate and phosphates. The amidine pharmacophore is found in a range of pharmaceutical drugs; benzamidine, in particular, has replaced arginine in various synthetic analogues of natural hormones.

3.1.3.2 APPLICATIONS OF AMIDINE-BASED MOLECULARLY IMPRINTED POLYMERS

The use of the amidine as receptor in molecularly imprinted polymers has been investigated by several workers. Wulff et al. were the first group to develop amidine-based MIP. Amidinium binding sites were used for the preparation of chiral imprints with optically active dicarboxylic acid as templates. These imprinted polymers were able to discriminate between template enantiomers. Another application of
imprinted polymers with amidinium binding sites is for catalysis in the investigation of the alkaline hydrolysis of ester, carbonates and carbamates\textsuperscript{7-10}. These polymers resulted in significant enhancements in the rates of ester hydrolysis by 102-235 times faster relative to the solution reactions. In the case of carbonates and carbamates, the hydrolysis brought about by the imprinted polymers was 588 and 400-3860 faster, respectively\textsuperscript{1}. More recently the feasibility of using benzamidine based molecular imprinted polymers as sensitive material for chiral sensors has been demonstrated\textsuperscript{11}. In this study benzamidine polymers were prepared on the surface of optical transducers. These systems were then probed using reflectometric interference spectroscopy in order to evaluate template binding.

On the basis of these previously reported studies, the benzamidine-phosphate interaction was selected as an appropriate approach with which to achieve the desired ‘MIP-phosphate’ selectivity.

3.1.4 CONCLUSION

Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester and a suitable benzamidine containing monomer were chosen respectively as template and functional monomer. However, as these compounds were not commercially available, an initial objective was to synthesise and obtain them with high purity.
3.2 SYNTHESIS OF THE TEMPLATE MOLECULE

3.2.1 INTRODUCTION

To prepare the template molecule Boc-phospho-L-serine-methyl ester from Boc-L-serine-methyl ester, a number of different phosphorylation approaches were investigated under a range of conditions. The product was finally obtained using a procedure that used a phosphoramidate as the source of phosphate. This was reacted with the starter material, Boc-L-serine methyl ester, to give the final pure compound chosen as target, Boc-phospho-L-serine-methyl ester, in good yield. Full experimental details of the procedures are given in Appendix I.

3.2.2 PHOSPHORYLATION METHODS

3.2.2.1 ARBUZOV REACTION

The first procedure attempted was that of Jeffrey K. Stowell and Theodore S. Widlansky\textsuperscript{12}, who reported the synthesis of phosphate esters \textit{via} the activation of trialkyl phosphite with molecular iodine. Using this method, the phosphorylation of phenols as well as primary and secondary alcohols was accomplished in virtually quantitative yields under mild conditions. We have explored this reaction as a potential use for the phosphorylation of OH group of Boc-L-serine methyl ester. In one experiment, triethyl phosphite was oxidized with iodine to obtain the phosphorylating agent that was added then to the Boc-L-serine methyl ester (Scheme 3.1).
Scheme 3.1: Arbuzov Reaction for the phosphorylation of Boc-L-serine methyl ester.

Reagent and conditions: (i) CH₂Cl₂, 0°C, 5 min.; (ii) 25°C, 30 min.; (iii) Pyridine, CH₂Cl₂, 4 hrs

This synthesis was deemed unsuccessful due to the absence of any additional spot on a TLC plate. The procedure was therefore modified, increasing the reaction time and modifying the temperature but, even in this case, TLC showed only starting material. The reaction was then carried out increasing the equivalents of phosphorylated agent P(OEt)₃ (Scheme 3.2).
Scheme 3.2: Attempted phosphorylations.

Reagents and conditions: (i) Iodine, pyridine, dichloromethane, 25°C, 4 hrs; (ii) Iodine, pyridine, dichloromethane, 0°C, 4 hrs; (iii) Iodine, pyridine, dichloromethane, 0°C, 4 hrs, 4 eq. phosphite; (iv) Iodine, pyridine, dichloromethane, 24 hrs.

The product recovered was identified by $^{31}$P-NMR as containing a phosphorus peak inherent to the intermediate (17a) but, after column chromatography, the NMR showed that the purified product lost its phosphate group (Table 3.1).

Table 3.1: Synthetic variations and phosphorus shifts ($^{31}$P-NMR data) for Arbuzov Reaction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample #</th>
<th>Equivalent Boc-serine</th>
<th>Equivalent P(OEt)$_3$</th>
<th>Reaction Time</th>
<th>$^{31}$P(CDC$_3$) before chromatography</th>
<th>Observations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4 hours</td>
<td>-11.995</td>
<td>Final product with similar Rf as the starting material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4 hours</td>
<td>-11.880</td>
<td>TLC showed only starting material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4 hours</td>
<td>-11.833</td>
<td>Small amount isolated by column chromatography but not containing phosphorus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>overnight</td>
<td>-11.832</td>
<td>Only a trace of product observed by TLC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As it was not possible to synthesise the Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester using this reaction, possible alternatives routes have been carried out.

These procedures entailed the use of alternative phosphorylating agents and bases.

3.2.2.2 PHOSPHORYLATION USING NMI AS BASE

In this attempt, diethyl chlorophosphate was used instead of triethyl phosphate in presence of N-methylimidazole (NMI) as base (Scheme 3.3).

![Scheme 3.3: Attempted synthesis using NMI as base.](image)

In this case, the NMI acted as a catalyst; in fact it reacted with the phosphorylating agent to form a better leaving group for the successive step of phosphorylation. As the starting material could not be seen on TLC, the reaction was followed using $^{31}$P-NMR that showed, at the final step, a peak at around -11.961 ppm. This result confirmed that the intermediate diethylphosphoserine was not obtained, as the chemical shift detected was typical of a pyrophosphate.
3.2.2.3 PHOSPHORYLATION USING A GRIGNARD REAGENT

The third method involved the use of a Grignard reagent as stronger base (Scheme 3.4). After adding diethyl chlorophosphate to a solution of starting material in presence of 2.5 equivalents of tert-butylmagnesium chloride, the reaction was left stirring for 2 hours. The reaction was followed by $^{31}\text{P}-\text{NMR}$ that showed a persistent peak at 5.6 ppm, similar to that of starter material suggesting that no reaction took place.

\[
\text{Scheme 3.4: Phosphorylation method by using the Grignard reagent.}
\]

3.2.2.4 PHOSPHORYLATION USING TRIETHYLAMINE AS BASE

This method is a modification of the previous approach (section 3.2.2.3) and used triethylamine as base instead of a Grignard Reagent. Importantly, in this approach a second addition of the phosphorylating agent was made after 3 hours (Scheme 3.5).
Using this approach Boc-diethyl-phospho-L-serine methyl ester (17d), was obtained in very low yield. Deprotection of this product was then attempted using the method described in 3.2.2.5

3.2.2.5 DEPROTECTION OF DIETHYLPHOSPHO-BOC-L-SERINE METHYL ESTER BY TRIMETHYLSILYL BROMIDE

The deprotection of phosphoester was carried out using trimethylsilyl bromide in two steps by a mechanism well known in literature. The reaction is believed to occur via a mechanism involving nucleophilic attack of the phosphoryl oxygen on silicon followed by dealkylation of a phosphonium intermediate (Scheme 3.6). The silyl groups attached to phosphorus can be readily removed under mild conditions leading to the formation of a free phosphorus acid. Using this reagent, deprotection of the phosphoester was achieved; however, under the conditions employed, the Boc group was also cleaved. It was proposed that the loss of the Boc group was due to the formation of acidic P-OH groups following deprotection.
In order to avoid the decomposition of the phosphoserine methylester adduct and to improve its solubility, we replaced acetonitrile with dimethylformamide (DMF) and used triethylamine as a base to neutralise the P-OH groups. In this way, the acidity of OH groups was reduced and $^1$H-NMR confirmed the presence of the Boc group. The desired final compound was obtained, but in very low yields (15%).

**Scheme 3.6:** Deprotection of diethyl phospho-boc-serine methyl ester.

### 3.2.2.6 SYNTHESIS OF BOC-PHOSPHO-L-SERINE METHYL ESTER BY USING N,N'-DIISOPROPYL-BIS-(4-CHLOROBENZYL)-PHOSPHORAMIDATE

This approach was based on previously reported methods$^{16-20}$ and used $N,N'$-diisopropyl-bis-(4-chlorobenzyl) phosphoramidite as a phosphorylating agent. The phosphoramidite (Figure 3.6) was prepared from $\text{PCl}_3$ in a three-steps procedure and purified by short column chromatography (Scheme 3.7).
Figure 3.6: Chemical structure of N,N-diisopropyl-bis-(4-chloro)-benzyl phosphoramidite

The product was obtained as an easy to handle waxy solid, which crystallised readily due to the presence of the 4-chlorobenzyl groups.

Scheme 3.7: Preparation of the phosphoramidite by three step reactions.
The phosphoramidite (27) was then used to phosphorylate the hydroxyl group of Boc-L-serine-methyl ester. To introduce the phosphate moiety, a solution of the protected amino acid and 1H-tetrazole in acetonitrile was treated with N,N'-diisopropyl-bis-(4-chlorobenzyl) phosphoramidite, followed by oxidation with meta-chloroperbenzoic acid (MCPBA) to give the intermediate Boc-phospho-4-chloro-(dibenzyl)-L-serine methyl ester (28).

Scheme 3.8: Synthesis of the intermediate Boc-phospho-4-chloro-(dibenzyl)-L-serine methyl ester (28), made by addition of phosphoramidite (27) to the Boc-L-serine methyl ester in the appropriate conditions.

The benzyl groups were removed quantitatively by catalytic hydrogenation on Pd/C giving the desired phosphorylated amino acid in 77% yield (29).
Scheme 3.9: Final step of the synthesis of Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester (29).

Importantly, the hydrogenation was carried out using a solution of ammonium acetate in t-BuOH/H₂O. Under basic conditions, the hydrogenation gives rise to the formation of the phosphate salt. Whilst under neutral conditions, the protonated phosphate would bring about cleavage of the Boc group.

3.2.3 HYDROGENATION METHODS

Hydrogenation, as previously reported in section 3.2.2.6, gave the desired Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester in good yield (77%).

Initially, palladium (5%) was used as a catalyst and the reaction was monitored by TLC. At the end of the reaction, the product solution was filtered, evaporated to dryness and analyzed by ¹H, ³¹P-NMR and mass spectrum (ES⁻). Unfortunately, no traces of the desired compound were observed. Instead, the major product was shown to be the mono chlorobenzyl phosphate (Figure 3.7).
The hydrogenation was subsequently repeated using a larger amount of catalyst and for a longer period of time. Under these conditions, the $^1$H-NMR and the mass spectrum showed that a small amount of Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester was obtained.

To improve the yield of the final compound, the previous reaction was repeated using palladium (10%). In addition, Pancaldi reagent was used in order to improve the efficiency of TLC visualisation. After 24 hours, the reduction was complete and the target compound was obtained in moderate yield (60%).

In order to reduce the reaction time, an additional modification was made. Palladium (10%) was added to Boc-phospho-L-dibenzyl-serine methyl ester (28) in a solution of ammonium acetate in $\text{H}_2\text{O}/t$-BuOH (1:2) and treated with hydrogen gas (2 atm). After 2 - 3 hours the catalyst was filtered off over celite (the reaction was followed by TLC). The solvent was removed and the obtained crude product was analysed by $^1$H, $^{31}$P NMR which confirmed the complete deprotection of the benzyl groups. This third approach appeared to be the most efficient, providing an overall yield of Boc-phospho-serine methyl ester of 77% from Boc-phospho-L-dibenzyl-serine methyl ester (28).
3.2.4 PURIFICATION OF BOC-PHOSPHO-L-SERINE METHYL ESTER

The key spectroscopic evidence of the successful synthesis of Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester was provided by mass spectrometry (ES-), indicating the presence of the molecular peak at 298.1 m/z, and NMR.

$^1$H-NMR gave information about the number of protons, chemical shifts and coupling constants. $^1$H,$^1$H-COSY was mainly used to confirm adjacent protons through coupling constants association. $^{13}$C-NMR showed the number of carbons and $^{13}$C-DEPT 135 showed different peaks for each carbon according to the number of attached protons.

Careful analysis of the $^1$H-NMR showed the presence of signals inherent to the benzyl groups of the phosphoramidate at 7.5 ppm and also two signals at 1.2 ppm and 2.9 ppm due to the presence of some remaining triethyl ammonium salt and two signals at 1.2 ppm and 3.5 ppm due to a residue of diisopropyl amine (Figure 3.8). Therefore, additional purification procedures were carried out to remove these contaminants.

![Figure 3.8: $^1$H-NMR of Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester after hydrogenation.](image-url)
The mixture was purified by preparative HPLC (reverse phase C_{18} ODS2, 25x100mm, 300 Angstrom; mobile phase 100% H_2O; flow rate: 20mL/min; wavelength = 210 nm), providing a final compound with high purity. The fractions containing the pure product were collected and freeze-dried. ^1H-NMR analysis indicated the complete disappearance of the signals corresponding to the benzyl groups and to the diisopropyl amine (Figure 3.9).

\[ \text{Figure 3.9: } ^1\text{H-NMR of Boc-phospho-serine methyl ester after preparative HPLC.} \]

An additional purification step was carried out to remove the two signals at 1.2 ppm and 2.9 ppm inherent to the triplet and quartet of the triethyl ammonium salt (Figure 3.10). As it was not possible to separate the product from the triethylamine by solvent extraction or crystallisation, a cation exchange resin was employed where one ion (Na\(^+\)) replaced the other (NHEt\(_3\)) in a highly efficient exchange process. After activating the resin by washing firstly with water and finally with NaOH(aq) 1N, the template, dissolved in water, was added to the Buchner funnel containing the resin. The template was recovered and dried and the residue checked by NMR. \(^{31}\text{P-NMR showed a single peak at 1.4 ppm. This shift was marginally greater than that observed for the triethylammonium salt of the product. The } ^1\text{H-NMR spectrum further confirmed the structure of the product and demonstrated the loss of the triethylamine species (Figure 3.11).} \]
Figure 3.10: $^1$H-NMR spectrum in D$_2$O of Boc-serine methyl ester before treatment with ion exchange resin.

Figure 3.11: $^1$H-NMR spectrum in D$_2$O of Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester after treatment with ion exchange resin.
LC-Mass spectroscopy analysis (GE Healthcare instrument (Ettan System: waters Q-TOF-2 MS, 2790 LC); Mobile phase: 50mmol Ammonium acetate pH7.6/CH₃CN 1:1; flow rate 1ml/min; Column: Gemini 110A C₁₈ 50x2mm 5µ: ES infusion) was subsequently performed to demonstrate the purity of the final compound. The spectrum showed a single peak at 4.8 minutes (Figure 3.12) of MS 298, which was the molecular weight of the Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester (Figure 3.13). Only a peak inherent to our target and dimers at 597/896 were seen on the spectrum and no evidence of impurities was observed.

![Figure 3.12: Retention time at 4.80 of Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester observed in the LC.](image-url)
Figure 3.13: Mass spectrometry of Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester. Peak at 298 inherent to the template and at 597 and 896 inherent to the dimers.

The phosphorylation of the Boc-D-serine methyl ester was carried out following the same procedure as for the synthesis of the condensation reaction (Section 5.3.1). However, as the Boc-D-serine methyl ester was not commercially available, the final synthesis was carried out using the condensation of the Boc-D-serine methyl ester in the presence of solid potassium carbonate. The reaction was followed by TLC, which showed after two hours a mixture of unreacted ester and product with 25% conversion (the p-nitrophenyl ester was isolated with 90% yield). The successful introduction of the methyl group was confirmed by the presence of a signal at about 3.5 ppm in the 1H-NMR and a peak at 13 ppm in the mass spectrum. The Boc-D-serine methyl ester was quantitatively converted to Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester upon treatment with the p-nitrophenyl phosphate (p-NPP)-based phosphatase assay and subsequent deprotection in presence of palladium.
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3.2.5 BOC-PHOSPHO-D-SERINE METHYL ESTER

The D-enantiomer of the Boc-phospho-serine methyl ester was prepared (Figure 3.14) in order to evaluate the enantioselectivity of the amidine imprinted polymer.

![Figure 3.14: Structure of Boc-phospho-D-serine methyl ester.](image)

3.2.5.1 SYNTHESIS OF THE D-ENANTIOMER

The phosphorylation of the Boc-D-serine methyl ester was carried out following the same procedure as the one employed for the L-enantiomer (section 3.2.2.6). However, as the Boc-D-serine methyl ester was not commercially available, the first step of the synthetic route entailed the esterification of the Boc-D-serine by addition of methyl iodide in presence of solid potassium carbonate. The reaction was followed by TLC which showed after one hour the clean formation of ester (Rf = 0.38 visualized with 20% phosphomolybdic acid in 80% ethanol) with 83% yield. The successful introduction of the methyl group was confirmed by the presence of a signal at about 3.2 ppm in the $^1$H-NMR and a peak at 220 m/z in the mass spectrum. The Boc-D-serine methyl ester was quantitatively converted to Boc-phospho-D-serine methyl ester, upon treatment with the N,N-diisopropyl-bis-(4-chloro)-benzyl phosphoramidite and subsequent deprotection in presence of Palladium
as catalyst (section 3.2.3). The target compound was characterised by NMR and mass spectrometry, and was found to be pure and consistent with the expected structure.

Scheme 3.10: Boc-D-serine esterification and phosphorylation.
Reagents and conditions: (i) N,N'-diisopropyl-bis-(4-chloro)-benzylphosphoramide, 1H-tetrazole/MeCN; (ii) meta-chloroperbenzoic acid (MCPBA); (iii) Pd 10%, H₂O/t-BuOH.
3.3 SYNTHESIS OF THE N,N'-DIETHYL-4-VINYL BENZAMIDINE

In this study N,N'-diethyl-4-vinyl benzamidine was used to stoichiometrically interact with the phosphate group of the template. It was already known that amidines form strong complexes with carboxylic acid, phosphonates and phosphates. However, systematic investigations have shown that unsubstituted amidines often form insoluble complexes with carboxylic acids. This drawback can be overcome by using N,N'-dialkylated benzamidines. Out of a large series of compounds N,N'-diethyl-4-vinyl benzamidine had been shown to be the most favourable binding monomer, due to solubility even in non-polar solvents like chloroform and benzene. Other investigations showed that the amidinium monomer forms complexes with carboxylic acid and phosphonic monoesters in acetonitrile with high association constants. In less polar solvents, like toluene, the association constant is even higher. Therefore, N,N'-diethyl-4-vinyl benzamidine represented a good candidate to bind Boc-phospho-serine methyl ester for a number of reasons: N,N'-disubstituted amidine is more soluble in non-polar organic solvents, it has a higher stability towards hydrolysis and aggregation through intermolecular hydrogen bonds is generally suppressed. Moreover, the substituted amidine offers advantages in binding studies, particularly in non-polar solvents or if weak binding interactions are expected.

N,N'-diethyl-4-vinyl benzamidine was synthesised using a 4 steps reaction starting from 4-vinyl benzoic acid (Scheme 3.11).
Scheme 3.11: Amidine synthesis.

Reaction of the 4-vinyl benzoic acid with SOCl₂ gave the acid chloride which was treated with aqueous ethylamine to obtain (33). The amidine was then converted to benzimidate (34) by treatment with triethyl oxonium tetrafluoroborate. Stirring with ethylamine hydrochloride produced the N,N'-diethyl-4-vinyl benzamidine (35) in good yield. The synthesis was difficult to accomplish due to the presence of a secondary product, discovered after studying the integration of the proton peaks in the NMR of (34), formed by hydrolysis during extraction with ice-cold aqueous NaOH (6M) and ice-cold ether (Figure 3.15).
The problem was overcome by extracting the residue using a weaker base. In this case, this was achieved using ice-cold sodium bicarbonate and ice-cold ether. Proton NMR showed that under these conditions only the desired product was formed (Figure 3.16). A small amount of starting material was removed by distillation.

**Figure 3.15:** $^1$H-NMR of N,O-diethyl-4-vinyl-benzamidate and ethyl 4-vinylbenzoate.
Figure 3.16: $^1$H-NMR of N,O-diethyl-4-vinyl-benzamidate (34).

With 4 synthetic steps this method yielded $N,N'$-diethyl-4-vinyl benzamidine in 64%.
3.4 CONCLUSIONS

Optimisation of reaction conditions resulted in Boc-phospho-L/D-serine methyl ester and $N,N'$-diethyl-4-vinyl benzamidine being synthesised in good yield. A range of techniques (i.e. HPLC preparative, distillation, LC-MS) were used to purify the products.
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Chapter 4
Virtual analysis

Conformational analysis was used to identify the "preferred" conformation of the functional monomer, N,N'-diethyl-4-vinyl benzamidine, capable of interacting with the template molecule. The process involved the analysis of two conformers of the functional monomer, containing functional groups able to interact with the template by means of hydrogen bonds. The use of conformational analysis showed which isomer would present a stronger interaction with the template creating specific and strong binding sites.

4.1 MOLECULAR MECHANIC-THEORY

Conformational analysis is the study of molecular conformations and the effect that these have on the properties of the molecule. A set of molecular conformations is conventionally defined as those arrangements of atoms in space that can be inter-converted purely by rotation about single bonds (i.e. modifying torsion angles). This definition is usually relaxed in recognition of the fact that small distortions in bond angles and bond lengths often accompany conformational changes. The objective of a conformational search is to identify the preferred conformations of a molecule and this is achieved by identification of conformers that present minima on the energy surface. Energy minimisation methods therefore play a crucial role in conformational analysis. The energy values calculated from molecular mechanics, however, cannot be considered as absolute physical quantities, but only as relative values to compare the energies of different conformations having the same connectivity, i.e. the same structure/complex or different complexes. In fact, molecular mechanic
energies have no meaning as absolute quantities and only comparison between different energy values, between two or more conformations, have meaning. Equation 4.1 is a simple equation used to determine energy in molecular mechanics calculations. The components of this energy are explained in Figure 4.1.

\[ \text{Energy (E)} = E_{\text{stretch}} + E_{\text{bending}} + E_{\text{torsion}} + E_{\text{non-bonded interactions}} \quad \text{Eq. 4.1} \]

**Figure 4.1:** Molecular mechanic force fields include (i) stretching energy; (ii) bending energy; (iii) torsion energy; (iv) non bonded energy, which accounts for repulsion, van der Waals attraction and electrostatic interactions.
Solvation effects may also be important, and various schemes are now available for calculating the solvation free energy of a conformation, which can be added to the intramolecular energy\textsuperscript{3}. Conformational search methods can be divided into the following categories:

- Systematic search
- Monte Carlo or random approach
- Stochastic method

4.1.2 SYSTEMATIC CONFORMATIONAL SEARCH

The systematic search is perhaps the most natural of all different conformational analysis methods. It is performed by systematically varying each of the torsion angles of a molecule in order to cover a vast range of possible conformations\textsuperscript{2}. First, all rotatable bonds in the molecule are identified. The bond lengths and angles remain fixed throughout the calculation. Each of these bonds is then systematically rotated through $360^\circ$ using a fixed increment. That means, during a full rotation of $360^\circ$, 12 conformations are generated. The search stops when all possible combinations of torsion angles have been generated and minimised. The first step in data reduction is a Van der Waals screening or, "bump check". This is performed before the potential energy of the conformations is determined. The screening procedure excludes all conformations where any distance is less than the sum of the Van der Waals radii of the interacting species\textsuperscript{3}. For the remaining conformers, the potential energy is calculated using molecular mechanics. In general, electrostatic interactions are ignored when calculating conformational energy, i.e. charges are not taken into account and the conformational analysis is performed \textit{in vacuo}\textsuperscript{4}. If the inclusion of electrostatic interactions into a conformational analysis is justified for a special case, then the whole process becomes much more complex.
When the conformational energies have been calculated for the remaining conformers, it is useful to further reduce the number of conformations by using an energy window. This process removes conformations with energy significantly higher than those with energies close to the minimum. The value for this energy window depends on the size of the studied molecule as well as on the applied force field.

Those conformations not excluded by the filtering steps, represent a complete ensemble of energetically accessible conformations for a particular molecule. An advantage of the systematic search is that the resulting set of conformers will contain most or all of the local minima.

### 4.1.3 MONTE CARLO METHODS OR RANDOM SEARCH

In the Monte Carlo method, changes are assigned to the system by randomly modifying a few degrees of freedom at a time; the atoms are moved truly randomly, not deterministically.

A random search starts with an initial conformation, which is minimised with respect to the energy. An arbitrary number of torsional angles from the initial conformation are chosen and are varied by a random amount. The resulting conformation is minimized using molecular mechanics and the randomization process is carried out by using a criterion based on the energy to retain or discard this move. If retained, the process is continued; if discarded, the process is restarted. The minimized conformation is then compared with the previously generated structures and, if there is no match to previously acquire minima, it is stored as a unique. The process restarts again by randomly varying the torsion angles of a minimised structure and it continues until a set of low energy conformers has been generated a certain number of times. The main advantage of random search methods is that, in principle molecules of any size can be successfully
treated. In practice, however, highly flexible molecules often do not give converging results, because the volume of the respective conformational space is too large\textsuperscript{3}. Other useful applications for Monte Carlo search methods include investigation on cyclic systems, because ring systems in general are difficult to treat in systematic searches\textsuperscript{3}.

4.1.4 STOCHASTIC CONFORMATIONAL SEARCH

The stochastic conformational search method is based upon random rotations of bonds (including ring bonds) and generates conformations by sampling local minima of the potential energy surface\textsuperscript{1}. In our study, we were especially interested in minimum points on the energy surface. Minimum energy arrangements of the atoms correspond to stable states of the system; any movement away from a minimum gives a configuration with a higher energy. There may be a very large number of minima on the energy surface. The minimum with the very lowest energy is known as the global energy minimum (Figure 4.2).

\textbf{Figure 4.2:} Plot of molecular conformations vs potential energy.
Unlike the systematic search, the minimization step inherent to the stochastic conformational search simulation cannot locate conformers that do not lie at potential energy minima.

However, the stochastic search is a fast and powerful method for locating conformational minima for large flexible systems (ring and chains) and for those containing multiple chiral centres. The Stochastic conformational search algorithm proceeds as follows:

1. Initialise the conformer list identifying all rotation bonds.
2. Rotate all rotation bonds (including ring bonds) to random dihedral angles (possibly biased towards certain multiples of 30 or 60 degrees).
3. Energy minimize the resulting conformation in dihedral angle space; that is, minimize energy by rotating bonds without changing bond lengths or angles.
4. Check the resulting conformation in order to determine if it had already been generated by comparing all atom positions using a predefined root-mean-square (RMS) tolerance. If the atom positions are within the RMS tolerance, discard the current conformation and increment the failure count; if no duplicate was found, put the current conformation into the list and set the failure count to zero.
5. When the number of failures to find new conformations exceeded 10,000 in a row, then stop.
6. Return to step 2.
4.2 CONFORMATIONAL STUDY OF AMIDINE ISOMERS

4.2.1 INTRODUCTION

N,N'-diethyl-4-vinyl benzamidine can exist in three conformations with an (E,E/cis), an (E,Z/trans) or a (Z,Z/cis inverted) stereochemistry at the partial C-N double bond (Figure 4.3).

![Conformers of N,N'-diethyl-4-vinyl benzamidine.](image)

Since different conformations represent varying distances between the atoms or group rotating about the bond, and these distances determine the amount and type of interaction between adjacent atoms or groups, different conformations may represent different potential energies of the molecule. Therefore, our investigation aimed to study and identify the conformation which has the lowest energy.
4.2.2 METHODS

All calculations were carried out using the Molecular Operating Environment (MOE) program suite (version 2001.01, Chemical Computing Group Inc., Montreal, Canada). Conformational searches were performed using the stochastic approach, where all bonds included ring and side-group bonds were allowed to rotate randomly and simultaneously to generate new molecular conformations that were energetically evaluated. The calculations were initially run on the amidine in absence of template. The structure was minimised in order to find a set of atomic coordinates that corresponded to a local minimum of the molecular energy function. The same experiment was then repeated in presence of the Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester. Before running the conformational analysis, the two structures were minimised; the monomer was subsequently left free to rotate around the immobilised template in order to find the best (lowest energy) binding orientation of the amidine to the phosphate group.

4.2.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the experiment showed that, when the search was performed in absence of the template, the E,Z/trans conformer was more stable, had a lower energy, than the E,E/cis conformer for both steric and electronic reasons. The E,E conformation also showed to have the highest energy and this value was even higher than the Z,Z form which represents the cis inverted conformation (Figure 4.4).
Virtual analysis

### Molecule and E (Kcal mol⁻¹)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecule</th>
<th>E (Kcal mol⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(E,Z)</td>
<td>19.194033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E,E)</td>
<td>21.545439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Z,Z)</td>
<td>20.601625</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4.4:** Generated conformations and conformation energies.
When the template, Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester, was included in the analysis, a different result was observed due to the fact that not only the minimum-energy conformation of the single molecule had to be taken in consideration, but also the energy of the system. This time, the E,E conformer was found to have the lowest energy value, resulting to be the most favourable form to interact with the phosphate group through the formation of two hydrogen bonds (Figure 4.5).

In addition, the model suggested that when the E,E/cis form of the monomer interacted with the template, it was likely that an additional H bond was formed between the NH group of the serine and the third oxygen of the phosphate group (Figure 4.5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conformation generated by stochastic method</th>
<th>Molecular structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

\[
E \text{ (kcal mol}^{-1}\text{)} = -96.249847
\]

**Figure 4.5**: Conformation energy and molecular structure of (E,E)-N,N'-diethyl-4-vinyl benzamidine and Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester complex
In contrast, it was found that the link between the E,Z monomer and the template was possible through the formation of just one hydrogen bond and the complex had a higher energy value than that of the E,E-complex (Figure 4.6).

\[
E \text{ (Kcal mol}^{-1}) = -66.496895
\]

**Figure 4.6:** Conformation energy and molecular structure of (E,Z)-N,N'-diethyl-4-vinyl benzamidine and Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester complex.
4.2.4 CONCLUSION

Although in absence of template it was found that the E,Z conformer had the lowest energy, when the same experiment was run in presence of Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester, it was observed that the E,E conformer of the amidine showed the lowest energy value. This is summarised in figure 4.7.

Figure 4.7: Potential energies of (E,E) and (E,Z) conformers of the amidine in absence of template and the preferential conformation (E,E) which bound the phosphate group of the Boc-L-serine methyl ester.
4.3 MOLECULAR DYNAMICS

4.3.1 INTRODUCTION

The previous studies of conformational analysis were performed in vacuo. The next step of this investigation regarded the modelling of the syn/anti monomer-template complex in presence of solvent and introducing temperature effects.

4.3.2 PRINCIPLES

A very common strategy to achieve this objective is the use of molecular dynamic simulations to explore conformational space. In general, molecular dynamic simulations are described as deterministic since given a set of initial coordinates and velocities with a force ($F(t)$) the evolution of the system is determined.

The potential energy surface used in molecular dynamics is based on molecular mechanic models. It is, therefore, assumed that the atoms in the molecule interact with each other according to the rules of the employed force field. The classical equations of motion, in molecular dynamics simulation, given by Newton's second law are solved (Equation 4.2):

$$F_i(t) = m_i a_i(t) \quad \text{Eq.4.2}$$

Where $F_i$ is the force on atom $i$ at time $t$, $m_i$ is the mass of atom $i$, and $a_i$ is the acceleration of atom $i$ at time $t$.

As mentioned in section 4.1, the force field for a typical compound can be given as a sum of the various components including bond stretching and bending, torsional potentials, and non-bonded interactions. It is possible to calculate the forces between the atoms by taking the
derivative of the potential $V(r)$ given by the force fields; this derivative can be used in the context of Newton's equation of motion (Equation 4.3):

$$m_i \frac{\Delta \mathbf{r}_i}{\Delta t} = F_i = \nabla V(r)$$

Eq.4.3

Here, the gradient of the potential energy function is used to calculate the forces on the atoms while the initial velocities on the atoms are generated randomly at the beginning of the dynamics run. Based on the initial atom with coordinates of the system, new atomic positions and velocities on the atoms can be predicted at time $t$ and the atoms will be moved to these new positions. As a result of this, a new conformation is created and this cycle will be iterated for a predefined number of time steps. In fact, molecular dynamic has no defined point of termination other than the amount of time that can be practically covered.

4.3.3 METHOD

In this study, a molecular dynamics simulation in water was performed on the template-monomer complex using different starting conformations and a temperature ($T$) of $298^\circ$ K. The experiment was carried out keeping the number of moles, $T$ and the volume of the box containing the structures constant. The simulation was stopped when the total energy during the molecular dynamics runs appeared to be equilibrated and showed no further tendency to drift.

4.3.4 RESULTS

The results of these experiments showed once again that the E,E/cis conformer of the N,N'-diethyl-4-vinyl-benzamidine was the preferred form to interact with the phosphate group due to its lowest energy
compared to the higher value energy of the trans-template complex (Figure 4.8).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecule</th>
<th>$U$ (Kcal/mol$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(E,E) monomer-template complex</td>
<td>-6928,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E,Z) monomer-template complex</td>
<td>-6836,3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.8: Binding energies for $N,N'$-diethyl-4-vinyl benzamidine-Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester.
4.4 NMR SPECTROSCOPY

4.4.1 INTRODUCTION

The conformational behaviour of \(N,N'-\)diethyl-4-vinyl benzamidine was explored using a combination of molecular dynamics simulations and a range of NMR experiments. NMR studies were performed in order to distinguish between the different conformers of the amidine and to determine which was the major conformation in the presence of the template. It was observed that the different isomers could be easily differentiated by NMR depending on the temperature, on the solvent and on the presence of a coordinating counter-ion.

4.4.2 METHODS

NMR spectra were acquired on Bruker Avance 500 MHz, topspin 1.3.

The NMR measurements were recorded at different temperatures from \(25^\circ \text{C}\) to \(-32^\circ \text{C}\) in CDCl\(_3\) to isolate the two configurations (cis/trans) of the amidine.

\(^1\text{H}\) NMR experiments for \(N,N'-\)diethyl-4-vinyl benzamidine and Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester were performed using a host-guest ratio of 2:1 equivalents in protic solvents, MeOD and D\(_2\)O, and aprotic solvent DMSO.

4.4.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The main aim of this study was to correlate the information obtained by molecular simulations with that obtained by NMR in order to improve the accuracy of structural prediction. The realisation consisted of two approaches: (i) \(^1\text{H}\)-NMR of the benzamidine at different temperatures;
(ii) $^1$H-NMR of the benzamidine in presence of different counter ions (HCl and template) and different solvents.

4.4.3.1 NMR OF AMIDINE AT DIFFERENT TEMPERATURES

The $^1$H-NMR of the amidine species was initially performed in CDCl$_3$ at room temperature. Two different triplet and quartet signals for both N-ethyl substituents were predicted (Figure 4.9); however, only the presence of two broad peaks at $\sim$1.19 ppm and at $\sim$ 3.30 ppm were observed due to the rapid interconversion of a conformer of the amidine into the other at room temperature (Figure 4.10).

Therefore, these initial NMR studies showed that a dynamic equilibrium between (E,E) and (E,Z) conformational isomers is frequently observed for $N,N'$-diethyl-4-vinyl benzamidine.

Subsequently, additional NMR experiments were carried out at different temperatures in an attempt to freeze and isolate the different isomers of the amidine. The results of this studies showed that starting from T = -14°C, two different peaks for the CH$_2$ and two peaks for the
CH$_3$ of the N-ethyl groups were observed (Figure 4.11), indicating the prevalence of the E,Z/trans form of the amidine and suggesting that the rapid interconversion of the two conformers is controlled by temperature.

Figure 4.10: $^1$H-NMR of N,N-diethyl-4-vinyl benzamidine in CDCl$_3$.

Figure 4.11: $^1$H-NMR of N,N'-diethyl-4-vinyl benzamidine in CDCl$_3$ at different temperatures. Signals at ~1.19 ppm and at ~ 3.30 ppm are inherent to the CH$_3$ and CH$_2$ of the two N-ethyl groups.
4.4.3.2 NMR STUDIES OF THE AMIDINE IN PRESENCE OF DIFFERENT COUNTER IONS AND SOLVENTS

In order to study the conformational behaviour of the amidine in presence of the template, $^1$H-NMR experiments were performed using weak ligand (hydrochloride) and strong ligand (Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester).

The spectrum of the benzamidinium salt, prepared from the reaction with the hydrochloride, showed two sets of signals for the N-ethyl substituents indicating that the amidine existed exclusively as the (E,Z) isomer (Figure 4.12). In fact, in presence of a non-coordinating counterion, the amidine prefers the E,Z isomer in DMSO-d$_6$, most likely for steric reason.

![Figure 4.12: $^1$H-NMR of amidinium chloride in DMSO.](image)

When the same experiment was run in presence of Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester, it was observed that the two ethyl groups gave a
single set of $^1$H-NMR signals. Both N-ethyl substituents produced a triplet and quartet indicative of an exclusively (E,E)-configured amidine, which was a consequence of strong binding interactions between the amidine and the phosphate.

**Figure 4.13**: $^1$H-NMR of amidine in DMSO.

**Figure 4.14**: $^1$H-NMR of monomer-template complex in DMSO.
However, the prevalence of one conformer with respect to the other was seen to depend not only on the type of counter ion, but also on the nature of the solvent employed. In fact, when the same experiment with the template was performed in presence of more protic solvents, like MeOH and H$_2$O, no complexation was observed so that the thermodynamically more stable (E,Z)-configured amidine prevailed under these conditions, which was confirmed by the presence of two different signals for both N-ethyl groups (Figure 4.15).

Figure 4.15: $^1$H-NMR of template-monomer complex in D$_2$O.
4.4.4 CONCLUSIONS

The two isomeric forms of the amidine were isolated by freezing the compound at -14°C.

\(N, N'\)-diethyl-4-vinyl benzamidine was seen to form strong complexes with the phosphate serine in aprotic solvents such as dimethylsulfoxide. Additional NMR studies showed that only strong ligands, such as the phosphate group, were obviously able to force the \(N, N'\)-diethyl-substituted amidine into an (E,E) configuration. In contrast, the (E,Z) isomer was dominant in presence of weakly binding ligands (hydrochloride) that cannot bind to the amidine through two hydrogen bonds.

4.5 FURTHER DISCUSSION

The stochastic conformational search was used successfully to model the amidine since it accurately identified the lowest energy conformations in presence and in absence of template. This "global minimum" agrees with the conformation of amidine deduced experimentally in solution by NMR analysis.

The prevalence of the complex formation between the (E,E) isomer and the Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester, provided by molecular mechanics calculations in presence of water molecules, was indicative of a even stronger host-guest interaction during the polymerisation process, where the shielding effect due to the presence of water was absent. This hypothesis was confirmed by the experiments of \(^1\)H-NMR in DMSO, where the aprotic nature of the solvent favoured the formation of two hydrogen bonds between the monomer and the template.
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Chapter 5
Preparation and characterisation of Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester molecularly imprinted polymer

5.1 INTRODUCTION

5.1.1 POLYMER AND POLYMERISATION

The term "polymer" derives from the Greek words: polys meaning many and meros meaning parts, and is used to describe a high molecular weight compound that has been formed by combining many smaller units. Paul Flory\(^1\) states that "... perhaps the most significant structural characteristic of a long polymer chain is its capacity to assume an enormous array of configurations". This means that the key feature which distinguishes polymers from other compounds is the repetition of many identical, similar, or complementary molecular subunits called monomers, linked by covalent, ionic, or even metallic bonds. The simplest polymer consists of mono-substituted carbon chains based on the \(-\text{CH}_2-\text{CHR}\) repeat unit which is often formed from a vinyl group containing compound \(\text{CH}_2=\text{CHR}\) (in this study an acrylate group is defined as 'containing' a vinyl group conjugated to a carbonyl group). In a linear polymer, the structural units are connected in a chain arrangement and they are linked to the rest of the polymer via two bonds. When the structural unit contains three bonds to the bulk of the polymer then the polymer is termed non-linear or 'branched'\(^2\). Polymers containing a single repeating unit are called homopolymers and those containing two or more different structural units are called co-polymers\(^2\).
A chemical reaction that results in the formation of a polymer is called a polymerisation reaction and can be classified by two different systems:

1. Addition-Condensation system
2. Chain Growth-Step Growth system

The first system divides polymerisation into two types:

1.1) addition polymerisation
1.2) condensation polymerisation

Addition polymerisation involves the reaction of unsaturated monomers. These are able to link up with other monomers to form a repeating chain (Figure 5.1). Condensation polymerisation is a process which occurs when two molecules join together, with the loss of a small molecule such as water, methanol or HCl (Figure 5.1).

---

**Figure 5.1:** Addition-condensation system.

The other system categorizes polymers based on their mechanism. In a chain growth polymerisation, the polymers are produced by the addition of one monomer to another. In the step growth polymerisation, the polymers chains are formed by random union of monomer molecules to form dimers, trimers and higher species.
**Table 5.1:** Differences between step- and chain-growth polymerisation (Adapted from G.Challa3).

Molecularly imprinted polymers are usually prepared using either free radical polymerisation or radical co-polymerisation.

### 5.1.2 FREE RADICAL POLYMERISATION

Free radical polymerisations can be performed under mild conditions in solution and are very tolerant of functional groups in the monomers and impurities in the system4. The mechanism of free radical polymerisation is characterised by three distinct stages: (i) initiation, (ii) propagation and (iii) termination.

(i) Initiation: this step begins when an initiator decomposes into free radicals in the presence of monomers (Figure 5.2). The liability of
carbon-carbon double bonds in the monomer makes them susceptible to reaction with the unpaired electrons in the radical. In this reaction, the active centre of the radical takes one of the electrons from the double bond of the monomer, leaving an unpaired electron to appear as a new active centre at the end of the chain\(^5\). Addition can occur at either end of the monomer. A large number of initiators are available and normally they are used at low levels compared to the monomer, e.g. \(1\text{wt} \%\), or \(1\text{mol} \%\) with respect to the total number of moles of polymerisable double bonds\(^4\). In a typical synthesis, between 60\% and 100\% of the free radicals undergo an initiation reaction with a monomer. The remaining radicals may join with each other or with an impurity instead of with a monomer\(^5\).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{decomposition of initiator} & \quad I \quad \rightarrow \quad 2 \text{R}^* \\
\text{initiation of monomer} & \quad \text{R}^* + \quad \text{C} = \text{C} \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{R} - \text{C} - \text{C}^* \\
\end{align*}
\]

\textbf{Figure 5.2:} Initiation step.

(ii) Propagation: during the propagation stage, the process of electron transfer and consequent motion of the active centre down the chain proceeds (Figure 5.3). Importantly, in a typical free radical polymerisation the rate of propagation is usually much faster than the rate of initiation, so that as soon as a new polymer chain starts to grow it propagates to high molecular weight in a relatively short period of time before it terminates\(^4\). Therefore, high molecular weight product is present in the system even when the amount of monomer consumed is low\(^4\).
(iii) Termination: termination occurs either by combination or disproportionation\(^3\) (Figure 5.4). Combination occurs when the polymer's growth is stopped by free electrons from two growing chains that join and form a single chain. Disproportionation halts the propagation reaction when a free radical strips a hydrogen atom from an active chain and a carbon-carbon double bond takes the place of the missing hydrogen\(^2\). Disproportionation can also occur when the radical reacts with an impurity. This is why it is so important that polymerization be carried out under 'clean' conditions.

In the field of organic molecularly imprinted materials, vinyl polymerisation is the most common method used to give co-polymers. However, it is often highly desirable to simultaneously polymerise two or more vinyl acrylate monomers within the same reaction vessel to give co-polymers\(^4\). This generates products with chemical properties distinct to the polymers obtained when monomers are polymerised independently, leading for example, to an increment of solubility in a thermodynamically compatible solvent\(^5\). Nevertheless, particular
attention must be paid to the relative reactivities of the monomers at the
design stage of synthesis, as not all monomers are compatible with one
another in copolymerisation\textsuperscript{4}. More specifically, should the
copolymerisation rates of the co-monomers vary, then the composition of
the resulting polymer will not mirror the composition of the reaction
mixture composition, but it will have a composition that is a function
both of initial monomer ratio and monomer reaction rate.

5.1.3 DESIGN OF A BOC-PHOSPHO-SERINE METHYL ESTER
MOLECULARLY IMPRINTED POLYMER

A stoichiometric non-covalent approach (section 1.2.4) was chosen as
method to imprint the Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester in the
presence of \textit{N},\textit{N}'-diethyl-4-vinyl-benzamidine functional monomer. In
this way, fewer binding groups would be non-specifically distributed
within the polymer.

The imprinted polymers were made using a six-stage procedure
described as follows:

\begin{enumerate}
\item \textit{N},\textit{N}'-diethyl 4-vinyl benzamidine was selected as the functional
monomer to interact with the template based on its ability to
bind the target molecule. Its binding specificity was also studied
by NMR titration and molecular modelling (Chapter 4).
\item The template molecule and the functional monomer were
dissolved in a suitable solvent into 25 mL sealable injection vials.
The vials were sonicated to aid dissolution of the components.
\item A large molar excess of cross-linking monomer was added
together with a free radical initiator. Since oxygen retards free
radical polymerizations, the solution was normally sparged with
nitrogen or argon.
\end{enumerate}
iv. The polymerization was initiated by raising the temperature to 60°C or by exposure to UV irradiation.

v. Before the molecularly imprinted polymer can be used, the template must be removed. Template removal is a fundamental issue in non-covalent MIPs, as slow bleeding of residual template can cause interferences with analyte detection. Although the use of analogues or "dummy templates" may help to overcome these problems, a study by Ellwanger et al. reported methods for complete template removal to minimize template bleed. This was achieved by washing the polymer with alternating large quantities of water containing acids (e.g. acetic acid) or bases (e.g. di-sodium hydrogen orthophosphate).

vi. The prepared molecularly imprinted polymer was subject to work up which involved particle fragmentation and grading.

After polymerization, polymers were ground manually using a pestle and a mortar and the resulting particles were wet sieved in an appropriate solvent until the particle size was reduced to < 45 micron. In order to remove the fine particles (< ~10 micron), the recovered polymers were sedimented in acetonitrile (3 times for 4-6 hours). This process was influenced by the mass of polymer being treated. When sedimentation was complete, the supernatant was decanted from the cylinder and the remaining particles recovered by filtration (Whatman No1 filter paper). The particles were then left overnight to dry in a vacuum oven at 60°C and were subsequently sized by laser diffraction.
5.1.4 CHARACTERISATION OF PARTICLE SIZE BY LASER DIFFRACTION

For a spherical, homogeneous particle, its size is usually and unambiguously described by its diameter\(^9\). Particles of irregular shape, which include MIP particles resulting from monolithic polymerisations, can be characterised in various ways. The microscope is the basic instrument for sizing small particles, however, it is common to use an automated approach, such as light-scattering or electrical resistance zone measurements. In this study, the particle size distribution of all polymers was determined by laser diffraction.

5.1.4.1 PRINCIPLES

The LASER diffraction (LAS) method involves the detection and analysis of the angular distribution of light produced by a LASER beam passing through a dilute dispersion of particles. Typically, a He-Ne LASER (\(\lambda=632.8\ \text{nm}\)) in the 5 milliwatt (mW) to 10 mW range is
employed as the coherent light source. To be precise, light can be scattered, diffracted or absorbed by the dispersed particles. Scattered light consists of reflected and refracted waves and depends on the form, size, and composition of the particles. Diffracted light arises from edge phenomena and is dependent only on the geometric shadow created by each particle: diffraction is independent of the composition of the particles. Absorption occurs when light is converted to heat or electrical energy by interaction with the particles, and is influenced by both size and composition. The so-called laser diffraction technique incorporates all three of these effects, but is generally limited to the more straightforward scattering angles. There are two different kinds of laser diffraction: the "wet method" (LAS-W) and the "dry" method (LAS-D). Differences between LAS-D and LAS-W methods arise primarily from the different ways in which the particles are dispersed in each case. In liquid it is possible to modify solution conditions, by changing pH or adding chemical dispersing agents for example, or break up aggregates using mechanical or ultrasonic energy. In the LAS-D method, a stream of compressed air (or a vacuum) is used to both disperse the particles and to transport them to the sensing zone. This method of dispersion works well for large, non-colloidal-phase spheroids, where the interfacial contact area is small and the physical bonds holding the individual particles together are relatively weak. For the particles smaller than a micron and highly asymmetric, the higher surface-to-volume ratio results in more intimate and numerous contact points and, as a consequence, a greater driving force is needed to separate aggregated particles.

In laser diffraction particle size analysis, a representative cloud or 'ensemble' of particles passes through a broadened beam of laser light which scatters the incident light onto a Fourier lens. This lens focuses the scattered light onto a detector array and, using an inversion algorithm, a particle size distribution is inferred from the collected diffracted light data (Figure 5.6). Then the signals are transferred to
the computer through an A/D transfer and, lastly, the data is processed using Mie Scattering theory. Mie theory describes scattering by homogeneous spheres of arbitrary size and is the most rigorous optical scattering model available. For non-spherical particles, Mie provides a volume-weighted equivalent spherical diameter and it has been applied with mixed success to the analysis of powders with diameters from several hundreds of micrometers down to about 100 nm. However, there are two assumptions made in this theory that are pertinent to the result obtained:

- **The particles are assumed to be spherical.** Laser diffraction is sensitive to the volume of the particle. For this reason, particle diameters are calculated from the measured volume of the particle, but assume a sphere of equivalent volume.
- **The suspension is dilute.** The particle concentration is assumed to be so low that scattered radiation is directly measured by the detector (i.e. single scattering) and not re-scattered by other particles before reaching the detector (i.e. multiple scattering).

![Figure 5.6: Fourier optics configuration used by the Mastersizer 2000.](image)
5.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

5.2.1 PREPARATION OF PHOSPHO SERINE IMPRINTED POLYMERS

Imprinted polymers were prepared for Boc-P-serine methyl ester using the following two protocols:

Protocol 1

Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester (0.19 g; 0.7 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of EGDMA (3.4 mmol, 2.6 ml), N,N'-diethyl-4 vinylbenzamidine (0.54 g; 2.7 mmol) and 3.2 mL of DMF/DMSO (1:1). After addition of 1,1'-azobis cyclohexane-carbonitrile (BAZO) (0.039 g; 0.16 mmol) the solution was degassed by sonication under vacuum and purged with nitrogen for 10 minutes. The polymerization was conducted in a sealed glass vial and was left under UV (366 nm) for 24 hours.

Protocol 2

The functional monomer N,N'-diethyl-4 vinyl benzamidine was used in the form of amidinium chloride salt. One equivalent of Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester (0.07 g; 0.25 mmol) was dissolved with two equivalents of the amidine.HCl (0.100 g; 0.5 mmol) in dry methanol to form the 1:1 template-functional monomer pre-polymerisable complex. The remaining ammonium chloride precipitate was removed by membrane filtration. The solution was evaporated, and the dried complex dissolved in EGDMA (1.9 ml; 10 mmol) and methyl methacrylate (0.1 ml; 0.1 mmol) in 2 mL acetonitrile/toluene (1:1). The mixture was homogenized in an ultrasonic bath at a maximum temperature of 40°C for ~5 minutes. Finally, the initiator AIBN was added (0.02 g; 0.12 mol) and the monomer mixture was degassed. The polymerisation reaction was initiated by UV and was left for 72 hours.
Non-imprinted polymers (NIPs) were similarly prepared but in the absence of the template.

The resultant monolithic polymer was ground using a mortar and pestle and wet sieved in MeCN using stainless steel 250 µm and 45 µm sieves. Those particles passing through the 45 µm sieve were dried under vacuum and were subsequently sedimented to remove fine material.

5.2.2 SEDIMENTATION

Polymers were suspended in 200ml of acetonitrile contained in a 250ml measuring cylinder. The cylinder was shaken and, after ~ 6 hours, the solvent was carefully removed by vacuum so as not to disturb the sediment. This process was repeated until the sedimenting solvent appeared almost transparent. The yield of useful particles from this process was 35%. Therefore an alternative method was sought (section 5.2.3).

5.2.3 CENTRIFUGATION

Two centrifuge tubes were prepared, one containing the polymer in 40mL of MeOH and another filled with water to the same weight. Test tubes of polymer suspension were centrifuged so that the larger particles sedimented whilst the fine material remained in suspension. A range of centrifugation speeds and times were investigated. Particle size and distribution was monitored microscopically.

5.2.4 LASER DIFFRACTION

In our investigation, dry dispersion was achieved using the Scirocco 2000 dry powder feeder (Malvern Instruments, UK)) equipped with a micro-volume powder feeder tray. A pressure titration was conducted in order to determine the correct dispersion pressure, and approximately
200-300 mg of polymer was found to provide enough material for a single measurement.

5.2.5 TEMPLATE REMOVAL

The template was removed by washing the polymer for 2-3 hours in presence of 1% of the competitor, di-sodium hydrogen orthophosphate. Subsequently, the particles were collected by filtration and the process repeated with a solution of HCl 1N. The recovered polymers were then washed 3 times in water before being recovered by filtration and dried overnight in a vacuum oven at 60°C. The effectiveness of template removal was assessed by a HPLC-UV system. To ensure template removal had been achieved, 5 mg of polymer were suspended in 1ml H$_2$O+0.001% acetic acid in a 2ml Eppendorf vial. The suspension was stirred magnetically at room temperature for 24 hours. The vials were subsequently centrifuged for 5 minutes at 13,000 rpm. 200 μl of the supernatant was analysed by HPLC [C$_8$ column (150mm x 4.6mm); mobile phase: acetonitrile:NaHCO$_3$/TBA-Cl (25/75); injection volume: 50μl; flow rate: 0.8 ml/minute; Boc-P-serine-OMe $\lambda$$_{detection}$ = 210nm: (retention time= 3.8 minutes)].

5.2.6 DETECTION LIMIT OF BOC-P-SERINE METHYL ESTER

In order to evaluate the efficiency of the polymer cleaning, the limit of detection of Boc-P-serine methyl ester (LOD) was determined. LOD was defined as three times the highest base line noise value over the period of analysis. A series of template standard solutions were prepared and analysed ($10^{-6}$ mol/l,$10^{-4}$ mol/l) and the peak heights recorded. Maximum values of baseline noise were also determined. This procedure was conducted before each experiment.
5.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5.3.1 CENTRIFUGATION

Initially, centrifugation was carried out at 500 revolutions per minute at room temperature and for 10 minutes. This resulted in a completely clear supernatant suggesting particle size separation had not been achieved between supernatant and pellet. Subsequently, centrifugation was repeated under different conditions (Table 5.2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment number</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Speed (rpm)</th>
<th>%MIP sedimented</th>
<th>Particle size in supernatant (μm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>~35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>~45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>~12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5.2**: Centrifugation conditions.

Centrifugation had a number of advantages compared with 'measuring cylinder' sedimentation (Table 5.3):

1. An increase in the yield of the useful particle size polymer
2. More rapid
3. More efficient solvent usage
The polymer processing procedures resulted in a ~35% yield of usable polymer particles. These were analysed by laser diffraction and the particle size distributions identified two populations of particles of mean diameter 3-4 μm and ~25 μm (Figure 5.7).

This suggested that sedimentation did not successfully remove the more fine material (< 5μm). It was observed that when using acetonitrile, the
finer particles had a tendency to aggregate. Not only did this effect the grinding process, but it would also have resulted in particles sedimenting more slowly than predicted. Therefore, a proportion of this material carried over into the final product.

5.3.3 POLYMER PREPARED WITH PROTOCOL 2

MeCN/toluene was employed instead of DMSO/DMF. The latter solvents were initially used to overcome the issue of monomer-template solubility. However, as the template-monomer complex was based on hydrogen bond interactions, DMSO/DMF would have competed with the monomers and destabilized the complex.

After preparation, the polymer was ground and sieved (45μm) and sedimented by centrifugation in phosphate buffer/acetonitrile. The process yielded 70% of usable polymer particles. Analysis of the particle size suggested a single particle size population was present with a mean particle size of 30 μm (Figure 5.8). In this case the aggregation problems described in section 5.3.2 were not observed.

![Figure 5.8: Particle size distribution for polymer prepared with protocol 2.](image-url)
5.3.4 DETECTION LIMIT FOR BOC-PHOSPHO-L-SERINE METHYL ESTER

The detection limit for Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester occurred at concentrations between $10^{-5}$-$10^{-4}$ mol/l. It was typically calculated by determining the maximum baseline noise and the peak height over a period of ~30 minutes, multiplying this value by 3 and then relating this to the standard curve.

Max baseline noise = 0.43 mV
Peak height = 3x 0.43 mV = 1.29 mV
Detection Limit = $\frac{1.29 \text{mV}}{16.017 \text{mV} \times 100 \mu\text{M}} = 8.05 \mu\text{M}$

5.3.5 WASHING STEP

Using the template removal procedure described in section 5.2.5, the amount of template present in the supernatant was below the limit of detection (LOD) for the HPLC method. This indicated successful removal or maximal reduction in template leaching.

5.4 CONCLUSION

Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester imprinted polymers were successfully synthesised. Protocol 1 yielded polymer particles comprising two population distributions (mean of 3-4 μm and 25 μm) whereas Protocol 2 yielded a single population distribution of particles (mean of 30 μm).
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Optimisation of experimental conditions for the equilibrium evaluation of the imprinting effect

6.1 INTRODUCTION

In this study, equilibrium evaluation or batch rebinding analysis was used to investigate the affinity and selectivity of the molecular imprint for its template. Differential template binding to MIP/NIP is a useful indication of an imprinting effect but in most cases it is not conclusive. Confirmation that an imprinting effect is present can only be achieved by enantiomeric imprinting (Chapter 7) or through a careful and systematic study of cross-reactivity1.

The aim of the studies described in this chapter was to maximise MIP/NIP differentiation by modification of the binding environment. Subsequently, an optimised set of conditions was used to study the cross-reactivity and enantio-selectivity of the MIP system (Chapter 7). Another key aim (Chapter 2) of this study was to develop a system that functioned in near physiological conditions.

Knowing the ionisation state of the template was also very important in understanding its binding behaviour under a range of conditions.

6.1.2 BATCH ANALYSIS

A common approach for evaluating an imprinting effect is an equilibrium batch rebinding (Figure 6.1). In this process, a known amount of polymer is incubated with a range of known template concentrations. When equilibrium is attained, the polymer is removed
by a combination of centrifugation and filtration and the concentration of the template remaining in the supernatant is determined to give the 'free' template concentration (Cf). Subtraction of Cf from the total substrate added gives the amount of substrate adsorbed to the MIP (Cb).

Figure 6.1: Batch analysis process.

To compare the polymer selectivity, the imprinting factor (I) (Equation 6.1) is determined. It is obtained from the ratio of distribution coefficients (DC) for the MIP and the NIP (Equation 6.1). Distribution coefficient, itself, is calculated by simply dividing the concentration of bound template (Cb) by the concentration of free template (Cf).

\[ I = \frac{DC_{MIP}}{DC_{NIP}} \]

Eq.6.1

The imprinting factor represents how much better the substrate binds to its imprinted polymer compared to a non-imprinted control. It has been reasoned that this method takes account of non-specific binding leaving a value for binding that can be attributed only to imprinting effects. This assumes that there are no additional differences, beyond the presence of imprint sites, between the two polymers. A better
confirmation of this assumption, based on enantiomeric discrimination, will be discussed in section 7.1.

6.1.3 ANALYSIS OF BOC-PHOSPHO-L-SERINE METHYL ESTER

A novel reverse-phase ion-pair chromatography method was developed to effectively analyse the Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester since this was not achievable using conventional reverse phase chromatography.

In general terms, chromatography is a separation technique in which separation of the solute mixture results from differential solute distribution between a mobile phase and a stationary phase\textsuperscript{4}. In liquid chromatography, solute molecules can interact strongly with either phase; those solutes that interact more strongly with the mobile phase elute through the chromatographic system more rapidly than those that interact more strongly with the stationary phase\textsuperscript{4}. Thus, the solutes will elute in order of their increasing distribution coefficients with respect to the stationary phase\textsuperscript{4}.

A reverse phase liquid chromatography mobile phase often consists of a mixture of water (containing a buffer or other additive) and an organic modifier such as acetonitrile (MeCN) and methanol (MeOH). If the target molecules are non-polar, separation can commonly be achieved using a mobile phase comprising a mixture of water and MeOH, a mixture of water and MeCN or a mixture of all three\textsuperscript{4}. For more polar molecules, the aqueous component of the mobile phase should be buffered at a well-controlled pH based on the analyte's pKa value, so the analyte is more 'organic' and less 'ionic' at the pH of the buffer\textsuperscript{5}. In general, if an analyte is charged at the pH of the mobile phase then it will elute rapidly because its solubility in the mobile phase is dominant\textsuperscript{5}. Conversely, if an analyte is uncharged, i.e. more organic and less ionic,
then retention will be favoured since the solubility of the analyte in the stationary phase will be increased.

In some circumstances it is not possible to use a mobile phase that promotes analyte retention on the stationary phase. When this occurs, an ion-pairing reagent can be added to the mobile phase. These reagents are non UV-active, long-chain organic compounds containing acidic or basic functionalities. The ion-pairing reagent forms an ion-pair with the analyte, slowing the migration of the analyte through the column because the long alkyl chain of the ion pair reagent is highly attracted to the column packings.

When selecting a suitable ion-pair reagent, alkyl chain lengths must be taken into consideration. The longer the chain, the more hydrophobic the counter-ion, and therefore, the greater the retention.

Although ion-exchange chromatography has become a popular mode of separation, it is not useful in all situations. The advantages of ion-pair chromatography over ion-exchange are:

i. Simple preparation of buffers
ii. Wide choice of carbon chain lengths for improved retention and separation
iii. Significantly reduced separation time
iv. Highly reproducible results
v. Improved peak shape

In our study, Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester was strongly ionised under basic conditions and was ion-paired using tetrabutylammonium chloride (TBA-Cl) (Figure 6.2). Using this technique, rapid analysis of the target molecule was achieved without prior derivatisation of the
molecule and with avoidance of a very low pH that could have caused the cleavage of the Boc group.

**Figure 6.2:** Molecular structure of the ion-pair reagent tetrabutylammonium chloride (TBA-Cl) used to combine the Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester.
6.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

6.2.1 pKa CALCULATIONS

The equilibrium of a protonation/deprotonation reaction can be described by a dissociation constant ($K_D$). For the reaction:

\[
HA \rightleftharpoons A^- + H^+ \tag{Eq.6.2}
\]

The dissociation constant $K_D$ is defined as:

\[
K_D = \frac{[A^-] \times [H^+]}{[HA]} \tag{Eq.6.3}
\]

This can be rearranged to:

\[
[H^+] = K_D \times [HA] \tag{Eq.6.4}
\]

The dissociation constant corresponds to the equilibrium constant. Because the hydrogen ion concentration $[H^+]$ is expressed more conveniently as the pH value, Equation 6.4 can be described as the negative logarithm:

\[
pH = pKa - \log \frac{[HA]}{[A^-]} \tag{Eq.6.5}
\]

\[
= pKa + \log \frac{[A^-]}{[HA]} \tag{Eq.6.6}
\]

The pKa value is defined as the negative logarithm of the dissociation constant. The pKa value is a property of an individual ionisable group.
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Therefore, if a molecule has more than one ionisable group, it has more than one pKa value\(^6\). Equation 6.6 is called the Henderson-Hasselbach equation. It can be used to determine what proportion of an ionisable group is in the protonated or deprotonated state at a given pH. The pKa value corresponds to that pH value at which the ionisable group is half protonated\(^6\). The pKa value is a measure for the "strength" of an acidic or basic group. At pH values less than the pKa value (high [H\(^+\)]), ionisable groups are mostly protonated; at pH values greater than the pKa (low H\(^+\)), the groups are mostly deprotonated\(^6\).

### 6.2.2 BATCH REBINDING

Five mg of polymer, prepared with protocol 2 (section 5.2.1), were added to 0.5 mL of 100\(\mu\)M template solution into a 2mL Eppendorf vials. Five replicates were prepared for each experiment. The Eppendorfs were magnetically stirred until binding had reached equilibrium (approximately 24 hours). As controls, 0.5 mL of solvent (without template) was added to the Eppendorfs containing 5 mg of MIP/NIP and these vials were also stirred for 24 hours. The Eppendorfs were subsequently centrifuged (13,000 rpm/5 mins), the supernatant removed and a 500\(\mu\)l sample was filtered through a 0.22\(\mu\)m syringe filter prior to HPLC analysis.

### 6.2.3 HPLC ANALYSIS

HPLC analyses of Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester were carried out using a 'SpectraSystem' HPLC system (Hemel Hempstead, UK) consisting of a SCM1000 vacuum membrane degasser, a P4000 pump, an AS3000 auto-sampler and an UV3000 detector. The system was controlled by PC1000 software. The chromatographic conditions were: column: C18 (150mm x 4.6mm), mobile phase: 5mM of TBA-Cl + 50 mM of sodium bicarbonate and acetonitrile (75:25), detection: UV 210 nm and flow rate: 0.8 ml/min.
A stock standard solution of 100 μM of Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester was prepared. A range of standard solutions were prepared by dilution of the 100 μM stock solution (10 μM to 100 μM). Standard calibration solutions were prepared in a range of solvent conditions to correspond with each assay.

6.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

6.3.1 INTRODUCTION

Binding studies were initially carried out in apolar and polar solvents. It was expected that higher specific binding would occur in non-polar solvents and higher non-specific binding in polar solvents such as water. Other experiments were carried out at different pHs and different ions in order to optimise the conditions for specific template rebinding.

6.3.2 PROTONATION STATE OF THE PHOSPHORYL GROUP OF THE SERINE AT DIFFERENT pH VALUES

The phosphate group of the serine presents two ionisable groups having pKa$\approx 2$ and pKa$\approx 7$.

Since the pKa of the ionisable groups are separated by more than two orders of magnitude, the ionisation of any one group should not affect
the other. The Henderson-Hasselbach equation can be used to determine the degree of ionisation of the phosphate group at the pH of the solution. In fact, the equilibrium of Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester, which behaves as a diprotic acid, can be described by Equation 6.7:

\[
\begin{align*}
    \text{H}_2\text{A} & \xrightleftharpoons{p\text{ka}_2} \text{H}^+ + \text{HA}^- \quad \text{and} \quad \text{H}^+ + \text{A}^{2-} \quad \text{Eq.6.7} \\
    \text{(I)} & \quad \text{(II)}
\end{align*}
\]

The percentage of protonation can be calculated by using the Henderson-Hasselbach equation.

Therefore, from Equation 6.6:

\[
\text{pH} = p\text{Ka} + \log \frac{[\text{A}^-]}{[\text{HA}]} \quad \text{Eq.6.8}
\]

For \( \text{pH} = p\text{Ka} = 2 \)

\[
\log \frac{[\text{A}^-]}{[\text{HA}]} = 0 \quad \text{Eq.6.9}
\]

In Equation 6.8 there are two unknowns, \([\text{A}^-]\) and \([\text{HA}]\). Therefore, assuming a starting concentration of 100mM:

\[
[\text{A}^-] + [\text{HA}] = 100\text{mM} \quad \text{Eq.6.10}
\]

Combining Equations 6.9 and Equation 6.10:

\[
\frac{100-[\text{HA}]}{[\text{HA}]} = 1 \quad \text{Eq. 6.11}
\]
The rearrangement of Equation 6.11 leads to:

\[[HA] = 50
\]
\[[A^-] = 50\]

When the pH = 4, the Henderson-Hasselbach equation can be resolved for Equation 6.7 with regards to two equilibria, (I) and (II). Consider equilibrium (II) with pKa = 7:

\[
[A^{2-}] / [HA^-] = 10^{-3}
\]

Where \([A^{2-}]\) is 1/1000 of \([HA^-]\) and it can therefore be ignored, as it will not affect the equilibrium:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H}_2\text{A} & \rightleftharpoons \text{H}^+ + \text{HA}^-  \\
\text{H}_2\text{A} & \rightarrow \text{H}^+ + \text{A}^{2-}
\end{align*}
\]

Thus, the Equation 6.7 can be resolved as it is a monoprotic acid, (Equation 6.7 (I)), by simply using Equations 6.6 and 6.10 to measure the percentage of protonated/deprotonated species at pH = 4, related only to pKa = 2.

Following the same procedure, the degree of ionisation of the phosphate group at different pHs was calculated and the results are shown in Table 6.1.
Optimisation of experimental conditions...

Chapter six

Table 6.1: Ionisation states of the phosphorylated/acid group at different pH values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>% of group protonated [HA]</th>
<th>% of group deprotonated [A⁻]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pKa +2</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>99.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKa +1</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH=pKa</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKa -1</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKa -2</td>
<td>99.01</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**6.3.3 PROTONATION STATE OF THE AMIDINE AT DIFFERENT pH VALUES**

The amidine is a strong base with a pKa of ~ 12 in solution.

![Diagram of Amidine](image)

Similarly to the phosphate group, the ionisation state of the amidine at different pHs can be calculated using the Henderson-Hasselbach
Equation 6.6 and a second Equation 6.10, where \( [A^-] \) is replaced by \([B]\), for the deprotonated form of the base, and \([HA]\) by \([HB^+]\), for the protonated form of the base. Table 6.2 shows the degree of ionisation at various pHs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>% of group protonated ([HB^+])</th>
<th>% of group deprotonated ([B])</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pKa +2</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>99.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKa +1</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH=pKa</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKa -1</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKa -2</td>
<td>99.01</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.2: Ionisation state of the amidine/basic group at different pH values.

Importantly, it has been reported\(^8\) that the pKa value of the amidine group in the polymer is lower (pKa= ~ 8.5) than in solution (pKa= ~ 12).

**6.3.4 BATCH REBINDING: PARAMETERS AFFECTING HOST-GUEST INTERACTIONS**

**6.3.4.1 SOLVENT EFFECT**

In order to achieve some basic understanding of how the template interacts with the MIP/NIP in different conditions, batch rebinding experiments were carried out in both polar solvents (water (H\(_2\)O) and methanol (MeOH)), and apolar solvents (chloroform (CHCl\(_3\)) and acetonitrile (MeCN)).
In all cases a significant degree of non-specific binding was observed (Figure 6.3).

![Figure 6.3: Binding for Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester imprinted in polar and apolar solvents; (n=5 ± SD).](image)

It is likely that the high degree of non-specific template binding observed in H₂O was due to a strong hydrophobic effect, as no difference was observed in the binding of the template to the MIP and the NIP. Similarly, a large amount of non-specific binding was also observed when rebinding experiments were carried out in MeCN and CHCl₃. Again binding to the MIP was similar to that of the NIP. The change from a very polar solvent to relatively non-polar solvents resulted in a reversal of the non-specific binding mechanism. In these cases it is likely, especially with chloroform, that binding was driven by polar effects such as hydrogen bonding and ionic interactions. The low solubility of the template in these solvents may also drive this effect. In addition, the degree of polymer swelling in different solvents would also have an effect and it would be expected that the larger surface areas available for binding in the swollen polymer i.e. in MeCN and CHCl₃ would promote template uptake.
Binding in MeOH gave the lowest non-specific binding and a noticeable difference in the amount bound to the MIP as compared to the NIP was observed (Figure 6.3). MeOH behaves as a 'middle polarity solvent', and neither apolar nor polar effects predominate.

Although the high degree of non-specific binding observed in water was not encouraging, the performance of the polymer in MeOH did suggest that the system could function in polar environments. However, since the aim of the project was to design a system that functions in water, it was reasonable to suggest that in subsequent optimisation experiments, water should be the focus and that, since both template and functional monomer were ionisable, the effects of pH in a range of aqueous systems were investigated.

6.3.4.2 pH-MODIFIED SYSTEMS

Binding studies were carried out in a range of buffered and pH-adjusted solutions over a range pH 2 to pH 11. Figure 6.4 shows the uptake of template by the NIP and MIP and Table 6.3 gives distribution coefficients (DC) and imprinting factors.
Figure 6.4: Binding for aqueous Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester at different pHs; pH=2.9 (0.001% trifluoroacetic acid); pH= 4 (0.01% Acetic acid); pH= 7 (0.01% trizma base HCl buffer 15 mM); pH= 8.2 (NaOH 2N)*; pH= 9.5 (0.01% borate); pH= 11 (NaOH 2N)*; (n=5 ±SD).

*A solution of 2N NaOH was added dropwise to water to achieve the required pH.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>DC\textsubscript{MIP}</th>
<th>DC\textsubscript{NIP}</th>
<th>I\textsubscript{f}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>9.4 x 10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
<td>3.0 x 10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>8.2 x 10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
<td>6.6 x 10\textsuperscript{-5}</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>8.0 x 10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
<td>4.8 x 10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>6.2 x 10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
<td>1.0 x 10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>9.0 x 10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
<td>8.0 x 10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>9.8 x 10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
<td>7.8 x 10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.3: Distribution coefficients (DC) and imprinting factors for MIP/NIP measured after 24 hours incubation with 100μM Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester.
The results were promising, as significant differences in template rebinding to the MIP and NIP, dependent on the type of buffer/pH modifier used and the pH, were observed.

At pH 7 (trizma base HCl buffer), a difference in template uptake was observed between the MIP and the NIP. Binding studies previously carried out in water (pH=6.8) (Figure 6.3) showed a higher degree of non-specific binding than was observed in this experiment. Therefore, it is reasonable to deduce that this result was not directly attributable to the small change in pH, but was due to the addition of the trizma base HCl to the system. It is likely that addition of this base reduced the hydrophobic effect by limiting non-specific interactions with the polymeric surface.

At pH 2.9 (0.001% TFA solution) and pH 4 (0.01% acetic acid solution), noticeable variation in the distribution coefficient was observed for MIP and NIP ($I_f = 3.13$ and $12.5$). For the template, at both of these pHs, one P-OH group was mainly deprotonated ($pH 2.9 = 90\%$ at $pH 4 = 99\%$) whilst the amidine was protonated. Under these conditions interaction between the template and the functional monomer was favoured. The data suggests that either a small variation in the degree of ionisation of the template has an important effect on differential MIP/NIP binding or that the pH adjusting anionic species has some other effect. In both of the cases the pH adjustment was made using a carboxylic acid. The anionic, deprotonated forms of both of these acids are capable of interacting with the polymeric amidine residue and therefore of reducing template polymer association. In particular, at pH 4, non-specific binding to the NIP was significantly reduced resulting in a high $I_f$ (Table 6.3).

Although the imprinting factors for the template at pH 7 (trizma base HCl) and at pH 4 (0.001% acetic acid solution) are very different ($I_f= 1.6$ and $12.5$ respectively), the distribution coefficients of template to the
MIP are similar (DC=8.0x10^{-4} and 8.2x10^{-4}). The difference in $I_I$ arises due to changes in distribution coefficients of the template to the NIP. At pH 4, the phosphate exists in the mono-deprotonated form whilst at pH 7 the second P-OH group is 50% deprotonated. At both of these pH values the amidine is fully protonated. In addition, at pH 4 acetic acid is still partially protonated and therefore, it is possible that it reduces non-specific binding to the NIP. Alternatively, or in combination, the additional negative charge resulting from the partial deprotonation of the non-complexing P-OH group at pH 7, results in greater non-specific interaction with the polymer matrix. However, surprisingly, this effect results in no overall change to the amount of template binding to the MIP. A further interesting observation comes from molecular modelling (section 4.2.3) that suggests the existence of an intermolecular hydrogen bond between the non-complexed P-OH and NH of the template. If the assumption is made that this form of the template was the predominant template species during the polymerisation step, at pH 7 the partial loss of the non-complexed P-OH would change the conformation of the template and would reduce MIP binding between pH 7 and pH 4. However, this change was not demonstrated experimentally and suggests that perhaps the logic of extrapolating from observations made in vacuo to complex solvated systems is questionable.

An important observation regarding the pKa of polymerised amidine monomers was made by Strikovsky et al\textsuperscript{8}. In this study the measured pKa of the same polymerised amidine species was 8.5. If a similar value is assumed for this study, then at pH 8.2 the amidine residue is partially deprotonated (~50%) whilst the phosphate group is primarily deprotonated (90%) and possesses two negative charges. Therefore the reduction in distribution coefficients for template binding to the NIP at pH 8.2 compared to the NIP at pH 7 resulted from the change in the amidine protonation state. Again, it was surprising that a much lesser reduction was observed for the MIPs.
At pH 9.5 and pH 11, the phosphate and the amidine group are fully deprotonated and the distribution constants for MIP and NIP at both pHs are similar. The key to explaining this result is the increase in non-specific apolar template–polymer interactions due to the loss of surface charge resulting from the deprotonation of the amidine. It is likely that the hydrophobic Boc group (or other apolar areas of the template molecule) adheres hydrophobically to the polymer surface, whilst the charged phosphate group protrudes into the aqueous solution in an arrangement analogous to surfactants at a water–oil interface.

The degree of template binding to MIP and NIP is a product of the balance between the hydrophilicity and hydrophobicity of the polymer surface and the charge on the template. This results in a complex pattern of varying template–polymer interactions for the pH systems described. Significantly, despite great variation being observed for the NIP, the template–MIP binding is ‘relatively’ constant over the range of conditions. It seems that pH and solution composition readily affects NIP template interactions whilst template-MIP interactions are in some way ‘buffered’ from external effects.

Further analyses were performed in order to optimise conditions that favour the template rebinding and to more fully understand the relationship between binding/selectivity and pH/competitor ion.
6.3.4.3 COMPETITOR ION TYPE EFFECT

The affinity of the amidine imprinted polymer for the original template ligand, when challenged with competitors, was investigated in a series of competitive binding experiments. Three different competitor ions were used and the results were compared to those obtained by measuring the uptake of the template at the same pH, but in absence of competitive ligands. The results are shown in Figure 6.5 (a,b,c).
Figure 6.5: Binding for aqueous Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester at: (a) pH= 2 in HCl 2N and TFA 0.01%; (b) pH= 7 in 0.01% tris HCl and ammonium acetate 1%; (c) pH= 8.2 in NaOH 2N solution and 0.3% w/v di-sodium orthophosphate; (n=5 ± SD).
Table 6.4: Distribution constants and imprinting factors for MIP/NIP measured after 24 hours incubation with 100µM Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester in different solutions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ionic specie</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>DC(_{\text{MIP}})</th>
<th>DC(_{\text{NIP}})</th>
<th>(I_f)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HCl 2N</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>7.0 x 10(^{-4})</td>
<td>3.3 x 10(^{-4})</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFA 0.01%</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>7.7 x 10(^{-4})</td>
<td>2.6 x 10(^{-4})</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris 0.01%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.0 x 10(^{-4})</td>
<td>4.8 x 10(^{-4})</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA 1%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.5 x 10(^{-4})</td>
<td>5.8 x 10(^{-5})</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaOH 2N</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>6.2 x 10(^{-4})</td>
<td>1.3 x 10(^{-5})</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ortho 0.3%</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>1.2 x 10(^{-4})</td>
<td>2.2 x 10(^{-5})</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At pH 2, the \(I_f\)s for MIP and NIP in the presence of HCl and trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) were similar (Figure 6.5 (a)). This result suggests that TFA did not act as a competitor for the template either specifically or non-specifically. TFA is almost completely dissociated in water and therefore is a strong acid. Its acidity is also attributed to the electronegativity of the fluorine atoms that pulls electron density away from the carboxylate anion. Being a strong acid, its conjugate base (CF\(_3\)COO\(^-\)) is weak and it is likely that, under this form, it exhibits only weak interactions in solution with cations.

At pH 7, the distribution constants of the template binding to the MIP and NIP in the presence of ammonium acetate were lower than observed for a Tris HCl pH 7 adjusted system (Figure 6.5 (b)). In this case, the ammonium acetate appears to reduce non-specific and specific binding.

The displacement effect was most apparent when di-sodium orthophosphate was used as competitor. Compared to a pH-matched
control, binding of the template to both MIP and NIP was greatly reduced by addition of the orthophosphate (Figure 6.5 (c)).

6.3.4.4 CONCENTRATION EFFECT

The effect of competitor concentration on template binding was investigated for TFA, ammonium acetate and di-sodium orthophosphate. The results of the equilibrium batch rebinding tests are shown in (Figure 6.6 (a,b,c)) and distribution constants and imprinting factors are listed in tables 6.5.
Figure 6.6: Binding for aqueous Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester at: (a) different concentrations of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA); (b) different concentrations of ammonium acetate (AA); (c) different concentrations of di-sodium ortho phosphate; (n=5 ± SD).
### Concentration (%) TFA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration (%) TFA</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>$\text{DC}_{\text{MIP}}$</th>
<th>$\text{DC}_{\text{NIP}}$</th>
<th>$I_f$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>$6.3 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$3.8 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>$7.7 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$7.4 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>$7.7 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$2.6 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001%</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>$9.5 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$2.9 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0001%</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>$8.6 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$5.1 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Concentration Ammonium acetate (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration Ammonium acetate (%)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>$\text{DC}_{\text{MIP}}$</th>
<th>$\text{DC}_{\text{NIP}}$</th>
<th>$I_f$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1%</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>$3.5 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$5.8 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>$2.6 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$1.4 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>$5.8 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001%</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>$5.0 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$3.9 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Concentration Di-sodium orthophosphate (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration Di-sodium orthophosphate (%)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>$\text{DC}_{\text{MIP}}$</th>
<th>$\text{DC}_{\text{NIP}}$</th>
<th>$I_f$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1%</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$6.3 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>$1.2 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$2.2 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>$3.2 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001%</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>$2.3 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$1.8 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 6.5:** Distribution constants and imprinting factors for MIP/NIP measured after 24 hours incubation with 100µM Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester.
When TFA was used in the analyses, the distribution coefficient of template binding to the MIP was similar for all concentrations over a pH range of pH 1.5–pH 3.2, whilst the distribution coefficients for the NIP were variable. As observed in section 6.3.4.3, TFA is a weak competitor and only has a marginal effect on non-specific (NIP) template interactions even at high concentration (1%).

Ammonium acetate, on the other hand, demonstrated a surprising, almost inverse concentration effect on binding affinity. It is likely that the competitive effect of the acetate ion is complicated by changes in pH that result from changes in the concentration of the ammonium acetate solutions (pH 5.5 – pH 6.9).

Additional binding studies were carried out using di-sodium orthophosphate. In these experiments, over a pH range of 6.8–9.1, an increase in orthophosphate concentration resulted in a reduction in the amount of template that bound to both MIP and NIP. In 0.001% w/v orthophosphate (pH 6.8), the distribution coefficient of the template binding to MIP is lower than that in 0.01% w/v orthophosphate and, therefore, this result did not demonstrate an inverse proportionality of binding to the concentration used. This suggests that concentration was not the only parameter to affect binding. Interestingly, at pH 6.8, the distribution coefficients of the template binding to MIP in 1% w/v ammonium acetate was similar to the distribution coefficients of the template bound to MIP in 0.001% w/v ortho phosphate. It is likely that ortho phosphate possesses a more similar geometry to the phosphate template than ammonium acetate and therefore a lower amount of di-sodium orthophosphate was necessary to displace the template from binding the amidine.

For TFA, little effect on template binding was observed, whilst for ammonium acetate and di-sodium orthophosphate, the degree of template binding was shown to be affected by competitor concentration.
However, an underlying and unavoidable associated change in pH complicates the interpretation of this data.

6.4 CONCLUSION

Rebinding of Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester to the \(N,N'-4\)-vinyl-diethyl-benzamidine containing MIP and NIP was shown to be dependent on four effects: solvent, pH, ionic composition and concentration. In general, the greatest \(I_0\)s were observed between pH 3 and pH 4 at 0.001\% TFA and 0.01\% acetic acid.
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Chapter 7
Confirmation of an imprinting effect

7.1 INTRODUCTION

Despite reporting, in Chapter 6, large differences between the amount of template binding to the MIP compared to the NIP, confirmation of an imprinting effect can only be assumed when either enantiomeric selectivity or a logical pattern of selectivity is demonstrated, following a comprehensive evaluation of cross-reactivity. Commonly, a non-imprinted polymer (NIP), prepared in the same way as the MIP, but in absence of the template, is employed as the control. However, differential MIP/NIP template binding can be misleading, since it can arise either due to an imprinting phenomenon or as a result of differences in the morphology or chemical structure of the MIP and the NIP. For example, the surface area and porosity of a templated polymer (MIP) can be very different from that of a non-templated control polymer (NIP), and this can significantly influence template binding.

Initially, the cross-reactivity was investigated by studying MIP and NIP affinity for two physiologically occurring triphosphates: adenosine 5'-triphosphate (ATP) and uridine 5'-triphosphate (UTP).

ATP is a multifunctional nucleotide primarily known as the "molecular currency" of intracellular energy transfer\(^1\). In this role, ATP transports chemical energy within the cells. Furthermore, in signal transduction pathways, ATP is used to provide the phosphate for protein kinase reactions\(^1\). The structure of this molecule consists of adenine bonded to a sugar, ribose, which is connected to a chain of three phosphates\(^2\) (Figure 7.1).
Figure 7.1: Molecular structure of adenosine 5'-triphosphate (ATP).

UTP is an activated precursor in the synthesis of RNA\textsuperscript{3}. It also acts as the chief transferring coenzyme in carbohydrate metabolism to produce sucrose in plants, lactose and glycogen in mammals, and chitin in insects\textsuperscript{4}. UTP is involved in the formation of ATP as a donator of phosphate groups to adenosine diphosphate (ADP)\textsuperscript{4}. The UTP molecule consists of the nitrogenous base uracil linked to the sugar, ribose, and has a chain of three phosphate groups attached to the ribose\textsuperscript{5} (Figure 7.2).

Figure 7.2: Molecular structure of uridine 5'-triphosphate (UTP).
To investigate MIP enantioselectivity, the previously synthesised D-enantiomer (section 3.2.5.1) of the Boc-phospho-serine methyl ester (Figure 7.3), was also studied.

**Figure 7.3:** Molecular structure of Boc-phospho-D-serine methyl ester.
7.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

7.2.1 REAGENTS

Adenosine 5'-triphosphate disodium salt hydrate and uridine 5'-triphosphate trisodium salt dihydrate were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Dorset, UK).

Boc-phospho-D-serine methyl ester was synthesised as described in section 3.2.5.1.

7.2.2 BATCH REBINDING

Batch rebinding studies were carried out as previously reported in section 6.2.2., using 100μM of ATP, UTP and Boc-phospho-D-serine methyl ester. These binding studies were performed for ATP and UTP in conditions (0.001% TFA/H₂O) that were previously shown to favour MIP/NIP differential template binding (section 6.3.3.2), whilst binding of the D-template were carried out at TFA concentrations of 0.001% and 0.01%.

7.2.3 HPLC ANALYSIS

HPLC analyses of Boc-phospho-D-serine methyl ester were conducted using the method described in section 6.2.3.

UTP was analysed by isocratic HPLC using, as mobile phase, a buffer solution of ammonium dihydrogen orthophosphate (0.05 mol) and tetrabutylammonium hydrogen sulphate (0.05 mol) (pH 5 adjusted with pellets of NaOH) 85%; methanol 15%. Analyses were run at flow rate of 1mL/min, using a C18 column (150mm x 4.6mm), an injection volume of 20μl/minute and UV detection at λ= 256 nm.
A similar approach was used to analyse ATP, but in this case the mobile phase was (75% : 25%) in order to decrease the retention time.

7.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

7.3.1. HPLC PERFORMANCE

Standard curves were prepared for ATP and UTP and were found to be linear in the range 0 μM to 100 μM (Figure 7.4 (A-B)). These systems were later used to analyse UTP and ATP concentration in equilibrium batch evaluation assays.

![ATP calibration curve](image1)

![UTP calibration curve](image2)

**Figure 7.4:** Standard curve obtained from plotting a series of ATP and UTP standards (H2O/0.001%TFA) against peak area, analysed using HPLC. Five standards were used ranging from 20 to 100μM.
7.3.2 CROSS-REACTIVITY STUDIES

7.3.2.1 BATCH RESULTS

Distribution constants and imprinting factors (section 6.1) for ATP and UTP are given in table 7.1. It was observed that for both ATP and UTP, rebinding was generally lower for all polymers when compared to the template (Figure 7.5). In addition, it was also observed, for both ATP and UTP, that binding to the NIP was greater than to the MIP (Figure 7.5). This effect was assumed to arise due to the extra size of the tri-phosphates, making it impossible for them to fit into the template imprinted cavity. The induced rigidity of the MIP arose due to the homogeneous distribution of functional monomer in the MIP, which made access to the imprinted cavities impossible for the big molecules.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>DC&lt;sub&gt;MIP&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>DC&lt;sub&gt;NIP&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>I_r</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester</td>
<td>4.7 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.4 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP</td>
<td>3.9 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.34 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTP</td>
<td>1.19 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.16 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.1: Distribution coefficients and imprinting factors for the cross-reactants (ATP/UTP) and Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester in an aqueous solution of 0.001% TFA.
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Figure 7.5: Comparison of binding data between the template and the cross-reactants in an aqueous solution of 0.001% TFA; (n=5 ± SD).
7.3.3 ENANTIOMERIC EFFECT

7.3.3.1 BATCH RESULTS

Binding of the D-template enantiomer (Figure 7.6) was compared to that of L-template enantiomer obtained under the same conditions. The results in Figure 7.6 combined with the data of the imprinting factors for both enantiomers in Table 7.2, clearly demonstrate enantioselectivity. The ability of the MIP to discriminate between two configurations of the serine molecule is extremely strong evidence for template induced selectivity of an imprinting effect.

Figure 7.6: Binding for L/D templates in H$_2$O/ TFA 0.001% and H$_2$O/ TFA 0.01%; (n=5 ± SD).
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Aqueous solution</th>
<th>$D_{\text{MIP}}$</th>
<th>$D_{\text{NIP}}$</th>
<th>$I_r$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L-enantiomer</td>
<td>0.001% TFA</td>
<td>$4.7 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$1.4 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-enantiomer</td>
<td>0.001% TFA</td>
<td>$6.2 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$9.7 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-enantiomer</td>
<td>0.01% TFA</td>
<td>$3.8 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$1.3 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-enantiomer</td>
<td>0.01% TFA</td>
<td>$4.8 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$1.2 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.2: Binding data for L and D enantiomers of Boc-phospho-serine methyl ester.

7.4 CONCLUSION

The enantioselective behaviour of the Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester-MIP for the L-template, clearly demonstrates a molecular imprinting effect. The cross-reactivity data also demonstrates some further selective behaviour.
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Chapter 8
Study of the imprinting effect for phospho-serine containing peptides

8.1 INTRODUCTION

The aim of the project was to design a molecularly imprinted synthetic receptor that would differentiate a peptide containing serine from a peptide containing phospho-serine. Therefore, the affinity and the selectivity of the Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester imprinted polymer for the phosphorylated and unphosphorylated serine containing peptide was investigated.

The names and the structures of the two pairs of peptide are given in Figure 8.1 and Figure 8.2. Peptide pair A was fluorescently labelled with 10-(6-oxoheptyl) acridin-9(10H)-one whilst peptide pair B was labelled with a propriety GE Healthcare fluorescent probe, Cy3B.
PEPTIDE PAIR A

Acridone-pSFRRRW-OH

Acridone-SFRRRW-OH

Figure 8.1: Molecular structure of acridone-pSFRRRW-OH containing a fluorescent label, a phospho-serine, a phenylalanine, four arginines and a tryptophan and acridone-SFRRRW-OH containing a fluorescent label, a serine, a phenylalanine, four arginines and a tryptophan.
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**PEPTIDE PAIR B**

Glycine-serine-glycine (GSG)

![Molecular structure of GSG](image)

Glycine-phosphoserine-glycine (GpSG)

![Molecular structure of GpSG](image)

**Figure 8.2:** Molecular structure of GSG consisting of a fluorescent label, a glycine, a serine and a glycine and GpSG consisting of a fluorescent label, a glycine, a phosphoserine and a glycine.
8.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

8.2.1 REAGENTS

Peptide pairs A and B were provided by GE Healthcare (Cardiff, UK).

8.2.2 BINDING STUDIES - PEPTIDE PAIR A

Binding assays were performed as described in section 6.2.2, using the Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester imprinted polymer as reported in section 5.2.1.

Solutions of 1μM of each peptide of pair A were prepared using different binding solvents: water + 0.01%wt. Acetic acid, water + disodium orthophosphate (1%wt. and 10%wt.) and a buffer solution of ammonium acetate 10mM + acetic acid to achieve a pH= 4.

8.2.3 BINDING STUDIES - PEPTIDE PAIR B

Binding studies for peptide pair B were performed as described in section 8.2.2.

Binding experiments were carried out in a number of aqueous systems, of varying pH, in order to try to evaluate the non-specific interactions of peptide pair B to the NIP. In addition further experiments were performed in a number of different aqueous mixtures of methanol systems (table 8.2).
### Table 8.1: Aqueous systems used for the batch analyses of peptide pair B. (* NaOH 2N and HCl 2N were added dropwise to water to reach the desired pHs).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Binding experiment</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>pH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>HCl 2N*</td>
<td>2.7/5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1% wt. CH₃COO·NH₄⁺</td>
<td>6.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3% wt CH₃COO·Na⁺</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>NaOH 2N*</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.3% wt Na₂HPO₄</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1% Na₂HPO₄</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>NaOH 2N*</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 8.2: MeOH/H₂O systems used for the batch analyses of peptide pair B.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Binding experiment</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>TFA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MeOH/H₂O (8:2)</td>
<td>0.001%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>MeOH/H₂O (8:2)</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>MeOH/H₂O (4:6)</td>
<td>0.001%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


8.2.4 FLUORESCENCE DETERMINATION FOR PEPTIDE PAIR A AND B

Free peptide concentrations were determined by fluorescence using a Fluostar OPTIMA plate reader (BMG, Germany). Fluorescence was measured at 440 nm of emission and 390 nm of excitation for peptide pair A and at 570 nm of emission and 550 nm of excitation for peptide pair B. A calibration curve was constructed for each peptide pair in the range of 0.2-1 μg/ml.

8.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

8.3.1 BINDING STUDIES

8.3.1.1 PEPTIDE PAIR A

The results of the rebinding experiment for peptide pair A are illustrated in Figure 8.3.

![Binding for non-phospho (NP) and phospho (P) peptide pair A in an aqueous solution of 0.01% Acetic acid (pH4).](image-url)

Figure 8.3: Binding for non-phospho (NP) and phospho (P) peptide pair A in an aqueous solution of 0.01% Acetic acid (pH4).
The graph showed a high percentage of non-specific interactions on the MIP and NIP for both peptide species. It was likely that this resulted as consequence of the presence of multiple arginine residues on the backbone of the peptide. The guanidine group of the arginine amino acid has a pKa of ~11, very similar to the pka of the amidine group. Therefore, at pH=4 all the four arginine residues are positively charged. It is rather surprising that, since the polymer surface will also be positively charged at this pH, such a high degree of polymer-peptide interaction occurs. It was significant that there was little difference between the binding of the phosphorylated peptide compared to the non-phosphorylated peptide. This suggests that the phosphate group was not involved in binding. In order to investigate the role of the polymeric amidine groups, similar experiments were carried out using orthophosphate and ammonium acetate as a 'competitors' for the amidine (Figure 8.4 (a,b) and Figure 8.5).
Figure 8.4: Binding of peptide pair A in aqueous solution of (a) 1% ortho phosphate and (b) 10% ortho phosphate.
Again the results showed that the competitor ions had little effect on binding suggesting that the amidine was not playing a significant role in the binding process. Therefore, it seems probable that the high degree of non-specific binding to both MIP and NIP was brought about due to a hydrophobic effect. In particular, it is likely that the acridone group plays a role in this process and it is possible that the peptide behaves like a surfactant in that the charged arginine domain would be repelled from the charged polymer surface whilst the non-polar acridone 'head' group remain firmly bound.

A further anticipated problem with peptide A was that the basic arginine groups could compete with the polymeric amidine groups for the serine phosphate. This would result in inter or even intra peptide-peptide interaction. In order to avoid this situation a second peptide, peptide B, was employed in the analyses.
8.3.1.2 PEPTIDE PAIR B

The results of the binding analyses for peptide B to the NIP, under a range of conditions, are shown in Figure 8.6.

![Graph showing binding of peptide B to NIP](image)

**Figure 8.6:** Binding of the non phosphorylated peptide B for NIP in different aqueous systems of varying pHs (Table 8.1).

A high degree of non-specific binding was observed at all pHs. This result further supported the hypothesis that non-specific binding was due to the hydrophobic effect. Therefore, additional studies were carried out, using a less polar co-solvent i.e. MeOH. Initially, batch tests were performed using MeOH/H₂O (8:2, pH=6.8). An additional experiment was carried out using MeOH/H₂O (8:2) +0.001% TFA (pH= 2.3).

The rebinding graph (Figure 8.7 (a)) showed that by adding a less polar solvent non specific interactions were suppressed. No binding was observed to either the MIP or the NIP for the phosphorylated form, whilst for the non-phosphorylated form more peptide bound to the MIP than to the NIP. However, when TFA was added to the system, and the pH reduced to 2.3, the phosphorylated form (GpSG) bound to the MIP and the NIP to a similar degree. Whilst for the non-phosphorylated form
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(GSG), binding was observed to the NIP but not to the MIP (Figure 8.7 (b)).

![Graph](image)

**Figure 8.7**: Binding of peptide pair B using (a) MeOH/H$_2$O (8:2) and (b) MeOH/H$_2$O (8:2) + 0.001% TFA (pH= 2.3).

In order to increase the amount of peptide binding, a further experiment was performed using a reduced amount of MeOH (MeOH/H$_2$O 4:6 + .001% TFA) (Figure 8.8).
Figure 8.8: Binding of peptide pair B using MeOH/H$_2$O (4:6) + 0.001% TFA to achieve a pH = 2.3.

In this case the binding generally increased but it was not possible to confirm differences between the binding of the phosphorylated and non-phosphorylated peptides to the MIP and NIP. However, despite this interpretation, the binding data illustrated in Figures 8.7 (b) and Figure 8.8 were in some ways quite encouraging.

8.4 CONCLUSIONS

The MIP amidine-based was able to discriminate between the phosphorylated and the non-phosphorylated form of the two peptide pairs (Figure 8.7 (b)). However, since the NIP bound the same amount for both peptide pairs A and B, a conventional molecular imprinting interpretation of the data is not appropriate.
Chapter 9
General discussion and future outlook

9.1 DISCUSSION

The principle aim of this study was to develop an artificial molecularly imprinted receptor capable of recognising and binding to phosphorylated serine residue, present on a peptide. Prior to commencement of these studies, this aim was recognised as a significant scientific challenge within the field of MIPs and numerous hurdles were anticipated. However, a molecularly imprinted receptor system, capable of selective interaction with the phosphate group of the Boc-L-serine methyl ester was successfully prepared, and therefore, upon consideration of the project objectives, a number of comments can be made.

The first stage in the process was to synthesise the template and since Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester was not commercially available, it was synthesised from the Boc-L-serine methyl ester in a complex reaction scheme that produced a 77% yield (Chapter 3). The second stage was to identify a suitable monomer system with which to molecularly imprint Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester. A range of different monomers were evaluated and polymerisable amidines were identified as suitable candidate based on their strong interaction with the phosphate group. Again the suitable monomer was not available commercially and N,N'-diethyl-4-vinylbenzamidine was successfully synthesised in a four step reaction starting from 4-vinyl benzoic acid (Chapter 3). In addition, molecular modelling of the monomer-template was employed in order to determine which isomer (E,E or E,Z) interacted more favourable with the template. These conformational
studies, combined with NMR experiments, suggested that the E,E isomer of the amidine-containing monomer interacted more strongly with the template than other conformations (Chapter 4).

Following preparation of the artificial molecularly imprinted receptor by a stoichiometric non-covalent approach (Chapter 5), equilibrium evaluation was used to assess the affinity and selectivity of the MIP (Chapter 6). The binding studies for the phospho-template were analysed under different conditions: pH, type of solvents, type of counter-ion and concentration.

In H\textsubscript{2}O/0.01\% acetic acid (pH=4), the amidine-based MIP showed excellent binding affinity and extremely high specificity for Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester. The low cross-reactivity of ATP and UTP were particularly impressive, indicating useful specificity. Furthermore, binding studies showed that the L-isomer was retained by the MIP to a much greater degree than the D-isomer. These findings confirmed a 'real' imprinting effect and also demonstrated that the chirality of the Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester was 'memorised' by the MIP binding site.

A selective molecularly imprinted receptor has been created, and, most importantly, the highest degree of specific template binding was achieved in water and 0.001\% TFA (pH=2.9) mixture or water and 0.01\% acetic acid (pH=4).

This was a promising result, as one of the objectives of the project was to extend the MIP system to study imprint binding in aqueous systems. Water molecules strongly interfere with polar interactions, including hydrogen bonding, and hydrophobic effects predominate in water. Therefore, the observation that Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester-imprinted polymers showed high binding affinity and specificity in aqueous buffers was significant since the rebinding could be performed under conditions compatible with biological systems. This could also lead to the use of MIPs for isolation/purification of peptides containing phosphorylated serine residues.
The use of the MIPs to recognise a phosphorylated serine residue in a peptide chain was one of the most challenging objectives of this project. Since the position of the serine motif within the peptide is random, MIP affinity could not rely on the presence of specific neighbouring amino acid residues.

Some promising results were obtained using the MIP system to target phospho-serine residues in a tri-peptide. Binding studies in MeOH/H₂O demonstrated a variation in phospho-serine tripeptide bound to the MIP when compared to the unphosphorylated form of the serine tripeptide (Chapter 7). These results were obviously not conclusive of a real and selective discrimination between the two forms of the tripeptide. However, the amidine-based MIP demonstrated great potential for producing selective MIPs that can recognise non-terminal motifs.

9.2 FUTURE OUTLOOK

The ability to perform phosphorylation assays without specific antibodies was also an important aim of this study.

Once the artificial receptor had been produced, the purpose of the project was to evaluate and compare the efficiency of the MIP technology with the antibodies and/or fluorescent assay methodologies (Chapter 1).

Molecularly imprinted polymers were thought to be a more favourable alternative to fluorescent assays as they minimise reagents and reduce the time necessary for assay development. A further advantage of the MIP would be to quantify protein kinases activity directly from complex cellular mixtures, with high resolution and sensitivity.
Some promising experiments were conducted using the MIP as an alternative to IMAP technology for assaying serine/threonine kinases and phosphatases. These experiments are not described in the bulk of this thesis yet but they are worthy of mention.

The IMAP technology is based on the high affinity binding of phosphate by immobilised metal (M$^{III}$) coordination complexes on nanoparticles. This IMAP "binding reagent" complexes with phosphate groups on phosphopeptides and causes a change in the rate of the molecular motion of the peptide. This results in an increase in the fluorescence polarization (FP) value observed for the fluorescein label that is attached to the end of the peptide (Figure 9.1). Because the IMAP binding reagent interacts directly with phosphate through metal-ligand coordinate covalent bonds, detection of kinases that phosphorylate Ser, Thr or Tyr residues is equally enabled. Furthermore, there is no influence on binding exerted by amino acids that flank the phosphoacceptor residue.

![Figure 9.1: Principle of IMAP assay, (Adapted from ref. 1).](image-url)
In this assay, the amidine-based MIP would act like the IMAP binding reagent, binding the products of protein kinase reactions (i.e. phosphorylated peptides or proteins) but not the unphosphorylated substrates. This would result in an increase in FP value measured.

When a preliminary experiment was carried out using the MIP in place of the IMAP binding reagent a small but significant change in FP was observed.

Unfortunately, this latter work represents only a beginning as it was carried out at the end of the project, and, despite its obvious promise, an inadequate number of experiments were performed. Therefore, any firm conclusions would be premature and subsequent studies need to be carried out for the development of this new and exciting application of MIPs.
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Appendix I
Experimental Procedures

GENERAL METHODS

Thin Layer Chromatography

Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC) was performed on commercially available Merck Kieselgel plates and separated components were visualized using ultra violet light (245 and 366nm).

Chromatography

Column chromatography procedures were carried out using silica gel 60, particle size 35-70 μm as stationary phase. Glass columns were slurry packed using the appropriate eluent under gravity and samples were applied in the same eluent. Fractions containing the product were identified by TLC and the solvent removed in vacuo.

NMR Spectroscopy

Proton (\(^1\text{H}\)), Carbon (\(^{13}\text{C}\)) and Phosphorus (\(^{31}\text{P}\)) nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded at 500 Mhz, on the Bruker Avance instrument. Spectra were auto-calibrated to the deuterated solvent peak and all \(^{31}\text{P}\) spectra were proton-decoupled. Chemical shifts are quoted in parts per million (ppm) and the following abbreviations are used in the assignment of NMR signals: s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), m (multiplet), dd (doublet of doublets).
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Mass Spectroscopy

Low resolution mass spectra were run on a Bruker, MicroTOF instrument (electrospray mass spectroscopy) in either positive or negative mode.

Reagent and Solvents

Anhydrous solvents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Poole, Dorset UK) and Fluka (part of Sigma-Aldrich Laborchemikalien GmbH).
**N,N'-DIISOPROPYLAMINO-BIS-(4-CHLOROBENZYL)-PHOSPHORAMIDATE (26)**

![Chemical Structure](image)

\[ C_{20}H_{26}Cl_2NO_2P \]

Mol. Wt.: 414.31

To a solution of phosphorus trichloride (0.025 mol, 2.135 mL) and dry pyridine (2.02 mL) in 15 mL of dry ethyl ether was added 4-chlorobenzylalcohol (3.56g) in 15 mL of dry ethyl ether within 90 minutes at -78° under argon. The solution was used directly for the preparation of benzyloxybis-(diisopropylamino)-phosphine, adding diisopropylamine (22 mL) to the benzyloxy-dichlorophosphine within 1 hour at -10° and leaving the reaction stirring for 2-3 hours. After coevaporation of diethyl ether the product was used directly for the preparation of phosphoramidite.

N,N-diisopropylamino-bis-(4-chlorobenzyl)-phosphoramidite was synthesized by addition of 4-chlorobenzyl alcohol (2.13g) in dry dichloromethane (15 mL) and triethylamine (2.3 mL) to a solution of benzyloxybis-(diisopropylamino)-phosphine in dry dichloromethane (15 mL). After complete addition, stirring was continued for another 2 hours. The mixture was poured into 250 mL of saturated sodium bicarbonate solution which was then extracted 3 times with 25 mL of
dichloromethane. The combined organic layers were dried on magnesium sulfate and evaporated. The resulting crude oily material was purified by short-column chromatography with CH₂Cl₂/EtOAc/Et₃N (80:15:5).

Yield 44%

δ<sub>H</sub> (CDCl₃): 1.00-1.15 (12H, m, 4xCH₃), 3.35-3.60 (2H, m, 2xNCH), 4.48-4.67 (4H, d, 2xCH₂Ar), 7.10-7.28 (8H, m, 2xAr).

δ<sub>p</sub> (CDCl₃): 14.634

**BOC-PHOSPHO-4-CHLORO-(DIBENZYL)-L-SERINE METHYL ESTER (28)**

![Chemical structure of BOC-PHOSPHO-4-CHLORO-(DIBENZYL)-L-SERINE METHYL ESTER](image)

C₂₉H₂₈Cl₂NO₈P

Mol.Wt.: 548.35

After coevaporation of the N-Boc-D/L-Ser-OMe (1g, 0.0045 mol) with dioxane (2x5 mL), the reaction flask was charged with Argon and N,N-diisopropylaminobis-(4-chlorobenzyl)-phosphoramidite (2.85 g) was introduced, followed by addition of solution of 1H-tetrazole in acetonitrile (8 mL) to the reaction components. The reaction was monitored by TLC (Hexane/CH₂Cl₂ 1:1) and left overnight at room temperature.
After cooling the reaction mixture to 0°C, 3-chloroperoxybenzoic acid (1.55g) was added and stirring continued at room temperature for 2 hours. Subsequently, excess oxidizing agent was destroyed with 10% aq. sodium sulfite (10 mL) and the mixture was transferred to a separator funnel. Ethyl acetate (70 mL) was added and the organic layer was washed with 10% aq. sodium sulfite (10 mL), saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate (10 mL), water (10 mL), brine (10 mL) and dried (Na_{2}SO_{4}). After evaporation the crude was purified by crystallization from MeOH/H_{2}O to give a white powder.

Yield 60%

δ_{H} (CDCl_{3}): 1.37 (9H, s, 3xCH_{3}), 3.65 (3H, s, OCH_{3}), 4.15-4.21 (1H, m, CH_{2}OP), 4.29-4.36 (1H, m, CH_{2}OP), 4.40-4.46 (1H, m, CH), 4.89 (2H, d J= 7.2 Hz, CH_{2}Ar), 4.91 (2H, d J= 7.2 Hz, CH_{2}Ar), 5.32 (1H, d J= 7.7 Hz, NH), 7.16-7.20 (4H, m, Ar), 7.24-7.28 (4H, m, Ar).

δ_{C} (CDCl_{3}): 170.066 (CO_{2}CH_{3}), 156.30 (CO-BOC), 135.38 (CHAr), 135.13 (C-Cl), 80.6 (C[CH_{3}]_{3}), 69.244 (CH_{2}-Ar), 54.388 (CH), 53.22 (OCH_{3}), 28.70 (3xCH_{3}).

δ_{P} (CDCl_{3}): 0.1625
HYDROGENATION METHODS

BOC-PHOSPHO-L-SERINE METHYL ESTER (29)

\[
\text{C}_9\text{H}_{18}\text{NO}_8\text{P} \\
\text{Mol.Wt.: 299.21}
\]

METHOD 1

Boc-phospho-L-4-chloro-benzyl-serine methyl ester (200 mg, 0.35 mmol) was added to a solution of 25mL H\(_2\)O/t-BuOH (1:2) in presence of Pd 10% (50 mg) in a hydrogen atmosphere. TLC (EtOAc) showed the reaction to be complete after 24 hours. The palladium was filtered off and the crude concentrated in vacuum. \(^1\)H-NMR and mass spectrum confirmed the formation of the desired product.

Yield 60%

\(\delta_H (D_2O): 1.39 \text{ (9H, s, } 3xCH_3), 3.75 \text{ (3H, } \text{OCH}_3), 3.98-4.06 \text{ (1H, } \text{m, CH}_2\text{OP}), 4.10-4.17 \text{ (1H, } \text{m, CH}_2\text{OP}), 4.32-4.39 \text{ (1H, } \text{m, CH}).
\]

\(\delta_P (D_2O): 2.479\)

MS (ES\(^{+}\)): m/z 298.1
METHOD 2

Dibenzyl-phospho-Boc-serine methyl ester (350mg; 0.62 mmol) was added to a solution of 40 mL H$_2$O/tBuOH (1:2) and ammonium acetate buffer (47 mg; 0.62 mmol). Palladium 10% (50 mg) was then added and the flask treated with hydrogen gas (2 atm). After 2-3 hours, the catalyst was filtered off over celite, the solvent removed and the product analysed by $^1$H and $^{31}$P-NMR and mass spectrometry, which confirmed the complete deprotection of the Boc-phospho-L-serine methyl ester with a yield of 77%.

$\delta_H (D_2O)$: 1.55 (9H, s, 3xCH$_3$), 3.91 (3H, s, OCH$_3$), 4.05-4.10 (1H, m, CH$_2$OP), 4.12-4.17 (1H, m, CH$_2$OP), 4.18-4.23 (1H, m, CH).

$\delta_F (D_2O)$: 3.83

MS (ES$^+$): m/z 298.1
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**BOC-D-SERINE METHYL ESTER (30)**

A cold solution of N-Boc-D-Serine (2g, 0.01 mol) and sodium potassium carbonate (0.01 mol) were dissolved in dimethylformamide (20 mL). After stirring for 10 minutes in an ice water bath, methyl iodide (0.025 mol) was added to the white suspension and stirring continued at 0°C for 30 minutes whereupon the mixture solidified. The reaction was warmed to room temperature and stirred for an additional hour or so at which point TLC analysis indicated complete formation of the methyl ester. The reaction mixture was filtered by suction and the filtrate partitioned between ethyl acetate (80 mL) and water. The organic phase was washed with brine, dried on magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated to give the N-Boc-D-serine methyl ester as a pale amber oil which was used without further purification.

TLC analysis on silica gel plates eluting with (1:1) ethyl acetate-hexanes showed the clean formation of ester, Rf 0.64 (visualized with 0.5% phosphomolybdic acid in 95% ethanol), at the expense of starting material at the origin. Yield: 83%.

\[ \delta^1H (CDCl_3) : 1.46 (9H, s, 3xCH_3), 3.79 (3H, s, OCH_3), 3.88-3.93 (1H, ddd J = 11.1 Hz, J = 5.93 Hz, J = 3.59 Hz, CH_2OP), 3.94-3.99 (1H, ddd J = 11.1 \]
Hz, J = 5.93 Hz, J = 3.59 Hz, CH$_2$OP), 4.37-4.41 (1H, m, CH), 5.45 (1H, bs, NH).

MS$_{\text{MeOH}}$ ES+: 243 (220+23Na$^+$).

**N,N'-DIETHYL-4-VINYL BENZAMIDINE (35)**

![Chemical Structure](image)

C$_{13}$H$_{18}$N$_2$

Mol.Wt.: 202.30

The compound above was obtained in four steps from 4-vinyl benzoic acid:

(I) **4-VINYL BENZOYL CHLORIDE (32):** 4-vinyl benzoyle chloride was prepared by the addition of triethylamine (0.0067 mol) and thionyl chloride (0.25 mL) to an ice-cold solution of 4-vinyl benzoic acid (0.0037 mol) in THF anhydrous (10 mL). The reaction was stirred for 30 minutes at room temperature under Argon and was followed by TLC. After that time, the reaction was filtrated and the solution was evaporated.

(II) **N-ETHYL-4-VINYL BENZAMIDE (33):** N-ethyl-4-vinyl-benzamide was prepared by the addition of aqueous ethylamine (70%, 0.090 mol) to an ice-cold solution of 4-vinyl benzoyle chloride (0.006 mol) in ether (5 mL), followed by stirring at room temperature for 24 hours. Water was added, and the mixture stirred for another 2 hours. The resulting precipitate was collected by suction filtration and purified by column chromatography (Hexane:EtOAc/8:2).
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\( \delta_H (\text{CDCl}_3) \): 1.15 (3H, t J= 7.2 Hz, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 3.38 (2H, q J= 7.2 Hz, CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 5.27 (1H, d J= 11.03 Hz, CH\textsubscript{2}=CH), 5.74 (1H, d J= 17.3 Hz, CH\textsubscript{2}=CH), 6.64 (1H, dd J= 11.03 Hz, J= 17.3 Hz, CH\textsubscript{2}=CH), 7.35-7.40 (2H, m, Ar), 7.62-7.67 (2H, m, Ar).

(III) N,O-DIETHYL-4-VINYL BENZIMIDATE (34): N-ethyl-4-vinylbenzamide was dissolved in a 1.0M solution of triethyl oxonium tetrafluoroborate in dichloromethane. After stirring at room temperature under argon for 24 hours, the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was treated with ice-cold sodium carbonate solution (2.5 ml) and extracted with ice-cold ether (12 ml). The organic extract was dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated. Crude was distilled once (Kugelrohr, 160°/0.02 mbar) and used without further purification.

\( \delta_H (\text{CDCl}_3) \): 1.00 (3H, t J= 7.3 Hz, NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 1.25 (3H, t J= 7.1 Hz, OCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 3.22 (2H, q J= 7.3 Hz, NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 4.15 (2H, q J= 7.1, OCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 5.23 (1H, d J= 10.8 Hz, CH\textsubscript{2}=CH), 5.71 (1H, d J= 17.5 Hz, CH\textsubscript{2}=CH), 6.65 (1H, dd J= 10.8 Hz, J= 17.5 Hz, CH\textsubscript{2}=CH), 7.22-7.24 (2H, m, Ar), 7.37-7.39 (2H, m, Ar).

(IV) N,N'-DIETHYL-4-VINYL BENZAMIDINE (35): A solution of N,O-diethyl-4-vinylbenzimidate and ethylamine hydrochloride in dry ethanol was stirred at room temperature under argon for 5 days. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue suspended in ice-cold ethyl acetate. The mixture was then washed with ice-cold aqueous sodium hydroxide 2M. The organic phase was collected, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated. The crude was purified once by distillation to give a pale powder that was kept in dry condition.

\( \delta_H (\text{CDCl}_3) \): 1.10 (6H, bs, 2 x CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 3.23 (4H, bs, 2 x CH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}), 5.32 (1H, d J= 10.8 Hz, CH\textsubscript{2}=CH), 5.81 (1H, d J= 17.7 Hz, CH\textsubscript{2}=CH), 6.74 (1H, dd J= 10.8 Hz, J= 17.7, CH\textsubscript{2}=CH), 7.21-7.27 (2H, m, Ar), 7.41-7.48 (2H, m, Ar).

203