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Abstract

Increasingly sophisticated new treatments such as trastuzumab (Herceptin®) and Bevacizumab (Avastin®) have contributed to reduced mortality from breast cancer over recent years, nevertheless 40-60% of those affected still die from metastatic disease. Thus there remains an urgent need for novel therapies for breast cancer. As PLA₂ (crotoxin) has proven anticancer activity but its use is limited by non-specific toxicity, and polymer-drug and polymer-protein conjugates are finding growing use as anticancer agents, the aim of this thesis was to explore the potential of polymer-PLA₂ conjugates as a new treatment for breast cancer. Polymer conjugation has previously been shown to reduce systemic toxicity of proteins, prolong their plasma half-life and promote tumour-specific targeting by the enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect.

First, the synthesis and characterisation methods were optimised using trypsin as a model. After these studies highlighted dextrin as the best polymer for conjugation, dextrin-PLA₂ (Apis mellifera venom) conjugates were prepared. Dextrin was chosen for conjugation as it can be used to mask protein activity in the protein masked-unmasked polymer therapy (PUMPT) concept. Such conjugates retained 36% enzyme activity compared to free PLA₂, and moreover, unmasking by α-amylase degradation of dextrin regenerated full enzyme activity. However, while free PLA₂ was found to be very haemolytic, dextrin-PLA₂ displayed no haemolytic activity, and unmasking by α-amylase degradation of dextrin did not reinstate this activity. The conjugate displayed significant toxicity towards several tumour cell lines, including human breast cancer. Indirect evidence that epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) status and tyrosine kinase activity of the receptor influences PLA₂-induced anti-proliferative activity were shown. Uptake studies have revealed that conjugation of dextrin to PLA₂ reduces non-specific binding to breast cancer cells. In a further study, dextrin-PLA₂'s ability to burst DaunoXome® using the polymer-enzyme liposome therapy (PELT) concept was assessed. Here, it was seen that the conjugate released liposomally encapsulated drug and the combination caused enhanced cytotoxicity in MCF-7 cells.

These studies confirm the potential of dextrin-PLA₂ as a novel anticancer agent and/or as trigger for liposomal drug release and highlight the feasibility of developing a candidate for further in vivo pharmacokinetic and activity studies.
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<td>TK</td>
<td>Tyrosine kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TKI</td>
<td>Tyrosine kinase inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC</td>
<td>Thin layer chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMPEG</td>
<td>Tresylated monomethoxy polyethylene glycol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNBS</td>
<td>2,4,6-trinitrobenzenesulfonate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR</td>
<td>Texas red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UKCCCR</td>
<td>United Kingdom coordinating committee on cancer research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV</td>
<td>Ultraviolet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vₗ</td>
<td>Bed volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vₘₐₓ</td>
<td>Maximum velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V₀</td>
<td>Void volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDEPT</td>
<td>Virus-directed enzyme prodrug therapy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1.1 Introduction

Cancer is defined as a collection of life-threatening diseases, which are characterised by uncontrolled division of abnormal cells (National Cancer Institute, 2005). It currently affects one in three British people, and one in four people die from it. While mortality associated with other major diseases, such as heart disease and infections, has improved since 1950, cancer mortality has shown little such progress and became the most common cause of death in Britain since 1969 in women, and 1995 in men (Office for National Statistics, 2004). Meanwhile, recent research has shown that 50% of cancers could be prevented by lifestyle changes such as smoking cessation, sensible eating and drinking, and increased exercise (Cancer Research UK, 2005).

Therefore, the aim of this study was to synthesise dextrin-phospholipase A2 (PLA2) conjugates to investigate the potential of a polymer-PLA2 conjugate, either as an anti-cancer agent or for use as a trigger to promote release of liposome-encapsulated drug, in the context of Polymer Enzyme Liposome Therapy (PELT).

The traditional options available for the management of cancers include surgery, radiotherapy, cytotoxic drugs, immunotherapy and hormonal agents. The aims of treatment vary from curative to prolonging life or palliating symptoms. It has become an increasingly common practice to combine two or more treatments for cancer therapy, as they can be selected to achieve a synergistic action by, for example, targeting different points in the cell cycle, or blocking different growth factor receptors (reviewed in Moore, 2007). Most current drugs act by preventing nucleic acid synthesis or mitosis.

Unfortunately, conventional cancer treatments are often restricted by adverse systemic effects. Due to the mechanism of action of many chemotherapeutics, rapidly dividing cells, such as bone marrow, ovaries and testes are particularly sensitive to cytotoxic drugs. Further common adverse effects of chemotherapeutics include hair loss, nausea and vomiting and anaemia. These adverse effects have a limiting effect on the dose, frequency of administration and total cumulative dose, as well as prolonging the patient's stay in hospital and decreasing their quality of life.
Another unfortunate consequence of chemotherapy is the development of resistant tumour cells. Cells exhibit various mechanisms of resistance, including upregulation of p-glycoprotein (p-gp), a membrane transport protein, increased levels of target, for example dihydrofolate reductase, and decreased influx of drug.

**Novel approaches to cancer treatment**

The search for better cancer treatments is ongoing and research largely falls into two categories; the design of novel tumour-specific molecular targets using genomics and proteomics research and improving drug delivery and tumour targeting. One approach currently showing promise in the fight against cancer is 'nanomedicine', defined by the European Science Foundation (ESF) as 'the science and technology of diagnosing, treating and preventing disease and traumatic injury, of relieving pain, and of preserving and improving human health, using molecular tools and molecular knowledge of the human body' (European Science Foundation, 2005). Nanomedicines have also been defined by the ESF as 'nanometer size scale complex systems, consisting of at least two components, one of which being the active ingredient'.

Polymer therapeutics are nanomedicines that are designed to deliver drug(s) specifically to tumours via the enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect, while reducing the exposure of normal tissue, and consequently reducing systemic toxicity, and prolonging drug half-life (reviewed in Duncan, 2006). The term ‘polymer therapeutic’ was coined by Duncan and describes a class of therapeutic agents comprising of at least two components, including a water soluble polymer covalently attached to an active constituent, such as a drug, protein, gene or peptide (reviewed in Duncan, 2003). Over the past decade, several polymer-protein conjugates have been in routine clinical use, while 11 polymer-drug conjugates have entered clinical development. Of these, N-(2-hydroxypropyl)methacrylamide (HPMA) copolymer-doxorubicin has shown clinical activity in breast cancer (Vasey et al, 1999) and HPMA copolymer conjugates containing a combination of chemotherapy and aromatase inhibitors are already showing exciting synergistic activity (Vicent et al, 2004).
Concurrently, PLA$_2$ from snake venom (*Crotalus durissus terrificus*) has demonstrated promising activity in Phase I clinical trials (including breast cancer patients) and is already undergoing Phase II clinical trials as a cancer treatment. PLA$_2$ is an enzyme that specifically hydrolyses the sn-2 acyl bond of phospholipids releasing fatty acid and lysophospholipid. In Phase I clinical trials crotoxin was administered intramuscularly for 30 consecutive days at doses ranging from 0.03 to 0.22 mg/m$^2$. Patients with histologically confirmed solid tumours deemed untreatable by surgery, radiation therapy or conventional chemotherapy were selected. Four patients had breast cancer, and the cohort also included patients with gastrointestinal cancer, non-small cell lung cancer, prostate cancer and squamous cervix carcinoma. Results from these studies observing the anti-cancer properties of crotoxin look promising. Of 23 patients, 2 showed greater than 50% tumour mass reduction, and 1 patient had a complete response to the treatment. However, 13 out of the 23 patients tested displayed some reversible, non-limiting neurotoxicity, including diplopia, palpebral ptosis, nystagmus and anxiety (Costa *et al.*, 1998; Cura *et al.*, 2002).

**Hypothesis of PLA$_2$ conjugates as novel cancer therapeutics**

Therefore, in this project, it is proposed that, by conjugating PLA$_2$ to a polymer, not only will the neurotoxicity be reduced, but it will also be possible to target the protein specifically to tumours actively, by targeting residues, and passively, by the EPR effect, thus prolonging the plasma circulation (described in more detail in section 1.2).

The two hypotheses for the use of a PLA$_2$ conjugate are depicted in Figure 1.1. It was postulated that the polymer-protein conjugate would localise in the tumour through the EPR effect and as a result of its selectivity towards cells that over-express the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR). Subsequently, the PLA$_2$ would adhere to the lipid membrane of cancer cells, to exert its enzymatic activity (Figure 1.1a). Figure 1.1b depicts the PELT model, where the liposome-encapsulated drug would accumulate in the tumour interstitium as a result of the EPR. Polymer-PLA$_2$ would next be administered and would accumulate in the tumour interstitium by the same mechanism, where it can hydrolyse the phospholipid bilayer of the liposomes, resulting in rapid release of the drug load to the cancerous cells.
Figure 1.1 Schematic of hypothesised mechanism of action of dextrin-PLA$_2$. Panel (a) shows dextrin-PLA$_2$ acting as an active entity, and panel (b) depicts the PELT model.
1.2 Polymer Therapeutics as Novel Cancer Therapies

The term ‘polymer therapeutic’ encompasses many structures including polymeric drugs, polymer–drug conjugates, polyplexes, polymer–protein conjugates and polymeric micelles. Figure 1.2 shows schematics of each of these polymer therapeutics.

Polymeric drugs are entities, which are biologically active in their own right. In this context, polymeric micelles are micelles in which a drug is covalently bound to the core of the micelle. Polymer-drug conjugates consist of a bioactive drug covalently attached to a water-soluble polymer, through a spacer group. In order to target specific locations in the body, it is also possible to attach a targeting residue, which enhances receptor-mediated pinocytosis of the conjugate. Similarly, polymer-protein conjugates consist of a protein conjugated to one or more water-soluble polymeric structure, through a spacer group. As these form the basis of this project, they are described in more detail shortly.

Rationale for designing nanomedicines: the EPR effect

The underlying principle for attaching a drug or protein to a polymer is that conjugation can reduce toxicity, extend the plasma half life, reduce immunogenicity and mask protein charge, and can also allow passive targeting of the entity to tumours by the EPR effect (Maeda et al, 1988; Matsumara and Maeda, 1986). Conjugation of a drug or protein to a polymer alters its pharmacokinetic profile, which can be exploited to promote passive tumour targeting. This arises as a result of greater vascular permeability of the tumour’s blood vessels, which favours the extravasation of drugs. Additionally, tumours tend to have an extensive and disorganised vasculature, compared to normal tissues. The pathophysiology responsible for this increased permeability can be attributed to an increase in fenestrae, a discontinuous basement membrane, enlarged inter-endothelial junctions and an increased number of vesicles (Hashizume et al, 2000; reviewed in McDonald and Foss, 2000). Furthermore, the lymphatic drainage of the tumour is reduced, resulting in the pooling of circulating polymer-protein conjugates in the tumour interstitium. Thus, the EPR effect can be exploited in the delivery of polymer therapeutics. While conventional, low molecular weight drugs can pass readily
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Figure 1.2 Categories of polymer therapeutics including polymeric drugs, polyplexes, polymer-drug conjugates, polymeric micelles and polymer-protein conjugates. (Adapted from Duncan, 2003)
through the bloodstream, into the tissue or to be excreted, polymer therapeutics can accumulate in tumour tissues due to the EPR (reviewed in Reddy, 2005; Seymour et al, 2002). A diagram of the EPR effect is shown in Figure 1.3.

Research has been ongoing for suitable polymeric carriers, since the idea of using a water soluble polymer to carry a drug bound to the polymer backbone via a biodegradable spacer for release at the target site was first proposed in 1975 by Ringsdorf (Ringsdorf, 1975). Although the original idea focused on drug delivery, this model can also be applied to proteins and peptides. Ringsdorf also suggested that a targeting moiety could be incorporated into the polymer to enhance uptake by receptor-mediated endocytosis. This was shown by the polymer therapeutic PK2, which is composed of HPMA copolymer-Gly-Phe-Leu-Gly-doxorubicin incorporating galactosamine (Pimm et al, 1993). The galactosamine residue enhances uptake of the conjugate by the liver by targeting the hepatocyte asialoglycoprotein receptor, thus it was investigated as a treatment for liver cancer. Results from the trials were positive, showing that, of the 23 participants in the trial, two had measurable partial response and a further 11 displayed stable disease. Gamma camera imaging confirmed liver targeting of 15-20 % of the dose as a result of the galactosamine moiety (Seymour et al, 2002)- a 12-50-fold increase compared to free drug (reviewed in Duncan, 2003). More recently, star-shaped immunoglobulin-HPMA copolymer-doxorubicin conjugates have been described having significantly greater antitumour activity in vivo than the non-targeted conjugates (Etrych et al, 2007).

1.2.1 Polymer-protein conjugates

Since polymer conjugation was to be used to deliver PLA2 to tumours in these studies, it was interesting to first reflect on the current clinical application of polymer-protein conjugates. Ever since dextran-streptokinase (Streptodekase) was first used clinically (Torchilin et al, 1982) polymer therapeutics have become increasingly popular for delivering proteins, peptides and antibody-based therapeutics, with polymer-protein conjugates being the first polymer therapeutics to be used in practice. An ideal polymer-protein conjugate should be stable and usually
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Figure 1.3 Tumour selectivity of polymer therapeutics by the EPR effect. (Adapted from Duncan, 2003)
pharmacologically inactive in the circulation. If required, it could then be specifically
taken up by the tumour cells, internalised by endocytosis, and activated by enzymatic
or hydrolytic cleavage in the lysosomes. Finally, the polymer needs to be excreted
safely after delivering the drug load.

*Polyethylene glycol (PEG)-protein conjugates*

In parallel to the development of Streptodekase, Davis and Abuchowski also
conducted pioneering research into polymer-protein conjugation for drug
development (reviewed in Davis, 2002). PEGylation was first proposed by Davis and
Abuchowski in the 1970s (Abuchowski et al, 1977) and has since been used to
deliver an extensive range of therapeutic proteins, enzymes, cytokines and
monoclonal antibody (mAb) fragments. PEG is a pharmaceutical excipient that has
been extensively studied as a protein conjugate. It is a linear, semitelechelic polymer
with a molecular weight (MW) range of 5,000 – 40,000 g/mol. It is a flexible, water-
soluble chain that can extend to give a hydrodynamic radius many times greater than
a globular protein of equal molecular weight, which can conceal the protein to which
it is bound. PEGylation has many advantages, including its ability to increase protein
solubility and stability, reduce protein immunogenicity, prevent rapid renal clearance
by the reticuloendothelial system (RES), and prolong plasma half-life. Finally, from
a clinical perspective, the less frequent dosing of PEGylated proteins encourages
patient compliance (reviewed in Pasut et al, 2008). Initially, the first generation of
PEGylated proteins displayed protein cross-linking and protein charge modification
due to consumption of the charged surface groups during conjugation and unstable
PEG-protein bonds. Since then, conjugation techniques have been improved to allow
for site-specific conjugation, and reduce contamination of PEG with PEG-diol
(Brocchini et al, 2007).

In 1990, PEG-adenosine deaminase (Adagen®) became the first polymer-protein
conjugate to receive US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval for the
treatment of severe combined immunodeficiency disease (SCID). It acts by
replenishing levels of adenosine deaminase which are missing in this disease. Studies
have demonstrated improved efficacy and reduced immunogenicity of Adagen®, than
previous therapies involving blood transfusion or administration of native enzyme (Chaffee et al, 1992; Hershfield et al, 1987). Attempts to use gene therapy for adenosine deaminase replacement in SCID have also shown impressive levels of immune reconstitution, however, vector-related leukaemias have overshadowed this success (Kohn et al, 2003).

Shortly after, in 1994, a PEG-L-asparaginase conjugate (Oncaspar®) received approval by the FDA for the treatment of acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL). It is useful in the treatment of leukaemia as leukaemic cells must rely on an external source of asparagine as a result of a defect in asparagine synthetase. The administration of asparaginase degrades asparagine, thus depriving leukaemic cells of asparagine, and hence inhibiting protein biosynthesis (reviewed in Duncan, 2006; reviewed in Pasut et al, 2008). Asparaginase is also available in a non-conjugated formulation, but Oncaspar® demonstrates longer retention time in the plasma, reduced immunogenicity and requires only a weekly administration, while unconjugated L-asparaginase requires daily administration and can induce anaphylaxis and other hypersensitivity reactions (reviewed in Duncan, 2006; reviewed in Pasut et al, 2008).

PEG has also been used to deliver cytokines, such as interleukin-2 (IL2), recombinant granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (GCSF) and interferon-α (INFα). GCSF is used to promote re-growth of white blood cells following chemotherapy, thereby preventing chemotherapy-induced neutropenia. The PEGylated form of GCSF need only be administered as a single subcutaneous injection while native GCSF requires daily dosing by injection for two weeks to obtain equivalent prevention of neutropenia.

**Other polymer-protein conjugates currently used as therapeutics**

Maeda and colleagues synthesised SMANCS by covalently linking two styrene maleic anhydride chains (SMA) to neocarzinostatin (NCS), an anti-tumour protein (Maeda and Matsumara, 1989). Previously, NCS had proved to be too toxic for clinical use. However, following conjugation to SMA and subsequent dispersion in Lipiodol, a phase contrast agent, it was possible to administer it specifically to
tumour tissue using visualisation by x-ray, through the hepatic artery for the treatment of liver cancer. SMANCS has shown best results when given as ‘patient-individualised treatment’, where in a clinical trial 95% of patients showed a decrease in tumour size, and 86% of patients had decreased concentration of the biomarker for liver cancer, α-fetoprotein.

1.3 **Rationale for design of polymer-PLA$_2$ conjugates**

1.3.1 **Choice of polymer model**

It was important to select the most appropriate polymer for conjugation to PLA$_2$, in order to fully achieve the therapeutic aim. Polymers are high molecular weight substances made from one or more small repeating units (monomers). There are many structures of polymers; and size, 3-D shape (including crosslinking) and asymmetry determine the properties of them. Homopolymers are chains of polymers containing one type of repeating monomer. Copolymers contain more than one monomer, and may be an alternating copolymer chain, a block copolymer or a graft copolymer. The structure of the polymer also varies. It may be linear, star, branched or dendrimers.

A number of polymers have been used to prepare polymer-protein conjugates. These are summarised in Table 1.1, including examples of polymer-enzyme conjugates which have previously been described in the literature. An important factor in selecting a suitable polymer for protein conjugation is its ability to produce a conjugate whilst retaining enzymatic activity of the protein. PEG appears to be a very favourable choice for protein conjugation due to its extensive use in many regulatory authority-approved pharmaceutical products, its wide range of molecular weights and its potential for surface modification of proteins (reviewed in Harris and Chess, 2003; reviewed in Pasut et al., 2008). However, PEG is not suitable for use in these studies due to its potential for steric hindrance, which could interfere with the enzyme’s access to its substrate. In contrast, HPMA copolymers have shown little such effect on substrate specificity and enzyme activity against low molecular weight substrates (Satchi-Fainaro et al., 2002). Here, a novel catalytic antibody-polymer conjugate for selective prodrug activation was prepared, which retained 75-81% of its catalytic activity compared to the free antibody.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer-protein combination</th>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>FDA approval/status</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Streptodekase®</td>
<td>Dextran-streptokinase</td>
<td>Anti-thrombolytic</td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adagen®</td>
<td>PEG-adenosine deaminase</td>
<td>Enzyme replacement therapy for SCID</td>
<td>1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMANCS®</td>
<td>Polystyrene-co-maleic acid-neocarzinostatin</td>
<td>Hepatocellular carcinoma</td>
<td>1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oncaspar®</td>
<td>PEG-asparaginase</td>
<td>Acute lymphoblastic leukaemia</td>
<td>1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neulasta®</td>
<td>PEG-filgrastim/PEG-GCSF</td>
<td>Chemotherapy-induced neutropenia</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEG Intron®</td>
<td>PEG-interferon alfa-2b</td>
<td>Hepatitis C</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somavert®</td>
<td>Pegvisomant/PEG-recombinant DNA protein (HGH analogue)</td>
<td>Acromegaly</td>
<td>2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymer-protein combination</td>
<td>Indication</td>
<td>FDA approval/status</td>
<td>Manufacturer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pegasys® PEG-interferon alfa-2a</td>
<td>Hepatitis C</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Roche</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-melanomatous skin cancer</td>
<td>P I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chronic hepatitis B and C</td>
<td>P III</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macugen® Pegapanib (PEG-oligonucleotide)</td>
<td>Age-related macular degeneration</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Eyetech Pharmaceuticals/Pfizer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDP870 PEG- anti-TNF Fab’ fragment</td>
<td>Rheumatoid arthritis</td>
<td>P III</td>
<td>Celltech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERA (continuous erythropoiesis receptor activator)</td>
<td>Renal anaemia</td>
<td>P III</td>
<td>Roche</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The aim was to prepare polymer-enzyme conjugates with one enzyme bound to each polymer chain (1:1). This should create a molecule of sufficient size that it will concentrate efficiently in tumour cells by the EPR effect, but avoid uptake by the reticuloendothelial system (RES). Therefore, two polymers were selected as models for protein conjugation.

**HPMA copolymers**

The first of these was HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-p-nitrophenol (ONp) (5 mol %; ~ 30,000 g/mol) (Figure 1.4a), which has a linear, random coil structure. HPMA copolymers are commonly prepared by a polymer analogous reaction, based on free radical precipitation co-polymerisation of HPMA monomer and methacryloylated (MA) peptides containing a reactive ONp group. This reaction produces the polymeric intermediate to which drugs, proteins or targeting moieties may be attached either by aminolysis or following further modification to the side chain to obtain the desired functionality (reviewed in Duncan, 2005).

HPMA-Gly-Gly-ONp is available commercially with a monomer feed ratio of HPMA:MA-peptide-ONp (95:5), as used for PK1, and (90:10), as used for PK2. Increasing the content of pendant side chains facilitates incorporation of more than one active agent or targeting group, as well as providing for higher drug loading (reviewed in Duncan, 2005). By selecting a HPMA copolymer with 5 mol % substitution, the possibility of multiple attachments to a single enzyme molecule is minimised, thus reducing the risk of cross-linking and interference with its active site, leading to inactivation of the enzyme. Determining the optimum reaction conditions for the conjugation can further control this.

HPMA copolymer was chosen for this study because it is a water-soluble, non-biodegradable polymer, which is accepted by the FDA for drug use. Furthermore, HPMA copolymers are already undergoing clinical trials, for example PK1 (Vasey *et al.*, 1999). HPMA copolymers were originally designed as plasma expanders by Kopecek in the early seventies (Kopecek *et al.*, 1973), but were later developed as anti-cancer drug carriers by linking the drug to the polymer to the polymer backbone through a pendant peptide spacer (Kopecek *et al.*, 1985a). The –Gly-Gly- linker is a
Figure 1.4 Chemical structure of a) HMPA copolymer-Gly-Gly-ONp, and b) dextrin.
short and stable, selectively degradable linker. The ONp group is used as marker of hydrolysis/ aminolysis as it produces a yellow colour when it is released from the polymer as the free p-nitroanilide (NAp), which is detectable quantitatively in the UV spectrophotometer.

**Dextrin**

The second polymer chosen as a model for this work was dextrin (MW: 51,000 g/mol) (Figure 1.4b), a biodegradable polysaccharide that is produced from the enzymatic hydrolysis of corn starch. Dextrin is a α-1,4 polymer of D-glucose with less than 5% α-1,6 links, resulting in minimal branching of the polymer. It is rapidly degraded by α-amylase to yield the disaccharides maltose and iso-maltose, in just a few minutes (Hreczuk-Hirst et al, 2001a; Hreczuk-Hirst et al, 2001b). Studies on α-amylase-mediated degradation of dextrin have revealed that altering the degree of modification to the backbone by succinoylation can control the rate of enzymatic degradation (Hreczuk-Hirst et al, 2001a). Interestingly, several cases of elevated α-amylase concentrations in tumours have been reported (Inaji et al, 1991; Weitzel et al, 1988), where levels up to 85-fold normal have been observed, and this could be exploited for the delivery of anti-cancer proteins.

Dextrin was chosen as a model for these studies because it has been used clinically for many years and is FDA approved, proving it to be non-toxic and non-immunogenic. Caloreen is composed of maltodextrin, and has been used as a dietary supplement in patients with renal and hepatic failure. Following successful clinical safety trials, Icodextrin, a dextrin with a MW of ~ 20,000 g/mol, was approved for routine use as an intraperitoneal dialysis solution for chronic renal failure and is undergoing clinical trials for Kaposi's sarcoma treatment (Thornton et al, 1999). Moreover, dextrin has also been used as a carrier for the delivery of 5-fluorouracil to the intraperitoneal cavity (McArdle et al, 1994), and recent papers describe its conjugation to various drugs, including doxorubicin, tyrosinamide and biotin (Hreczuk-Hirst et al, 2001b).

The main advantages supporting the use of dextrin are its multifunctionality and biodegradability. Multifunctionality allows the polymer to surround the protein and ...
mask the protein’s activity. Furthermore, since it has been shown that succinoylation delays the break down of dextrin, it is possible to tailor the rate of degradation, and consequently the unmasking of protein activity. This concept has recently been coined ‘Polymer masking-UnMasking Protein Therapy’ (PUMPT) using dextrin as a model polymer (Duncan et al., 2008). The hypothesis is that conjugation of a biodegradable polymer to a biologically active protein can mask activity and enhance stability in the bloodstream, while subsequent regeneration of activity can be achieved by triggered degradation of the polymer at the target site.

**Biodegradable polymers for masking/unmasking of PLA₂ activity**

Over recent years the concept of using biodegradable polymers for delivery of therapeutic proteins has become increasingly attractive. The use of non- or slowly biodegradable polymers in macromolecular therapeutics for an extended length of time have the potential to cause long term vacuolisation (Bendele et al., 1998), development of lysosomal storage disease (Chi et al., 2006), and, at high concentrations, could lead to other pathological metabolic changes (Miyasaki, 1975). In response to this, a number of polymers have been investigated as biodegradable carriers, including naturally occurring polysaccharides such as chitosan, dextran, dextrin (Schacht et al., 1987), and synthetic polymers including polyals and polyglutamic acid (PGA) (Singer et al., 2003). Additionally, biodegradable linkers, such as the peptide Gly-Phe-Leu-Gly found in PK1, have been described to enable drug to be released by enzymatic cleavage inside the lysosomes (Vasey et al., 1999).

The aim of coupling a biodegradable polymer to PLA₂ was to create a conjugate, not only able to benefit from the advantages of PEGylation, but also render PLA₂ inactive during transit, yet enable activity to be reinstated at an appropriate rate by triggered polymer degradation.

The ideal biodegradable polymer should be completely degraded at the target site, resulting in the formation of non-toxic, readily clearable or metabolisable products. The advantages of these polymers include the ability to mask the immunogenicity and minimise enzyme activity prior to ‘unmasking’ of the protein by degradation of the polymer at the site of action. Furthermore, since historically
polymers for protein delivery were usually required to have a molecular weight of < 40,000 g/mol to permit excretion by the kidneys, biodegradable polymers allow the selection of much larger polymers. Altering the type of polymer-protein linkage or degree of modification can additionally be used to vary the degradation profile of the conjugate and its biological properties (Hreczuk-Hirst et al, 2001a).

1.4 Rationale for choice of PLA₂ and trypsin models

\( PLA₂ \)

The active enzyme selected for conjugation was PLA₂. PLA₂s are enzymes that catalyse the hydrolysis of phospholipids at the \( sn-2 \) fatty acyl bond to release free fatty acid and lysophospholipid (Kudo and Murakami, 2002). Phospholipids are polar structures composed of three main portions; a phosphorylated head group, linked to a glycerol moiety, to which two fatty acid chains are linked. There are several known phospholipids occurring in nature, and their abundance varies between the inner and outer layer of the cell membrane. The outer membrane is composed predominantly of phosphatidylcholine (PC), phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) and sphingomyelin (SM), while the inner membrane contains mostly phosphatidylinositol (PI) and phosphatidylserine (PS) (Punnonen et al, 1989). The catalysis of phospholipids has many toxic and pharmacological biological functions including lipid digestion, homeostasis of cell membranes, signal transduction and production of eicosanoids (Valentin and Lambeau, 2000).

Many PLA₂s have been characterised, and classified as either secretory (sPLA₂) or cytoplasmic (cPLA₂) types, based on their cellular localisation. sPLA₂s typically have a molecular weight of around 14,000 g/mol while cytoplasmic types are bigger (typically 85,000 g/mol) (Dennis, 1997). To date, 10 distinct groups of mammalian sPLA₂s have been identified, based on their primary structure. Phospholipase's selectivity is affected by the nature of the water-soluble head group, and length and extent of saturation of hydrocarbon tail of the phospholipid. They are classified according to their target bond, as shown in Figure 1.5.

Crotoxin, a PLA₂ currently undergoing clinical testing as an anti-cancer agent, is a dimeric sPLA₂. Its native form consists of two subunits; where subunit A is non-
Figure 1.5 Phospholipase classification according to target bond at the phospholipid. Where PLA = phospholipase A, PLC = phospholipase C, and phospholipase D. (Adapted from Invitrogen Molecular Technologies, 2004)
toxic, and displays no enzymatic activity, while subunit B is toxic and is responsible for the enzymatic activity of the PLA₂ (Cura et al., 2002; Hanley, 1979). As Figure 1.6 demonstrates, crototoxin is activated by the dissociation of subunits A and B, and subsequent binding of subunit B to the cell membrane. Cura et al (2002) propose that subunit A acts as a ‘chaperone’ to prevent non-specific binding of the active component, as well as having a role in target recognition.

PLA₂, whose isoelectric point is 10.5 ±1.0 (Shipolini et al., 1971), displays a preference for organised lipids. In fact, its enzymatic activity is further enhanced as the size of the target lipid vesicle decreases, demonstrating a preference for increasing curvature (reviewed in Jorgensen et al., 2002). Extensive studies have identified several specific membrane properties which determine how susceptible or resistant to hydrolysis they will be. Susceptibility is enhanced by negatively charged surfaces, high curvature of the bilayer, and ordering and heterogeneity of membrane lipids (Best et al., 2002). The adsorption of PLA₂ was originally thought to be mediated by two lysine residues located independent of the active site. Enzymatic activity was then thought to be triggered by electrostatic interactions, resulting in the hydrolysis of the substrate molecules present on the outer monolayer of the target vesicle (Scott et al., 1990). More recently however, it has been shown that, in fact, ~10-20 amino acid residues of PLA₂ interact with tens of phospholipids, so that the hydrophobic amino acid side chains penetrate the bilayer just below the polar headgroups and enable hydrolysis of phospholipids at the interface (Bollinger et al., 2004).

Polymer-PLA₂ conjugates have previously been synthesised using PLA₂ from Naja naja naja (cobra snake) venom, Bothrops Neuwiedii (crotalid snake) venom and from bee venom, conjugated to tresilated monomethoxy PEG (TMPEG) (Bianco et al., 2002). PLA₂ was conjugated to activated TMPEG via a secondary amine. They performed the reaction in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) at room temperature over 2 h, with a yield of 60%. Studies found the conjugates retained enzymatic activity toward phospholipid monolayers, though with a reduced specific activity and a greater lag time than the free PLA₂. Bianco et al (2002) found that different PLA₂s
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Figure 1.6 Proposed mechanism of action of crotoxin. (Adapted from Ventech Research Inc., 1995)
displayed varying degrees of retention of enzyme activity (10 - 60 % native PLA$_2$). This was considered to be a consequence of the different isoenzymes having varied distribution of lysine residues on their surface, which are affected to varying extents by conjugation to PEG, and thus affecting the ability of the enzyme to partition/adsorb with the target cell membrane.

PLA$_2$ is a good candidate as a novel breast cancer agent for several reasons. Firstly, research has revealed that a number of human cancers, including breast cancer, overexpress EGFR. In the case of breast cancer, studies reveal approximately 48 % of human breast cancers overexpress this receptor. This over-expression is considered to be a diagnostic marker for tumours due to its involvement in cell growth and proliferation and is associated poor clinical prognosis (Kumar et al, 2001). The activity of these receptors is dependent on the phospholipid environment of the membrane. In particular, they are surrounded by high levels of PE (Kano-Sueoka et al, 1990). Moreover, further investigations have shown that PLA$_2$ can bind to epidermal growth factor (EGF), and this could be used as a means of targeting PLA$_2$ to breast cancer cells (Hack et al, 1991; Kano-Sueoka et al, 1990). Further, some PLA$_2$s need Ca$^{2+}$ for enzymatic action, while a feature of breast cancer is elevated levels of Ca$^{2+}$ (Journe et al, 2004). Finally, cancer cells contain higher levels of PC, SM, and particularly PE (Sterin et al, 2004); these are all degraded preferentially by PLA$_2$ (Diez et al, 1994; Monteggia et al, 2000). These findings support the development of an anti-cancer agent of dextrin-PLA$_2$ in this study.

Selection of trypsin as a model for conjugation optimisation

Before beginning investigative work using PLA$_2$ it was first necessary to select the most suitable polymer for conjugation to the enzyme, and optimise the synthesis and characterisation methods.

Trypsin is a serine protease that is produced in the pancreas as an inactive zymogen and activated by the cleavage of trypsinogen at the Lys$^6$-Ile$^7$ peptide bond. Trypsin has an isoelectric point of 10.2-10.8 (Malamud and Drysdale, 1978). Enzymatic activity is optimum at pH 7-9 and is dependent on millimolar concentrations of Ca$^{2+}$ and Na$^+$ as cofactors (ExPASy, 2004). Trypsin catalyses the
hydrolysis of peptides at the C-terminal end of lysine and arginine residues, for example in milk. Trypsin can also hydrolyse the ester and amide bonds of synthetic substances, such as benzoyl L-arginine ethyl ester (BAEE) and Nα-benzoyl-L-arginine p-nitroanilide (L-BAPNA), forming the basis of the enzyme activity assay described later. A number of polymer-trypsin conjugates have been described in the literature (summarised in Table 1.2). Porcine pancreatic trypsin was used in this project.

Porcine trypsin (23,400 g/mol) consists of a single chain polypeptide of 223 amino acids, of which 10 are lysine residues (European Bioinformatics Institute). It is these lysine amino acids that are commonly used for conjugation to polymeric carboxyl functional groups. The number of lysines in a protein's structure can therefore be regarded as an indicator of the number of potential binding sites. While extensive characterisation of trypsin's crystal structure and amino acid sequence has been described, the number of accessible lysines may differ from those calculated. This is due to the tertiary folding of the amino acid chain, leaving some lysine residues buried within the structure, and ultimately inaccessible for polymer conjugation.

Trypsin was chosen as an initial model to synthesise the first conjugates because it is a readily available enzyme that has previously been conjugated to polymers, including HPMA copolymer (Chytry and Kopecek, 1982) and dextrin (Duncan et al., 2008), making it an ideal choice for optimisation of synthesis and characterisation methods. Also, since trypsin is inexpensive and has similar molecular weight, isoelectric point and number of lysine groups as PLA2, it was considered to be a good model enzyme for optimisation of synthesis and characterisation methods.

1.5 Enzyme-prodrug combinations

Since polymer PLA2 conjugates were also to be investigated as an enzyme-prodrug combination in the PELT model it was useful to explore other existing such combinations. There are several existing enzyme-prodrug combinations currently being explored for delivery of therapeutics to tumours, including Gene-Directed Enzyme Prodrug Therapy (GDEPT), Antibody-Directed Enzyme Prodrug Therapy
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Figure 1.8 Schematic of PDEPT hypothesis. (Adapted from Satchi, 1999)
(ADEPT), Virus-Directed Enzyme Prodrug Therapy (VDEPT), Polymer-Directed Enzyme Prodrug Therapy (PDEPT), and Lectin-Directed Enzyme Prodrug Therapy (LEAPT); these are summarised in Table 1.3. These systems, as well as the PELT model, use a similar mechanism whereby a drug-activating enzyme, gene or functional protein is delivered to tumour tissues using polymers or other macromolecules as carriers, and is accompanied by systemic administration of the prodrug. A general schematic of some enzyme-prodrug combinations are depicted in Figure 1.7.

Since PDEPT and PELT both utilise a polymer as delivery vector, more detail on the PDEPT approach will be discussed.

1.5.1 PDEPT: Polymer Directed Enzyme Prodrug Therapy

During the development of polymer-drug conjugates as anti-cancer agents, several mechanisms of inherent and acquired tumour resistance to the therapeutics were observed. It was noted that if the rate of internalisation of conjugate was reduced, intracellular trafficking of the conjugate to the lysosomes was diminished, or concentrations of activating enzymes (e.g. cathepsin B) are low, the anti-tumour effect of the conjugate would be greatly affected. Consequently, Duncan and Satchi resolved to formulate a polymeric combination to enable the delivery of a cytotoxic drug by an externally delivered enzymatic trigger (Satchi et al, 2001). Polymer-protein conjugates were subsequently used as part of anti-tumour combinations with a polymer-drug. PDEPT is a novel anti-tumour model, which utilises a two-step approach to quickly and selectively release a cytotoxic drug in tumour interstitium. A schematic of the concept is seen in Figure 1.8. PDEPT uses a combination of polymeric prodrug and polymer-enzyme conjugate to minimise immunogenicity, extend plasma circulation time and enhance passive tumour targeting by the EPR.

Duncan and Satchi were the first to develop the PDEPT concept (Satchi, 1999; Satchi et al, 2001; Satchi-Fainaro et al, 2003). The first model was a combination of HPMA copolymer-cathepsin B and PK1 (HPMA copolymer-Gly-Phe-Leu-Gly-doxorubicin (6-8 %) conjugate), where the cathepsin B enzymatically cleaves the peptide linker, thus releasing the doxorubicin. The rationale behind this idea stems from the fact that, after endocytosis, lysosomal enzymes, including cathepsin B,
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### Table 1.2 Examples of polymer-trypsin conjugates from literature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>Polymer: trypsin (molar ratio)</th>
<th>Activity (% native enzyme)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>β-cyclodextrin-carboxymethylcellulose</td>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>95-110</td>
<td>(Villalonga et al, 2003a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mono-6-ethylenediamino-6-deoxy-β-cyclodextrin</td>
<td>4:1</td>
<td>104-121</td>
<td>(Villalonga et al, 2003c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mono-6-propylenediamino-6-deoxy-β-cyclodextrin</td>
<td>4:1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mono-6-butylenediamino-6-deoxy-β-cyclodextrin</td>
<td>4:1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mono-6-hexylenediamino-6-deoxy-β-cyclodextrin</td>
<td>4:1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mono-6-amino-6-deoxy-α-cyclodextrin</td>
<td>3:1</td>
<td>96-108</td>
<td>(Villalonga et al, 2003b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mono-6-amino-6-deoxy-β-cyclodextrin</td>
<td>3:1</td>
<td>96-128</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mono-6-amino-6-deoxy-γ-cyclodextrin</td>
<td>3:1</td>
<td>103-124</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-carboxymethyl-poly-β-cyclodextrin*</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>(Villalonga et al, 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Succinoylated mPEG*</td>
<td>20:1</td>
<td>65.2</td>
<td>(Treetharnmathurot et al, 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanurate mPEG*</td>
<td>20:1</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tosylate-mPEG*</td>
<td>20:1</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* = MW was 13,000 g/mol; * = MW was 5,000 g/mol (remaining MW not stated)
normally slowly degrade PK1 intracellularly. This release of free doxorubicin can be considerably accelerated by the PDEPT concept (Satchi-Fainaro et al., 2003). Experiments have confirmed the viability of the PDEPT concept, by demonstrating that the bound enzyme retains ~ 20-25% of its activity in vitro, as well as a significantly prolonged plasma half-life and a 4.2 fold increase in tumour accumulation than the free enzyme. Furthermore, it was also shown that when PK1 was administered IV to C57 mice bearing palpable B16F10 tumours, followed 5 h later by administration of HPMA copolymer-cathepsin B, there was a marked increase in doxorubicin release at the tumour site, compared to PK1 alone (Satchi et al., 2001). It is also of interest to note that Satchi reported a relatively high yield from polymer-enzyme conjugation (30-35% protein conjugation), compared to other conjugates. This was attributed to the semi-random aminolysis reaction used to conjugate the two starting materials.

Following from the success of the HPMA copolymer-cathepsin B/PK1 combination, the group moved on to develop a combination containing HPMA copolymer-methacryloyl-cephalosporin-doxorubicin (5.85%) (HPMA-co-MA-Gly-Gly-C-dox) and HPMA copolymer-β-lactamase (HPMA-co-MA-Gly-Gly-β-L) (Satchi-Fainaro et al., 2003). Cephalosporin cytotoxic drug derivatives have previously been used successfully in the antibody-directed enzyme prodrug (ADEPT) approach (Grant and Smyth, 2004). These derivatives are advantageous as they do not contain a mammalian enzyme, and should, therefore, not interfere with other mammalian systems. In Satchi-Fainaro's PDEPT studies, the HPMA-co-MA-Gly-Gly-β-L conjugate retained 70-80% of its activity; depending on whether it was acting on free cephalosporin C or HPMA-co-MA-Gly-Gly-C-dox. In vivo experiments showed prolonged plasma half-life and accumulation of the conjugates in tumours, compared to free β-lactamase. Moreover, the group established a substantial decrease in tumour growth (treatment/control ratio (T/C) 132%), with no measurable toxicity at the doses used in the study (Satchi-Fainaro et al., 2003).

1.5.2 PELT: Polymer Enzyme Liposome Therapy

Following on from the development of PDEPT, a similar concept was then considered as a means of enhancing drug release from liposomes as a method of
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overcoming toxicity and enhancing tumour targeting.

Liposomes were first described by Bangham in 1965 (Bangham et al., 1965). They are microscopic spherical vesicles (0.03 – 10 μm diameter) that are formed when phospholipids are dispersed in excess water. They are characterised by the presence of a lipid bilayer (able to incorporate lipophilic drugs) surrounding an aqueous core (able to incorporate hydrophilic drugs) (Kirby and Gregoriadis, 1999; Talsma, 1991; Van Winden, 1996). Liposomes have the capacity to carry a high drug loading, and the incorporation of cholesterol and PEGylation can improve their stability and reduce immunogenicity (Andresen et al., 2005). There are four key features that are responsible for the behaviour of liposomes; vesicle size, morphology and number of bilayers, fluidity of bilayers, and surface characteristics, such as charge and hydrophobicity (Van Winden, 1996).

Two liposomal anti-cancer formulations are already approved in the UK for routine clinical use for the treatment of breast cancer: Caelyx® and Myocet®. Caelyx® is an 85-100 nm liposome formulation consisting of doxorubicin hydrochloride (2 mg/mL) encapsulated in fully hydrogenated soy phosphatidylcholine: cholesterol: PEG-diestearoylphosphatidylethanolamine (molar ratio 1.5:1:0.15) liposomes. Inclusion of PEG chains at the liposome surface (known as PEGylation) protects liposomes from detection by the RES thus increasing blood circulation time. Conversely, Myocet® is a 180 nm in diameter liposome composed of egg distearoylphosphatidylcholine: cholesterol (molar ratio 1:1), containing doxorubicin hydrochloride.

Although these formulations contain the same active drug, they have significantly different lipid composition, size and loading method, which results in dramatically different plasma pharmacokinetics and tissue distribution profiles. Consequently, the side effects seen with the two drug formulations vary too. The main dose limiting toxicity associated with Myocet® is myelosuppression, in particular, neutropenia, while, due to its altered lipid composition, Caelyx® has the propensity to cause mucositis, stomatitis and palmar-plantar erythrodysesthesia (commonly known as hand-foot syndrome). Liposomal formulations of anti-cancer drugs have numerous advantages over their parent drugs, including reduced toxicity.
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and side effects resulting from improved tumour targeting. In particular, liposomal formulations of doxorubicin have successfully reduced the cardiomyopathy commonly associated with doxorubicin therapy.

Unfortunately however, liposomes are not without their disadvantages, including an inability to control drug release rate, insufficient drug loading, difficulty in overcoming biological barriers (such as skin, blood-brain barrier), and problems of active targeting within the body. Consequently, while liposomes significantly reduce the toxicity of otherwise cytotoxic drugs by containing them inside the liposome, they are not able to rapidly release the drugload into the tumour interstitium. This obstacle led to the PELT concept. Following the development of PDEPT, Satchi-Fainaro and Duncan (unpublished) examined the feasibility of designing a polymer-enzyme/liposome combination as a means to rapidly release drug payload from liposomes after accumulation in the tumour interstitium by the EPR effect. PELT utilises a polymer-bound enzyme to trigger the release of drug encapsulated within a liposome (Figure 1.9). Satchi-Fainaro and Duncan (unpublished) used HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-phospholipase C (PLC) (60 wt. % PLC, MW = 75-150,000 g/mol) to trigger doxorubicin or daunorubicin release from Doxil® or DaunoXome®, respectively. Incubation of HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-PLC with Doxil® resulted in partial release of doxorubicin, while complete daunorubicin release was seen from DaunoXome®. In both cases, polymer-conjugated PLC retained the enzyme activity of the free PLC.

The advantages of PELT include the ability to modulate the release of drugs from the liposomes, and cause them to burst locally in the tumour interstitium. It is hoped that PELT will reduce systemic toxicity of the drug by delivery of the liposome to the tumour, thereby protecting normal tissue by limiting exposure of normal tissue to the cytotoxic drug.

1.6 Aims of project

The overall aims of this project were to investigate the potentials of polymer-PLA₂ conjugates as anti-cancer agents; both acting as therapeutic entities themselves, and as a means of triggering the release of liposome-encapsulated drug, in the
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>Prodrug</th>
<th>Viral vector/ antibody/ Polymer</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GDEPT</td>
<td>Thymidine phosphorylase</td>
<td>5’-fluorouracil</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(Evrard et al, 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E. Coli β-galactosidase</td>
<td>Anthracycline</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(Bakina and Farquhar, 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDEPT</td>
<td>Yeast cytosine reductase</td>
<td>5-fluorocytosine</td>
<td>Retrovirus</td>
<td>(Weedon et al, 2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E. coli nitroreductase</td>
<td>CB1954 (5-(aziridin-1-yl)-2,4-dinitrobenzamide)</td>
<td>Adenovirus</td>
<td>(Hamstra et al, 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADEPT</td>
<td>β-glucosidase</td>
<td>Amygdalin</td>
<td>Bladder cancer-associated monoclonal antibody</td>
<td>(Syrigos et al, 1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Human β-glucuronidase</td>
<td>Doxorubicin</td>
<td>Single chain anti-CD20 antibody</td>
<td>(Syrigos et al, 1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDEPT</td>
<td>Cathepsin B</td>
<td>Doxorubicin</td>
<td>HPMA copolymer</td>
<td>(Satchi et al, 2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β-lactamase</td>
<td>Doxorubicin</td>
<td>HPMA copolymer</td>
<td>(Satchi-Fainaro et al, 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PELT</td>
<td>Phospholipase C</td>
<td>Doxorubicin</td>
<td>Liposome</td>
<td>(Satchi-Fainaro and Duncan, Unpublished)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
context of PELT.

Specifically, the focus of the work initially centred on the synthesis of dextrin-trypsin and HPMA copolymer-trypsin conjugates (Chapter 3). The conjugation method was optimised to produce the best reaction efficiency while retaining maximum enzyme activity. Activity of free and bound trypsin was tested using L-BAPNA a colourless chromogenic substance.

Once dextrin had been selected as the most suitable polymer, bee venom PLÁ₂ was chosen to synthesise dextrin-PLÁ₂ conjugates using the previously optimised reaction method (Chapter 4). A library of dextrin-PLÁ₂ conjugates was prepared and the PLÁ₂ activity was tested using an egg yolk emulsion. This assay was subsequently used to test the PUMPT hypothesis. Finally, to assess whether the conjugate would cause non-specific toxicity following IV administration, haemolysis of red blood cells by free and conjugated PLÁ₂ was measured. α-Amylase was used to unmask dextrin-PLÁ₂ conjugates for haemolysis testing.

Given the success in these primary studies, the next studies were intended to determine the ability of the conjugate to kill various cancer cell lines (Chapter 5). In order to better understand the mechanism by which PLÁ₂ exerts its cytotoxic activity, three different cancer cell lines were studied (HT29, MCF-7, B16F10), each expressing varying levels of EGFR. Cytotoxicity to these cells with dextrin-PLÁ₂, co-incubated with EGF and a tyrosine kinase inhibitor, was measured using the MTT assay. Further studies investigating the potential of using dextrin-PLÁ₂ in combination with conventional chemotherapeutics (doxorubicin and daunorubicin) were also performed.

Having established the involvement of the EGFR in PLÁ₂ cytotoxicity, it was considered important to perform preliminary studies using Oregon Green-labelled conjugates to follow the cellular uptake of native and dextrin-conjugated PLÁ₂ (Chapter 6). Analysis of the cellular uptake of free and dextrin-conjugated PLÁ₂ was measured using flow cytometry and confocal microscopy.
It was also intended that this work would examine the feasibility of the PELT concept using a well-characterised dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate as a model polymer-enzyme combination (Chapter 7). The overall objectives of the study were to provide proof of concept data. This was achieved by monitoring the ability of dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugates to rupture liposomal daunorubicin (DaunoXome$^\text{®}$), thus enhancing the release of drug.
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General Methods
2.1 Chemicals

2.1.1 General chemicals

HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-ONp (5 mol %) was supplied by Polymer Laboratories (Church Stretton, UK). Type 1 dextrin from corn was supplied by ML laboratories (Keele, UK). Gefitinib was provided by AstraZeneca (Macclesfield, UK). Trypsin from porcine pancreas, PLA2 from honey bee venom, PLA2 from porcine pancreas, anhydrous dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), calcium chloride, sodium tetraborate (Na2B4O7), lithium acetate dihydrate, 98 % sodium hydroxide (NaOH), 1-Ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl carbodiimide hydrochloride) (EDC), copper (II) sulphate pentahydrate 4 % w/v solution, bovine serum albumin (BSA), bicinechinonic acid solution (BCA), TRIZMA base, sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), TRIZMA hydrochloride (Tris HCl), triton X-100, glycerol, ammonium persulfate, acrylamide/bis-acrylamide, hydrindantin, ninhydrin, 2,4,6-trinitrobenzene 1-sulphonic acid (TNBS) boric acid (H3BO3) and L-BAPNA were all from Sigma-Aldrich (Poole, UK). Sodium acid phosphate, sodium phosphate, sodium chloride, 5 M HCl, anhydrous N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF), ethanol, diethyl ether, methanol, acetone, acetic acid, paraformaldehyde (PFA) and sucrose were all purchased from Fisher Scientific (Loughborough, UK). N,N,N,N-Tetramethyl-Ethylenediamine (TEMED) was from Bio-Rad (Perth, UK). Glycine was purchased from ICN Biomedicals, Inc.. DL-amino-2-propanol was from Acros (Loughborough, UK). Sulfo-NHS was from Fluka (Gillingham, UK). Bromophenol blue was from BDH (Poole, UK). Oregon Green 488 cadaverine *5-isomer (OG) was from Invitrogen Molecular Probes (Paisley, UK). EGF was from Prospec-Tany Technogene Ltd (Rehovot, Israel). Unless otherwise stated, all chemicals were of analytical grade.

2.1.2 Chemicals for cell culture and tissue culture media

Tissue culture grade dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT), trypan blue and optical grade DMSO were from Sigma-Aldrich (Dorset, UK).

2.2 Cells and tissue culture media

The cell line MCF-7 (human breast carcinoma) was provided by Tenovus Centre
for Cancer Research (Cardiff, UK), HT29 cells (human colon cancer) were obtained from the European Collection of Cell Cultures, Centre for Applied Biology, Microbiology and Research (ECACC) (Salisbury, UK) and B16F10 murine melanoma were from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) (Manassas, USA). All cell lines were screened and found to be free of mycoplasma contamination. Foetal calf serum (FCS) (untreated and heat-inactivated (HI FCS)), 0.05 % w/v trypsin-0.53 mM EDTA, RPMI 1640 with L-glutamine (with and without phenol red as pH indicator), RPMI 1640 with GlutaMAX and McCoy’s 5A were obtained from Invitrogen Life Technologies (Paisley, UK). PBS was prepared using the chemicals listed above, and autoclaved.

2.3 Equipment

2.3.1 Equipment for cell culture

With the exception of the centrifugation steps, all cell work was performed in Bioair (Siziano, Italy) and Bioquell Microflow (Andover, UK) class II laminar flow cabinets. For general cell culture a Leica inverted bright-field microscope was used (Wetzlar, Germany). Bright-field images of cell morphology were taken using an inverted Leica DM IRB microscope (Wetzlar, Germany) with 12-bit cooled monochrome Retiga 1300 camera (Surrey, Canada). For routine cell counting, a Marienfield silver stained Neubauer haemocytometer (Lauda-Königshofen, Germany) was used. Tissue culture flasks (25, 75 and 150 cm³) and tissue culture sterile plates (6-well, 96-well) were from Corning Inc. (New York, USA). Sterile pipettes (5, 10 and 25 mL), sterile cell scrapers, kwills, 7 mL bijoux, universal containers and 60 mL pots were from Elkay (Birmingham, UK).

2.3.2 Analytical equipment

_Ultraviolet (UV):_ The UV plate reader (Sunrise Touchscreen) was from Tecan (Reading, UK). UV-visible spectrophotometer (Cary 1G) was from Varian Inc. (Walton-on-Thames, UK), with software version 2.0.

_Fluorescence:_ A Fluostar Optima fluorescence plate reader from BMG Lab Technologies (Offenburg, Germany) and an Amnico-Bowman Series 2 luminescence
spectrophotometer from Spectronic Instruments (Leeds, UK) were used for fluorescence measurements.

**Infrared (IR):** The FT-IR spectrophotometer (Avatar 360) was from Nicolet Instrument Corp. (Newington, USA), fitted with a Nicolet Smart Arc diffuse reflectance accessory. Nicolet E-Z Omnic ESP 5.2 software was used for data collection.

**Sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS PAGE):** All electrophoresis equipment, including a Power Pac 300 and GelAir Drying Frame were from Bio-Rad (Perth, UK).

**Gel permeation chromatography (GPC):** The aqueous GPC was equipped with a JASCO HPLC pump and two TSK-gel columns in series (4000 PW followed by 3000 PW) and a guard column (progel PWXL) from Polymer Laboratories (Church Stretton, UK). The eluate was monitored using a differential refractometer (Gilson 153) and a UV-visible spectrophotometer at 279 nm (UV Severn Analytical SA6504) in series. PL Caliber Instrument software, version 7.0.4, from Polymer laboratories (Church Stretton, UK) was used for data analysis.

**Fast performance liquid chromatography (FPLC):** An ÄKTA FPLC from Amersham Pharmacia Biotech (Little Chalfont, UK) system was used. The unit was connected to a pre-packed Superdex 75 HR 10/30 column and a Frac-950 fraction collector from Amersham Pharmacia Biotech (Little Chalfont, UK). The UV detector data was collected using Unicorn 3.20 software and analysed using FPLC director® version 1.10 software.

**Flow cytometry:** Uptake studies were performed using a Becton Dickinson FACSCalibur cytometer (Franklin Lakes, USA) equipped with an argon laser (488 nm) and emission filter for 550 nm. Data were acquired in 1024 channels with band pass filters (FL-1 530 nm ± 30 nm; FL-2 585 ± 42 nm; FL-3 670 nm long pass filter). Data were processed using CELLQuest™ version 3.3 software.
Miscellaneous: The pH meter (3150 pH meter) was from Jenway (Leeds, UK). Freeze-dryer (Flexi Dry FD-1.540 freezer-dryer) was from FTS Systems (Stone Ridge, USA), connected to a high vacuum pump (DD75 double stage) from Javac (Middlesbrough, UK). Dialysis membranes Spectra/POR® CE (Cellulose Ester) were from Pierce & Warriner (Chester, UK) MW cut-off 10,000 g/mol, 25,000 g/mol and 50,000 g/mol. Vivaspin 6 tubes were from Sartorius Vivascience (Goettingen, Germany) MW cut-off 30,000 g/mol. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using Sigma-Aldrich silica gel TLC plates (200 µm thick; 25 µm particle size; on an aluminium support) (Dorset, UK).

2.4 Methods

This section gives a detailed description of the general methods used in these studies. Those specific methods used in each chapter are described in full there.

Methods used for conjugate synthesis and purification are described in detail in Chapter 3 (section 3.2). However, the methods used for conjugate characterisation are described in this chapter, as they apply to all methods explored for polymer-protein conjugation.

2.4.1 Ninhydrin Assay

Prior to conjugation of polymer to protein, it was first important to determine the number of available amine groups for conjugation. Two methods were investigated for this purpose, namely the ninhydrin assay and the TNBS assay (next section, 2.4.2). Ninhydrin is a powerful oxidising agent, with the ability to react with all primary amino acids between pH 4 and 8 resulting in a purple colour, which forms the basis of the quantitative measurement of amine content. (Plummer, 1978).

First, a 4 M lithium acetate buffer solution was prepared by dissolving lithium acetate dihydrate (102.02 g) in 150 mL ddH₂O. Sufficient acetic acid (glacial) was added until pH 5.2 was reached. The volume was made up to a final volume of 250 mL with ddH₂O. Next, ninhydrin (0.8 g) and hydridantin (0.12 g) were dissolved in 30 mL DMSO and 10 mL lithium acetate buffer. Buffered ninhydrin reagent (2 mL) was added to an equal quantity of sample/standard solution and heated in a water
bath at 100 °C for 15 min. The mixture was subsequently cooled to room temperature and 3 mL of 50 % v/v ethanol was added, before spectrophotometric analysis at 570 nm. A typical calibration curve is shown in Figure 2.1a.

2.4.2 TNBS Assay

The TNBS assay works by the delocalisation of the π-electrons of the benzene ring of TNBS, and the subsequent absorption of UV light as the ring binds to primary amines (Mokrasch, 1967).

Samples were prepared by first making a 1 mg/mL solution of enzyme in ddH₂O and allowing it to fully dissolve. Next, 100 µL of this solution was diluted to 10 mL in ddH₂O to produce a 0.01 mg/mL solution of enzyme. This stock solution was used to make up the final solutions of enzyme. Standard samples were prepared in ddH₂O by diluting 10 µL DL-amino-2-propanol in 10 mL ddH₂O. Next, 100 µL of this solution was made up to 10 mL with ddH₂O. This stock solution was used to make up the final solutions of amino acid standards with concentrations in the range of 1.29 - 9.04 x 10⁵ mol/L.

An aliquot of each enzyme solution (0.08 mL) was added to a well in a 96 well plate. The same was done for the standards. To each well containing either sample or calibration solution, TNBS (0.01 mL, 0.017 M) and borate buffer (0.2 mL, pH 9.3) were added. For blanks, borate buffer (0.28 mL, pH 9.3) and TNBS (0.01 mL, 0.017 M) were used.

Every well was replicated six times. The plate was then covered in aluminium foil and placed on a rocker for 30 min. The plate was read at 430 nm. A typical calibration curve is shown in Figure 2.1b.

2.4.3 Purification of polymer-enzyme conjugates

Several methods were used to purify polymer-protein conjugates to remove salts, unreacted protein and linking agents (see Chapter 3). FPLC was later chosen as the method of choice (see below).

*Purification of polymer-protein conjugates by FPLC*

FPLC was used for purification of HPMA copolymer-protein and dextrin-
Figure 2.1 Ninhydrin and TNBS assays to quantify the number of primary amines available for conjugation. Panel (a) represents a typical calibration curve for the ninhydrin assay using DL-amino-2-propanol standards. Panel (b) represents a typical calibration curve for the TNBS assay using DL-amino-2-propanol standard. Data represents mean ± SEM (6). Where error bars are invisible they are within size of data points.
protein conjugates. An ÄKTA FPLC (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, UK) system was used to separate free protein and conjugated protein according to their molecular weight.

A pre-packed Superdex 75 HR/10/30 column was washed with 2 column volumes (~48 mL) of filtered (0.2 μm; Millipore) and sonicated ddH₂O. Next, the column was equilibrated with 3 column volumes (~72 mL) of filtered and sonicated mobile phase (PBS buffer, pH 7.4) prior to use. First, the column was calibrated (0.5 mL/min flow rate) using standardised proteins of various molecular weight: aprotinin from bovine lung 6,500 g/mol; cytochrome c from bovine heart 12,400 g/mol; carbonic anhydrase from bovine erythrocytes 29,000 g/mol; albumin from bovine serum 66,000 g/mol; and alcohol dehydrogenase 150,000 g/mol. The calibration curve can be seen in Figure 2.2. Then samples (1 mL) were injected into a 2 mL loop (partial fill method) and allowed to run at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. When conjugates were being purified, fractions (1 mL) were collected and assayed for protein content (section 2.4.4.3) before pooling the appropriate fractions containing conjugate.

After use, the column was thoroughly washed with eluant, followed by ddH₂O and finally was stored in 20 % v/v ethanol.

2.4.4 Characterisation of polymer-enzyme conjugates

Once the conjugates were made, it was necessary to characterise the products in respect of protein content, free protein, molecular weight and polydispersity.

2.4.4.1 Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC) for characterisation of conjugates

Polymer-protein conjugates are notoriously difficult to characterise as they are neither protein nor polymer. Therefore, while it was not possible to accurately assign the molecular weight of the conjugate, GPC and FPLC were used in combination with SDS PAGE to quantitatively define the molecular weight of the conjugate and its purity in respect of free enzyme. The BCA assay was used to quantify the total protein content of the conjugate.
Figure 2.2 FPLC analysis of protein molecular weight standards using a Superdex HR 10/30 size exclusion column. Panel (a) shows the chromatogram of the protein standards: (1) aprotinin (6,500 g/mol); (2) cytochrome c (12,400 g/mol); (3) carbonic anhydrase (29,000 g/mol); (4) albumin (66,000 g/mol); and (5) alcohol dehydrogenase (150,000 g/mol). V₀ and Vₐ represent the void and bed volume, respectively. Panel (b) represents the calibration curve for estimation of sample molecular weight.
Characterisation by FPLC

FPLC (as described previously) was also used to estimate the conjugate’s molecular weight in comparison to standardised proteins of various molecular weights (detailed in section 2.4.3) and measure the ratio of free and bound protein. The same FPLC system as was used for purification was used for characterisation. After appropriate equilibration and calibration, samples of purified conjugate (200 μL) were injected into a 100 μL loop then allowed to run at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. Output from the UV detector was analysed using Unicorn 3.20 software from Amersham Pharmacia (Little Chalfont, UK).

Characterisation by GPC

HPMA copolymer-trypsin and dextrin-trypsin conjugates were analysed using aqueous phase GPC. GPC is commonly used to separate polymers according to their size and shape (hydrodynamic radius). Polysaccharide (pullulan) standards (MW from 11,800 to 210,000 g/mol) were used to produce a calibration curve (Figure 2.3). All samples were prepared in PBS (3 mg/mL). Of these solutions, approximately 60 μL was injected (injection loop 20 μL). PBS (filtered and sonicated for 30 min) was used as the mobile phase (flow rate 1.0 mL/min). Both RI and UV detection (λ = 279 nm) were used and data were analysed using PL Caliber Instrument software.

2.4.4.2 SDS PAGE

The method used for the electrophoresis was adapted from one described by Laemmli (1970). First, the following reagents were prepared: 10 % w/v SDS (stored at room temperature), 10 % w/v ammonium persulfate (made up fresh daily), running buffer gel and stacking gel buffer (see Table 2.1 for composition). The two glass plates, side spacers and clamps were rinsed in ddH₂O and assembled. The assembly was then stood upright, using the clamps as supports, and filled with ethanol to test for leaks.

Next, the separating gel was prepared according to Table 2.1, adding TEMED last. This solution was gently inverted to mix, without introducing air bubbles. This solution was immediately pipetted into the spaces between the glass plates, up to a level of 3 cm from the top. A thin layer of isopropanol was applied to form an even
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Figure 2.3 GPC analysis of pullulan molecular weight standards using TSK-gel columns G4000 PWXL and G3000 PWXL in series. Panel (a) shows the chromatogram of the pullulan standards (5,900 - 788,000 g/mol). $V_0$ and $V_b$ represents the void and bed volume, respectively. Panel (b) represents the calibration curve for estimation of sample molecular weight.

$y = -0.4235x + 11.651$

$r^2 = 0.9714$
### Table 2.1 Summary of the composition of buffers and solutions used for SDS PAGE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lower (separating) gel</th>
<th>Upper (stacking) gel</th>
<th>Running buffer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.44 mL ddH₂O</td>
<td>5.85 mL ddH₂O</td>
<td>3 g Tris HCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 mL separating buffer (Tris base, pH 6.8, 1.5 M)</td>
<td>2.5 mL stacking buffer (Tris base, pH 6.8, 0.5 M)</td>
<td>14.4 g glycine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 µL 10 % w/v SDS solution</td>
<td>100 µL 10 % w/v SDS solution</td>
<td>10 mL 10 % w/v SDS solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.25 mL 40 % w/v acrylamide/bis-acrylamide</td>
<td>1 mL 40 % w/v acrylamide/bis-acrylamide</td>
<td>ddH₂O up to 1 L pH 8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 µL 10 % w/v ammonium persulfate solution</td>
<td>50 µL 10 % w/v ammonium persulfate solution</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 µL TEMED</td>
<td>5 µL TEMED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
surface on the gel and prevent oxidation, which inhibits polymerisation. The separating gel was then left to polymerise for 30-60 min, during which time the stacking gel was prepared as detailed in Table 2.1, adding TEMED last. Again, this mixture was gently inverted to mix, taking care not to introduce bubbles. The isopropanol was poured out of the assembly, and the stacking gel was pipetted onto the separating gel. The 10-well comb was inserted, the glass plate assemblies topped up with stacking gel and this construction was left to polymerise for 30-45 min.

Meanwhile, the samples were prepared by adding 1 volume of 2x denaturing buffer (ddH₂O (3.8 mL), Tris HCl (pH 6.8, 0.5 M, 5 mL), Glycerol (4 mL), 10 % w/v SDS (8 mL), 2-mercaptoethanol (2 mL), and bromophenol blue 1 % w/v (0.4 mL)) to 1 volume of each sample and protein markers. The eppendorf tubes were capped and heated for 5 min at 100 °C, then immediately chilled on ice for a further 5 min. These samples were finally centrifuged for approximately 5 s. Once the stacking gel had polymerised, the comb was removed and the plates were loaded onto the frame. The assembly was subsequently lowered into the tank, which was filled up with running buffer (see Table 2.1).

Each well was filled with 10 µL sample, while the first well of every gel was filled with 10 µL molecular weight markers (1 mg/mL). The lid was put on the tank, and connected to a power supply. The gel was then run at 200 V for 1 h, or until dye reached the bottom of the gel.

The gels were then removed and the plates separated. The gels were removed from the glass plates, transferred into plastic trays containing 100-200 mL coomassie blue stain and gently agitated for 1 h. Next, this solution was poured off and replaced with a strong destain solution (35 % v/v methanol, 5 % v/v acetic acid) and left for 1 h. Finally, this solution was poured off and replaced with weak destain solution (7 % v/v methanol, 5 % v/v acetic acid), until the background became clear. The gels were then placed between two water-prewetted thin films, air bubbles removed and left to dry at room temperature for two days.

The ratio of the distance travelled by the protein band to that of the solvent front (Rf value) was used to determine the molecular weight distribution of the conjugate. Molecular weight standards (myosin 194,239 g/mol; β-galactosidase 115,660 g/mol;
bovine serum albumin 97,316 g/mol; ovalbumin 53,533 g/mol; carbonic anhydrase (37,248 g/mol; soybean trypsin inhibitor 29,385 g/mol; lysozyme 20,415 g/mol; and aprotinin 6,919 g/mol) were used to prepare a calibration curve of Rf values (Figure 2.4). This was then used to estimate the molecular weight of polymer-protein conjugates in relation to the standardised proteins.

2.4.4.3 BCA protein assay

The BCA assay was used to determine the total protein content of the polymer-protein conjugates (Smith et al, 1985). The assay consists of two steps. First, the protein reduces the Cu$^{2+}$ to Cu$^{1+}$ by the biuret reaction. The resulting Cu$^{1+}$ forms a coloured coordination complex with four to six nearby peptide bonds. Next, the BCA forms complexes with the remaining Cu$^{2+}$, which produces a purple coloured solution and is detectable at 562 nm. The intensity of the colour is directly proportional to the number of peptide bonds participating in the reaction (Figure 2.5).

A 96-well microtitre plate was used. Each well was prepared to contain 20 μL of polymer-conjugate sample (1 mg/mL; n = 6), or standard (0-1 mg/mL; n = 3), and 200 μL of BCA reagent (1 mL BCA: 20 μL Cu (II) sulfate). The plate was gently agitated and left in the dark, at 37 °C, for 20 min, and then read on the spectrophotometer at 550 nm. Typical calibration curves for BSA, trypsin and PLA$_2$ are shown in Figure 2.6 and were used to determine the protein content of polymer conjugate.

2.4.5 Biological characterisation of enzymes and polymer-enzyme conjugates

Detailed methods for these enzyme activity assays are described in Chapters 3 and 4 (sections 3.2 and 4.2).

2.4.6 Cell Culture

Cell culture was performed according to the United Kingdom Co-ordinating Committee on Cancer Research (UKCCCR) guidelines. In order to ensure sterility of the incubator the safety cabinets and equipment were sprayed with 70 % v/v ethanol in water. Those items not supplied pre-sterilised were sterilised by (a) autoclaving (120 °C, 15 lb/m², 15 min) for glassware, certain plastics, PBS and ddH$_2$O; (b)
Figure 2.4 Calibration curve for SDS PAGE using protein molecular weight standards. Data shown represents mean ± SD (n = 3). Where error bars are invisible they are within size of data points.

\[ y = -0.7891 + 4.172 \]
\[ r^2 = 0.9742 \]
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STEP 1:
Protein + Cu$^{2+}$ + OH$^-$ → Cu$^{1+}$

Cysteine
Cystine
Tryptophan
Tyrosine
Peptide bonds

STEP 2:
Cu$^{1+}$ + 2BCA

BCA: Cu$^{2+}$ Complex

Figure 2.5 BCA assay for protein quantification: mechanism (adapted from Pierce Chemical Technical Library, 2002).
Figure 2.6 Typical BCA assay calibration curves obtained using PLA₂, BSA and trypsin as protein standards. Data shown represents mean ± SD (n = 3). Where error bars are invisible they are within size of data points.
microfiltration (0.2 μm) for solutions; or (c) UV irradiation (30 min).

### 2.4.6.1 Defrosting cells

Cells were grown from frozen stock (vials containing 1 mL of cell suspension). First, cells were thawed rapidly in a water bath at 37 °C, then transferred to a universal container containing 9 mL of cell-specific medium (Table 2.2) with 20% FCS. Cells were centrifuged at 20 °C for 5 min at 1000 rpm, and the supernatant removed by aspiration. The cells were then resuspended in 5 mL of the appropriate complete medium. Next, the cell suspension was transferred to a 25 cm³ flask and stored in the incubator at 37 °C. After 24 h, the medium was replaced with fresh medium and the cells allowed to grow until they reach ~ 80% confluency and can be passaged.

### 2.4.6.2 Cell maintenance and passaging

Cells were grown in vented 75 cm² tissue culture flasks in their cell-specific complete medium (see Table 2.2) at 37 °C, in humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂. Once ~ 80% confluence was reached, the cells were passaged as described below. First, the old media was removed by aspiration with a kwill and the cells were washed with 10 mL sterile PBS to remove residual media and any dead cells. Trypsin-EDTA solution (1.5 mL) was added and cells were incubated for approximately 3 min or until detached. Fresh medium (8.5 mL) was added and the cell suspension was collected in a universal container and centrifuged for 5 min at 1000 rpm, at 20 °C. The supernatant was removed by aspiration and the cells were resuspended in fresh medium. This suspension was used to prepare new flasks after appropriate dilution. MCF-7 cells to be used in experiments were grown in RPMI 1640 without the pH indicator (WRPMI 1640), as it has been shown that phenol red has mitogenic activity towards this cell line (Devleeschouwer et al., 1992), and might therefore interfere with the oestrogen pathway.

### 2.4.6.3 Counting cells and seeding cells

Cells were washed with PBS, trypsinised and resuspended as described previously. Cells were subsequently passed though a needle (23 G), to ensure a
Table 2.2 Cell lines and culture conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumour origin</th>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>Culture media</th>
<th>Split</th>
<th>Supplier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human breast carcinoma</td>
<td>MCF-7</td>
<td>5% v/v FCS, RPMI 1640, 5 mM L-glutamine</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>Tenovus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human colon cancer</td>
<td>HT29</td>
<td>10% v/v FCS, McCoy's 5A, 5 mM L-glutamine</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>ECCAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murine melanoma</td>
<td>B16F10</td>
<td>10% v/v FCS, RPMI 1640, glutaMAX</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* = culture media used for performing experiments
homogenous suspension of cells. An aliquot of the suspension (100 μL) was removed and diluted with an equal volume of trypan blue (0.2 % trypan blue in PBS). After 1 min, some of this cell suspension was placed in a haemocytometer. Cells from ten 0.1 mm$^3$ squares (five taken from the top chamber, and five taken from the bottom chamber of the haemocytometer) were counted. Non-viable cells (stained with trypan blue) were not included in the count.

The following formula was used to determine the cell concentrations:

\[
\text{Cells/mL} = \text{mean cell count} \times 2 \times 10^4
\]

Where: mean is the arithmetic mean of the 10 values
2 takes into account the trypan blue dilution
10$^4$ accounts for the conversion from 0.1 mm$^3$ to mL

Subsequently, the cell suspension was diluted with medium to obtain the appropriate seeding density for the experiment.

2.4.6.4 MTT assay as a means to assess cell viability: growth curve

The MTT assay is a method of assessing cell viability. A redox reaction of the yellow substrate solution (MTT 5 mg/mL in PBS) with mitochondrial respiration products NADH and NADPH, results in the formation of insoluble blue crystals (Mosmann, 1983).

Cells were seeded into 96-well plates (MCF-7 and HT29: 4 x 10$^4$; B16F10: 1 x 10$^4$ cells/ mL) and allowed to adhere for 24 h. A sterile filtered solution of MTT (20 μL) was added to each well and the reaction allowed to proceed for 5 h at 37 °C. The solution containing MTT and medium was carefully removed, ensuring not to remove the precipitated crystals. Next, the crystals were dissolved in 100 μL of sterile DMSO and incubated for a further 30 min at 37 °C. The absorbance of the solution was read in a UV absorbance plate reader (λ = 550 nm). This measurement was performed on a daily basis. After reading absorbance, the DMSO solution was removed and replaced with sterile PBS, to maintain moist conditions for the remaining cells in the plate. Growth curves were produced by plotting absorbance against time and used to calculate cell doubling times.
2.4.6.5 MTT assay as a means to assess cell viability: treatment effects

Cell viability in the presence of PLA₂, dextrin, dextrin-PLA₂, EGF, gefitinib, doxorubicin, daunorubicin and DaunoXome® was evaluated using the MTT assay (72 h incubation) (Sgouras and Duncan, 1990), with the human breast cancer cell line, MCF-7, murine melanoma cell line, B16F10, and human colon carcinoma cell line, HT29. Cell viability was always measured while cells were in exponential growth phase, as determined using the methods described in section 2.4.6.4.

Cells were seeded into sterile 96-well microtitre plates (MCF-7 and HT29: 4 x 10⁴ cells/mL, B16F10: 1 x 10⁴ cells/mL) in 0.1 mL/well of media (WRPMI 1640/McCoy’s 5A/RPMI 1640, respectively) with FCS (5-10 % v/v) and allowed to adhere for 24 h. After 24 h, the medium was removed and test compounds (0.2 μm filter-sterilised) were added to the cells. After a further 67 h incubation, MTT (20 μL of a 5 mg/mL solution in PBS) was added to each well and the cells were incubated for 5 h. The medium was then removed and the precipitated formazan crystals were solubilised for 30 min with the addition of optical grade DMSO (100 μL). Absorbance, which was proportional to cell viability, was measured at 550 nm. Cell viability was expressed as a percentage of the viability of untreated control cells. The IC₅₀ values were expressed as concentration of test compound (± SEM).

2.4.6.6 Determination of cellular uptake of polymer conjugates by flow cytometry

Flow cytometry was used to study the uptake of OG-labelled dextrin, PLA₂ and dextrin-PLA₂ by MCF-7 cells. Cells were seeded in 6-well plates (1 x 10⁶ cells/mL) in their respective media, supplemented with FCS, and allowed to adhere for 24 h. In order to account for external binding, the experiment was performed at 37 °C and 4 °C. Solutions for treatment were prepared in medium and equilibrated to 37 °C or 4 °C. For experiments performed at 37 °C, cells were kept under normal cell culture conditions throughout the incubation period, while cells used in experiments at 4 °C were incubated at 4 °C for 30 min prior to the addition of treatment media. OG-labelled dextrin, PLA₂ or dextrin-PLA₂ (synthesis and characterisation described in Chapter 6) was added at a concentration of 1.5 μg/mL in medium. Cells were then incubated for 60 min at 37 °C or 4 °C.
At the end of the incubation period, the plates were placed on ice to prevent further uptake and kept at 4 °C. The cells were washed three times with ice-cold PBS (3 x 1 mL). Next, PBS (1 mL) was added and the cells scraped from the plates, collected in falcon tubes and centrifuged at 4 °C, 1000 x g for 5 min. Finally, cells were re-suspended in ice-chilled PBS (200 μL) and analysed using a Becton Dickinson FACSCalibur cytometer equipped with an argon laser (488 nm) and emission filter for 550 nm.

Data were collected with 10,000 total cell counts per sample and processed using CELLQuest™ version 3.3 software. Control cells (incubated with medium only) were used in all cases to account for background fluorescence output (M1). Data was acquired in 1024 channels with band pass filter FL-1 (530 nm ± 15 nm). Results were expressed as (geometric mean x % positive cells)/ 100 (See Chapter 6 for details).

Several methods were used to interpret the flow cytometry data. An increase in fluorescence of the cell population can be estimated using two methods. Firstly, by measuring the increase in average fluorescence of the population using the mean, geometric mean or median. The second method involves analysis of the number of cells that have taken up the fluorescently labelled compound. In this instance control cells were compared to cells exposed to OG-labelled probe to account for background fluorescence. From this data, two regions were arbitrarily set (Figure 2.7). Region M1 corresponds to a region covering 98 % of the control population events, while M2 relates to any area with higher fluorescence than M1. As cell-associated fluorescence increases, so too does the number of events in the M2 region.

2.5 Statistical Analysis

Data were expressed as mean ± the error, calculated as either standard deviation (SD) or standard error of the mean (SEM), where appropriate. Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05 (indicated by *). Where only two groups were compared, student's t-test for a small sample size was used. In situations where more than two groups were compared evaluation of significance was achieved using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by bonferroni post hoc tests that correct for
Figure 2.7 Typical flow cytometry distribution. Region M1 corresponds to a region covering 98% of the control population events, while M2 relates to any area with higher fluorescence than M1.
multiple comparisons. All statistical calculations were performed using GraphPad Prism, version 4.0c for Macintosh, 2005.
Chapter Three

Synthesis and Characterisation of HPMA Copolymer- and Dextrin-Trypsin Conjugates
3.1 Introduction

To develop the concepts of polymer-PLA₂ conjugates as (i) anti-cancer therapeutics, and (ii) triggers for PELT (see section 1.5.2) it was first necessary to optimise the methods to be used for polymer-protein conjugation. It was important to choose both an appropriate polymer and a conjugation chemistry that would be reproducible, and give the best yield. From the biological point of view, it was important to generate a stable conjugate that would retain enzymatic activity.

Furthermore, it is well known that conjugation of a polymer to a protein (particularly enzymes) can significantly improve biological efficacy in vivo by reducing proteolytic degradation, extension of plasma circulation time and by reducing protein immunogenicity (reviewed in Harris and Chess, 2003; reviewed in Roberts et al., 2002; reviewed in Sato, 2002; Werle and Bernkop-Schnurch, 2006). Many methods have been previously described for conjugation of proteins to polymers. Numerous polymers have been used to prepare such conjugates, and Table 1.1 summarises some polymer-enzyme conjugates described in the literature.

3.1.1 Choice of polymer

In these studies it was first necessary to consider which polymer would be best for PLA₂ conjugation. Any polymer used must be non-toxic and non-immunogenic, contain suitable functionality for conjugation (using either a polymer with a single reactive group (e.g. mPEG (reviewed in Pasut et al., 2008)) or a multi-functional polymer (e.g. HPMA copolymer (Satchi-Fainaro et al., 2002) or dextrin (Hreczuk-Hirst et al., 2001b)). To design a polymer conjugate that would have the required stability, pharmacokinetic profile and pharmacological activity, features such as the polymer molecular weight, the linking chemistry, and the number of reactive groups on the polymer were considered.

The main polymers used clinically to synthesise polymer therapeutics have been introduced in Chapter 1 (sections 1.2.1 and 1.3) and they include PEG, dextran, PGA, HPMA copolymers and dextrin. Of these, dextrin and HPMA copolymers were selected for conjugation and they are described in more detail below.
HPMA Copolymers

HPMA copolymers were chosen because they have already been used to prepare polymer therapeutics including polymer-anticancer drug conjugates, polymer-protein conjugates (reviewed in Duncan, 2005; reviewed in Duncan, 2006) and gene delivery systems (Oupicky et al, 2000). HPMA copolymers have already proven to be non-toxic, non-immunogenic in patients (Vasey et al, 1999), and they can be synthesised to be either semi-telichelic (one functional group), or multi-functional. Many different linker chemistries have been used in HPMA copolymer conjugates (reviewed in Duncan, 2005; Kopecek, 1984). Peptide linkers have been most widely used to bind anticancer agents, e.g. -Gly-Phe-Leu-Gly-, which is degraded by the lysosomal thiol-dependent proteases following uptake of the conjugate by endocytosis (Vasey et al, 1999). Typically, -Gly-Gly- linkers have been used to prepare HPMA copolymer-protein conjugates (Satchi-Fainaro et al, 2003). As PLA₂ is probably not required to enter the cell to exert its therapeutic effect, it was decided that lysosomal degradation of the linker was not important, and so -Gly-Gly- was a more appropriate linker group.

A frequently encountered problem with protein conjugation is the potential loss of biological activity; therefore, it is also of particular interest to consider the site of conjugation. This will be affected by the availability and reactivity of functional groups in the protein as well as the nature of reactive groups on the polymer. Reduced biological activity is often due to steric hindrance of the protein by the polymer chain, often as a result of covalent binding of the polymer in or close to the active site of the enzyme. It has been suggested that a 1:1 polymer-protein ratio may minimise loss of biological activity (Satchi, 1999) especially when non-degradable polymers (e.g. PEG, HPMA copolymers) are used. Use of biodegradable polymers (Duncan et al, 2008) or polymer-protein linkers (Pan et al, 2006) can circumvent this problem, for example dextrin, where degradation by α-amylase results in an unmasking effect. In the case of HPMA copolymer, however, a conjugation ratio of 1:1 was aimed for, to also reduce the risk of cross-linking.

It is possible to conjugate a polymer to a protein using hydroxyl and thioether
reactive groups, however these derivatised bonds tend to produce weaker linkages and the stability of the conjugate would be questionable. Therefore in these studies, HPMA copolymers containing a carboxylic acid were reacted with amine groups within the structure of trypsin, leading to the formation of a stable amide bond. Primarily, primary NH$_2$ groups of lysine (pKa = 10.53) residues found on the surface of enzymes, arginine (pKa = 12.48), histidine (pKa = 6.10), as well as terminal NH$_2$ groups (pKa = ~ 9) are also able to react in this way.

Several approaches for HPMA copolymer-trypsin conjugation were explored in these studies using methods adapted from previous techniques described in the literature (see Figure 3.1 for reaction schemes). Conjugation typically involves a polymer analogous reaction using a polymer precursor containing a reactive leaving group that can be displaced by the protein (e.g. HPMA copolymer -ONp). Initially, non-specific aminolysis was investigated as this method had successfully been used previously to synthesise HPMA copolymer-cathepsin B and HPMA copolymer-β-lactamase conjugates (Satchi et al., 2001; Satchi-Fainaro et al., 2003) producing conjugates with a yield of 30-35 wt. %. However, as this method was not successful here, two further conjugation methods were investigated. These methods also used HPMA copolymer-ONp, but employed different reaction conditions that had been described for the synthesis of anti-O antibody- and transferrin-polymer conjugates (Kopececk et al., 1985b).

A final conjugation method was explored for HPMA copolymer-trypsin conjugation. This utilised the crosslinking agents EDC and sulfo-NHS. EDC reacts with the carboxyl groups of an HPMA copolymer-COOH intermediate, forming an amine-reactive O-acylisourea intermediate. This can then react with the amine of lysine residues in a protein, to give a conjugate joined by a stable amide bond. However, EDC alone is not particularly efficient. Failure to react quickly with an amine results in hydrolysis and regeneration of the carboxyl, making it unstable and short-lived in aqueous solution. The addition of sulfo-NHS stabilises the amine-reactive intermediate by converting it to an amine-reactive sulfo-NHS ester, thus increasing the efficiency of EDC-mediated coupling reactions. The amine-reactive
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**Figure 3.1 Schematic of reaction to conjugate trypsin to HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-ONp.** Reaction conditions tested in these studies are given. Where m and n are reaction products, x is a side product that occurs by hydrolysis of HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-COOH.

**Reaction Conditions**

a) 4 °C, dark (8 h)
   - pH 7.2 – 8.5, by addition of tetraborate buffer (30 min)

b) 0.5 mL HCl (pH 3.0)
   - 0.6 mL Sorensen’s buffer (pH 8.0, 0.15 M NaCl)

c) 20 ° C (75 min)
   - 0.25 mL HCl (pH 3.0)
   - 1.25 mL Sorensen’s buffer (pH 8.0, 0.1 M NaCl)

d) Hydrolysis of HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-ONp:
   - NaOH (0.1 M, 10 eq.), ddH₂O, 4-5 h

Conjugation of trypsin:
   - 20 °C, EDC (10 min), sulfo-NHS (45 min)
   - 18 h, pH 8
sulfo-NHS ester intermediate has sufficient stability to permit two-step crosslinking procedures, which allows the carboxyl groups on the protein to remain unaltered.

**Dextrin**

A number of other naturally occurring polymers, such as dextran (Vercauteren *et al.*, 1990; Vercauteren *et al.*, 1992) and PGA (Singer *et al.*, 2003) are inherently biodegradable, low levels of chemical modification results in the generation of non-degradable adducts. In addition, some natural polymers are not suitable for repeated administration due to their immunogenicity (Bisaccia *et al.*, 2007).

Dextrin was chosen as a suitable polymer for protein conjugation as it has proven biocompatibility and clinical tolerability. It is routinely used in the clinic as a peritoneal dialysis solution (Peers and Gokal, 1998) and also has been developed as a carrier solution for intraperitoneal administration of 5-fluorouracil (Kerr *et al.*, 1996). Unlike HPMA copolymers, which are not biodegradable, dextrin has the advantage of elimination by α-amylase degradation to give maltose and isomaltose.

The PUMPT concept has recently been coined by Duncan *et al.* (2008) using dextrin as the model polymer. The hypothesis was that conjugation of a biodegradable polymer to a biologically active protein can mask activity and enhance stability in the bloodstream, while subsequent regeneration of activity can be achieved by triggered degradation of the polymer at the target site. A detailed description of this concept is given in Chapter 4.

Since dextrin doesn’t contain a convenient amine or carboxy group for protein conjugation, functionalisation has, in the past, been achieved using several methods. For example, cyanogen bromide activation (Costa and Reis, 2004), chloroformate activation (Bruneel and Schacht, 1993b), periodate oxidation (Bruneel and Schacht, 1993a) and succinoylation (Bruneel and Schacht, 1994). These activation techniques are summarised in Table 3.1. However, since the reagents for succinoylation are relatively non-toxic, the synthesis of dextrin-protein conjugates has typically used activation of the polymer by incorporation of functional groups by succinoylation, which can react directly with the trypsin (Hreczuk-Hirst *et al.*, 2001a; Hreczuk-Hirst *et al.*, 2001b).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activation method</th>
<th>Method overview</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
<th>Example polysaccharides</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cyanogen bromide activation</td>
<td>Primary amine groups are introduced directly into reactive intermediate, under aqueous conditions at room temperature</td>
<td>Reactive intermediates produced during activation of hydroxyl group can disrupt polymer backbone</td>
<td>Hyaluronate (Mlochova et al, 2006) Starch (Costa and Reis, 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroformate activation</td>
<td>Introduces hydroxyl groups within the polymer</td>
<td>Possibility of formation of intra- or inter-chain carbonate esters</td>
<td>Cellulose (Ruprich et al, 1990) Dextran (Chiu et al, 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periodate oxidation</td>
<td>Formation of an aldehyde group by oxidation of 1,2-diol groups</td>
<td>Aldehyde groups can lead to toxicity if not completely neutralised</td>
<td>Dextran (Devakumar and Mookambeswaran, 2007) Mannan (Ramirez et al, 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Succinoylation</td>
<td>Uses succinic anhydride to introduce a carboxyl group without disrupting polymer backbone</td>
<td></td>
<td>Starch (Lawal, 2004), Chitosan (Kato et al, 2004)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Succinoylation has been used to modify pullulan (Bruneel and Schacht, 1994) and pullulan was reacted with succinic anhydride in DMSO, using DMAP as a catalyst. Hreczuk-Hirst et al (2001a) optimised dextrin succinoylation with respect to temperature and reaction time. They concluded 50 °C with a minimum of 8 h reaction time to be optimal for dextrin succinoylation. Using these conditions it was possible to reproducibly succinoylate dextrin with a coefficient of variation of < 10 % and a yield of ~ 50 %. Further, it was demonstrated that different degrees of dextrin succinoylation (0.5 - 30 mol %) could be achieved by variation of the reactant ratios, and that the rate of α-amylase degradation of succinoylated dextrins could be prolonged by increasing the degree of modification to the polymer (Hreczuk-Hirst et al, 2001a). As dextrin is normally degraded in the body very quickly by α-amylase (t_{1/2} < 10 min) this fundamental study suggested the potential of controlling the rate of dextrin degradation by tailoring backbone modification. This approach made dextrin a more practical polymer for use in targeted drug delivery and also for use in protein modification in the context of PUMPT.

Hreczuk-Hirst et al (2001b) also developed the conjugation chemistry techniques for coupling drugs and other amino-group containing compounds. Gilbert et al (2005) subsequently applied this methodology to the preparation of dextrin-trypsin conjugates. EDC and sulfo-NHS were used as linking agents and first studies investigated the effect of dextrin molecular weight (comparing 7,700 and 47,200 g/mol) and degree of succinoylation on enzyme activity and ability to regenerate enzyme activity in the presence of α-amylase (Gilbert et al, 2005). It was concluded that the higher molecular weight dextrin (47,200 g/mol) with ~ 25 mol % succinoylation achieved the optimal trypsin masking and then allowed unmasking. The same reaction conditions were therefore chosen for these studies.

In summary, HPMA copolymer was selected for conjugation because it has the advantages of a narrow polydispersity (usually 1.3 – 1.5), it has been previously used for protein modification, and the loading of protein can be controlled.

On the other hand, dextrin was chosen because, while it has a higher polydispersity index than HPMA copolymers (> 2.0), its α-amylase-triggered degradation lends it to masking protein activity and subsequent reinstatement of
activity by unmasking (PUMPT concept described in detail in Chapter 4). However, unlike HPMA copolymer, dextrin requires chemical modification to introduce reactive groups for protein conjugation.

3.1.2 Selection of trypsin and analysis of enzyme kinetics

Trypsin was chosen as a model enzyme for the first conjugate studies since it is a well-characterised enzyme (Johnson et al., 2002) and many polymer-trypsin conjugates had been described in the literature (Table 1.2) so it was easy to make comparisons. Additionally, as outlined in Chapter 1 trypsin has a similar molecular weight, number of lysine residues and pI to PLA₂, making it a good choice for optimisation of the conjugation reaction. Trypsin preferentially cleaves peptides on the c-terminal side of arginine and lysine amino acid residues. Its activity can also be reproducibly assayed using synthetic derivatives of amino acids such as BAEE, p-toluenesulfonyl-L-arginine methyl ester (TAME), L-BAPNA (Buck et al., 1962; Kell, 1971) so comparisons can be easily made between the activity free and conjugated trypsin.

In order to quantify the activity of free and polymer-bound trypsin and allow comparisons between experimental conditions, a direct continuous assay was used. L-BAPNA was chosen as a chromogenic substrate. Incubation of L-BAPNA with trypsin results in the release of NAc, which is not coloured when bound but yields a yellow-coloured product when released that can be measured spectrophotometrically. Mathematical analysis of the initial rate of release can be used to establish classical enzyme kinetics parameters, namely \( V_{\text{max}} \), \( K_m \) and \( K_{\text{cat}} \).

- \( V_{\text{max}} \) represents the maximum rate for the reaction.
- \( K_m \) corresponds to the affinity of the enzyme for the substrate and denotes the substrate concentration required for effective catalysis.
- \( K_{\text{cat}} \), also known as the turnover number, is a measure of the number of substrate molecules turned over by each enzyme, per second.

These parameters are based on the Michaelis-Menten equation:
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\[
V \text{ (velocity)} = \frac{K_2[E][S]}{(K_m[S])} = K_2[ES]
\]

Where \( E + S \xrightleftharpoons{K_1} ES \xrightarrow{K_2} E + P \)

\([E]_t = \text{total enzyme, } [E] = \text{free enzyme, } [ES] = \text{complexed enzyme}\)

This equation assumes that the reverse reaction is negligible, resulting in a simple, first-order reaction, whose rate is determined by \([ES]\) and \(K_2\). Briggs and Haldane (1925) noted that \([ES]\) increases rapidly after initiation of the reaction by mixing of enzyme and substrate. However, after a short while, steady state is reached and maintained until almost all the substrate is consumed (Eisenthal and Danson, 1998). Steady state occurs when \([ES]\) is almost constant.

Enzyme kinetic data may be analysed by several mathematical models. The first of these is the Lineweaver-Burk plot (Figure 3.2a) which is derived from the inverse of the Michaelis-Menten equation. For a reaction obeying Michaelis-Menten kinetics, the fit should yield a straight line. The advantage of this model is that it doesn't require a direct measurement of \(V_{max}\). However, it requires a long extrapolation for the determination of \(K_m\), which gives rise to inaccuracies if there are significant experimental errors. Hence, this equation is not normally recommended for the determination of kinetic parameters.

The Eadie Hofstee plot is shown in Figure 3.2b. However, this model is also poorly able to handle data containing significant experimental error. The inaccuracy of the Eadie Hofstee plot is not as severe as for the Lineweaver-Burk plot, and is considered to be a more reliable method (Cornish-Bowden, 2004). Furthermore, in this case the horizontal axis is not truly independent of the vertical axis as the values contain an element of the reaction rate. Therefore, any error in the experiment will affect both axes.

A third model for analysing kinetic data utilises the Hanes-Woolfe plot. This is shown in Figure 3.2c. This plot has less scatter as the two axes are completely independent of each other. For this reason, the Hanes-Woolfe plot was selected here to estimate trypsin kinetic constants.
a) Lineweaver-burk plot

\[ \text{Slope} = \frac{K_m}{V_{\text{max}}} \]

b) Eadie Hofstee plot

\[ \text{Slope} = - \frac{K_m}{V_{\text{max}}} \]

c) Hanes-Woolfe plot

\[ \text{Slope: } \frac{1}{V} \]

Figure 3.2 Enzyme kinetics models for data interpretation, based on the Michaelis-Menten equation. Panel (a) Lineweaver-Burk plot, (b) Eadie-Hofstee plot, and (c) Hanes-Woolfe plot.
3.1.3 Experimental aims

The aims of this study were to:

- Try to optimise methods for conjugation of
  - HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly (5 mol %) –ONp,
  - HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly (5 mol %) –COOH, and
  - succinoylated dextrin to trypsin

- Establish methods for characterising the polymer-protein conjugates proposed, with respect to:
  - total protein content (BCA assay)
  - free protein content (FPLC, SDS PAGE)
  - molecular weight and molecular weight distribution (GPC, FPLC and SDS PAGE)

- Set up a biological assay to investigate the retention of trypsin activity following polymer conjugation

3.2 Methods

A number of general methods (Chapter 2) were used for characterisation of the polymer-trypsin conjugates synthesised; BCA assay (section 2.4.4.3), SDS PAGE (section 2.4.4.2), FPLC and GPC (section 2.4.4.1). In addition, the trypsin NH₂ groups were quantified using the ninhydrin (section 2.4.1) and TNBS assays (section 2.4.2) as described in Chapter 2.

3.2.1 Synthesis of HPMA copolymer-trypsin conjugates

First, the extinction coefficient (\( \varepsilon \)) of ONp was calculated in all the solvents used to explore the aminolysis reaction; ddH₂O, DMSO and DMF. Samples of ONp (5-30 \( \mu \)g/mL) were prepared in each solvent (1 mL) and added to a quartz cuvette. A UV scan was made between 200 nm and 500 nm. The value of \( \varepsilon \) was derived from the gradient of a calibration curve of ONp concentration vs. maximum absorbance at ~ 320 nm.

In addition, it was necessary to determine the stability of HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-ONp in the different solvents that would be used for the aminolysis reaction
(ddH$_2$O, PBS buffer, DMSO and DMF). Solutions of HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-ONp (1 mL, 1 mg/mL) were made up in each solvent and ONp release was monitored spectrophotometrically at 400 nm over 30 min.

To synthesise HPMA copolymer-trypsin conjugates four different conjugation methods were explored. These are described in detail below.

a) Synthesis of HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-trypsin using aminolysis

Initially, a non-specific aminolysis reaction was investigated using the method described earlier for cathepsin B and β-lactamase conjugation to HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-ONp (Satchi et al., 2001; Satchi-Fainaro et al., 2003) (Figure 3.1a).

Briefly, HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-ONp (40 mg) was dissolved in ddH$_2$O (20 mL) in a 100 mL round-bottomed flask and trypsin (81 mg) in 0.05 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.2, 40.5 mL) was added at 4 °C, under stirring. This mixture was stirred for 30 min in the dark at pH 7.2. Then the pH was increased over 4 h by the addition of saturated sodium tetraborate buffer to obtain a pH of 8.5. This helped to prevent enzyme denaturation. The mixture was then stirred for a further 4 h and the reaction was terminated by addition of D,L-amino-2-propanol (0.5 eq. of ONp groups). Next, the solution was acidified to pH 7.2 with 0.1 M HCl, and was dialysed (molecular weight cut-off 25,000 g/mol) against 5 L of ddH$_2$O with ~ 8 water changes, with 1-2 h between each. The contents of the dialysis sac were then collected and freeze-dried for 2 days.

Reaction of HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-ONp copolymer with trypsin by aminolysis was initially followed by UV to monitor ONp release. This was possible as there is a difference in UV maximum absorption of bound (270 nm) and free (400 nm) ONp. After termination of the reaction, the crude reaction products were analysed by SDS PAGE and GPC to determine the reaction yield.

b) Conjugation of HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-ONp to trypsin according to anti-O antibody-polymer method (Kopecek et al., 1985b)

The procedure is summarised in Figure 3.1b. Briefly, HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-ONp (77 mg) was dissolved at 5 °C in diluted hydrochloric acid (0.5 mL, pH
3.0). Sorensen’s buffer (0.6 mL, pH 8.0) containing 0.15 M NaCl was then added followed by the dropwise addition of trypsin solution (0.67 mL, containing 39.5 mg trypsin) in the same buffer. The reaction was allowed to proceed for 30 min at 5 °C. During the following 30 min the temperature was gradually increased to 20 °C. (At the beginning of the reaction the molar ratio of reactive groups ONp: NH₂ = 1:1 and the concentration of macromolecules was 6.6 % wt.). After 1 h a solution of D-L-amino-2-propanol in 0.2 mL Sorensen’s buffer (10 % v/v, 0.2 mL, pH 8.0) containing NaCl (0.15 M) was added. After 10 min the reaction mixture was transferred into a visking dialysis tubing and dialysed against phosphate buffered saline (pH 7.2).

c) Conjugation of HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-ONp to trypsin according to transferrin-polymer method (Kopecek et al., 1985b)

The method is summarised in Figure 3.1c. HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-ONp (27.4 mg) was dissolved in diluted hydrochloric acid (0.25 mL, pH 3.0). Sorensen’s buffer (1.25 mL, pH 8.0) containing NaCl (0.1 M) was then added followed by a solution of trypsin (0.5 mL, 28.4 mg/mL) of the same buffer. After 45 min, D-L-amino-2-propanol dissolved in Sorensen’s buffer (200 μL, 50 μL/mL) was added to the mixture. After 30 min the reaction was stopped and transferred to visking dialysis tubing and dialysed against phosphate buffered saline (pH 7.2).

d) Conjugation of HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-COOH to trypsin with EDC/ sulfo-NHS

First, HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-ONp was hydrolysed to HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-COOH. HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-ONp (~ 100 mg) was dissolved in ddH₂O and 10 molar eq. of a solution of NaOH (0.1 M) was added and stirred for 4-5 h at room temperature. The resultant product was then dialysed (molecular weight cut-off 2,000 g/mol) to remove free ONp in 5 L of ddH₂O for ~ 8 water changes, allowing 1-2 h between water changes. The product was then isolated and freeze-dried for 2 days.

This procedure is summarised in Figure 3.1d. Next, the HPMA copolymer-Gly-
Gly-COOH (~ 10 mg) was dissolved in ddH₂O (1 mL) in a 25 mL round-bottomed flask. EDC (range between 10-30 eq.) was added to the reaction mixture under stirring, and the mixture was left to stir for 10 min. Then sulfo-NHS was added (concentration range between 10-30 eq.) and the mixture was stirred for 40 min. Next, trypsin was added as an aqueous solution (1 trypsin: 2 COOH groups). The pH was adjusted to ~ 8 by dropwise addition of NaOH (0.1 M) solution, and the mixture left stirring for 18 h at room temperature. After 18 h, the mixture was dialysed (molecular weight cut-off 25,000 g/mol) in ddH₂O for ~ 8 water changes. The contents of the dialysis sac were then freeze-dried for 2 days.

3.2.2 Synthesis of dextrin-trypsin conjugates

First, succinoylated dextrin was synthesised according to the method of Hreczuk-Hirst et al (2001b) as summarised in Figure 3.3a.

Briefly, dextrin (100 mg) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF (10 mL) in a 50 mL round bottom flask. Succinic anhydride (37 mg) and DMAP (16 mg) were subsequently added to the flask. The reaction mixture was then purged with nitrogen, sealed and left to stir at 50 °C for 18 h. Next, the reaction mixture was poured into vigorously stirring diethyl ether (250 mL) and stirred overnight. The ether was removed by filtration under vacuum and the residual solid was dissolved in minimal ddH₂O, poured into a dialysis membrane (molecular weight cut-off 10,000 g/mol) and dialysed against 4 x 5 L ddH₂O. The resultant solution was freeze-dried to yield succinoylated dextrin.

The degree of succinoylation was quantified by titration against a standard solution of NaOH (4.925 x 10⁻⁵ M) with bromophenol blue as indicator. The product was further characterised by FT-IR, ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR.

To conjugate succinoylated dextrin to trypsin (Figure 3.3b), succinoylated dextrin (117 mg, 1.50 x 10⁻² mol COOH) and EDC (16 mg) were dissolved in ddH₂O (2 mL). After 10 min, sulfo-NHS (17 mg) was added under stirring and allowed to react for 40 min at room temperature. Trypsin (30 mg, 1.25 x 10⁻⁴ mol) was added and sufficient NaOH (0.1 M) was added to bring the reaction mixture to pH 8.0 (this
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Figure 3.3 Reaction scheme for (a) succinoylation of dextrin, and (b) conjugation of succinoylated dextrin to trypsin.
helps reduce risk of enzyme denaturation). This mixture was subsequently allowed to stir overnight at room temperature. Free enzyme was removed by dialysis (molecular weight cut-off 50,000 g/mol) against 8 x 5 L ddH2O. The product was freeze-dried.

3.2.3 Assay of trypsin activity using L-BAPNA

First, it was necessary to determine if any of the components of the assay would interfere with the absorbance of NAp at 400 nm. Consequently, the UV-vis absorbance of HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-COOH (1 mg/mL), dextrin (1 mg/mL), succinoylated dextrin (1 mg/mL), trypsin (1 mg/mL), dextrin-trypsin conjugate (1 mg/mL), L-BAPNA (0.644 mM) and Tris buffer (40 mM Tris, 16 mM CaCl2, pH 8.2) was measured over 200-500 nm.

Measurement of trypsin activity

The activity of trypsin (1.28 x 10^-4 mM and 8.55 x 10^-5 mM) in Tris buffer (pH 8.2) at 37 °C was measured using L-BAPNA. ε\textsubscript{NAP} was taken to be 8,800 L/mol/cm (Johnson et al., 2002).

First, a solution of trypsin in Tris buffer (0.1 mg/mL) was prepared fresh daily and stored on ice throughout the experiments. Next, a solution of L-BAPNA (7 mg/mL in DMSO) was prepared. The UV spectrophotometer was set to 400 nm and 37 °C and the machine allowed to equilibrate for 30 min. The required volume of substrate (10-60 μL) was pipetted into a quartz cell (1 cm\textsuperscript{3}) and made up to 980 or 970 μL with Tris buffer (pH 8.2). A blank cell was also prepared containing L-BAPNA (0.081-0.644 mM in DMSO) in Tris buffer (pH 8.2). To start the assay, cuvettes were loaded into the UV spectrophotometer, trypsin (20 or 30 μL of 0.1 mg/mL to make up to 1 mL) was added to the sample cuvette, and the rate of NAp release at 400 nm was monitored over 10 min at 37 °C. Each substrate concentration (L-BAPNA) was measured in triplicate, so that an average could be calculated.

The data was analysed using the Hanes-Woolfe plot (section 3.1.2).

Measurement of polymer-trypsin conjugate activity

In order to assess the retention of trypsin activity following polymer
conjugation, the conjugates were also analysed using the L-BAPNA assay described here. Using the trypsin content of the conjugate, determined by the BCA assay, solutions of polymer-trypsin conjugate were prepared to contain $1.28 \times 10^{-4}$ mM trypsin eq.. The activity of the conjugate was then assessed against the substrate L-BAPNA (0.081-0.644 mM in DMSO) as above. Conjugate activity was expressed as a percentage of the activity of free trypsin. The kinetics rate constants were also assessed using the Hanes-Woolfe plot (section 3.1.2).

### 3.3 Results

The extinction coefficients estimated for ONp in ddH$_2$O, DMSO and DMF were calculated using the Beer-Lambert law, the values obtained were: ddH$_2$O $e_{318\ \text{nm}} = 10,307$ L/mol/cm, DMSO $e_{323\ \text{nm}} = 9,501$ L/mol/cm, and DMF $e_{318\ \text{nm}} = 11,675$ L/mol/cm (Figure 3.4).

The release of ONp from HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-ONp precursor in aqueous (ddH$_2$O, PBS buffer) and organic (DMSO, DMF) solvents was monitored by UV spectroscopy (Figure 3.5). There was little release observed in ddH$_2$O, DMF, and DMSO, but there was a rapid release of ONp by hydrolysis in PBS buffer.

#### 3.3.1 Synthesis and characterisation of HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-trypsin conjugates

The ninhydrin assay indicated that trypsin had $12.1 \pm 3.7$ (SD) NH$_2$ groups per molecule. This figure (12), which also includes terminal amine groups and several other NH-containing amino acids (arginine, histidine), was used to calculate molar ratio of trypsin to succinoylated dextrin (NH$_2$ : COOH) for all conjugation reactions and not the total number of lysine groups in trypsin (10).

**HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-trypsin conjugate: Cathepsin B method**

Initially, when the methods of Satchi et al (2001) (section 3.2.1a) were used to react HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-ONp with trypsin in aqueous medium, conjugation was not achieved. Later, this was repeated with varying reaction conditions and ratio of trypsin: polymer in an attempt to improve the conjugation. However, still SDS PAGE showed only a band for free trypsin (MW $\sim 20,000$ g/mol), suggesting that no
Figure 3.4 UV absorption spectra of ONp. Panel (a) in DMSO ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 323$ nm), panel (b) in ddH$_2$O ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 318$ nm), and (c) in DMF ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 318$ nm). Panel (d) shows a calibration curve for ONp in ddH$_2$O, DMSO, and DMF. Data shown represents $n=1$. 
Figure 3.5 Stability of HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-ONp during incubation in different solvents. Panel (a) DMSO, panel (b) ddH₂O, panel (c) DMF, and panel (d) PBS buffer. Panel (e) shows release of ONp over time. All at 1 mg/mL, recorded every 5 min for 40 min. % bound ONp calculated from peak absorbance at 280 nm. Data shown represents n=1.
conjugation was achieved (Figure 3.6, lanes 3-12). Even altering the rate of addition of saturated borate buffer did not improve reaction efficiency. The reaction conditions explored are summarised in Table 3.2.

FPLC was used to better characterise these reactions. First, the FPLC was first calibrated using protein standards (Figure 2.2). Then samples of reaction mixtures were analysed. It was noted that the elution profile for unconjugated trypsin was complicated by some contamination with smaller molecular weight peptides (Figure 3.7). This was also observed in the SDS PAGE gels (Figure 3.6, lane 2). However, there was a pronounced peak at ~ 12 mL, corresponding to trypsin and, even after purification of the trypsin by PD-10 column (results not shown), there was no noticeable polymer conjugation and the reaction mixtures showed only a peak appearing at the same elution volume as free trypsin (~ 12 mL) (data not shown).

**HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-trypsin conjugate: Anti-O antibody and transferrin methods**

When conjugation was attempted using the methods described by Kopecek et al (1985b) (section 3.2.1b and 3.2.1c), SDS PAGE began to show a faint smearing indicative of a HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-trypsin conjugate. However, there was also a strong band corresponding to free trypsin (Figure 3.8, lanes 3-6). GPC also showed some evidence of conjugation (Figure 3.9) but there remained a very large amount of free trypsin present in conjugates synthesised using both of these methods. Further purification by dialysis resulted in a very low yield of conjugate (Figure 3.8 and 3.9). Therefore, conjugates synthesised using this method were not progressed to biological testing.

**HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-COOH: Use of EDC and sulfo-NHS**

When EDC and sulfo-NHS were used to conjugate trypsin to HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-COOH (section 3.2.1.d) SDS PAGE (Figures 3.10 (lane 3)) of the reaction mixtures showed a dark smearing down the sample lane indicating that a polymer-protein conjugate had been successfully synthesised. However, there was also a clear band of free trypsin. FPLC confirmed conjugate synthesis (peak at ~ 8 mL) but there
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Figure 3.6 SDS PAGE of HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-trypsin conjugates prepared according to method described in 3.2.1a. Where MWM = molecular weight marker and EF## = attempts to synthesise HPMA copolymer-trypsin under different reaction conditions (detailed in Table 3.2).
Table 3.2 Examples of variables examined in the synthesis of HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-trypsin conjugates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Batch no.</th>
<th>HPMA: trypsin (weight ratio)</th>
<th>HPMA copolymer solution (2 mg/mL)</th>
<th>Trypsin solution (2 mg/mL)</th>
<th>Reaction conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EF1a</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>1 mL</td>
<td>1 mL</td>
<td>Increase pH to 8.5 (borate buffer) over 4 h. Reaction time = 4 h at 4 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF1b</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>1 mL</td>
<td>1 mL</td>
<td>Increase pH to 8.5 (borate buffer) at start of reaction. Reaction time = 4 h at 4 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF2a</td>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>1 mL</td>
<td>2 mL</td>
<td>Increase pH to 8.5 (borate buffer) over 4 h. Reaction time = 4 h at 4 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF2b</td>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>1 mL</td>
<td>2 mL</td>
<td>Increase pH to 8.5 (borate buffer) at start of reaction. Reaction time = 4 h at 4 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF3a</td>
<td>1:3</td>
<td>1 mL</td>
<td>3 mL</td>
<td>Increase pH to 8.5 (borate buffer) over 4 h. Reaction time = 4 h at 4 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF3b</td>
<td>1:3</td>
<td>1 mL</td>
<td>3 mL</td>
<td>Increase pH to 8.5 (borate buffer) at start of reaction. Reaction time = 4 h at 4 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF4a</td>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>2 mL</td>
<td>1 mL</td>
<td>Increase pH to 8.5 (borate buffer) over 4 h. Reaction time = 4 h at 4 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF4b</td>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>2 mL</td>
<td>1 mL</td>
<td>Increase pH to 8.5 (borate buffer) at start of reaction. Reaction time = 4 h at 4 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF5a</td>
<td>3:1</td>
<td>3 mL</td>
<td>1 mL</td>
<td>Increase pH to 8.5 (borate buffer) over 4 h. Reaction time = 4 h at 4 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF5b</td>
<td>3:1</td>
<td>3 mL</td>
<td>1 mL</td>
<td>Increase pH to 8.5 (borate buffer) at start of reaction. Reaction time = 4 h at 4 °C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

50 μL taken at t=0 min, 0.1 min, 15 min, 30 min, 1 h, 2 h, 3 h, 4 h (frozen immediately for SDS PAGE analysis)
5 μL taken at t=0 min, 0.1 min, 15 min, 30 min, 1 h, 2 h, 3 h, 4 h (analysed in UV spectrophotometer)
Figure 3.7 FPLC analysis of free trypsin. Where \( V_0 \) = void volume (7.7 mL), and \( V_b \) = bed volume (24 mL). UV absorbance taken at 280 nm.
Chapter 3 Synthesis of Polymer-Trypsin Conjugates

Table 3.1 Molecular weight (g/mol) of different conjugates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecular weight (g/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>194,239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115,660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97,316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53,533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37,248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29,385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20,415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,919</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3.8 SDS PAGE analysis of free and bound trypsin, purified by dialysis. Method b = anti-O antibody method (described in 3.2.1b), method c = transferrin method (described in 3.2.1c). Where a) trypsin, and b) HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-trypsin. MWM = molecular weight marker.
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Figure 3.9 Characterisation by GPC of HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-trypsin conjugates. Panel (a) shows the GPC trace of HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-trypsin conjugates prepared using method b = anti-O antibody method (described in 3.2.1b), and panel (b) shows conjugates synthesised using method c = transferrin method (described in 3.2.1c). Molecular weight given is relative to pullulan standards. $V_o = \text{void volume (13 mL)}$, and $V_b = \text{bed volume (20 mL)}$. 
Figure 3.10 SDS PAGE analysis of free and bound trypsin. Polymer-trypsin conjugates were prepared using EDC and sulfo-NHS as linking agents. Where a) trypsin, b) HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-trypsin, and c) dextrin-trypsin. MWM = molecular weight marker.
was also a large amount of free trypsin (peak at ~ 12 mL) (Figure 3.11a).

Even when experiments were performed to try and optimise the ratios of coupling agents to get a better conjugate yield, analysis by SDS PAGE and GPC also revealed no improvement in conjugation efficiency (Figure 3.12a).

The HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-trypsin conjugates synthesised in this way had a total trypsin content of 59 - 84 % w/w (HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-COOH showed minimal interference with the BCA assay) and in the final purified batch there was < 2 % w/w free trypsin.

The characteristics of the HPMA copolymer-trypsin conjugates synthesised here are summarised in Table 3.3. However, after removal of unreacted trypsin, the yield was so low that HPMA copolymer conjugates were not progressed further.

3.3.2 Synthesis and characterisation of dextrin-trypsin conjugates

When dextrin (51,000 g/mol) was succinylated, the degree of modification was confirmed by titration to be 17 - 23 mol %. Typically, the reaction conversion efficiency for succinoylation was ~ 30 - 40 % of the theoretical maximum. A summary of the batches of succinoylated dextrins produced for these studies is shown in Table 3.4.

GPC using pullulan standards suggested an increase in dextrin molecular weight following succinoylation (MW increase from 60,455 to 73,802 g/mol) with little change in polydispersity. Characterisation of succinoylated dextrins by FT-IR (Figure 3.13a) showed characteristic peaks at 3,350 (OH), 1,723 (ester), 1,630 (carboxyl) and 1,020 cm\(^{-1}\) (O-CH\(_2\)). After succinoylation, the ester peak at 1,723 cm\(^{-1}\) was seen to increase in signal strength in relation to degree of modification. When NMR was used to analyse succinoylated dextrin, \(^1\)H-NMR demonstrated peaks at 2.8 ppm, which can be assigned to aliphatic carbons that are not present in unreacted dextrin (Figure 3.13b). \(^13\)C-NMR also showed the presence of two aliphatic carbons (30 ppm) (Figure 3.13c).

When the succinoylated dextrin intermediate was conjugated to trypsin using
Figure 3.11 FPLC analysis of free and conjugated trypsin. Panel (a) shows characterisation of HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-trypsin conjugates, and panel (b) shows dextrin-trypsin conjugates. Polymer-trypsin conjugates were prepared using EDC and sulfo-NHS as linking agents. $V_0 =$ void volume (7.7 mL), and $V_b =$ bed volume (24 mL). UV absorbance taken at 280 nm.
Figure 3.12 Characterisation by GPC of polymer-trypsin conjugates. Panel (a) shows the GPC trace of HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-trypsin conjugates prepared using different ratios of EDC and sulfo-NHS, and panel (b) shows dextrin-trypsin conjugates. Molecular weight given is relative to pullulan standards. Unless otherwise stated, response measured is refractive index. $V_0$ = void volume (13 mL), and $V_b$ = bed volume (20 mL).
Table 3.3 Summary of the biological characteristics of free trypsin and the polymer-trypsin conjugates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Protein Content (%</th>
<th>Activity (%)</th>
<th>$K_m$ (mM)</th>
<th>$V_{max}$ (mM/min)</th>
<th>$K_{cat}$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trypsin</td>
<td>23,400 g/mol</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.666</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>6.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA copolymer</td>
<td>$\sim$ 30,000 g/mol, 5 mol% -Gly-Gly-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 eq. EDC and sulfo-NHS</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>0.430</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>1.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 eq. EDC and sulfo-NHS</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>0.523</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 eq. EDC and sulfo-NHS</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>0.329</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dextrin</td>
<td>$\sim$ 51,000 g/mol, 20-25 mol% succinoylated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 eq. EDC and sulfo-NHS</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>1.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3.4 Characteristics of batches of succinoylated dextrin, and their uses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Batch size (mg)</th>
<th>Theoretical (mol %)</th>
<th>Actual (mol %)</th>
<th>Conversion (%)</th>
<th>Uses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Efdex1</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>Dextrin-trypsin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efdex2</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>Dextrin-PLA(_2) (batches DexPLA1, DexPLA2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efdex3</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>Dextrin-PLA(_2) (batches DexPLA3, DexPLA4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFdex4</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>MTT of S.dextrin, haemolysis of S.dextrin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFdex5</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>Dextrin-PLA(_2) (batches DexPLA5, DexPLA7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFdex6</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>Dextrin-OG, dextrin-PLA(_2) (DexPLA6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3.13 Characterisation by FT-IR and NMR of succinoylated dextrin. Panel (a) shows an FT-IR spectra illustrating the increase in peak intensity at 1720 cm$^{-1}$ (*) when succinoyl ester groups are incorporated into dextrin. Also shown are (b) $^1$H and (c) $^{13}$C NMR spectra of dextrin and succinoylated dextrin (inserted panel).
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EDC and sulfo-NHS, SDS PAGE confirmed the presence of a high molecular weight conjugate with dark smearing appearing between $\sim 50,000$ - $194,000$ g/mol (corresponding to polydisperse conjugate). There was also a band at $\sim 20,000$ g/mol corresponding to free trypsin (Figure 3.10, lane 4). Similarly, FPLC showed a peak corresponding to dextrin-trypsin conjugate in the region of the column's $V_0$ (7.7 mL), with a second peak ($\sim 13$ mL) corresponding to free trypsin (Figure 3.11b). Final confirmation of successful conjugation was achieved using GPC, where retention time was reduced for the dextrin-conjugate ($\sim 14.2$ min), compared to free trypsin ($\sim 18.5$ min) (Figure 3.12b). Following purification by dialysis, this method produced conjugates with a higher yield than was seen with HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-trypsin, and $< 5\%$ free trypsin. The dextrin-trypsin conjugates contained $\sim 42\%$ w/w trypsin (Table 3.3). As with HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-COOH, dextrin was shown to cause minimal interference ($< 2\%$) in the absorbance of the BCA assay (data not shown).

The successful synthesis of a dextrin-trypsin conjugate led this conjugate to progression to biological testing.

3.3.3 Trypsin activity of free and polymer-conjugated enzyme

When the absorbance of dextrin, succinoylated dextrin, trypsin, dextrin-trypsin, L-BAPNA and Tris buffer was analysed by UV-vis, no absorbance was seen at 400 nm, and therefore a control cell of Tris buffer containing L-BAPNA (0-0.644 mM in DMSO) was used to allow for background absorbance.

Activity of free trypsin

Trypsin activity was measured at two concentrations of enzyme ($1.28 \times 10^{-4}$ mM and $8.55 \times 10^{-5}$ mM) in order to determine the most appropriate enzyme concentration to use when testing polymer-trypsin conjugates as well assessing the reproducibility of the assay. In both cases, the rate of NAp release when substrate was incubated with native trypsin increased as L-BAPNA concentration was increased (Figures 3.14 and 3.15). In addition, an initial linear rate of NAp release was observed using both concentrations. When investigating the effect of pH,
Figure 3.14 Activity of trypsin (8.55 x 10⁻⁵ mM) against the substrate L-BAPNA. Progress curves in panel (a) show the UV absorbance (400 nm) of NAp release from L-BAPNA by trypsin (8.55 x 10⁻⁵ mM) over 10 min. Panel (b) shows concentration-dependent rate of NAp release, and panel (c) shows a Hanes-Woolfe plot to determine kinetics rate constants from the data. Data shown represents the mean ± SD (n=3). Where error bars are not visible, error is within the data point.
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Figure 3.15 Activity of trypsin (1.28 x 10^{-4} mM) against the substrate L-BAPNA. Progress curves in panel (a) show the UV absorbance (400 nm) of NAp release from L-BAPNA by trypsin (1.28 x 10^{-4} mM) over 10 min. Panel (b) shows concentration-dependent rate of NAp release, and panel (c) shows a Hanes-Woolfe plot to determine kinetics rate constants from the data. Data shown represents the mean ± SD (n=3). Where error bars are not visible, error is within the data point.
maximum enzyme activity was seen at pH 8.2, while activity was most markedly reduced at pH 5.39 (Figure 3.16).

**Activity of polymer-trypsin conjugates**

When enzyme activity was measured following conjugation, $1.28 \times 10^4$ mM trypsin eq. was used at pH 8.2. Since HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-trypsin conjugates synthesised using EDC and sulfo-NHS were the most successful in terms of yield, only these conjugates were assessed for activity retention. When compared to the activity of free trypsin, enzyme activity was reduced for both dextrin (to ~ 30 %) and HPMA copolymer conjugates (to 24-33 %) (Figure 3.17, Table 3.3).

For HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-trypsin conjugates synthesised using different concentrations of EDC and sulfo-NHS, 10 eq. and 30 eq. produced conjugates with ~ 32 % native trypsin activity, while conjugates produced using 20 eq. EDC and sulfo-NHS had just ~ 24 % activity of the native enzyme.

The rate constants $K_m$, $V_{max}$ and $K_{cat}$ derived from the Hanes-Woolfe plot are summarised in Table 3.3. All the Hanes-Woolfe plots had a correlation coefficient of $> 0.98$ (2 s.f.). Free trypsin typically had a $K_{cat}$ of ~ 6 s$^{-1}$, and this value was unaffected by changing enzyme concentration. $K_m$ also remained similar at both concentrations of enzyme (~ 0.6 mM), but $V_{max}$ was increased at higher trypsin concentration (0.0335-0.0480 mM/min).

After polymer conjugation, $K_m$ remained similar to that of free trypsin, while $V_{max}$ decreased (0.048 to 0.012-0.016 mM/min). Similarly, $K_{cat}$ of trypsin also decreased following polymer conjugation (6.24 to 1.52-2.04 s$^{-1}$). $K_{cat}$ correlated well with the trypsin activity, such that the slowest turnover rates were seen in HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-trypsin conjugate (30 eq. EDC and sulfo-NHS) having maximal enzyme masking (32.7 % activity remaining). Varying the amount of EDC and sulfo-NHS used in the reaction resulted in slightly different kinetic constants, as summarised in Table 3.3.

### 3.4 Discussion

The overall aim of these studies was to synthesise HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-
Figure 3.16 Effect of pH on the cleavage rate of L-BAPNA by trypsin. Absorbance (400 nm) of NAp measured over 5 min. Trypsin concentration = 1.28 x 10^4 mM using different substrate concentrations. Data shown represents n=1.
Figure 3.17 Effect of polymer conjugation on the rate of NAp release from L-BAPNA by trypsin. Where HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-trypsin 1 = 10 eq. EDC and sulfo-NHS, HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-trypsin 2 = 20 eq. EDC and sulfo-NHS, and HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-trypsin 3 = 30 eq. EDC and sulfo-NHS. Absorbance (400 nm) of NAp measured over 10 min. Trypsin concentration = 1.28 x 10^{-4} mM trypsin eq. using different substrate concentrations. Data shown represents the mean ± SD (n=3). Where error bars are not visible, error is within the data point. * indicates significance compared to free trypsin control, where p<0.05 (ANOVA and Bonferroni post hoc test).
trypsin and dextrin-trypsin conjugates in order to (i) select the best polymer, and (ii) optimise the conjugation chemistry for future synthesis of polymer-PLA₂ conjugates.

When quantifying the NH₂ groups available for polymer conjugation, the ninhydrin assay estimated a different number (12) from the actual value (10) (ExPASy, 2004). The discrepancy between the actual and calculated NH₂ groups could result from impurities in the trypsin purchased from Sigma, leading to a slight overestimation of amine groups. In fact, the TNBS assay was also explored for amine quantification, however it gave markedly different values (6.6 ± 1.5 SD). Due to the mechanism of action of the TNBS assay, it is important to have a standard which is either the same as the sample, or at least with the same chemical influence on the TNBS ring structure. As there is no such standard available for trypsin, the TNBS assay is liable to giving a false estimate of NH₂ numbers. This problem is not so pronounced with the ninhydrin assay, and so this amine quantification method was used for these studies.

3.4.1 Polymer-trypsin conjugation

It was possible to synthesise reproducibly HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-trypsin and dextrin-trypsin conjugates with protein content of 41-84 wt. %.

*HPMA copolymer-trypsin conjugates*

Several methods of protein conjugation were studied. Initially the methods used previously for the synthesis of HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-β-lactamase and HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-cathepsin B conjugates were used (Satchi et al, 2001; Satchi-Fainaro et al, 2003). A buffered enzyme solution was initially used in an attempt to maintain enzyme activity. However, when conjugating HPMA copolymer to any protein it is also important to minimise the hydrolysis of the ONp groups, while maximising aminolysis due to protein conjugation. These studies showed clear release of ONp when HPMA copolymer was dissolved in PBS buffer, so it is likely that the competition between hydrolysis and aminolysis was responsible for compromising the protein conjugation efficiency. After trying a variety of solvents, reaction conditions and reactant ratios, SDS PAGE still showed no sign of
conjugation. It soon became apparent that this method was not suitable for synthesis of the HPMA copolymer-trypsin conjugates, despite the fact that it had successfully been used to prepare many polymer-protein conjugates in the past (see Table 3.5). The precise reasons for failure of this method was unclear and even passage of the trypsin solution through a PD-10 desalting column prior to adding it to the reaction mixture did not improve conjugation efficiency. However, as trypsin has a pI of 10.2 - 10.8 (Malamud and Drysdale, 1978), this may explain the problems encountered when trying to conjugate trypsin to HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-ONp by aminolysis.

For this reason, it was decided to try conjugation of HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-COOH to trypsin using EDC and sulfo-NHS as coupling agents. Trypsin was thought to be a good model, as PLA2 has a pI (10.5 ± 1.0) (Malamud and Drysdale, 1978). However, although this approach improved conjugation efficiency the yield was still very low.

Dextrin-trypsin conjugates

Synthesis of dextrin-trypsin conjugates following the methods of Duncan et al (2008) was much more successful. Succinoylation of dextrin was reproducible, with an overall reaction efficiency of 30 - 40 % (determined by titration). As reported previously by Hreczuk-Hirst et al (2001b), the reaction yield was lower than the theoretical value: ~ 40 - 50 % conversion was achieved.

GPC analysis of dextrin and the succinoylated intermediates demonstrated a decreased retention time following modification, which represented a greater increase in molecular weight than would be expected. This is likely to be due to conformational changes associated with incorporation of COOH groups resulting in an increased hydrodynamic radius. This observation is consistent with the studies of Hreczuk-Hirst et al (2001b) where they also reported a higher than expected increase in dextrin molecular weight following succinoylation.

Synthesis of a dextrin-trypsin conjugate using the methods described by Duncan and Gilbert (Duncan et al, 2008; Gilbert et al, 2005) was successful, with a higher yield than HPMA copolymer-trypsin conjugates.
### Table 3.5 Examples of HPMA copolymer-protein conjugates prepared to date.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Reaction time (h)</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Yield</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chymotrypsin</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.15 M NaCl, 0.01 M CaCl&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;, 5 °C</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>(Oupicky and Ulbrich, 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bovine seminal ribonuclease</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.15 M NaCl, 0.01 M CaCl&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;, 5 °C</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>(Oupicky and Ulbrich, 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathepsin B</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>0.05 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.2, 4 °C</td>
<td>30-35</td>
<td>(Satchi et al, 2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-lactamase</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>DMSO room temperature</td>
<td>30-35</td>
<td>(Satchi-Fainaro et al, 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraglobin F (human immunoglobulin)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>ddH&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O, pH 7.8, 20 °C</td>
<td>16-18 wt. %</td>
<td>(Sirova et al, 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostaglandin E</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>THF, DMAP 0.1 mmol, 0 °C, DCC 0.97 mmol, 6 h</td>
<td>3.7 mol %</td>
<td>(Pan et al, 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGD4C: αvβ₃ integrin-targeting peptide</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>DMF, Pyridine (1:1 ONp), N₂, 20 °C</td>
<td>0.377 mmol/g polymer</td>
<td>(Mitra et al, 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGE4C: non-active peptide</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>DMF, Pyridine (1:1 ONp), N₂, 20 °C</td>
<td>0.5089 mmol/g polymer</td>
<td>(Line et al, 2005)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Challenges for characterisation of polymer-trypsin conjugates

Characterisation of a polymer-protein conjugate is difficult, as it doesn’t behave like either component. This is especially significant when using GPC to determine molecular weight. In these studies, pullulan molecular weight standards were used to calibrate the GPC since the use of protein standards greatly underestimated the molecular weight of conjugates (results not shown). Pullulan, like dextrin, is a polysaccharide that would be expected to take on a random coil structure in solution, and therefore is expected to behave similarly to dextrin. However, due to the globular structure of proteins, protein standards, and not pullulan, would be the most appropriate standard for analysing trypsin. For these studies, pullulan was deemed the most appropriate choice of molecular weight standard, however, it was recognised that estimation of polymer-trypsin conjugate molecular weight is likely to be difficult.

Similarly, it remains impossible to obtain an absolute molecular weight of conjugate using FPLC because of the properties of the conjugate as compared to the protein standards. Proteins have a unique tertiary structure that is, not only, dependent on their molecular weight, but also on their charge, thus making accurate calibration of the FPLC column difficult. Also, a polymer-protein conjugate doesn’t have the same properties as a native enzyme, due to the influence of the polymer on the shape and conformation of the protein and the steric effects of the polymer.

SDS PAGE was used to confirm an increase in the molecular weight of the protein, suggestive of successful conjugation of dextrin and trypsin. Free enzyme was compared to the purified reaction product, and both were evaluated in relation to a series of molecular weight markers. The smearing seen for polymer-protein conjugates indicates that polydisperse HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly- and dextrin-trypsin conjugates were produced due to the random coil nature of dextrin and HPMA copolymer, uneven protein distribution along the polymer chain, as well as between different chains, could occur. There are numerous conformations that a dextrin-trypsin conjugate could take, as a result of the multiple binding sites on succinoylated dextrin which have the potential to bind one or more amine residues
on the trypsin, resulting in a variety of conjugate conformations (summarised in Figure 3.18). The potential of cross-linking was reduced by using an excess of NH₂ residues. Knowing the protein content of the conjugates (BCA assay) it is possible to estimate the number of polymer molecules to protein molecules. The trypsin conjugates synthesised here are estimated to contain polymer : protein ratios of: 1:3.5 (HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-COOH, 10 eq. EDC and sulfo-NHS), 1:3 (HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-COOH, 20 eq. EDC and sulfo-NHS), 1:2.5 (HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-COOH, 30 eq. EDC and sulfo-NHS), and 1:1.5 (dextrin-trypsin).

Protein content of dextrin-trypsin conjugates (42 %) was lower than HPMA copolymer conjugates (59-84 %). However, this variation did not appear to affect enzyme activity of the conjugate, which is understandable considering the difference in molecular weight of HPMA copolymer and dextrin. Since HPMA copolymer has a molecular weight of ~ 30,000 g/mol and dextrin’s molecular weight is ~ 50,000 g/mol, the molar protein loading is similar for the conjugates and explains the similar trypsin activity observed for the conjugates.

3.4.2 Retention of enzymatic activity

**Trypsin-conjugates activity**

As expected, trypsin activity was reduced by polymer conjugation (p < 0.05, ANOVA and Bonferroni post hoc test). Activity masking was similar for all conjugates tested here and did not seem to vary greatly between polymers or ratio of linking agents (EDC and sulfo-NHS), in the case of HPMA copolymer. Polymer conjugation of enzymes has been widely studied in the literature (summarised in Table 3.6), demonstrating a wide range of yields and retention of activity after conjugation. The conjugates synthesised in these studies showed better activity masking than the PEG conjugates in the literature, and similar activity retention to dextran. While PEG-protein conjugation aims to retain protein activity by limiting binding to one reactive group, the conjugates synthesised in these studies used multivalent polymers to intentionally mask activity of the enzyme. The reduction in trypsin activity following conjugation to multivalent HPMA copolymer and dextrin is, therefore, likely to be due to the polymer sterically hindering access of substrate
Figure 3.18 Theoretical products that could be formed during conjugation of dextrin and/or HPMA copolymer to trypsin. Where E = functionalised enzyme.
Table 3.6 Examples from the literature showing the effect of polymer modification on protein activity retention.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer (g/mol)</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>NH$_2$/sulfone : polymer (molar ratio)</th>
<th>Modification (%)</th>
<th>Remaining activity (%)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PEG (5,000)</td>
<td>Trypsin</td>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>(Mero et al, 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEG (5,000)</td>
<td>Trypsin</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>(Mero et al, 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POZ* (5,300)</td>
<td>Trypsin</td>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>(Mero et al, 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POZ* (5,300)</td>
<td>Trypsin</td>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>(Mero et al, 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEG (12,000)</td>
<td>IFN-alpha2b (Intron A)</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>(Grace et al, 2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEG (40,000)</td>
<td>IFN-alpha2b (Intron A)</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>30-55</td>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>(Ramon et al, 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEG (5,000)</td>
<td>Asparaginase</td>
<td>1:1.3</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>(Balan et al, 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEG (10,000)</td>
<td>Asparaginase</td>
<td>1:1.3</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>(Balan et al, 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEG (20,000)</td>
<td>Asparaginase</td>
<td>1:1.3</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>(Balan et al, 2007)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

POZ = Poly(2-ethyl-2-oxazoline)
to the active site of the enzyme.

When attempting to produce HPMA copolymer-trypsin conjugates, varying the molar ratio of EDC and sulfo-NHS showed no obvious relationship with activity retention of the conjugates. However, the protein content was reduced as the amount of linking agents was increased (84 – 59 %). This could also be caused by steric hindrance blocking access of the substrate to trypsin’s active site, which is unaffected by the degree of polymer conjugation. Each lysine residue on trypsin’s structure will vary in its susceptibility to polymer conjugation, so it is likely that one of the most susceptible residues is located near the active site and further conjugation does not enhance protein masking to a great extent.

Previous studies with dextrin showed that the most effective protein masking was achieved when 51,000 g/mol dextrin was modified to ~ 26 % succinoylation (Duncan et al, 2008), and the reported activity remaining (34 %) was similar to the results from these studies (30 %). Ideally, the enzyme’s activity would be 100 % masked, however it has not been possible in these or many other previous studies to reduce activity to such an extent. Given that L-BAPNA has a low molecular weight, it is unlikely to be fully obstructed by the polymer as it diffuses into the active site. Also, conjugating the hydrophilic polymers to trypsin’s surface would be expected to influence the conformation of the enzyme and alter trypsin’s microenvironment.

An exciting advantage of using dextrin for protein conjugation is its application in the recently coined concept of PUMPT. Since the unmasking capabilities of α-amylase-triggered degradation of dextrin have been shown to unmask the conjugated enzyme and enable reinstatement of activity (Duncan et al, 2008), this concept was investigated when dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates were synthesised (concept described in detail in Chapter 4).

*Michaelis-Menten kinetics*

As might have been expected, significant masking of activity was achieved after HPMA copolymer and dextrin conjugation. In order to assess the influence of polymer conjugation and compare HPMA copolymer-trypsin and dextrin-trypsin conjugates, it was considered necessary to establish kinetic parameters using a
derivative of the Michaelis-Menten equation. As described in section 3.1.2, kinetic data are commonly analysed using the Lineweaver-Burk, Eadie-Hofstee and Hanes-Woolfe plots (Cornish-Bowden, 2004). Despite the initial acceptance of the Lineweaver-Burke and Eadie-Hofstee plots, they rely on subjective, and sometimes inaccurate, estimations of initial velocities and have failed to produce reliable kinetic constants. Therefore, the Hanes-Woolfe plot was used in these studies. This method of calculating kinetic parameters is not without faults, but these were minimised by ensuring that a suitably large range of substrate concentrations were studied. Also, since experimental conditions, such as temperature and pH can influence the $K_m$ (Cornish-Bowden, 2004) and enzyme purity and concentration can affect the $V_{max}$ values (Cornish-Bowden, 2004; Samoshina and Samoshin, 2005), these variables were kept constant unless being specifically studied (i.e. when effect of pH and trypsin concentration were measured). $K_{cat}$, however, should not be greatly affected by experimental conditions. Therefore, it was possible to compare the trypsin $K_{cat}$ values obtained in these studies to those obtained by Johnson et al (2002). The results obtained in these studies calculated a $K_{cat}$ value of 6.24 and 6.53 s$^{-1}$, while Johnson et al (2002) reported $K_{cat}$ values of 2.89 s$^{-1}$. This value was calculated using the least squares fit of the Michaelis–Menten equation to the reciprocal data using LUCENZIII, a computer software programme. Additionally, Johnson et al (2002) performed these experiments at 35 °C, using 0.1-1.5 mM L-BAPNA in 0.1 M Tris-HCl and 10 mM CaCl$_2$, while the studies performed in these investigations were undertaken at 37 °C, using 0.081-0.644 mM L-BAPNA in 40 mM Tris-HCl and 16 mM CaCl$_2$. These variations could explain the disparity between the values calculated here and those in the literature. Following polymer conjugation, the $K_{cat}$ of HPMA copolymer bound trypsin decreased to 1.52-2.05 s$^{-1}$ and, for dextrin-trypsin conjugates, a $K_{cat}$ value of 1.88 s$^{-1}$ was calculated. No obvious relationship was seen between $K_{cat}$ values for trypsin conjugates of HPMA copolymer and dextrin.

Enzyme kinetics revealed a decrease in the apparent $K_m$ of trypsin upon attachment to both HPMA copolymer and dextrin, suggesting that apparent affinity is increased by polymer conjugation. However, since $K_{cat}$ was lower following polymer conjugation, overall enzyme efficiency was lower. This is in agreement with the observed reduction in conjugate activity and is likely to be due to steric hindrance.
restricting access of the substrate to the active site.

It is desirable for the ideal conjugate to be able to hydrolyse its substrate rapidly (high $K_{cat}$) and be able to work efficiently at low substrate concentrations (low $K_m$). These results indicate that both trypsin conjugates retained sufficient activity to fulfil these requirements and provide encouraging evidence for implementing this method with PLA$_2$.

3.5 Conclusions

From these pilot studies dextrin was selected as the best polymer for future synthesis of PLA$_2$ conjugates. The main advantage of this polymer is its proven ability to 'unmask' the enzyme by degradation of dextrin by $\alpha$-amylase, which would be a valuable property for a PLA$_2$-conjugate. Although it was apparent that trypsin conjugates with similar activity retention could be prepared with HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-COOH, as well as dextrin, reaction yield for HPMA copolymer-trypsin conjugates was extremely. It was also evident that it would be easier to purify the dextrin conjugate using FPLC, and this conjugate also has the added potential of masking the activity of PLA$_2$ after administration. Investigations into masking/unmasking of dextrin-PLA$_2$ will be described in the following chapter. This work showed that it is possible to synthesise trypsin conjugates containing dextrin with an approximate trypsin content of 42 % w/w and retention of 30 % enzyme activity.

Therefore, the next studies were intended to use the chemistry optimised here to synthesise dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugates. After characterisation of the conjugates, the applicability of PUMPT was assessed and non-specific toxicity was measured.
Chapter Four

Synthesis of Dextrin-PLA₂ Conjugates and Characterisation of PLA₂ Activity
4.1 Introduction

Before synthesis of dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates, it was first necessary to select the most appropriate source of the enzyme. As mentioned in Chapter 1 (section 1.1), PLA₂ has been investigated previously as a potential anticancer agent. In fact, it is not only crotoxin that has shown anticancer activity though. PLA₂ extracted from crotalus terrificus venom (Cura et al., 2002), Naja nigricollis venom (Chwetzoff et al., 1989) and Russell’s viper venom (Maity et al., 2007) have also shown activity. It was therefore very important to select the best source of enzyme for these studies. Ideally, the PLA₂ would be commercially available, be selective for cancer cells, and exert minimal toxic side effects. Initially studies were undertaken to evaluate the potential of PLA₂ derived from bee venom and bovine pancreas.

4.1.1 Introduction to PLA₂ s chosen for these studies

PLA₂ s have been previously described in section 1.4. Briefly, they are small secreted proteins (~ 14,500 g/mol) with between 5-8 disulfide bonds (summarised in Table 4.1). This group of enzymes require Ca²⁺ (mM concentrations) for catalysis and contain a histidine residue at their active site. To date, 19 distinct groups of enzyme have been identified, and classified according to their primary structure and the location of disulfide bonds in the protein (Dennis, 1997; Murakami and Kudo, 2004; Six and Dennis, 2000). PLA₂ isoforms have been assigned to one of five distinct types; namely the secreted PLA₂ s (sPLA₂), the cytosolic PLA₂ s (cPLA₂), the Ca²⁺-independent PLA₂ s (iPLA₂), the platelet-activating factor acetylhydrolases (PAF-AH), and the lysosomal PLA₂ s. They represent a very diverse range of catalytically active and inactive forms of PLA₂, that display a range of biological activities, including neurotoxicity, myotoxicity, cardiotoxicity, necrotoxicity, haemolysis, hypotensivity, and haemorrhagic and oedema-inducing activities (Kini, 2003). The secreted form of PLA₂ was selected for this work since a number of studies have shown anti-tumour activity of these isoforms (Chwetzoff et al., 1989; Cura et al., 2002; Lee et al., 2006). The abbreviation “PLA₂” is used throughout the rest of this thesis to describe these secreted PLA₂ s.
Table 4.1 Sub-groups of PLA2 and their characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GROUP</th>
<th>IA*</th>
<th>IB*</th>
<th>IIA*</th>
<th>IIB</th>
<th>IIC</th>
<th>III*</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Cobras, Kraits</td>
<td>Mammalian pancreas, spleen</td>
<td>Rattlesnakes, vipers, human synovial fluid/platelets</td>
<td>Vipers</td>
<td>Rat/ Mouse testis</td>
<td>Insects, Lizards, Mammals</td>
<td>Human/Rat/Mouse macrophages,lung</td>
<td>Human leucocytes, spleen, thymus, lung, colon, pancreas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of amino acids</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular weight (g/mol)</td>
<td>13-15,000</td>
<td>13-15,000</td>
<td>13-15,000</td>
<td>13-15,000</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>16-18,000</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>14,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-factor</td>
<td>mM Ca$^{2+}$ 7</td>
<td>mM Ca$^{2+}$ 7</td>
<td>mM Ca$^{2+}$ 6</td>
<td>mMCa$^{2+}$ 8</td>
<td>mM Ca$^{2+}$ 5</td>
<td>mM Ca$^{2+}$ 6</td>
<td>mM Ca$^{2+}$ 8</td>
<td>mM Ca$^{2+}$ 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disulfides</td>
<td>His-Asp pair</td>
<td>His-Asp pair, elapid loop</td>
<td>His-Asp pair, carboxyl extension</td>
<td>His-Asp pair, carboxyl extension</td>
<td>His-Asp pair</td>
<td>His-Asp pair</td>
<td>Carboxyl extension, propeptide at NH$_2$ terminal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-type receptor affinity</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>N/S</td>
<td>N/S</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>N/S</td>
<td>N/S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-type receptor affinity</td>
<td>&gt;300,000</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>36,000</td>
<td>N/S</td>
<td>N/S</td>
<td>&gt;&gt;300,000</td>
<td>N/S</td>
<td>N/S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$K_{0.5}$ = values of inhibition, expressed in pM; * = commercially available from Sigma-Aldrich; N/S = not stated
Honeybee venom PLA$_2$ was largely used in this thesis. It is a group III PLA$_2$ (MW = 15,800 g/mol) that is secreted by cells and is composed of 123 amino acids twelve of which are lysine residues. It also contains five disulphide bonds (Shipolini et al., 1974). The protein structure is shown in Figure 4.1. Bee venom PLA$_2$ requires millimolar concentrations of Ca$^{2+}$ as cofactor, and consequently, addition of EDTA inhibits enzyme activity (Scott et al., 1990). Bee venom-derived PLA$_2$ has been shown to have an optimum pH for hydrolytic activity of 8.0 (Shipolini et al., 1971). Interestingly Op den Kamp et al. (1974) found that while bee venom PLA$_2$ is active against liposomes containing dilauroyl-, dimyristoyl-, and dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine at temperatures other than the transition temperature (0, 23 and 41 °C respectively, liquid crystalline to gel phase), the pancreatic enzyme is active only at or near the transition temperature. This could be useful therapeutically since the enzyme would be required to act at 37 °C inside the body.

For comparison to the bee venom PLA$_2$ bovine pancreatic PLA$_2$ was also studied, but only as the native enzyme and it was subsequently not used to prepare polymer conjugates. Pancreatic PLA$_2$ is a group IB secretory enzyme. It is composed of 133 amino acids (~14,500 g/mol) and it contains 7 disulfide bonds. The protein structure is shown in Figure 4.2. Like all sPLA$_2$s, this enzyme also has a requirement for millimolar concentrations of Ca$^{2+}$ as a cofactor. While there appears to be little sequence homology between the bee venom- and pancreatic-derived PLA$_2$s (Puijk et al., 1977), the Thr-His-Asp residues found in pancreatic PLA$_2$ also appear in the bee venom sequence and these are thought to be essential for the catalytic activity (Drenth et al., 1976). Mammalian forms of PLA$_2$ show little selectivity for the particular fatty acids they hydrolyse, however, they do show higher activity towards phospholipids containing anionic headgroups (Diez et al., 1994; Diez et al., 1992).

**Mechanism of action of PLA$_2$**

A description of the mechanism of action of PLA$_2$ has already been given in Chapter 1 (section 1.4). Briefly, these enzymes catalyse the hydrolysis of fatty acids at the sn-2 position to release free fatty acids such as arachidonic acid, and lysophospholipids (Murakami and Kudo, 2002). These products are precursors to a
Figure 4.1 Protein structure of bee venom PL$\text{A}_2$. Panel (a) shows the Amino acid sequence of bee venom PL$\text{A}_2$. Numbers in parentheses indicate the positions of cysteines involved in disulfide bonds. *, residues involved in the coordination of Ca$^{2+}$ co-factor; ■, amino acids containing NH$_2$ groups as potential conjugation sites for succinoylated dextrin. Panel (b) represents the 3-D image of bee venom PL$\text{A}_2$ structure. Image taken from Expasy (2004).
Figure 4.2 Protein structure of bovine pancreas PLA2. Panel (a) shows the amino acid sequence of bovine PLA2. Numbers in parentheses indicate the positions of cysteines involved in disulfide bonds. *, residues involved in the coordination of Ca2+ co-factor; ■, amino acids containing NH2 groups as potential conjugation sites for succinoylated dextrin. Panel (b) represents the 3-D image of bovine pancreas PLA2 structure. Image taken from Expasy (2005).
number of signalling molecules with numerous biological functions. However, PLA₂ doesn’t only exert an effect through its enzymatic activity mediated by the hydrolysis of phospholipids (Lambeau and Lazdunski, 1999). It also interacts with at least two other membrane receptors, and these have been called N-type and M-type receptors (Lambeau et al, 1995; Lambeau and Lazdunski, 1999; Valentin and Lambeau, 2000). The N-type receptor is composed of at least two classes of binding site and it is most abundant in the brain. Binding of PLA₂ to N-type receptors is very sensitive to the presence of Ca²⁺ ions, and this is responsible for the neurotoxic effects of PLA₂ (Nicolas et al, 1997). Conversely, the M-type receptor consists of only one type of binding site and it is found abundantly in the skeletal muscle of rabbits. Binding of sPLA₂ to these receptors is independent of Ca²⁺, and the receptor is believed to behave as a physiological PLA₂ inhibitor in humans. That is, interaction with the M-type receptor can lead to internalisation, and subsequent removal of PLA₂. Different types of PLA₂ have different affinities for the M-type receptor. While the honeybee venom PLA₂ has very low M-type affinity, crotoxin and bovine pancreas display a relatively higher affinity for the receptor (Valentin and Lambeau, 2000), resulting in an apparent reduced bovine PLA₂ activity.

4.1.2 Rationale for synthesis of dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates

PLA₂ was selected as the active enzyme in these studies after crotoxin showed promising results as an anticancer agent in Phase I clinical trials (Cura et al, 2002) (details of trials discussed in section 1.1). However, despite noting 2 partial responses and 1 complete response in the 23 patients involved in the trial, neurotoxic side effects were observed in 13 patients. Since PLA₂ is also notoriously cytotoxic and haemolytic, the proposal to synthesise dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates hoped to minimise this adverse effect on normal cells while still allowing full enzyme activity at the site of action within the tumour.

Dextrin was chosen for conjugation after previous studies using trypsin as a model enzyme showed good conjugation efficiency, successful masking of activity and straightforward characterisation (Chapter 3). Dextrin is also an appealing polymer since it can be used to inactivate a protein during transit (masking), but
triggered degradation of the polymer can reinstate protein activity at a tailored rate (PUMPT) (Duncan et al, 2008). As mentioned earlier, preliminary studies by Duncan et al (2008) investigated the effect of molecular weight (7,700 and 47,200 g/mol) and degree of succinoylation (9, 32 mol %) on the ability of dextrin to mask/unmask trypsin activity. They found that the higher molecular weight polymer succinoylated to 26 mol % gave optimum masking/unmasking for PUMPT. This combination led to masking of activity to 34-69 % of free trypsin, with subsequent regeneration of activity to a maximum of 92-115 %. Similarly, these studies also confirmed the applicability of dextrin in PUMPT when conjugated to melanocyte stimulating factor (MSH), a receptor-binding ligand. In this case, activity was reduced to 11 % of free activity. However, in this case, it was only possible to reinstate 33 % of the control value, possibly due to MSH degradation during incubation and/or irreversible inactivation of MSH by dextrin conjugation.

The method used in Chapter 3 for dextrin-trypsin conjugation was used here for the conjugation of succinoylated dextrin to PLA₂ using EDC and sulfo-NHS (Figure 4.3). It was reasonable to assume that dextrin-PLA₂ could be prepared using the linking agent method implemented for trypsin, as they are a similar size (16,000 compared to 23,000 g/mol) and have a similar number of NH₂ groups available for conjugation (12 compared to 10).

4.1.3 Choice of PLA₂ activity assay

A number of assays have been described to measure the activity of PLA₂ (summarised in Table 4.2). The egg yolk assay was chosen for these studies because it is a rapid, simple and inexpensive technique that has been used before to assay PLA₂ activity (Reynolds et al, 1991). It is based on the concept that PLA₂ can hydrolyse the phospholipids in an egg yolk suspension, resulting in a decrease in turbidity of the solution (Marinetti, 1965). This solution ‘clearing’ can be followed spectrophotometrically in a continuous assay to measure PLA₂ activity. However, the assay does have some disadvantages; the most significant being its low sensitivity. It is also not capable of producing quantitative results, and instead provides only relative activities rather than moles of phospholipids hydrolysed.
Figure 4.3 Reaction scheme for conjugation of succinoylated dextrin to PLA$_2$. 
Table 4.2 Advantages and disadvantages of different methods of PLA₂ activity measurement. Summarised from (Reynolds et al., 1991).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method name</th>
<th>Brief description</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Titrametric (pH stat)</td>
<td>One of most commonly used PLA₂ assay. pH is held constant by titration of liberated fatty acids, and PLA₂ activity is quantitated continuously according to amount of base consumed. Detection limit: 20 nmol</td>
<td>Straightforward &amp; requires relatively inexpensive equipment</td>
<td>Not sensitive enough to detect intracellular PLA₂ before purification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Continuous assay</td>
<td>Does not detect reaction product, but proton release</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidametric</td>
<td>Detects protons released from fatty acid liberation by monitoring pH change over time. Detection limit: 100 nmol</td>
<td>Continuous and simple detection possible</td>
<td>pH of assay varies throughout assay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiometric</td>
<td>Follows hydrolysis of synthetic, radio-labelled phospholipids by direct measurement of hydrolysis products. Detection limit: 1 fmol-1 pmol</td>
<td>Most sensitive and widely used assay</td>
<td>Commercially available radio-labelled substrates can be expensive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sensitivity depends on specific radioactivity of labelled substrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Discontinuous assay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Requires time-consuming separation of radioactive substrate from products (e.g. TLC, HPLC, solvent extraction)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.2 Advantages and disadvantages of different methods of PLA₂ activity measurement. Summarised from (Reynolds et al., 1991) (cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method name</th>
<th>Brief description</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>NMR</strong></td>
<td>Commonly involves $^{31}$P and $^{13}$C. Detection limit: 20 μmol</td>
<td>Natural abundance levels of $^{31}$P means natural substrates can be used- no need for synthetic substrates</td>
<td>Very low sensitivity (&gt;10 mM substrate, 10 % hydrolysis required for quantitation) Natural abundance and sensitivity of C is low, so levels must be enhanced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monolayer</strong></td>
<td>Phospholipid monolayers are formed in monolayer troughs. PLA₂ activity is determined by measuring the decrease in surface area required to maintain a constant surface pressure</td>
<td>Extremely sensitive and utilises natural substrates</td>
<td>Difficult to study PLA₂ ( \geq ) Km since very low concentrations of substrate are used Requires specialised, often expensive, equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spectrophotometric</strong></td>
<td>Often involve generation of a chromophore following hydrolysis of synthetic phospholipids substrates</td>
<td>Rapid, convenient, continuous, and can be adapted for large sample numbers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Turbidometric</strong></td>
<td>Follows decrease in turbidity of phospholipid solution (e.g. egg yolk suspension) during hydrolysis</td>
<td>Continuous, rapid, simple and cheap Can also be performed with a multibilayer liposome substrate</td>
<td>Low sensitivity Difficult to quantitate amount of phospholipids hydrolysed- only gives relative activity, not moles of lipid hydrolysed Turbidity is dependent on assay conditions (pH and metal ions can affect substrate solubility)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.1.4 Assessment of non-specific toxicity

If the dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate was to be tested in vivo, or to be used clinically as an anticancer agent, it would be administered by IV injection. Therefore, it was essential to assess potential non-specific toxicity of the dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates. PLA₂ is believed to exert its cytotoxic effects by hydrolysis of phospholipids, resulting in membrane permeabilisation and rupture. Since RBCs are composed of phospholipids and dextrin-PLA₂ would encounter these cells following IV administration, it was important to test haemolytic activity. A well-established RBC lysis model was used that has been applied to test the membrane activity of polymers (Duncan et al., 1994; Lavignac et al., 2005), and PLA₂ (Lin et al., 1987; Watala and Kowalczyk, 1990).

Native PLA₂ is known to cause haemolysis of RBCs (Best et al., 2002; Watala and Kowalczyk, 1990). Studies by Watala and Kowalczyk (1990) showed RBCs were rapidly lysed in a time- and concentration dependent manner by bee venom PLA₂ (Hb₅₀ = 5.73 µg/mL after 60 min incubation). However, they also noted that incubation of RBCs with purified bee venom PLA₂ in concentrations of up to 10 µg/mL (60 min, 37 °C) was not accompanied by haemolysis. Supplementation with melittin (0.6 µg/mL), however, significantly increased RBC lysis.

4.1.5 Experimental aims

In summary, the aims of this study were to:

• Assess suitability of PLA₂s derived from bovine pancreas and bee venom for dextrin-conjugation, by testing its purity, enzyme activity and in vitro cytotoxicity against MCF-7 cells to select the best one for dextrin conjugation.

• Synthesis of dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates and optimise the conditions with respect to reaction yield and free protein content (FPLC).

• Characterisation of conjugates with respect to purity, molecular weight and size distribution (FPLC, SDS PAGE), total protein content (BCA assay), free protein content (FPLC).

• Compare enzyme activity of free PLA₂ and dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate,

• Assess feasibility of unmasking dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates by incubation
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with amylase in the context of PUMPT.

• Measure RBC haemolysis by PLA₂, dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate and unmasked dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate +/- Ca²⁺.

4.2 Methods

The method used for synthesis of dextrin-trypsin conjugates (optimised in Chapter 3) was initially tested here using a small batch of dextrin-PLA₂ (5 mg PLA₂) to see if the same procedure could be used to synthesise dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates. As the method was transferable it was subsequently scaled up to 25 mg PLA₂ batch sizes (as described below).

The methods used for the characterisation of dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates were essentially the same as those described to characterise dextrin-trypsin conjugates (Chapter 3). They are described in detail in sections 2.4.1, 2.4.4.1, 2.4.4.2, 2.4.4.3 and 2.4.6.5 (ninhydrin assay, FPLC, SDS PAGE, BCA assay, MTT assay respectively).

4.2.1 Synthesis of dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates

Succinoylated dextrin (51,000 g/mol; 19-23 mol % succinoylation) was prepared and characterised using methods outlined in Chapter 3 (section 3.2.2). It was then conjugated to PLA₂ using EDC and sulfo-NHS as coupling agents as described in Chapter 3. Briefly, succinoylated dextrin (154 mg, 3.02 x 10⁻⁶ mol) was dissolved under stirring in ddH₂O (2 mL) in a 10 mL round-bottomed flask. To this, EDC (21.1 mg, 0.1 mol) was added, and the mixture allowed to dissolve for 10 min. Next, sulfo-NHS (22.4 mg, 0.1 mol) was added and the mixture left stirring for 40 min. Subsequently, PLA₂ (25 mg, 1.58 x 10⁻⁶ mol) dissolved in ddH₂O (1 mL) was added, followed by NaOH (0.5 M) dropwise to raise the pH to 8.0. The reaction mixture was left stirring overnight (18 h).

The conjugate was then purified from the reaction mixture by FPLC. Fractions (1 mL) were collected and desalted using Vivaspin tubes (10,000 g/mol cut-off) before pooling the appropriate fractions containing conjugate for activity testing (Figure 4.4). The final conjugate was lyophilised and stored at -20 °C.
Dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates were characterised in respect of free PLA₂ by SDS PAGE (section 2.4.4.2) and using the same FPLC system as was used for purification (section 2.4.4.1). The total protein content of the conjugate was determined by the BCA assay (section 2.4.4.3).

4.2.2 PLA₂ activity measured using the egg yolk assay

The enzyme activity of PLA₂ and the dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate was measured using an egg yolk suspension assay according to Marinetti (1965). Native PLA₂ or dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate was dissolved in Tris buffer (equivalent to 0.5 mg/mL PLA₂, pH 8.2). All samples were prepared fresh before use and placed on ice throughout the experiments. The egg yolk solution (0.9 mL of a 0.05 - 0.25 % v/v solution in Tris buffer at pH 8.2) was added to a 1 cm³ quartz cell. To start the assay, free enzyme or conjugate (0.1 mL) was added to the cuvette.

Degradation of phospholipids was monitored by measuring the increase in absorbance at 400 nm for 30 min. Tris buffer (0.1 mL) was added to the blank sample in the place of the PLA₂ or dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate solution. Results were expressed as absorbance change (% ± SD, n = 3) over time (30 min). This data was subsequently interpreted to produce a graph showing rate of absorbance change against egg yolk emulsion concentration. Significance of the data was assessed using ANOVA and Bonferroni post hoc test.

4.2.3 Degradation of dextrin and succinoylated dextrin by α-amylase

In order to compare the rate of α-amylase degradation of dextrin and succinoylated dextrin, solutions (2 mL, 4 mg/mL in PBS, pH 7.4) of each polymer was prepared. Then α-amylase (2 mg/mL) was added. These solutions were incubated at 37 °C for 0 - 1440 min. At time points samples (100 μL) were taken, immediately snap frozen in liquid N₂ to stop the reaction and then stored at -20 °C until analysis by GPC.

Prior to GPC analysis, samples were placed in a water bath (100 °C) for 5 min to denature the enzyme activity of the α-amylase and stop polymer degradation. The
Figure 4.4 FPLC of dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugation reaction mixture (UV absorbance at 280 nm). Where the peaks represent a) purified dextrin-PLA$_2$, b) dextrin-PLA$_2$, c) free PLA$_2$, d) and e) peptides.
supernatant was then analysed by GPC (section 2.4.4.1) to determine the change in molecular weight over time.

4.2.4 Unmasking of dextrin-PLA2 conjugates by incubation with α-amylase

To study the effects of α-amylase on the activity of dextrin-PLA2 conjugates, dextrin-PLA2 conjugates (0.5 mg/mL PLA2 eq.) were first incubated with α-amylase (2 mg/mL, 200 Sigma units (SU)/mL) for 16 h at 37 °C in Tris buffer (1.5 mL, pH 8.2). The enzyme activity assay using an egg yolk emulsion in Tris buffer (see section 4.2.2 for method) was then followed.

4.2.5 Assessment of haemolytic activity

Rat RBCs were prepared as previously described by Duncan et al (1994). Briefly, blood was obtained from a male Wistar rat (~ 250 g body weight) by cardiac puncture and collected in a heparin/lithium blood tube, in PBS (pH 7.4). The tubes were centrifuged at 1500 g for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was removed and the RBC pellet was resuspended in PBS at 4 °C and transferred to a pre-weighed, clean disposable centrifuge tube. The centrifugation step was repeated twice, followed by the removal of the supernatant, and resuspension of the pellet in PBS. After the final removal of the supernatant, the pellet (of known weight) was resuspended in PBS to produce a 2 % w/v RBC suspension. Erythrocyte suspension was stored at 4 °C and always made fresh on the day of the experiment.

Subsequently, this RBC suspension (100 μL) was added to a 96-well plate containing the PLA2 test compound solutions to be tested.

PBS was used as a negative control, and triton X-100 (1 % v/v) was used to achieve 100 % haemolysis. The plate was then incubated for 1 h at 37 °C, then the plate was centrifuged at 1500 g for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatant (100 μL) of each well was then carefully removed and placed into a clean 96-well plate. The absorbance at 550 nm was read, using a microtitre plate reader, to assess the degree of RBC lysis. The background values from incubation of the RBCs with PBS was subtracted from the sample values and the results were expressed as haemoglobin released (% ± SEM) relative to the triton X-100 control. The significance of the data was assessed using ANOVA and Bonferroni post hoc test.
Since calcium is an essential cofactor for PLA₂ activity, these experiments were also performed in the absence and presence of Ca²⁺ by supplementing the buffer with calcium carbonate to produce a final Ca²⁺ concentration of 8 mM.

4.3 Results

4.3.1 Comparison of PLA₂ sources: purity and enzyme activity

Before conjugating dextrin to PLA₂, the properties of PLA₂s derived from bovine pancreatic and bee venom were compared. The bee venom-derived PLA₂ contained 76 wt. % protein, while bovine pancreatic PLA₂ had an apparent protein content of just 13 wt. %. However, the bovine PLA₂ was relatively free from protein contaminants (Figure 4.5, lane 2 and 4.6a), whereas the bee venom PLA₂ displayed several peaks on the FPLC trace- at ~ 10.5, ~ 12.5 and ~ 18 mL (Figure 4.6b), and multiple bands on SDS PAGE analysis (Figure 4.5, lane 3). FPLC and SDS PAGE of melittin, a common contaminant of bee venom PLA₂, revealed a peak at ~ 18.5 mL (Figure 4.6c) and a band coincident with a band in the PLA₂ lane (Figure 4.5, lane 4).

The in vitro cytotoxicity of bovine pancreatic and bee venom PLA₂s (all conjugate MTT results are described in the next chapter) showed that bovine pancreatic PLA₂ was non-toxic to MCF-7 cells up to 1 mg/mL, while bee venom PLA₂ had an IC₅₀ of 308.6 ± 6.9 μg/mL (Figure 4.7).

Consequently, bee venom PLA₂ was selected for conjugation to dextrin.

4.3.2 Synthesis and purification of dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates

The ninhydrin assay indicated that PLA₂ has 9.8 ± 1.1 (SD) NH₂ groups per molecule, and this value (10) was used to calculate molar ratios of PLA₂ to succinoylated dextrin (NH₂:COOH) for all conjugation reactions, and not the total number of NH₂ groups in PLA₂ (12).

When conjugation of dextrin-PLA₂ was initially attempted, the method optimised for the synthesis of dextrin-trypsin was used. First, a small batch of dextrin-PLA₂ was synthesised to confirm the method and to investigate an
**Figure 4.5 SDS PAGE analysis of bovine pancreatic PLA$_2$, bee venom PLA$_2$, and melittin.** Key: a) PLA$_2$ monomer, b) PLA$_2$ dimer, c) PLA$_2$ monomer, d) melittin contaminant, e) PLA$_2$ contaminant, and f) melittin. MWM = molecular weight marker.
Figure 4.6 FPLC analysis of bovine pancreatic PLA\(_2\), bee venom PLA\(_2\), and melittin. Key: a) PLA\(_2\), b) PLA\(_2\) dimer, c) PLA\(_2\) monomer, d) melittin contaminant (9.4%), e) melittin. \(V_0\) = void volume (7.7 mL), and \(V_b\) = bed volume (24 mL). UV absorbance taken at 280 nm.
Figure 4.7 Effect of bovine pancreatic and bee venom PLA$_2$ on MCF-7 cell viability. Data represents % control cell growth ± SEM (n = 18). Where error bars are invisible they are within size of data points. * indicates significance compared to bovine pancreas PLA$_2$, where p<0.05 (student’s t-test).
appropriate conjugate purification method. Initial studies using dialysis showed incomplete removal of free enzyme and reaction by-products when reanalysed by SDS PAGE (Figure 4.8, lane 4). However, no free PLA₂ band was detectable in the dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate after FPLC with Vivaspin purification (Figure 4.8, lane 5). Consequently, FPLC with Vivaspin was selected to separate the conjugate from the reaction mixture.

4.3.3 Characterisation of dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates

Typical FPLC elution profiles of the crude reaction mixture (Figure 4.4) and free PLA₂ and purified conjugate are shown (Figure 4.9). There was a good separation between bound and free PLA₂, with the conjugate eluting at the void volume ($V_0$) of the column (~ 7.7 mL), thus enabling the separation of free and bound PLA₂ using this method. FPLC showed a peak corresponding to dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate in the region of the column’s $V_0$.

SDS PAGE of the conjugates confirmed the presence of a high molecular weight conjugate (~ 200,000 g/mol), with dark smearing appearing between ~ 50,000 g/mol and the top of the gel (suggestive of a polydisperse conjugate) (Figure 4.8, lane 5).

Dextrin-PLA₂ conjugation showed good batch-to-batch reproducibility and a reaction yield of 93-100 % (see Table 4.3). The conjugates contained 3-9 % w/w protein using a BCA assay calibrated with free PLA₂ (see Figure 2.6). Control studies showed that succinoylated dextrin had minimal interference with the assay, with a relative contribution of absorbance of 0-1.8 %. The pilot scale batch (5 mg PLA₂) synthesised initially had PLA₂ content of 3.7 wt. %, but scaled-up conjugate batches (25 mg PLA₂) had a PLA₂ content of 7-9 wt. %.

To determine the amount of free PLA₂ in the batches, SDS PAGE was calibrated by running different concentrations of free PLA₂ to determine the lowest detectable concentration (data not shown). Further control experiments showed that unreacted dextrin gave no band, while free PLA₂ showed two prominent bands where the monomeric and dimeric forms of the enzyme would be expected to appear (~ 15,000 and 30,000 g/mol, respectively) (Figure 4.8, lane 2). FPLC was also used to
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Figure 4.8 SDS PAGE analysis of dextrin-PLA₂ (purified by dialysis), dextrin-PLA₂ (purified by FPLC with Vivaspin), and bee venom PLA₂ and dextrin controls. Key: a) PLA₂ dimer, b) PLA₂ monomer, c) dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate, d) free PLA₂, and e) dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate. MWM = molecular weight marker.
Figure 4.9 FPLC analysis of free and conjugated PLA$_2$. Key: a) dextrin-PLA$_2$ (DexPLA5), b) dextrin-PLA$_2$ (DexPLA1) and c) PLA$_2$. $V_0 =$ void volume (7.7 mL), and $V_b =$ bed volume (24 mL). UV absorbance taken at 280 nm.
### Table 4.3 Characterisation of dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conjugate$^c$</th>
<th>Batch size (mg)</th>
<th>Protein content$^a$ (wt. % ± SD)</th>
<th>Molecular weight$^b$ (g/mol)</th>
<th>Uses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DexPLA1</td>
<td>5 mg</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.014</td>
<td>160,000</td>
<td>Purification and characterisation optimisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DexPLA2</td>
<td>25 mg</td>
<td>7.2 ± 0.014</td>
<td>185,000</td>
<td>Egg yolk activity assays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DexPLA3</td>
<td>15 mg</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.010</td>
<td>180,000</td>
<td>Unmasking studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DexPLA4</td>
<td>25 mg</td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.006</td>
<td>210,000</td>
<td>Haemolysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DexPLA5</td>
<td>25 mg</td>
<td>7.3 ± 0.013</td>
<td>215,000</td>
<td>MTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DexPLA6</td>
<td>5 mg</td>
<td>6.1 ± 0.011</td>
<td>190,000</td>
<td>OG-labelled for uptake studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DexPLA7</td>
<td>25 mg</td>
<td>9.4 ± 0.014</td>
<td>195,000</td>
<td>PELT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ relative to native PLA$_2$ (BCA assay)

$^b$ FPLC, relative to protein standards (see text for details)

$^c$ Free PLA$_2$ was always < 1 % w/w
quantitatively detect the presence of free PLA₂ in the purified dextrin-PLA₂. The conjugates always contained < 1 % free protein.

4.3.4 PLA₂ activity of free and dextrin-conjugated PLA₂

Degradation of egg yolk in the presence of PLA₂ is shown in Figure 4.10a. There was a short lag phase followed by a linear rate of degradation. This linear part of the data was used to calculate the concentration-dependence of degradation (Figure 4.10b). The activity of the dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates was reduced (35.8 % ± 4.5 (SD)) compared to free PLA₂ (Figure 4.10b).

Enzyme activity measured following incubation of the dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate with α-amylase was increased (Figure 4.10b) to 114.6 % ± 2.6 (SD) that of free PLA₂.

4.3.5 Degradation of dextrin and succinoylated dextrin by incubation with α-amylase

When α-amylase was added to dextrin there was a rapid decrease in apparent molecular weight (seen using GPC (Figure 4.11)) with a t₁/₂ of 0.5 min. Succinoylated dextrin was degraded more slowly, such that its t₁/₂ was 30 min, and a plateau in molecular weight was seen.

4.3.6 Haemolysis of RBCs

Free PLA₂ was very haemolytic at concentrations > 100 μg/mL native PLA₂, while no lytic activity was seen with dextrin-PLA₂ up to 500 μg/mL (Figure 4.12a). Furthermore, it was shown that, even following unmasking by α-amylase, dextrin-PLA₂ did not induce red blood cell haemolysis at concentrations at which haemolysis is seen for the native enzyme. Addition of calcium to the incubation buffer enhanced the haemolytic potency of native PLA₂ (Figure 4.12b), such that 56 % RBC lysis was seen at 50 μg/mL, compared to 6 % haemolysis in the absence of calcium. This effect was not observed with the dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate. Control experiments with α-amylase and dextrin showed < 10 % haemolysis (data not shown).
Figure 4.10 PLA₂ activity measured using an egg yolk emulsion. Progress curves in panel (a) show the UV absorbance (540 nm) of egg yolk clearing in increasing concentrations of egg yolk emulsion by PLA₂ (0.5 mg/mL) over 30 min. Panel (b) shows concentration-dependent rate of clearing of egg yolk solutions. Data shown represents the mean ± SD (n=3). Where error bars are not visible, error is within the data point. * indicates significance compared to free PLA₂ control, where p<0.05 (ANOVA and Bonferroni post hoc test).
Figure 4.11 Degradation of dextrin and succinoylated dextrin over time, when incubated with the dextrin degrading enzyme \( \alpha \)-amylase. This graph shows the change in molecular weight of the main peak fraction over time for dextrin and its succinoylated intermediate. Data shown represents \( n=1 \). Molecular weight given is relative to pullulan standards.
Figure 4.12 Haemolysis of RBCs by PLA2 and dextrin-PLA2 (masked and unmasked). Panel (a) shows haemoglobin release from RBCs after 60 min incubation with PLA2, dextrin-PLA2 and ‘unmasked’ dextrin-PLA2. Panel (b) demonstrates the effect of Ca2+ on haemolytic activity of PLA2 and dextrin-PLA2. Data represents % RBC lysis relative to triton-X100 solution ± SEM, (n=9). Where error bars are not visible, error is within the data point. * indicates significance compared to free trypsin control, where p<0.05 (ANOVA and Bonferroni post hoc test).
4.4 Discussion

Although bovine pancreatic and bee venom PLA$_2$ were considered for conjugation to dextrin the bovine PLA$_2$ was found to be non-toxic up to 1 mg/mL when incubated with the breast cancer cell line MCF-7. In contrast the bee venom PLA$_2$ had an IC$_{50}$ of 308.6 µg/mL (discussed in more detail in Chapter 5). These results were not surprising, given the affinity constants of these enzymes to the M- and M-type receptors (Table 4.1) and the likelihood that bovine PLA$_2$ is susceptible to N-type receptor mediated internalisation, while bee venom PLA$_2$ is not. It has been shown that melittin can enhance and stimulate the lipolytic activity of PLA$_2$, so it is possible that the contamination seen in bee venom PLA$_2$ could be attributed to this effect, and not to PLA$_2$ alone. However, it is unlikely that melittin alone is responsible for the enhanced cytotoxicity since it only accounts for $< 10 \%$ bee venom PLA$_2$ and would be removed during the conjugate purification steps.

Given the greater cytotoxicity of bee venom PLA$_2$, this was chosen for conjugation to dextrin.

The method used to synthesise the library of dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugates (Table 4.3) was reproducible and gave a protein content of 5-9 wt. % with $< 1 \%$ free PLA$_2$. This protein loading was less than was seen for the dextrin-trypsin conjugates synthesised in Chapter 3 (41-84 % w/w), despite having similar polymer: enzyme (and NH$_2$: COOH) ratios in the reaction mixture. This could be due to bee venom PLA$_2$’s tendency to form dimers which can conceal some Lys residues, reducing the number available for conjugation. It was also noted, however, that the protein loading achieved was greater for larger batch sizes and similar to yields described in the literature for polymer-protein conjugation ($\sim 10 \%$) (reviewed in Harris and Chess, 2003) but still lower than other dextrin-protein conjugates described in the literature (Table 4.4).

Although this method of conjugation is not site-specific, the polymer is likely to react preferentially with certain NH$_2$ groups, depending on their pKa and their protein conformation. The ninhydrin assay predicted that there were $\sim 10$ accessible NH$_2$ groups PLA$_2$, while the literature suggests there are 12 lysine residues, as well
Table 4.4 Summary of the activity of various dextrin-protein conjugates before and after addition of α-amylase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein content (wt. %)</th>
<th>Masked activity (%)</th>
<th>Unmasked activity (%)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trypsin</td>
<td>50-80</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSH</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGF</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLA₂</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
as a terminal amine group and several other NH-containing amino acids (arginine, histidine) (Scott et al, 1990; Shipolini et al, 1971). Interestingly, Bianco et al (2002) reported that PEGylation of PLA₂ could be enhanced by isolating the conjugate fraction from the high MW PEG-PLA₂ complexes. They suggest that access of the reactive polymer to some of the Lys of the remaining PLA₂ was impaired in highly PEGylated proteins and that some of the reactive Lys residues were not initially available for conjugation due to dimerisation of the enzyme.

FPLC was found to be the only reliable method of conjugate purification. It should, however, be born in mind that it is not possible to distinguish between dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate and free dextrin using FPLC as they both elute at the same point. Duncan et al (2008) used two-dimensional diffusion-ordered NMR spectroscopy (DOSY) to show that there was free dextrin remaining in dextrin-trypsin conjugates. Although it is not ideal to include free dextrin in the product, dextrin is known to be non-immunogenic and is already used clinically so it's presence would not be a concern at this stage. This is however an issue that would need addressing in the future before clinical use.

As with dextrin-trypsin conjugates, accurate characterisation of the molecular weight of dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates proved problematic due to difficulties selecting appropriate calibration standards (discussed in Chapter 3). However, both SDS PAGE and FPLC were useful indicators that a conjugate had been synthesised, and for the detection of the presence of free PLA₂. These methods also showed that the conjugates were a polydisperse mixture of species. This is not surprising and can be attributed to the multivalency of both dextrin and PLA₂, which would, in theory, lead to a variety of conjugated species. It can be estimated from the protein assay that, on average, the dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates synthesised contained between 1 protein: 3-9 dextrin.

4.4.1 Enzyme activity

As has been observed with other dextrin conjugates (Gilbert et al, 2005) PLA₂ activity was retained following conjugation. Incomplete inactivation suggests that
dextrin does not always bind to a region which would block access to the enzyme's active site. There is no clear trend in protein content of polymer-protein conjugates and activity retention. In these studies, dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugates retained slightly higher activity than dextrin-trypsin conjugates (36% compared to 24-32%) and had a lower protein content (5-9% compared to 41-84%). However, the conjugates in Table 4.4 do not all follow this trend and it is likely that site of polymer conjugation relative to the enzyme's active site influences activity masking the most.

*Degradation and reinstatement of activity of dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugates*

Full reinstatement of activity was seen following $\alpha$-amylase incubation (16 h) with dextrin-PLA$_2$. Previous $\alpha$-amylase 'unmasking studies' using dextrin conjugates showed differences in the ability of $\alpha$-amylase to reinstate protein activity (summarised in Table 4.4). While only partial reinstatement of activity was seen for dextrin-trypsin (34% (masked) to 58% (unmasked)), and dextrin-MSH conjugates (11% (masked) to 33% (unmasked)) (Duncan *et al.*, 2008), full unmasking was achieved for dextrin-EGF conjugates (6.5% (masked) to 101% (unmasked)) (Hardwicke *et al.*, submitted). The differences in masking could be due to the different protein sizes. MSH (2,644 g/mol and EGF (6,666 g/mol) are substantially smaller than trypsin and PLA$_2$, and would therefore be more susceptible to activity masking by polymer conjugation.

It is also possible that irreversible inactivation of trypsin and MSH occurs following dextrin binding in the active site region of the enzyme. In fact, MSH has just one Lys residue, which is located one amino acid away from the active site sequence, and a terminal amine. Therefore, steric hindrance of the active site by remaining maltose residues could hinder full reinstatement of activity. PLA$_2$, on the other hand, has many more NH$_2$-containing amino acids. While it is reported that K14, R23, R58, K85 and K133 are found in the collar of the active site and are involved in the enzyme's interaction with phospholipids, there remains a further 5-7 NH$_2$ groups available for dextrin conjugation, located away from the active site (Bollinger *et al.*, 2004; Ghomashchi *et al.*, 1998).

Since these unmasking experiments were performed in Tris buffer (pH 8.4), it is
worth noting that the optimal pH for α-amylase is actually 7.0 (Sky-Peck and Thuvasethakul, 1977). This buffer was selected to provide the optimum conditions for the activity of PLA₂ in the egg yolk assay, but it is important to note that full α-amylase activity may not be achievable under these conditions. In fact, studies show that α-amylase is stable between pH 5-10.5 (Sky-Peck and Thuvasethakul, 1977), and since the unmasking is performed at its optimal temperature (37 °C) for a long duration (16 h), it is reasonable to assume that complete unmasking is achieved.

When GPC was used to compare the degradation rates of dextrin and succinoylated dextrin before conjugation, a reduction in molecular weight of ~ 80 % and ~ 50 %, respectively, was seen. After 24 h incubation, dextrin’s apparent molecular weight was ~ 10 % of the initial molecular weight, while succinoylated dextrin had only degraded to ~ 35 % of its original weight. Succinoylation has previously been shown to control the degradation rate of dextrin by α-amylase (Hreczuk-Hirst et al, 2001a). However, the concentration of α-amylase (200 SU/mL) and degree of succinoylation (~ 23 mol %) used here was markedly different to Hreczuk-Hirst et al (2001a)’s method (2.5 SU/mL and 34 mol %, respectively), so it is not surprising that the t₁/₂ was also different (30 min compared to > 180 min, respectively). Still, there was a distinct difference between degradation rates of dextrin and succinoylated dextrin (0.5 min compared to 30 min, respectively).

Although the concentration of α-amylase used in these experiments (200,000 SU/L) is much higher than those found in human plasma (40-125 IU/L) it is also worth remembering that some breast cancers have shown elevated levels of α-amylase (up to 85-fold normal) (Inaji et al, 1991; Weitzel et al, 1988), which may help reduce toxicity to normal tissues. Previous studies have shown a correlation between Sigma units (SU) and international units (IU) used clinically to describe amylase concentrations (1 SU = 0.466 IU) (Hardwicke et al, submitted).

Having confirmed that dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates could retain biological activity in a physiological setting it was important to consider their possible toxicological profile. Haemocompatibility of dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates would be essential for safe
systemic administration by IV injection that would be needed to benefit from the EPR effect. PLA₂ is extremely haemolytic. This occurs due to hydrolysis of RBC phospholipids and/or generation of lysophospholipids and free fatty acids, which are also lytic and can cause further membrane damage. In this study, it was found that PLA₂ showed concentration-dependent RBC lysis after 1 h (Hbₙₒ = 300 μg/mL (-Ca²⁺); 50 μg/mL (+ Ca²⁺)).

The fact that dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates had no haemolytic activity suggests an improved safety profile for IV administration. It is possible that dextrin-conjugation enhances enzyme activity by modifying the surface charge of PLA₂. It has been postulated that a conformational change in PLA₂ upon binding is required for interfacial activation (Peters et al., 1992; van den Berg et al., 1995), and the presence of dextrin, or even maltose, following α-amylase degradation of dextrin, could interfere with this.

**Effect of Ca²⁺ on haemolysis**

The addition of 8 mM Ca²⁺ enhanced the haemolytic potency of native PLA₂, however it did not influence the toxicity of dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates towards RBCs. The results seen for native PLA₂ were as predicted since it has been shown previously that the enzymatic activity of PLA₂ is Ca²⁺-dependent, with optimum activity seen in the presence of 10 mM Ca²⁺ (Kingma et al., 2002).

Physiological Ca²⁺ levels are normally in the range of 1.9-2.7 mM (Alscher et al., 2001), while metastatic breast cancer cells are reportedly exposed to levels as high as 40 mM (Joume et al., 2004). This is a particularly interesting finding since it means that PLA₂ may show enhanced enzyme activity in metastases. It is proposed that dextrin conjugation blocks calcium coordination with the calcium binding loop of PLA₂ by steric hindrance. It is possible that dextrin binds to Lys 14, which is situated very close to 3 residues involved in the coordination of Ca²⁺ cofactor (Figure 4.1).

### 4.5 Conclusions

Here a method was developed for the synthesis and purification of dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates. Dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates containing 5-9 wt. % PLA₂ and a molecular weight of ~ 200,000 g/mol were reproducibly synthesised. It was shown that
conjugation reduces enzyme activity (36%), but addition of α-amylase restores activity by degradation of dextrin. Haemolytic activity of PLA₂ was also reduced by dextrin conjugation.

Therefore, these preliminary studies confirmed the potential of polymer-PLA₂ conjugates for further evaluation as an anti-cancer therapy. The following studies (Chapter 5) examined in more detail the mechanism of action of dextrin-PLA₂.
Chapter Five

*Investigating the In Vitro Cytotoxicity and Mechanism of Action of Dextrin-PLA$_2$ Conjugates*
5.1 Introduction

As described in Chapter 1 (section 1.4), PLA₂ is a potent membrane active agent. Since dextrin-PLA₂ has already shown greatly reduced haematoxicity (Chapter 4), it was important to demonstrate that the conjugate still retained cytotoxicity towards cancer cells. Therefore, in these studies, the cytotoxicity of dextrin-PLA₂, and dextrin and free PLA₂ (as reference controls) was evaluated using the human breast cancer cell line, MCF-7, murine melanoma cell line, B16F10, and human colon carcinoma cell line, HT29.

In addition this study was designed to investigate the role of EGFR in the cytotoxicity of dextrin-PLA₂ since this receptor has been implicated in the cytotoxic mechanism of PLA₂ (Donato et al., 1996). Thus, it was felt that elucidating any link between PLA₂ activity and EGF receptor status would be of fundamental importance in defining the potential tumour specificity for dextrin-PLA₂ activity. Many studies have been undertaken to quantify the number of EGFR expressed by different cell types (examples given in Table 5.1). MCF-7 cells have been reported to have between 800-2,800 EGFR per cell (Fitzpatrick et al., 1984b; Imai et al., 1982). This is significantly lower than other breast cancer cell lines, such as MDA-MB-231 (700,000 per cell) and BT-474 (68,000 per cell). HT29 cells, on the other hand, have been reported to have 44,000 EGF binding sites per cell (Heimbrook et al., 1990), which is 15-55 times more receptors than the MCF-7 cell line. Given that B16F10 cells are of mouse origin, they would not express human EGFR so they were chosen as controls.

Finally, since combination therapy is showing the greatest efficacy in cancer treatment (Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group, 1998), it was considered interesting to assess whether the dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate could be used to enhance the cytotoxicity of the commonly-used anticancer agent, doxorubicin.

5.1.1 EGFR: epidermal growth factor receptor

The EGFR is a 170 kDa transmembrane glycoprotein and a member of the ErbB, or type I, receptor tyrosine kinase (TK) receptor family. It is present on a number of
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>EGFR (sites/cell)</th>
<th>EGFR (fmol/mg protein)</th>
<th>Method of quantification</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MCF-7</td>
<td>Human mammary epithelial carcinoma from pleural effusion</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$^{125}$I-EGF binding assay</td>
<td>(Fitzpatrick et al., 1984b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,800</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$^{125}$I-EGF binding assay</td>
<td>(Imai et al., 1982)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>≤ 20</td>
<td>EGFR mAb immunoassay</td>
<td>(Bier et al., 1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT29</td>
<td>Human colon carcinoma metastatic lesions</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3430</td>
<td>$^{125}$I-EGF binding assay</td>
<td>(Magne et al., 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>44,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Flow cytometry</td>
<td>(Heimbrook et al., 1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>44,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Flow cytometry</td>
<td>(Brockhoff et al., 1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT4</td>
<td>Recurrent papillary bladder carcinoma</td>
<td>42,500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Flow cytometry</td>
<td>(Brockhoff et al., 1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J82</td>
<td>Invasive urothelial bladder carcinoma</td>
<td>42,500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Flow cytometry</td>
<td>(Brockhoff et al., 1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A431</td>
<td>Human epidermoid carcinoma</td>
<td>1,600,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$^{125}$I-EGF binding assay</td>
<td>(Good et al., 1992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DU4475</td>
<td>Human mammary epithelial carcinoma (suspension)</td>
<td>Non detectable</td>
<td>Non detectable</td>
<td>$^{125}$I-EGF binding assay</td>
<td>(Imai et al., 1982)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non detectable</td>
<td>Non detectable</td>
<td>$^{125}$I-EGF binding assay</td>
<td>(Fitzpatrick et al., 1984a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$^{125}$I-EGF binding assay</td>
<td>(Livneh et al., 1986)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
normal and cancerous cells (see Table 5.1). It is comprised of an extracellular binding domain (621 amino acids) and an intracellular tyrosine kinase domain (542 amino acids), linked by a small lipophilic transmembrane segment (23 amino acids). These receptors are most commonly found on the cell surface (Cohen, 1987), although further intracellular receptors have been described (Wiegant et al, 1986).

The signalling pathway of EGFR is illustrated in Figure 5.1. Activation of EGFR occurs when one of its ligands, such as EGF, transforming growth factor (TGF)-α, amphiregulin, betacellulin, heparin-binding EGF or epiregulin (Yarden, 2001), binds to the receptor in its open conformation. Ligand binding causes oligomerisation of two or more receptor-ligand complexes, which are subsequently internalised by clathrin-coated pits; although high concentrations of ligand can stimulate internalisation by non-clathrin-mediated pathways (Sigismund et al, 2005). Phosphorylation of tyrosine residues in the carboxyl tail domain initiates a number of cascades leading the signal towards the nucleus. EGFR in A431 cells was shown to have a half life of 13 h, although addition of EGF caused this to drop markedly to less than 6 h (Goldkorn et al, 1997). Following internalisation, receptor-ligand complexes are either degraded in the lysosome or recycled to the cell surface.

Activation of EGFR triggers a variety of cellular events, resulting in cellular proliferation, differentiation, protection from apoptosis, cell migration and adhesion, and stimulation of angiogenesis. While these processes are essential for normal cell survival, they also have a great impact on the behaviour of cancer cells.

EGFR has been widely implicated in malignant disease and is regarded as one of the most important growth regulatory signal transduction proteins. Research has revealed that levels of this receptor are amplified in several human tumours, including breast, gliomas, lung bladder and colon cancer, and is therefore considered to be a clinical marker of poor prognosis and correlates with poor response to treatment, rapid disease progression and poor survival (reviewed in Oliveira et al, 2006). Previous investigations have shown that EGFR can be detected in approximately half of all breast cancer biopsies (Fitzpatrick et al, 1984a), which led to EGFR becoming a key target for novel anti-cancer therapies.
Figure 5.1 Schematic illustration of the EGFR signalling pathway, involving EGF-activated protein kinase to the nucleus and stimulation of cell cycle machinery. Adapted from: Nyati, MK et al (2006) and Harari and Huang (2004).
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Following initial studies showing that mAbs were able to inhibit growth of cancer cells through their interaction with EGFR (Gill *et al*, 1984; Sato *et al*, 1983), a number of strategies have been developed over the past 20 years which interfere with the signalling pathway of EGFR as a novel approach to treating cancers. These new therapeutics have shown better efficiency towards tumours with high EGFR expression (Hambek *et al*, 2001). Some examples of such therapies and their mode of action are detailed in Table 5.2.

However, while inhibition of EGFR activity was initially heralded as a promising novel approach to treating patients with tumours driven by activated EGFR, clinical trials have shown only a modest response to these inhibitors and poor correlation between EGFR expression and response rates. It is believed that these single-targeted agents have only limited efficacy because human epidermal growth factor receptor (HER) family members cooperate and compensate for each other. Consequently, these compounds are usually used clinically in combination with radiotherapy, chemotherapy and radiochemotherapy (Harari and Huang, 2004). Therefore, due to the overexpression of EGFR on cancer cells and their modest response to current EGFR inhibitors in clinical use, targeting dextrin-PLA₂ to cells overexpressing EGFR would be an attractive opportunity.

### 5.1.2 PLA₂ mechanism of action

While the general mechanism of action of PLA₂ has been described previously (Chapter 1 (section 1.4) and 4 (section 4.1.1)), the exact mechanism is poorly understood, and PLA₂ has been reported to show different activity towards different cell types. The possible mechanisms of action of dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates as cytotoxic agents are summarised in Figure 5.2.

As described earlier (Chapter 1), crotoxin exists as a dimer that must dissociate before it can exert its antiproliferative effect (Choumet *et al*, 1998) and it has been suggested that a specific cellular target triggers subunit dissociation (Corin *et al*, 1993). A number of studies have shown a correlation between EGFR expression and cell sensitivity to PLA₂ (Donato *et al*, 1996; Zhao *et al*, 2002), though results are conflicting as to whether PLA₂ has a stimulatory or inhibitory effect on cell proliferation and/or EGFR activation. Zhao *et al* (2002) reported inhibition of EGF-
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agent and manufacturer</th>
<th>Molecule</th>
<th>Target site</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cetuximab (IMC-C225, Erbitux®; ImClone Systems/BMS)</td>
<td>Mouse-human chimeric mAb</td>
<td>Extracellular domain</td>
<td>FDA approved for CRC with irinotecan, and with radiotherapy for SCCHN. Also as single agent for recurrent or metastatic SCCHN after platinum-based treatment failure (Apr 2004).</td>
<td>(Astsaturov et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panitumumab (ABX-EGF, rHuMAb-EGFR); Amgen</td>
<td>Fully human mAb</td>
<td>Extracellular domain</td>
<td>Phase I/II trials for NSCLC, CRC, renal and oesophageal cancer.</td>
<td>(Rowinsky et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matuzumab (EMD72000); EMD Pharma</td>
<td>Mouse-human chimeric mAb</td>
<td>Extracellular domain of EGFR</td>
<td>Phase II trials for NSCLC, gynaecological, pancreatic and oesophageal cancers.</td>
<td>(Kollmannsberger et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erlotinib (OSI774, Tarceva®); Genentech Inc.</td>
<td>Anilinoquinazoline; reversible binding</td>
<td>Intracellular domain (TKI) of EGFR</td>
<td>FDA approved for locally advanced or metastatic NSCLC after failure of ≥ chemotherapy regimen (Nov 2004). FDA approved in combination with gemcitabine for locally advanced, unresectable or metastatic pancreatic carcinoma (Nov 2005).</td>
<td>(Smith, 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lapatinib (GWS572016, Tykerb®); GlaxoSmithKline</td>
<td>Thiazolyquinazoline; reversible binding</td>
<td>Intracellular domain (TKI) of EGFR and ErbB1/2</td>
<td>FDA approved, combined with capecitabine for advanced or metastatic breast cancer overexpressing HER2 (ErbB2) after previous therapy including an anthracycline, a taxane, and trastuzumab (March 2007).</td>
<td>(Mukherjee et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SCCHN, squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck; CRC, colorectal cancer; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer
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**Figure 5.2 Proposed mechanisms of action of dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate.** Where 1. PLA₂ degrades cancer cell membrane phospholipids (Rosenberg, 1990); 2. PLA₂ blocks access of EGF to receptor (Hack *et al.*, 1991); 3. PLA₂ blocks TK activity of EGFR (Goldberg *et al.*, 1990); 4. PLA₂ degrades endosomal membrane phospholipids.
induced receptor activation by PLA₂ from *Agkistrodon halys pallas*. Addition of PLA₂ to A431 (human epithelial carcinoma) cells caused a rise in intracellular ceramide formation leading to the release of arachidonic acid. It was therefore suggested that PLA₂ downregulates EGFR-mediated intracellular signal transduction.

Conversely, Donato *et al* (1996) noted a crotoxin-mediated activation of EGFR in a number of squamous carcinoma cell lines (ME-180 (parental cervical carcinoma), A431 (human epithelial carcinoma), DiFi and HT-29 (colon carcinomas)). In these studies, the EGFR-dependent sensitivity to the cytotoxic effects of PLA₂ was altered when they were co-incubated with EGF and PLA₂. Those cells expressing the highest levels of EGFR were the most sensitive to PLA₂. Zhao *et al* (2002) attributed the differences seen in these experiments to the different PLA₂ types used. Thus it was considered interesting to study the involvement of EGFR status on the effect of both bee venom PLA₂ and dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate.

### 5.1.3 Inhibition of TK activity of EGFR by gefitinib

Since it has been suggested that PLA₂ might require the TK activity of EGFR (Goldberg *et al*, 1990; Hack *et al*, 1991) for its activity, it was postulated that co-administration with a TK inhibitor (TKI) might reduce the cytotoxicity of PLA₂ and the dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate. To study the role of the TK activity of EGFR on PLA₂ activity, gefitinib (also known as ZD1839 or Iressa®) was selected as a TKI (Figure 5.3a). TKIs work by competing, either reversibly or irreversibly, with ATP for the intracellular catalytic site of EGFR and, in so doing inhibit receptor activation (El-Rayes and LoRusso, 2004). Consequently, the downstream signal transduction pathways involved in proliferation and survival of the cells, are blocked, without affecting EGFR expression (Magne *et al*, 2002). Gefitinib is a potent orally active reversible inhibitor of the intracellular domain of the EGFR TK that was approved by the FDA in 2003 for patients with refractory advanced NSCLC. However, in 2005 the FDA announced that gefitinib should be limited to patients who, in their physician’s opinion, are currently benefiting, or have previously benefited from gefitinib treatment, after a large study revealed that gefitinib did not, in fact, prolong life.

It was considered important to study the dextrin-PLA₂/ gefitinib combination to
Figure 5.3 Chemical structure of a) gefitinib (4-(3-chloro-4-fluoroanilino)-7-methoxy-6(3-morpholinopropoxy)quinazoline, RMM = 446.9 and b) doxorubicin, RMM = 543.52. RMM = Relative molecular mass.
(i) investigate whether TK activity was important for PLA$_2$ activity, and (ii) to define the potential clinical impact of using this combination of drugs clinically.

5.1.4 Combination chemotherapy

As a separate study, it was considered interesting to study dextrin-PLA$_2$ in combination with a conventional chemotherapeutic. Due to the molecular complexity of cancer, adjuvant chemotherapy with classical combinations of two or more drugs including alkylating agents, antimetabolites, taxanes and anthracyclines has shown the best 10-year survival rate in women with metastatic breast cancer (Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group, 1998). Addition of tamoxifen, a selective oestrogen-receptor modulator, to these regimens has improved survival rates among oestrogen-receptor positive women by 31\% (Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group, 2005), while trastuzumab, a mAb against HER type 2 (HER2) has improved disease-free survival by $\sim 50$\% among HER2-positive patients (Romond \textit{et al}, 2005).

More recently, studies have been investigating the potential of polymer-based combinations (reviewed in Duncan, 2006). PGA-paclitaxel with carboplatin has been tested in phase III trials on performance status level 2 (PS2) patients with advanced NSCLC (Nemunaitis \textit{et al}, 2005). Although the trials did not show a difference in overall survival compared to free paclitaxel and carboplatin combination, a clear gender difference was noted, such that women receiving PGA-paclitaxel demonstrated an improved survival at 1 year. Current opinion suggests that a correlation between oestrogen levels and conjugate activity exist, and oestrogen has been shown to increase the expression of cathepsin B, the lysosomal enzyme responsible for degradation of PGA (Kremer \textit{et al}, 1995).

It was therefore considered interesting to investigate whether dextrin-PLA$_2$ would show enhanced activity when used in combination with a conventional low-molecular weight chemotherapeutic agent. Doxorubicin (Figure 5.3b), an anthracycline, was selected as a model since it is widely used as a first line treatment for breast cancer.
5.1.5 Methods used for in vitro cell viability assessment

Cell viability is routinely assessed using a number of different methods, including i) measuring the incorporation of radiolabelled DNA precursors, such as $[^3]$H]-thymidine (Amirghofran et al, 2007), ii) measuring the uptake of dyes, such as trypan blue (Campbell et al, 2007), as an indication of membrane integrity and iii) the MTT assay. Despite the high sensitivity of the radiolabelled DNA precursors, this assay is highly hazardous due to the radioelements and can be expensive. The trypan blue exclusion assay is a cheaper alternative, however it is time consuming and is more suitable to suspension cells than monolayers since dead cells could detach from monolayers and would be lost from the assay.

For these studies, the MTT assay was chosen to measure the effect of free and dextrin-conjugated PLA$_2$ on cell viability. This assay has been routinely used to screen anticancer agents and polymer-anticancer agents (Alley et al, 1988) and was selected due to its simplicity, reproducibility and lack of requirement for radioisotopes.

5.1.6 Experimental aims

In summary, the aims of this study were to:

• Determine in vitro the cytotoxicity of the dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate towards high and low EGFR expressing cells (HT29, MCF-7 and B16F10) using the MTT assay (72 h). The cytotoxicity of dextrin and PLA$_2$ was determined as reference controls.

• Investigate the mechanism of action of PLA$_2$ and dextrin-PLA$_2$, by:
  • Studying the influence of EGF co-incubation on the cytotoxicity of PLA$_2$ and dextrin-PLA$_2$ in HT29, MCF-7 and B16F10 cells
  • Studying the effect of gefitinib on the activity of PLA$_2$ and dextrin-PLA$_2$ in HT29, MCF-7 and B16F10 cells

• Investigate the cytotoxicity of dextrin-PLA$_2$ in the presence of doxorubicin in MCF-7 cells as a possible combination therapy.
5.2 Methods

Succinoylated dextrin was prepared as described in section 3.2.2. EFdex4 (19.4 mol % succinoylation) was used as a control for succinoylated dextrin in the cytotoxicity assays. EFdex5 (20.9 mol % succinoylation) was used to synthesise dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates in these studies. Dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates were synthesised and characterised according to methods described in Chapter 4. DexPLA5 (MW ~ 215,000 g/mol; PLA₂ content 7.3 wt. %) was used for all the cell viability assays described here.

The general method for obtaining cell growth curves is described in section 2.4.6.4.

5.2.1 Imaging of cell lines

The morphology of the cells was observed whilst *in situ* in sterile glass-bottomed culture dishes. Cells were passaged and counted as described in section 2.4.6.3. Cells were subsequently seeded in sterile glass-bottomed culture dishes and allowed to adhere for 24 h. Bright-field images of cell morphology were taken using an inverted Leica DM IRB microscope with 12-bit cooled monochrome Retiga 1300 camera.

5.2.2 Use of the MTT assay to assess cell viability

For determination of cytotoxicity against MCF-7, HT29 and B16F10 cells, treatments were tested by MTT assay (section 2.4.6.5) using media supplemented as detailed in Table 5.3.

To study the effect of dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate, PLA₂ and dextrin on cell viability complete media was supplemented with a range of concentrations of each.

The influence of EGF on cell viability was assessed using complete media, including heat-inactivated FCS, and was supplemented with a range of EGF concentrations. (Heat-inactivated FCS was used to ensure denaturation of the growth hormones found naturally in FCS.)

To examine the effect of EGF on PLA₂ and dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate activity, complete media was used including heat-inactivated FCS, supplemented with EGF.
Table 5.3 Conditions and concentration ranges used for MTT assays.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>HI FCS?*</th>
<th>PLA₂ (µg/mL eq.)</th>
<th>EGF (ng/mL)</th>
<th>Gefitinib (µM)</th>
<th>Doxorubicin (µg/mL)</th>
<th>Dextrin (mg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLA₂</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.1-500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxorubicin</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.001-0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGF</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>1-500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gefitinib</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01-100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLA₂ + dox</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0025-0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLA₂ + EGF</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>0.1-500</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLA₂ + gefitinib</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>0.1-500</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dextrin</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1-1000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dextrin- PLA₂</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.1-500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dextrin- PLA₂ + dox</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0025-0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dextrin-PLA₂ + EGF</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>0.1-500</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dextrin-PLA₂ + gefitinib</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>0.1-500</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* HI FCS = heat-inactivated FCS
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(10 ng/mL).

To study the effect of gefitinib on cell viability, complete media including heat-inactivated FCS was used and was supplemented with a range of gefitinib concentrations.

When investigating the effect of gefitinib on cytotoxicity of PLA$_2$ and dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate, complete media including heat-inactivated FCS was also used but in this case was supplemented with gefitinib (1 μM) and the concentration of PLA$_2$ or dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate was varied.

When investigating the cytotoxicity of doxorubicin, complete medium was used and the doxorubicin concentration varied.

To study the effect of the dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate or PLA$_2$ combination with doxorubicin, complete medium was used. A PLA$_2$ or dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate concentration of 50 μg/mL was used and the doxorubicin concentration varied.

5.3 Results

First, the cell morphology and growth of HT29, MCF-7 and B16F10 cells was assessed (Figure 5.4). During the exponential phase of growth of HT29, MCF-7 and B16F10 cells, cell doubling times during the exponential growth phase were 1.2 days, 1.5 days and 1 day, respectively.

Having established the exponential growth phase of the cells, all cell viability experiments were carried out during this growth phase.

5.3.1 Cytotoxicity of free and dextrin-bound PLA$_2$

The concentration-dependent cytotoxicity of free PLA$_2$, dextrin and dextrin-PLA$_2$ in HT29, MCF-7 and B16F10 cells is shown in Figure 5.5. Table 5.4 summarises the IC$_{50}$ values derived from these plots.

As expected, dextrin was not toxic towards any of the cell lines (up to 1 mg/mL). Free PLA$_2$ was cytotoxic in all cell lines, and toxicity was most marked in HT29 cells (IC$_{50}$ = 40.0 μg/mL (HT29), 308.6 μg/mL (MCF-7), 108.3 μg/mL (B16F10)). Dextrin-PLA$_2$ was also cytotoxic in a dose-dependent manner in all cell lines and there was a tendency towards increased cytotoxicity compared to free PLA$_2$ (IC$_{50}$ = 16.3 μg/mL (HT29), 62.9 μg/mL (MCF-7), 133.3 μg/mL (B16F10)). Both
Figure 5.4 Morphology and growth curves for HT29, MCF-7 and B16F10 cells. Panel (a) HT29, panel (b) MCF-7, and panel (c) B16F10 cells. Panels d, e and f) show typical growth curve for the cell lines over 8 days. Pictures taken by bright field microscopy. Size bar = 10 μm. Cells were seeded at a density of $4 \times 10^4$ cells/mL (HT29 and B16F10) and $1 \times 10^4$ cells/mL (B16F10). Data shown represents the mean ($n=6$) ± SD.
Figure 5.5 Cell viability of HT29, MCF-7 and B16F10 cells incubated with native PLA$_2$, dextrin and dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate. Data represents % normal growth of control cells ± SEM, (n = 18). Where error bars are invisible they are within size of data points. * indicates significance compared to free PLA$_2$ control, where p<0.05 (ANOVA and Bonferroni post hoc test). NS defines no significant difference, where p>0.05, (calculated using ANOVA).
Table 5.4 IC$_{50}$ values for PLA$_2$, dextrin and dextrin-PLA$_2$ in HT29, MCF-7 and B16F10 cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>Tumour origin</th>
<th>PLA$_2$ (ng/mL)</th>
<th>Dextrin</th>
<th>Dextrin-PLA$_2$ (ng/mL)</th>
<th>% change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HT29</td>
<td>Human colon cancer</td>
<td>40.0 ± 2.0</td>
<td>&gt; 1000</td>
<td>16.3 ± 2.3</td>
<td>↓ 59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCF7</td>
<td>Human breast cancer</td>
<td>308.6 ± 6.9</td>
<td>&gt; 1000</td>
<td>62.9 ± 5.7</td>
<td>↓ 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B16F10</td>
<td>Murine melanoma</td>
<td>108.3 ± 13.8</td>
<td>&gt; 1000</td>
<td>133.3 ± 21.1</td>
<td>↑ 23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data represents IC$_{50}$ ± SEM, (n = 18).
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free and dextrin-conjugated PLA$_2$ were most toxic in HT29 cells, having the highest level of EGFR expression.

5.3.2 Effect of EGF on viability of cells treated with PLA$_2$ and dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate

When cells were incubated with EGF alone (up to 500 ng/mL), there was no significant effect on cell viability/growth (Figure 5.6).

When cells were incubated with EGF and PLA$_2$ or dextrin-PLA$_2$, the IC$_{50}$ values were derived from data represented in Figure 5.7 and are summarised in Table 5.5. Addition of EGF (10 ng/mL) and PLA$_2$ to HT29, MCF-7 and B16F10 cells led to an increase in PLA$_2$ toxicity. The IC$_{50}$ values seen decreased for all cell lines (26.5 µg/mL, 192.5 µg/mL and 194.0 µg/mL for HT29, MCF-7 and B16F10 cells, respectively).

In contrast, when dextrin-PLA$_2$ was added together with EGF there was a marked decrease in toxicity. The IC$_{50}$ values increased in all cell lines tested (91.2 µg/mL, 463.6 µg/mL and 339.4 µg/mL for HT29, MCF-7 and B16F10 cells, respectively). There was a significant difference between cytotoxicity of the treatment combinations in the different cell types (where p<0.05 (ANOVA and Bonferroni \textit{post hoc} test)).

5.3.3 Effect of gefitinib on viability of cells treated with PLA$_2$ and dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate

Addition of gefitinib to HT29, MCF-7 and B16F10 cells caused concentration-dependent toxicity in all cases (Figure 5.8). The IC$_{50}$ values were in the micromolar range for all cell types, namely 9 µM, 55 µM and 2.5 µM for HT29, MCF-7 and B16F10 cells, respectively.

Addition of gefitinib (1 µM) together with PLA$_2$ during incubation of HT29, MCF-7 and B16F10 cells led to decreased cytotoxicity (Figure 5.9 and IC$_{50}$ values are summarised in Table 5.6). An increase in the IC$_{50}$ values was seen for all cell lines tested (254.5 µg/mL, > 500 µg/mL and > 500 µg/mL for HT29, MCF-7 and B16F10 cells, respectively).
Figure 5.6 Cell viability of MCF-7, HT29 and B16F10 cells after 72 h incubation with EGF. Data represents % normal growth of control cells ± SEM, (n = 18). Where error bars are invisible they are within size of data points. NS defines no significant difference (p>0.05), calculated using ANOVA.
Figure 5.7 Cytotoxicity of PLA₂, PLA₂ + EGF, dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate and dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate + EGF against HT29 (panels a, b), MCF-7 (panels c, d) and B16F10 (panels e, f) cells after 72 h incubation. Data represents mean ± SEM (n = 18). Where error bars are invisible they are within size of data points. * indicates significance compared to PLA₂ or dextrin-PLA₂ control, where p<0.05 (calculated using student’s t-test). NS defines no significant difference, where p>0.05.
Table 5.5 IC<sub>50</sub> values of PLA<sub>2</sub> and dextrin-PLA<sub>2</sub> +/- EGF in HT29, MCF-7 and B16F10 cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>EGFR status</th>
<th>PLA&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; (µg/mL)</th>
<th>Dextrin-PLA&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; (µg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- EGF</td>
<td>+ EGF</td>
<td>% change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT29</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>40.0 ± 2.0</td>
<td>26.5 ± 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCF7</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>308.6 ± 6.9</td>
<td>192.5 ± 12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B16F10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>108.3 ± 13.8</td>
<td>194.0 ± 20.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data represents IC<sub>50</sub> ± SEM, (n = 18).

Table 5.6 IC<sub>50</sub> values of PLA<sub>2</sub> and dextrin-PLA<sub>2</sub> +/- gefitinib in HT29, MCF-7 and B16F10 cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>EGFR status</th>
<th>PLA&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; (µg/mL)</th>
<th>Dextrin-PLA&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; (µg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- gefitinib</td>
<td>+ gefitinib</td>
<td>% change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT29</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>40.0 ± 2.0</td>
<td>254.5 ± 5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCF7</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>308.6 ± 6.9</td>
<td>&gt; 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B16F10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>108.3 ± 13.8</td>
<td>&gt; 500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data represents IC<sub>50</sub> ± SEM, (n = 18).
Figure 5.8 Cell viability of HT29, MCF-7 and B16F10 cells incubated with gefitinib for 72 h. Data represents % normal growth of control cells ± SEM, (n = 18). Where error bars are invisible they are within size of data points. * indicates significance compared to B16F10 control, where p<0.05 (ANOVA and Bonferroni post hoc test).
Figure 5.9 Cytotoxicity of PLA2, PLA2 + gefitinib, dextrin-PLA2 conjugate and dextrin-PLA2 conjugate + gefitinib against HT29 (panels a, b), MCF-7 (panels c, d and B16F10 (panels e, f) cells after 72 h incubation. Data represents % normal growth of control cells ± SEM, (n = 18). Where error bars are invisible they are within size of data points. * indicates significance compared to PLA2 or dextrin-PLA2 control, where p<0.05 (calculated using student's t-test). NS defines no significant difference, where p>0.05.
Similarly, when gefitinib (1 μM) was added together with dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate, toxicity was also decreased for all cell types (IC₅₀ values = 516.7 μg/mL, 358.3 μg/mL and 383.3 μg/mL for HT29, MCF-7 and B16F10 cells, respectively). This effect was most marked for HT29 cells and was least noticeable in B16F10 cells. There was a statistically significant difference between cytotoxicity of the treatment combinations in the different cell types (where p<0.05 (ANOVA and Bonferroni post hoc test).

5.3.4 Combination of doxorubicin with PLA₂ or dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate

When MCF-7 cells were incubated with doxorubicin and PLA₂ or dextrin-PLA₂, cytotoxicity was significantly increased compared to the doxorubicin control, where p<0.05 (ANOVA and Bonferroni post hoc test) (Figure 5.10). Doxorubicin alone had an IC₅₀ value of 173.3 ng/mL, whereas addition of PLA₂ or dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate (50 μg/mL PLA₂-equiv.) decreased the IC₅₀ values to 36.7 ng/mL and 8.3 ng/mL, respectively (summarised in Table 5.7).

5.4 Discussion

5.4.1 Cytotoxicity of PLA₂

Previous studies reported the IC₅₀ values of the PLA₂, crotoxin, to be in the range of 50-100 μg/mL and 42 μg/mL in MCF-7 and HT29 cells, respectively (Donato et al., 1996; Yan et al., 2007). These values are similar to those obtained here with the bee venom PLA₂. Interestingly, Martikainen et al (1993) found no toxic effects when incubating MCF-7 cells with bee venom PLA₂. However they only investigated PLA₂ concentrations up to 4.5 U/mL (1.25 μg/mL) for 72 h, and since these studies showed that bee venom PLA₂ had an IC₅₀ of 308.6 μg/mL over a 72 h incubation, this lack of toxicity is not surprising.

5.4.2 Cytotoxicity of dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate

The cell viability studies conducted here (Figure 5.5, Table 5.4) showed that the dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate retained the cytotoxicity of native enzyme against all three cell lines studied. In fact, the IC₅₀ values observed for the dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate were lower, suggesting that the conjugate may be more active against these cells.
Figure 5.10 Cell viability of MCF-7 cells incubated with doxorubicin +/- PLA₂ and dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate (50 μg/mL PLA₂ eq.) for 72 h. Panel (a) shows effect of doxorubicin concentration on cell viability. Data represents % normal growth of control cells ± SEM, (n = 18). Where error bars are invisible they are within size of data points. * indicates significance compared to doxorubicin control, where p<0.05 (ANOVA and Bonferroni post hoc test). NS defines no significant difference, where p>0.05 (calculated using ANOVA).

Table 5.7 IC₅₀ values estimated from MTT assay (72 h) of MCF-7 cells incubated with doxorubicin +/- PLA₂ or dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IC₅₀ (ng/mL)</th>
<th>Doxorubicin</th>
<th>Doxorubicin + PLA₂</th>
<th>Doxorubicin + dextrin-PLA₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>173.3 ± 45</td>
<td>36.7 ± 3.3*</td>
<td>8.3 ± 3.3*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data represents % normal growth of control cells ± SEM, (n = 18). * p<0.05, vs. doxorubicin
lines than crotoxin. This was surprising given the potential masking of PLA₂ activity observed in Chapter 4.

It is postulated that while native PLA₂ hydrolyses phospholipids rapidly (turnover rate \( K_{ca} = 130 \, s^{-1} \) (derived from native bee venom hydrolysis of 1,2-dimyristoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphomethanol (DMPM) vesicles in the scooting mode at pH 8)) (Annand et al., 1996), the dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate is likely to have a slower turnover rate. Therefore, cytotoxicity after 72 h is the same for both free and conjugated PLA₂. In addition, the FCS present in the cell culture media contains proteins, including α-amylase. Over 72 h incubation this would be expected to slowly unmask the conjugated PLA₂. It was previously shown in Chapter 4 that succinoylated dextrin is degraded by α-amylase within 16 h (\( t_{1/2} = 30 \, \text{min} \)).

### 5.4.3 Mechanism of action of PLA₂ and conjugate cytotoxicity

Interestingly, dextrin-PLA₂ appeared to be more toxic towards HT29 (IC₅₀ = 16.3 \( \mu \text{g/mL} \)) than MCF-7 (IC₅₀ = 62.9 \( \mu \text{g/mL} \)) and B16F10 (IC₅₀ = 133.3 \( \mu \text{g/mL} \)) cells. This might be due to (i) co-localisation of PLA₂ to the EGFR (differences in expression reviewed in section 5.1.2), or (ii) differences in membrane composition of the various cell types.

Donato et al (1996) also noticed that crotoxin caused the most effective growth suppression in cells expressing high intrinsic levels of EGFR. Here, DiFi rectal carcinoma cells, having ~100 fold greater EGFR expression than HT29 cells, demonstrated ~20 fold greater sensitivity to crotoxin than HT29 cells (IC₅₀ = 2.2 \( \mu \text{g/mL} \) (DiFi), 42 \( \mu \text{g/mL} \) (HT29)).

While the addition of EGF (10 ng/mL) to PLA₂ enhanced the cytotoxicity seen in HT29 and MCF-7 cells (Figure 5.7, Table 5.5), the reverse was true when EGF was added to dextrin-PLA₂. It is interesting to consider why.

Activation of EGFR induces very rapid trafficking of the internalised receptor pool to the cell surface resulting in initial upregulation of EGFR numbers (Mathur et al., 2000). This is followed by significantly accelerated endocytosis of EGFR-ligand complexes by clathrin-coated pits. After internalisation, the receptors are directed via endosomes to the lysosomal compartment for proteolytic degradation. This leads to
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EGFR downregulation and an associated reduction of growth factor signalling (Sorkina et al., 2002).

Perhaps when cells are treated with PLA₂ in the presence of EGF they both interact with the cell surface immediately and can therefore take advantage of the high number of EGFR on the cell surface. However, since dextrin-PLA₂ must first be unmasked, it may be that the EGFR are downregulated during the lag phase for PLA₂ release. Consequently, there would be less EGFR available on the cell surface for the dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate to interact with. This hypothesis would explain the observed differences in cytotoxicity but the literature is confused in this respect.

Donato et al. (1996) showed that pre-treatment of cells with 2 nM EGF (4 h) prior to crotoxin exposure partially suppressed its anti-proliferative activity in A431 cells. They concluded that this was as a result of downregulation of EGFR since no direct binding of crotoxin to the receptor was observed. In another study, Goldberg et al. (1990) studied the effect of EGF on PLA₂ activity in HER14 cells. They showed that pre-incubation of cells with 16 nM EGF (20 min) increased PLA₂ activity by 226 ± 30 %.

Another plausible explanation for the relationship between PLA₂ and EGFR stems from research showing that stimulation of epidermoid cells with EGF triggers immediate membrane ruffling and macropinocytosis (Araki et al., 2007; Hewlett et al., 1994). Since membrane ruffling requires actin, which in turn relies on TK-activated effectors such as Ras, SRC and PI 3-kinase found downstream in the EGFR signalling pathway (Figure 5.1), it follows that these two processes are connected. In fact, Jones (2007) reviewed a number of studies showing that activation of SRC oncogene, a non-receptor kinase, leads to macropinocytosis. Since it has been previously shown that PLA₂ is most active towards unstable membranes (Burack and Biltonen, 1994; Jorgensen et al., 2002), it is feasible that EGF-induced membrane ruffling could disturb the cell's membrane integrity and make it more prone to PLA₂. Moreover, cells expressing higher levels of EGFR would be expected to display more extensive membrane ruffling.
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The PLA₂ and dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate cytotoxicity showed partial correlation with the EGFR expression. They were more cytotoxic towards HT29 than MCF-7 and B16F10 cells. However, melanoma cells have been reported to constitutively express multiple growth factors (Rodeck *et al.*, 1991) and it is possible that there are some non-specific effects of EGF occurring in B16F10 cells. For this reason, it is not surprising that B16F10 results did not always correlate with the predicted behaviour.

5.4.3.1 Evidence for a role of the TK domain of EGFR in the mechanism of dextrin-PLA₂ cytotoxicity

Since there is evidence that TK activity is required for membrane ruffling and macropinocytosis, it is postulated that co-incubation of PLA₂ and dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate with gefitinib, a TKI, inhibits these processes and its associated membrane destabilising effects. This theory would provide an explanation for the decreased sensitivity of cells exposed to PLA₂ and dextrin-PLA₂ in the presence of gefitinib.

An inverse correlation has been reported between the IC₅₀ value of gefitinib and the cell's EGFR expression (Magne *et al.*, 2002). This was also true in part here as gefitinib showed greater activity towards HT29 than MCF-7 cells. Interestingly, here B16F10 cells showed the greatest sensitivity to gefitinib. This is difficult to explain but could be due to non-specific effects of murine growth factor receptor inhibition.

The IC₅₀ values obtained in these studies were comparable to those described by Magne *et al.* (2002b) who reported IC₅₀ values of 6.1 µM and 31.2 µM for CAL33 and Hep-2 cells, respectively. Since gefitinib selectively inhibits TK activity only at concentrations up to 1 µM (AstraZeneca, 2003) it is unlikely that these results are purely related to the effects on the EGFR signalling pathway. It is thought that at concentrations above 1 µM, the effects on cell viability cannot be solely attributed to inhibition of the EGFR pathway.

Addition of gefitinib (1 µM) to cells incubated with PLA₂ or dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate led to diminished cytotoxicity of PLA₂ and dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate in all cases. This is consistent with previous suggestions that PLA₂ requires TK activity of the EGFR for its cytotoxic effects (Goldberg *et al.*, 1990). Furthermore, crototoxin has been shown to stimulate tyrosine phosphorylation of the EGFR in A431 cells in
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vitro, but with no apparent binding to the receptor itself (Donato et al, 1996).

These results with gefitinib confirm that the EGFR TK domain is indirectly involved in the cytotoxicity of dextrin-PLA₂ and suggest that it would not be clinically beneficial to administer dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate with gefitinib as a combination therapy.

5.4.3.2 Alternative explanation

As briefly mentioned in section 5.4.3, there is a second possible explanation for the different cytotoxicities seen in the cell lines tested. Cancer cells are known to have a different membrane composition to normal cells (Monteggia et al, 2000; Punnonen et al, 1989). A PLA₂ demonstrates preference towards certain phospholipids (PC, PE and SM). Therefore, it is possible that HT29 (and MCF-7, to a lesser extent) have a higher proportion of these phospholipids in their cell membranes.

Differences between the lipid composition of the cell membrane and the endosome membrane have also been described. However, while some research suggests that SM and PS are more enriched in endosomes than plasma membranes (Urade et al, 1988), others have reported a high SM and low PS content in both endosomes and the plasma membrane (Evans and Hardison, 1985). If endosomes and lysosomes contain high levels of PC, PE and SM, it is possible that PLA₂ (or the dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate) which has been endocytosed preferentially hydrolyses the endosomal membrane, rather than the cell membrane. This could result in cell death by the release of acidic contents and/or lysosomal enzymes.

These findings led us to investigate whether PLA₂ is internalised by the cell, and if conjugation to dextrin alters this process (Chapter 6).

5.4.4 Potential for combination therapy with doxorubicin

The use of doxorubicin continues to be limited by its cumulative dose-related cardiotoxicity and the related resistance mechanisms (reviewed in Hortobagyi, 1997). Therefore, administering this drug in combination with dextrin-PLA₂ might
lead to improved activity.

In fact, the cytotoxicity of doxorubicin towards MCF-7 cells was enhanced by the addition of both PLA$_2$ and dextrin-PLA$_2$. Addition of doxorubicin to PLA$_2$ did not enhance cytotoxicity as much as seen for dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate. However, since dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate alone was more toxic than free PLA$_2$ in MCF-7 cells (Figure 5.5), the effects seen here appear to be just additive (i.e. $A + B = C$), and not synergistic ($A + B = C^{**}$).

These findings are interesting considering that low, yet clinically relevant concentrations of doxorubicin, have been reported to inhibit iPLA$_2$ activity \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} (Swift \textit{et al}, 2003; Swift \textit{et al}, 2007). Anthracycline-induced inhibition of porcine pancreatic sPLA$_2$ has also been described, suggesting that it is not just iPLA$_2$ that is susceptible to inhibition (Mustonen and Kinnunen, 1991).

5.5 Conclusions

These studies demonstrated that dextrin-PLA$_2$ was equal to or better than free PLA$_2$ as a cytotoxic agent towards HT29, MCF-7 and B16F10 cells \textit{in vitro}. There was generally a correlation between EGFR expression and PLA$_2$-mediated cytotoxicity, with cells expressing higher levels of EGFR being more susceptible to the anti-proliferative activity of PLA$_2$.

Whereas the activity of free PLA$_2$ was enhanced by the addition of EGF, the activity of the conjugate was reduced. This was presumably due to the altered kinetics of PLA$_2$ bioavailability.

It has been shown that inhibition of the TK domain of EGFR reduces cytotoxicity of PLA$_2$ and dextrin-PLA$_2$, implying that PLA$_2$ requires TK activity of the EGFR for its activity.

Finally, addition of doxorubicin to MCF-7 cells incubated with PLA$_2$ or dextrin-PLA$_2$ resulted in additive, but not synergistic cytotoxic activity.

To investigate further the cellular fate of the dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugates flow cytometry and confocal microscopy were used to examine cell uptake and intracellular trafficking (Chapter 6).
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Cellular Uptake and Intracellular Localisation of OG-labelled Dextrin-PLA₂ Conjugates
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6.1 Introduction

It has been shown that dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates retained the cytotoxicity of free PLA₂ (Chapter 5). However, it remained unclear whether PLA₂ was acting at the cell surface, or on endosomal membranes following internalisation (Figure 6.1). Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate to what extent dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates are taken up by cells and to compare uptake to that of unconjugated PLA₂ and dextrin. It was hoped that this might clarify whether PLA₂ exerts its lipolytic activity at the cell surface, or inside endosomes after internalisation.

Flow cytometry was used to quantitate cell uptake at 37 °C, and cell binding at 4 °C. In parallel, confocal microscopy was used to visualise the intracellular fate of fluorescently labelled dextrin, dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate and PLA₂.

6.1.1 Need to study endocytosis and trafficking of dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates

Although interfacial catalysis by PLA₂ has been widely studied in terms of enzyme kinetics and EGFR involvement (Scott et al., 1990; van den Berg et al., 1995), its endocytic pathway has not been as well characterised. While most research assumes that PLA₂ acts at the cell surface, there are a number of factors that suggest that PLA₂ could preferentially act on endosomal or other intracellular membranes following endocytosis. These include: (i) PLA₂'s preference for curved membranes (Best et al., 2002), and (ii) the potentially higher endosomal content of phospholipids that are preferentially hydrolysed by PLA₂ (PC, PE and SM) (Diez et al., 1994; Monteggia et al., 2000). Moreover, previous studies have shown that crotoxin induces upregulation of lysosomal enzymes, and an increased formation of autophagosomes and autophagic vacuoles (Yan et al., 2007).

Polymer conjugation has been widely used as a means of improving drug delivery (reviewed in Duncan, 2007; reviewed in Haag and Kratz, 2006). However, while polymer-protein conjugation has shown particular success for the delivery of proteins to extracellular targets, intracellular delivery has proved to be more challenging (Brown et al., 2001). Therefore, it was important to establish whether conjugation of PLA₂ to dextrin would alter its endocytic properties.
Figure 6.1 Schematic of the possible sites of PLA₂ activity. Where (1) co-localisation to EGFR triggers hydrolysis of phospholipids in cell membrane, (2) hydrolysis of endosomal membrane phospholipids, and (3) hydrolysis of lysosomal membrane phospholipids.
6.1.2 Mechanism of uptake

Given that polymer-protein conjugates typically have a diameter of ~ 20 nm (reviewed in Duncan, 2003) they are potentially liable to internalisation by a number of different endocytic pathways, as shown schematically in Figure 6.2.

These are briefly described here in more detail:

1. **Clathrin-mediated endocytosis:** Clathrin-coated pits have a diameter of ~ 120 nm. Typically, the assembly of a clathrin coated basket-like structure results in membrane invagination and eventual internalisation of a variety of ligand-receptor complexes into clathrin-coated vesicles (reviewed in Johannes and Lamaze, 2002). Since it has been suggested that PLA₂ interacts with EGFR and/or its ligand (Donato et al., 1996; Hack et al., 1991; Margolis et al., 1988; Zhao et al., 2002) and EGF is known to be internalised by clathrin-mediated endocytosis (Sorkina et al., 2002), it is plausible that PLA₂ could be internalised by this mechanism. Some studies have suggested that while negatively-charged nanoparticles do not utilise the clathrin-mediated endocytic pathway for endocytosis, positively charged nanoparticles are rapidly internalised by this mechanism (Harush-Frenkel et al., 2007). Consequently, given that succinoylated dextrin has a net negative charge as a result of the unreacted carboxylic acid groups, dextrin-conjugation may influence uptake of PLA₂ by this route.

2. **Caveolae-mediated endocytosis:** Caveolae are flask-shaped invaginations of the cell membrane with a diameter of 50-90 nm (reviewed in Nichols, 2003), meaning that a typical polymer-protein conjugate could be taken up by the cell by this route. Studies of cationic polymers have shown that they use the caveolae-mediated route of endocytosis for cellular uptake (van der Aa et al., 2007), which could imply that dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates may be precluded from this route since dextrin would mask the enzyme's charge.

3. **Macropinocytosis:** Macropinosomes occur as a result of membrane ruffling and subsequent formation of big vesicles called macropinosomes (1-5 μm). Macropinocytosis occurs constitutively in some tumour cells (reviewed in Johannes...
Chapter 6 Cellular Uptake of OG-labelled Conjugates

Macropinocytosis

Clathrin- mediated

Caveolae- mediated

Non-clathrin, non-caveolin-dependent

Early endosome

Recycling compartment

Golgi

Lysosome

Nucleus

Endoplasmic reticulum

Figure 6.2 Simplified schematic of the possible routes of uptake of dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates. Adapted from Connor and Schmid (2003); Sorkin (2000).
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and Lamaze, 2002), although it is more commonly a triggered event, most notably following stimulation by EGF (West et al, 1989). Since there is evidence that PLA₂ activity is associated with EGFR (Chapter 5), PLA₂ may itself stimulate macropinocytosis by this mechanism. Furthermore, the large size of macropinosomes permits the inclusion of dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates, which are ~ 20 nm.

4. **Non-clathrin, non-caveolin-dependent endocytosis:** This non-specific internalisation mechanism is thought to involve formation of vesicles with a diameter of ~ 90 nm (reviewed in Connor and Schmid, 2003), which could allow uptake of a dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate.

Macromolecules can also be endocytosed by phagocytosis. This process is conducted primarily by specialised cells, including macrophages, monocytes and neutrophils (reviewed in Connor and Schmid, 2003). However, since phagocytosis is a highly regulated process involving specific cell-surface receptors and signaling cascades, it is not likely that dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate would be susceptible to this route of cellular uptake. In all cases though, PLA₂ and dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate could be taken up by fluid phase pinocytosis if internalised as solutes.

After internalisation, all macromolecules are directed towards the early endosomes (Gruenberg, 2001; Jones et al, 2003). Subsequently, they can be transferred to: (i) the degradative pathway (endosomes and lysosomes) (Luzio et al, 2001); (ii) the recycling pathway (Maxfield and McGraw, 2004); and (iii) the endoplasmic reticulum after trafficking through the Trans-Golgi network and Golgi apparatus.

Although no correlation has been found between ‘entry route’ of polymer therapeutics and their subsequent trafficking, it is important to determine the cellular fate of dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates, since this may affect the cytotoxicity of PLA₂. For example, it was previously suggested that polymeric vectors for protein delivery do not promote cytosolic access if they are rapidly trafficked to the lysosomes (reviewed in Duncan, 2003).
6.1.3 Selection of a fluorescent probe

In order to follow the intracellular fate of dextrin, PLA₂ and dextrin-PLA₂ by flow cytometry and confocal microscopy it was first necessary to label them with a fluorescent probe. Before conjugation, it was essential to select an appropriate probe. A hydrophobic probe would promote non-specific cell binding or alter the conformation of the polymer or protein, thus altering its intracellular trafficking.

OG was selected as the fluorescent probe of choice here since it has previously been conjugated to cationic PAMAM dendrimers and linear and branched PEI (Seib et al., 2007) as well as HPMA copolymer conjugates (Wallom, 2008). The fluorescence excitation and absorption wavelengths (λ_exc = 488 nm and λ_em = 520 nm) and molar extinction coefficient of OG are similar to those of FITC, a popular fluorescent probe, however, FITC has the disadvantage of being susceptible to photobleaching and fluorescence quenching at pH < 7 and following protein conjugation (Lanz et al., 1997). OG, on the other hand, has demonstrated better photostability and the fluorescence of OG is less susceptible to quenching upon protein conjugation (Invitrogen Molecular Technologies, 2006).

Intracellular trafficking can expose polymer conjugates to markedly different environments within the cell, so it was important for the fluorescent probe to be unaffected by the changing pH through the endocytic pathway. pH-dependent quenching of fluorescent probes leads to inaccurate quantification of cell-associated fluorescence, because equal quantities of fluorescent probe would lead to altered fluorescent outputs, depending on its cellular localisation. OG has demonstrated less pH dependent quenching between pH 5.5-7.4 than FITC (where pH 5.4, 6.4 and 7.4 correspond to the pH found in the extracellular environment, endosomes and lysosomes, respectively) (Seib et al., 2007).

6.1.4 Flow cytometry

Flow cytometry was used to monitor cell uptake and binding. It allows rapid analysis of a large number of cells for the individual measurements of cell fluorescence and light scattering (Melamed et al., 1990). Further categorisation and cell sorting of cell populations by parallel staining of other cellular parameters, including DNA, is also possible using this system (Lostumbo et al., 2006).
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technique has been used to assess accumulation of fluorescent drugs, such as doxorubicin (Dordal et al., 1995; Greco et al., 2007) and fluorescently labelled polymers (Seib et al., 2007; Shukla et al., 2006) in cells. Flow cytometry was chosen because it is more sensitive than simple measurement of cell-associated fluorescence and does not require fixation or digestion prior to measurement (Ramanathan, 1997).

6.1.5 Confocal microscopy

Confocal microscopy allows visualisation of several co-incubated fluorescent probes to enable comparison of their cellular localisation relative to specific intracellular organelles and it has been widely used to visualise the cellular fate of fluorescently-labelled polymer conjugates (Nan et al., 2005; Seib et al., 2007). It has the advantage that live cell imaging avoids fixation artefacts.

However, fixation of cells brings the advantage of enabling immunostaining of specific markers and definitive subcellular localisation of fluorescently-labelled components. Unfortunately, traditional fixation methods, such as aldehyde cross-linking followed by detergent extraction or cold solvent precipitation/extraction are inappropriate, since they permeabilise intracellular membranes, allowing non-fixed materials, including water-soluble polymers, to diffuse out of their subcellular compartments. The main challenge associated with fixation of polymers for fluorescence microscopy is ensuring their containment within the vesicular compartments they occupy in living cells.

We recently developed a new method of cell fixation to circumvent these fixation problems and ensure retention of OG-labelled dextrin within specific intracellular vesicles (Richardson et al., 2008). In these studies, the early endocytic compartments of MCF-7 cells were identified using an antibody to early endosomal antigen 1 (EEA1) (Mills et al., 1999), and late endocytic structures (including late endosomes and lysosomes (LE/L)) were characterised using an antibody to lysosome-associated membrane protein 1 (LAMP-1) (Luzio et al., 2001). Here these techniques were used to follow the intracellular fate of OG-labelled dextrin.

6.1.6 Aims of this study

In summary, the aims of these studies were to:
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• Synthesise, purify and characterise OG-labelled dextrin, PLA₂ and dextrin-PLA₂. Also, it was important to investigate the effect of conjugation on the fluorescence properties of OG.

• Measure the intracellular uptake (37 °C) and extracellular binding (4 °C) of OG-labelled conjugates by MCF-7 cells over 1 h using flow cytometry.

• In parallel, fluorescence microscopy (confocal microscopy) was used to visualise the cellular localisation of the OG-labelled conjugates in MCF-7 cells using EEA1 to mark early endosomes and LAMP-1 to label late endosomes.

6.2 Methods

Succinoylated dextrin was prepared as described in section 3.2.2. EFdex6 (22.3 mol % succinoylation) was used for these studies. Dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates were synthesised and characterised according to methods described in Chapter 4. DexPLA6 (MW ~ 190,000 g/mol; PLA₂ content 6.1 wt. %) was used in these studies.

The general methods used in these studies for conjugate characterisation included the BCA assay (section 2.4.4.3), SDS PAGE (section 2.4.4.2) and FPLC (section 2.4.4.1).

6.2.1 OG-labelling of dextrin, PLA₂ and dextrin-PLA₂, and conjugate characterisation

The methods used are summarised in Figure 6.3.

First, OG cadaverine was conjugated to dextrin and PLA₂. Succinoylated dextrin (150 mg) and PLA₂ (5 mg) were each dissolved under stirring in 1 mL PBS buffer (pH 7.4) in a 10 mL round-bottomed flask. To this, EDC (20 molar eq.) was added, and the mixture allowed to dissolve for 10 min. Next, sulfo-NHS (20 molar eq.) was added to the mixture and left stirring for 40 min. Subsequently, OG (dissolved in ddH₂O (5 mg/mL) and stored at -20 °C until use; 2 molar eq.) was added. NaOH (0.5

183
Figure 6.3 Summary of synthetic routes for OG conjugation. Methods described in section 6.2.1.
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M) was added dropwise to raise the pH to 8.0 and the reaction mixture was left stirring in the dark (5 h) and monitored by TLC.

Free OG solution and a crude reaction mixture were spotted onto a silica gel TLC plate (on aluminium support). It was placed in a tank containing the methanol solvent and allowed to run until the solvent front was 1 cm from the top. The spots were visualised under a UV light.

The conjugate was purified by SEC using a disposable PD-10 desalting column containing Sephadex G25 equilibrated with PBS (25 mL added in total). The entire reaction mixture was added to the column and 7 mL of the eluted PBS was collected, lyophilised and stored at -20 °C. UV spectroscopy was used to determine the total OG content. The OG-loading was determined by analysing a 1 mg/mL solution in PBS and relating this to a previously derived extinction coefficient for OG in PBS (pH 7.4) (75,000 L/mol/cm). Free OG content was always < 2 % of total OG content.

Specific activity (µg OG per mg OG-labelled conjugate) was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\text{Specific activity} = \frac{(\mu g \text{ OG from UV}) \times \% \text{ OG bound}}{100 \times \text{mg OG-labelled conjugate}}
\]

The reaction mixture was characterised by SEC prior to and after purification by analysis of SEC fractions for fluorescence (free: bound OG). Fractions (0.5 mL) were collected in 0.5 mL eppendorf tubes (total 45 fractions). A sample of each fraction (100 µL) was placed in duplicate into a black 96-well microtitre plate and measured using a fluorescent plate reader (λ\text{ex} = 485 nm, λ\text{em} = 520 nm, gain 1000).

To estimate how much bound and free OG was present in each conjugate, a sample (50 µL) of the crude reaction mixture and final product were diluted to 0.5 mL with PBS and subjected to PD-10 elution with PBS. The values were then plotted against fraction volume and bound OG was expressed as percentage of total fluorescence measure for all fractions.

Dextrin-PLA\textsubscript{2} conjugate was prepared using OG-labelled dextrin synthesised as
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described above, and unlabelled PLA₂, according to the methods described in section 4.2.

6.2.2 Effect of OG-conjugation to dextrin, PLA₂ and dextrin-PLA₂ on fluorescence output

First, the fluorescence emission spectrum for OG and the OG-labelled conjugates was determined in ddH₂O. OG and OG-labelled conjugates were dissolved in ddH₂O (100 ng/mL OG-eq.) and their emission spectra measured using excitation and emission filters selected from the λ exc and λ em wavelengths of OG (λ exc = 488 nm and λ em = 520 nm).

6.2.3 Measurement of MCF-7 uptake and binding by flow cytometry

The general methods used for flow cytometry and the data analysis were described in section 2.4.6.6. In these experiments all probes were used at an OG-eq. concentration of 1.5 μg/mL OG-eq. in all cases. An incubation of MCF-7 cells without probe was used to account for cell autofluorescence. The cytotoxicity studies involving PLA₂ were performed after an incubation period of 72 h, so it is important to note that all uptake studies were undertaken for a maximum of 1 h, to ensure no cell toxicity. Throughout results are expressed as the cell-fluorescence association (geometric mean) of the viable cell population, corrected for cell auto-fluorescence.

6.2.4 Detection of free OG after incubation of OG-labelled conjugates with cells

Since liberation of free OG in the culture medium or after cell uptake could potentially cause artefacts in the cell fluorescence seen (due to more rapid uptake compared to macromolecular conjugates), the cell culture medium was subjected to analysis by PD-10 chromatography in specific experiments to measure the levels of free OG at the end of the incubation period.

First, a disposable PD-10 desalting column containing Sephadex G25 was equilibrated with PBS (25 mL added in total). A sample of the culture media was added to the PD-10 column (0.5 mL). Fractions (0.5 mL in PBS) were then collected in 0.5 mL eppendorf tubes (total 45 fractions). Then, 100 μL of each fraction was
placed (in duplicate) into a black 96-well microtitre plate and the fluorescence measured using a fluorescent plate reader ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 485$ nm, $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 520$ nm, gain 1000). The values obtained were then plotted against fraction volume and free OG content was expressed as percentage of total fluorescence measured in all fractions.

FPLC was also used to evaluate the stability of OG-labelled dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugates after incubation with MCF-7 cells. Cell culture media containing OG-labelled conjugate was removed from the 6-well plate using a pipette and immediately added to the PD-10 column (200 µL). The method for FPLC is described in section 2.4.4.1.

### 6.2.5 Confocal microscopy

Figure 6.4 shows a schematic summary of the protocols used for the fluorescence microscopy experiments conducted using MCF-7 cells.

MCF-7 cells were seeded in 12-well plates containing a sterile glass coverslip in each well ($1 \times 10^6$ cells/mL) in WRPMI + 5 % FCS and allowed to adhere for 24 h. The medium was then replaced with either fresh medium containing:

- BSA-Texas Red (BSA-TR) (TR eq. at 50 µg/mL),
- OG-labelled dextrin and BSA-TR (OG eq. at 50 µg/mL, TR eq. at 50 µg/mL),
- OG-labelled dextrin-PLA$_2$ or OG-labelled PLA$_2$ (OG eq. at 50 µg/mL).

The cells were incubated for either 4 h (BSA-TR, OG-labelled dextrin) or 1 h (OG-labelled dextrin-PLA$_2$ or OG-labelled PLA$_2$) in the presence of 200 µM leupeptin (to minimise lysosomal degradation by proteases). Then the medium was removed and the cells were washed with PBS (37 °C, 3 x 0.5 mL). After the final wash, fresh medium (0.5 mL) containing 200 mM leupeptin was added for the prescribed chase time (16 h for BSA-TR, OG-labelled dextrin and BSA-TR; 4 h for OG-labelled dextrin-PLA$_2$ or OG-labelled PLA$_2$). At the end of the chase, the medium was removed and the cells were washed with PBS (37 °C, 3 x 0.5 mL) prior to cell fixation. Control cells (not incubated with a probe) were also prepared for analysis to account for the cell autofluorescence. Cells were fixed in either cold methanol (pre-chilled to -20 °C) and incubated for 5 min at -20 °C or placed in
Figure 6.4 Schematic describing the protocols used for confocal microscopy experiments. Panel (a) shows the methods used to generate data in Figures 6.12b and 6.12c, and panel (b) shows the methods used to generate data in Figures 6.12a, 6.13 and 6.14.
freshly prepared 2 % w/v PFA (20 min, at 25 °C).

Those cells to be immunolabelled after aldehyde fixation were subjected to detergent extraction with PBS containing 50 mM glycine and 0.2 % v/v Triton-X 100 (5 min, 25 °C), however, this step was omitted when imaging OG-labelled dextrin in order to maintain the integrity of the limiting membrane of intracellular vesicles and prevent leaking of OG-labelled dextrin from vesicles. Before immunolabelling, non-specific binding sites were blocked by incubating the cells in 2 % v/v goat serum in PBS (60 min, 25 °C). The following dilutions were used for the primary hybridisation (60 min at 25 °C): anti-EEA1 (1:300), anti-LAMP-1 (1:10). The secondary hybridisation was performed using anti-mouse antibodies labelled with either Alexafluor 488 or Cy5 for 60 min at 25 °C (1:500).

Confocal microscopy was performed on a Leica SP5 system. Standard procedures were followed to minimise bleed from one channel to another (giving rise to false positives) and sample photobleaching. All images were collected using monochromatic CCD cameras and data was collected using dedicated software supplied by the manufacturers. At least three representative images were obtained for each sample and exported as tagged image files (TIF); typical results are shown. Merged image were generated using Photoshop. Examples of co-localisation between the endocytic probe (i.e. BSA-TR) and the compartment marker (i.e. antibody) are indicated using arrows.

6.3 Results
6.3.1 Synthesis and characterisation of OG-labelled conjugates

TLC performed after a 5 h reaction at 20 °C in the dark showed a fluorescent spot on the baseline for OG-labelled conjugates while free OG moved up the plate with the solvent front. These reaction conditions were ample for efficient OG conjugation and all subsequent batches of OG-labelled conjugates were synthesised using this method.

Free OG eluted from the PD-10 column after 6.5 - 20 mL whereas the OG
conjugates eluted in the void volume (0.5 - 4 mL), while unreacted OG eluted much later (4.5 - 20 mL) (Figure 6.5). Figure 6.5b-d shows typical elution profiles obtained for OG-labelled PLA₂, OG-labelled dextrin and OG-labelled dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates, before and after purification by PD-10 column. The OG-labelled PLA₂ still had 11.3 % free OG after the first pass through the PD-10 column, therefore it was necessary to repeat the purification step with this conjugate to achieve 0.83 % free OG content. All conjugates used in these experiments contained < 1.51 % free OG. The characteristics of the OG-labelled conjugates synthesised are summarised in Table 6.1. All OG-labelled conjugates had similar specific activities (3.15 - 4.96 μg OG/ mg OG-labelled conjugate).

The fluorescence absorption and emission spectra of OG can be seen in Figure 6.6 (λ<sub>ex</sub> = 488 nm and λ<sub>em</sub> = 520 nm). The fluorescence excitation and emission spectra of OG-labelled conjugates are also shown in Figure 6.6, and are not markedly different from those of free OG.

### 6.3.2 Cell uptake of OG-labelled conjugates

An increase in cell-associated fluorescence was seen for all the OG-labelled conjugates after 1 h at 37 °C, with the increase seen for OG-labelled PLA₂ being the greatest (Figure 6.7). Cell-associated fluorescence was reduced in all cases at 4 °C compared to 37 °C (Figure 6.8). When comparing the percentage of fluorescence which was attributable to the internalised OG-labelled conjugates, dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate showed the highest increase in internalisation after 60 min, with 76 % of total cell-associated fluorescence being internalised (Figure 6.9).

### 6.3.3 Stability of OG-labelled conjugates in vitro

Prior to incubation of OG-labelled conjugates with MCF-7 cells, OG-labelled dextrin, OG-labelled PLA₂ and OG-labelled dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate contained 0.49 %, 0.83 % and 1.51 % of free OG, respectively. After 1 h incubation with MCF-7 cells, free OG levels were estimated as 8.41 %, 15.88 % and 9.36 %, respectively (Figure 6.10a-c, Table 6.2).

FPLC of OG-labelled dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate containing cell culture media
Figure 6.5 Evaluation of reaction yield and purity of OG-labelled conjugates using a PD-10 column. Panel a) shows the elution profile of free OG in PBS. Panels b-d show the elution profile of the crude and purified (b) OG-labelled dextrin, (c) OG-labelled PLA$_2$, (d) OG-labelled dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate. PD-10 columns eluted with PBS. (Cont. overleaf)
Figure 6.5 Evaluation of reaction yield and purity of OG-labelled conjugates using PD-10 column. (Cont. from overleaf)
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Table 6.1 Characteristics of OG-labelled conjugates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Molar ratio (OG: dextrin or PLA₂)</th>
<th>Labelling efficiency (µg OG/mg conjugate)</th>
<th>Free OG (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dextrin-OG</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLA₂-OG</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dextrin-PLA₂-OG</td>
<td>0.34 (dex: OG)</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.93 (PLA₂: OG)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Figure 6.6 Fluorescence excitation and emission spectra of OG (100 ng/mL) and evaluation of the effect of conjugation on the fluorescence spectrum. Panel a) shows the fluorescence emission spectra, and panel b) shows the fluorescence excitation spectra. OG and OG-labelled conjugates were studied in ddH₂O.
Figure 6.7 Uptake of OG-conjugates conjugates by MCF-7 cells at 4 °C. Panels a-c show scatter plots for cell associated fluorescence at 4 °C after incubation (1 h) with a) OG-labelled dextrin, b) OG-labelled PLA₂ and c) OG-labelled dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate. Panel d) shows a histogram to compare cell associated fluorescence of OG-labelled conjugates, compared to control cells. Control cells (incubated only with medium) are shown in black.
Figure 6.8 Uptake of OG-labelled conjugates by MCF-7 cells at 37 °C. Panels a-c show scatter plots for cell associated fluorescence at 37 °C after incubation (1 h) with a) OG-labelled dextrin b) OG-labelled PLA₂ and c) OG-labelled dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate. Panel d) shows a histogram to compare cell associated fluorescence of OG-labelled conjugates, compared to control cells. Control cells (incubated only with medium) are shown in black.
Figure 6.9 Cell associated fluorescence of OG-labelled dextrin, OG-labelled PLA$_2$ and OG-labelled dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate by MCF-7 cells. Panel a) shows uptake of OG-labelled dextrin, OG-labelled PLA$_2$ and OG-labelled dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate at 37 °C and 4 °C, and the calculated internalisation. Panel b) shows % of cell-associated fluorescence that represented by internalisation ($(FL_{37\,\circ C} - FL_{4\,\circ C})/FL_{37\,\circ C} \times 100$). Data represents mean ± SD, n = 3. * indicates significance compared to OG-labelled dextrin control, where p<0.05 (ANOVA and Bonferroni post hoc test). NS defines no significant difference, where p>0.05, (calculated using ANOVA).
Figure 6.10 Evaluation of cell culture medium after incubation of MCF-7 cells with OG-labelled conjugates (1 h, 37 °C). Panels a-c show the PD-10 column elution profile of (a) OG-labelled dextrin, (b) OG-labelled PLA₂, and (c) OG-labelled dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate. PD-10 columns eluted with PBS. (Cont. overleaf)
Figure 6.10 Evaluation of cell culture medium after incubation of MCF-7 cells with OG-labelled conjugates (1 h, 37 °C). (Cont. from overleaf)
Table 6.2 Summary of free OG released from OG-labelled conjugates during a 1 h incubation with MCF-7 cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Before incubation (%)</th>
<th>After incubation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dextrin-OG</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>8.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLA₂-OG</td>
<td>11.3 (1st purification)</td>
<td>15.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.83 (2nd purification)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dextrin-PLA₂-OG</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>9.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
showed the presence of a number of extra peaks following incubation with MCF-7 cells (Figure 6.11). These peaks corresponded to the elution volumes of free PLA₂, free OG, and some additional peaks probably corresponding to smaller breakdown products.

6.3.4 Confocal microscopy

In parallel experiments, OG-labelled dextrin, OG-labelled PLA₂ and OG-labelled dextrin-PLA₂ were incubated with MCF-7 cells and the subcellular localisation was visualised using fluorescence microscopy (N.B. all fluorescent images are also presented in an electronic format to aid visualisation and the CD can be found at the back of the thesis, in Appendix II).

When incubated with MCF-7 cells (Figure 6.12b), BSA-TR was not detectable within EEA1 positive early endocytic compartments. Not surprisingly, after the 16 h chase it was found exclusively within LAMP-1 positive LE/L compartments (Figure 6.12c). When cells were incubated with OG-labelled dextrin, after the 16 h chase clear vesicular labelling was seen in the perinuclear region and no apparent surface binding (Figure 6.12a). Moreover, the OG-labelled dextrin conjugate was exclusively co-localised to the BSA-TR containing vesicles. It was thus concluded that OG-labelled dextrin was also solely located within these LE/L structures.

When cells were incubated with OG-labelled PLA₂ (1 h pulse, 4 h chase at 37 °C) clear plasma membrane labelling was seen. There was negligible vesicular labelling at this shorter incubation time (Figure 6.13). OG-labelled dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate (1 h pulse, 4 h chase at 37 °C) also showed marked labelling of the plasma membrane, but in this case there was a small degree of vesicular labelling (Figure 6.14). Imaging of EEA1 and LAMP-1 positive structures in these cells showed marked changes in vesicle distribution (Figure 6.13 and 6.14). The staining was seen predominantly around the edge of those cells treated with OG-labelled PLA₂ and OG-labelled dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate. There was no co-localisation of EEA1 or LAMP-1 probes with OG-labelled conjugates in any instance.
Figure 6.11 FPLC characterisation of cell culture medium after incubation of MCF-7 cells with OG-labelled conjugates (1 h, 37 °C). Figure shows FPLC analysis of OG-labelled dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate. FPLC column eluted with PBS. (Cont. overleaf)
Figure 6.12 Representative confocal images of fixed MCF-7 cells showing cellular localisation of BSA-TR and OG-labelled dextrin. Cells were incubated with OG-labelled dextrin (4 h pulse and 16 h chase at 37 °C) and co-labelled with endosomal (EEA1) and lysosomal (LAMP-1) markers. Cells were seeded at a density of 1 x 10^4 cells/mL. Size bar = 10 μm. Arrows indicate co-localisation of probes.
Figure 6.13 Representative confocal images of fixed MCF-7 cells showing cellular localisation of OG-labelled PLA$_2$. Cells were incubated with OG-labelled PLA$_2$ (1 h pulse and 4 h chase at 37 °C) and co-labelled with endosomal (EEA1) and lysosomal (LAMP-1) markers. Cells were seeded at a density of 1 x 10$^4$ cells/mL. Size bar = 10 μm.
Figure 6.14 Representative confocal images of fixed MCF-7 cells showing cellular localisation of OG-labelled dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate. Cells were incubated with OG-labelled dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate (1 h pulse and 4 h chase at 37 °C) and co-labelled with endosomal (EEA1) and lysosomal (LAMP-1) markers. Cells were seeded at a density of $1 \times 10^4$ cells/mL. Size bar = 10 μm.
6.4 Discussion

Since neither dextrin nor PLA₂ have inherent fluorescence it was necessary to label them with a fluorescent probe to study cell uptake and intracellular localisation. It was hoped that these studies would give further insight into the site of action of the dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate, identify to what extent it was taken up by cells, and also define its intracellular fate, compared to unconjugated PLA₂ and dextrin.

Synthesis of the OG-labelled conjugates was performed by reacting OG with the carboxylic acid groups of succinoylated dextrin or PLA₂ (glutamic acid, aspartic acid residues and terminal COOH). A 5 h reaction time was chosen as TLC showed successful conjugation at this time. The conjugates prepared contained 3-5 μg OG/mg conjugate and in all cases, purification by PD-10 column successfully removed free OG from reaction mixtures, producing conjugates with < 1.51 % free OG as was also seen in the OG-labelled conjugates synthesised here. Ideally, OG-labelled conjugates should contain minimal free OG. A number of other OG-labelled polymer conjugates have been described in the literature (Table 6.3). Despite using different reaction conditions to conjugate OG to architecturally different polymers, similar molar ratios were achieved with dextrin in these studies.

OG conjugation to the probes studied here showed no change in the fluorescent profile of OG. This was particularly important to verify since previous studies have shown that the fluorescence of doxorubicin was quenched after conjugation to HPMA copolymers and yields were different in conjugates containing more than one drug (Greco et al., 2007).

6.4.1 Cellular uptake of dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate

While low molecular weight drugs can easily enter cells by passive diffusion, macromolecules are usually excluded from this route. Uptake is therefore limited to the endocytic route. The studies presented here confirmed internalisation of OG-labelled dextrin, OG-labelled PLA₂ and OG-labelled dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate by MCF-7 cells. However, the pattern of cell-associated fluorescence differed among the conjugates. OG-labelled PLA₂ showed highest cell-associated fluorescence after
Table 6.3 Characteristics of some polymer-OG conjugates described in the literature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>Reaction conditions</th>
<th>Molar ratio (OG/polymer)</th>
<th>Labelling efficiency (µg OG/mg polymer)</th>
<th>Free OG (% total)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PEI</td>
<td>2 h, RT</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>(Godbey, 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G5 PAMAM dendrimer</td>
<td>3 h, RT, pH 8.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>(Yoo and Juliano, 2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>(Yoo and Juliano, 2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>(Yoo and Juliano, 2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEI (branched)</td>
<td>MeOH, pH 8.5</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>(Seib et al, 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEI (linear)</td>
<td>2h, RT</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>(Seib et al, 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2 PAMAM dendrimer</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>(Seib et al, 2007)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3 PAMAM dendrimer</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>(Seib et al, 2007)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4 PAMAM dendrimer</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>(Seib et al, 2007)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMA copolymer</td>
<td>DMSO, 16 h, RT</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>(Richardson et al, 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPEG-NH₂</td>
<td>NaHCO₃ buffer pH 9, 4 h, RT</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>(Richardson et al, 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyaluronic acid</td>
<td>ddH₂O, pH 8, 20 h, RT</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>(Gilbert, 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dextrin</td>
<td>PBS buffer, pH 8, EDC/sulfo-NHS, 5 h, RT</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>(Richardson et al, 2008)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS = not stated
1 h at both 37 °C and 4 °C, while OG-labelled dextrin and OG-labelled dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate showed much lower rates of internalisation or extracellular binding. As PLA₂ is known to act at the cell membrane it is not surprising that there was a high degree of extracellular binding for OG-labelled PLA₂. As the extracellular binding of OG-labelled dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate was so low, it would seem that dextrin conjugation has sterically masked the enzyme and reduced membrane binding. However, since the conjugate showed equivalent cytotoxicity to free PLA₂ after 72 h (Chapter 5) it is proposed that sufficient time for unmasking is required for full enzyme activity, and this is not achieved within the 1 h incubation used here.

In order to standardise the flow cytometry experiments, OG concentration was kept the same for all conjugates (1.5 μg/mL). However, it is worth bearing in mind that the concentration of dextrin and PLA₂ differed among the OG-labelled conjugates in order to keep OG concentration constant. Table 6.4 summarises the concentrations of OG components applied to cells for flow cytometry studies. Given that OG-labelled dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates contained 5.2 % protein, it is not surprising that PLA₂ concentration in this study was low. This difference could affect the cellular uptake and localisation of the conjugated PLA₂, compared to free enzyme, however it was felt that it was more important to standardise the OG content for these studies.

It was important to know if the probe used was stable during such cell culture experiments designed to study trafficking of the probes to confirm that internalisation was of the OG-conjugate, and not simply the free probe. Here, it was shown that some free OG was liberated from all the probes after a 1 h incubation with MCF-7 cells. This could have been due to enzymatic degradation of dextrin and PLA₂, or hydrolysis of the amide bond to OG. The amide bond formed between OG and dextrin and PLA₂ might be expected to be biologically stable (Banks and Paquette, 1995) but of course both dextrin and PLA₂ are potentially biodegradable. Seib et al (2007) also observed some OG liberation and they speculated that there may be a degree of reversible complexation between OG and the polymers.
Table 6.4 Comparison of the concentrations of OG, dextrin or PLA$_2$ applied to cells during the flow cytometry experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>OG</th>
<th>Dextrin</th>
<th>PLA$_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>μg/mL</td>
<td>mM</td>
<td>μg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dextrin-OG</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
<td>302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLA$_2$-OG</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dextrin-PLA$_2$-OG</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
<td>451</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
All OG-labelled conjugates showed evidence of internalisation, and a higher uptake was seen for OG-labelled PLA$_2$. While OG-labelled dextrin and OG-labelled dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate showed only 8-9% free OG release over a 1 h incubation, the amount of OG released from OG-labelled PLA$_2$ was double in the same time frame. Still, the amount of free OG in these studies was not high and previous studies have shown that while cells can readily take up free fluorophore, this could be easily removed during normal cell washing and should not significantly affect uptake observations (Seib et al., 2007).

6.4.2 Cellular localisation of dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate

Visualisation of the subcellular localisation of OG-labelled dextrin uptake by confocal microscopy showed fluorescence in vesicular compartments which was consistent with an endocytic uptake and correlates with the internalisation seen with flow cytometry. Since cells were subjected to 4 h pulse and 16 h chase for the microscopy studies, it is not surprising that cellular uptake of OG-labelled dextrin appeared higher than seen in the flow cytometry experiments which were only conducted for 1 h.

It is also worth mentioning the different pulse-chase times used for confocal microscopy experiments. 4 h pulse with 16 h chase was first used for OG-labelled dextrin, however there were concerns that incubating cells with PLA$_2$ or dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate for this length of time could kill the cells. Richardson et al (2008) have shown that a 1 h pulse followed by a 4 h chase is sufficient time for trafficking to the lysosomes, therefore cellular localisation of the OG-labelled conjugates can still be compared. In the MTT assay, 55% and 90% viability was see when MCF-7 cells were incubated for 72 h with PLA$_2$ (408 µg/mL) and dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate (24.8 µg/mL), respectively.

It is often assumed that PLA$_2$ induces cell lysis by disruption of the cell membrane, however recent studies have shown it to be more complicated. Normally, after injury to the cell membrane, rapid resealing occurs with little loss of intracellular contents. However, studies undertaken by Steinhardt et al (1994) revealed that botulinum neurotoxins A and B are capable of blocking membrane
resealing. Given that PLA\textsubscript{2} acts by hydrolysing phospholipids, this effect could also result in inhibition of membrane repair.

When cells were incubated with OG-labelled PLA\textsubscript{2} and OG-labelled dextrin-PLA\textsubscript{2} conjugate, migration of endosomal and lysosomal vesicles towards the plasma membrane was observed (Figure 6.13 and 6.14). Direction of secretory vesicles to the cell membrane is well documented as a means of repairing damage to cell membranes (Reddy et al., 2001). Reddy et al. (2001) showed that after cells were subjected to wounding by scratching the cell surface with a single-edge surgical blade, plasma membrane repair was mediated by Ca\textsuperscript{2+} regulated vesicle trafficking to the membrane. The authors hypothesised that fusion of lysosomes with the plasma membrane was triggered by elevated intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentrations. Further studies by Jaiswal et al. (2002) observed that many organelles, including the endoplasmic reticulum, post-Golgi vesicles, early endosomes, late endosomes, and lysosomes, were located within 100 nm of the plasma membrane after incubation with Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and they suggested that this could also be implicated in repair of membrane damage.

Similarly, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) have also been used to visualise the effect of PLA\textsubscript{2} on OVCAR-3 cell membranes (Newman et al., 1996). SEM studies demonstrated cell separation, membrane damage and blebbing after 3 h treatment with PLA\textsubscript{2}, while longer periods of exposure revealed 'holes' in the membrane associated with severe disruption of the cell membrane. TEM also showed the formation of small lipid-containing vesicles inside the cells, which increased in number as PLA\textsubscript{2} exposure time was extended.

The evidence here supports the hypothesis that PLA\textsubscript{2} and dextrin-PLA\textsubscript{2} conjugate does indeed act at the level of the cell membrane causing damage by hydrolysis of phospholipids. This agrees with previous studies where cells that were incubated in the presence of 20 mM ammonium chloride or 0.5 mM quinacrine, (inhibitors of receptor-mediated endocytosis), did not appear to internalise PLA\textsubscript{2} (Alicea, 1998). Alicea (1998) also observed release of lactase dehydrogenase (LDH) into the medium, an increase in concentration of free palmitic acid in cell membranes.
and a concentration-dependant increased staining with trypan blue, all of which are consistent with membrane damage.

Considerations for confocal microscopy interpretation

A number of technical issues can limit the usefulness of confocal microscopy. These include limited optical resolution, the small number of cells that can be viewed relative to the entire population, artefacts produced during fixation, and incorrect interpretation of the data (North, 2006; Pearson, 2007). In these studies, OG was selected as the fluorescent probe to reduce the likelihood of artefacts, since it is less susceptible to photobleaching and both concentration- and pH-dependent fluorescence quenching. Careful loading of OG also ensured that cellular uptake and subsequent trafficking was due solely to dextrin, PLA₂ or dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate, and not simply probe-driven effects. Here, the intracellular localisation of OG-labelled conjugates was observed relative to both endocytosed physiological markers and indirect immuno-fluorescent labelling, in an effort to reduce making incorrect assumptions as to the compartments occupied by OG-labelled conjugates.

6.5 Conclusions

Fluorescent probes were synthesised using OG, with free OG content of < 1.51 % of total OG content after purification by PD-10 column and specific activity was similar (specific activity = 3.15 – 4.96 μg OG/ mg OG-labelled conjugate) for all OG-conjugates synthesised. The fluorescence characteristics of free and bound OG and OG-labelled conjugates were similar.

It was concluded that PLA₂, and OG-labelled dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate to a lesser extent, interact with cells extracellularly, resulting in the migration of early and late endosomal vesicles to the cell periphery as a means of repairing membrane damage. It was also noted that dextrin conjugation reduced extracellular binding of PLA₂.
Chapter Seven

Investigating $Dextrin-PLA_2$ Conjugates as a Trigger for Polymer Enzyme Liposome Therapy (PELT)
7.1 Introduction

The evaluation of dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate in the preceding chapters has demonstrated its potential as a novel therapeutic agent against cancer in its own right. However, there is also the possibility of using dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates as a trigger for the release of drug encapsulated within liposomes. This concept has previously been named ‘Polymer Enzyme Liposome Therapy’ (PELT) (Duncan et al., 2001). The concept of PELT has been previously introduced in detail in Chapter 1 (section 1.5.2). Previous preliminary experiments have demonstrated proof of concept using HPMA copolymer-PLC with Doxil® and DaunoXome® (Satchi-Fainaro and Duncan, unpublished). Therefore the aim of this study was to establish whether a dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate would also be capable of accelerating the rate of drug release from liposomes.

7.1.1 Recent progress in controlling liposomal drug release

Despite the many advantages of clinically used liposomal anticancer drugs, i.e. prolonging drug circulation time, and tumour targeting by EPR (reviewed in Torchilin, 2005), there remain some drawbacks to their use (described more fully in section 1.5.2). A major limitation is the relatively slow release of encapsulated drug at the target site. Therefore, research has been undertaken to try and enhance the drug release rate. Examples of currently used liposome formulations and the novel methods being explored to enhance active and tumour-specific drug release are shown in Figure 7.1.

Antibody-PLC conjugates

One approach has been the use of antibody-PLC conjugates to try and improve the release of daunorubicin from DaunoXome® (Carter et al., 1998) (Figure 7.1d). This two-step method uses IV administration of an anti-carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA)/EGFR antibody-PLC conjugate. Time is allowed for clearance and tumour targeting of the immunoconjugates, before the liposome-encapsulated daunorubicin is administered. It is postulated that the liposomes are specifically lysed in the tumour interstitium by the pre-targeted PLC, resulting in localised release of cytotoxic drug in the tumour. Results from fluorescence studies in vitro revealed an
Figure 7.1 Schematics of liposomal formulations in clinical use and in development.
increase in free daunorubicin in the medium of HeLa cells previously treated with anti-EGFR antibody-PLC conjugates. This group also looked at the effect of anti-EGFR antibody-PLC conjugates and DaunoXome® on A431 tumour xenografts in mice. They found that 50 days after the two-step treatment was administered, tumour growth was inhibited in 5 out of 6 mice compared with no effect in the 13 control mice treated with DaunoXome® alone or the anti-EGFR antibody (without PLC) and DaunoXome® (Carter et al., 1998).

**LiPlasomes**

Many solid tumours appear have a high concentration of sPLA$_2$ in the tumour interstitium, with sPLA$_2$ concentrations in the region of 9 to 188 ng/mL (Cupillard et al., 1999). Moreover, sPLA$_2$ concentration is said to increase with tumour grade (Graff et al., 2001; Jiang et al., 2002). LiPlasomes, a form of liposomal drug carrier, which exploit the high concentration of intratumoural PLA$_2$, have been developed to capitalise on this observation (Figure 7.1c). These novel lipidic systems are based on PEG-coated liposomes, and they contain phospholipids specially designed to be hydrolysed by the sPLA$_2$ found in cancer tissues. It is postulated that this results in a site-specific release of encapsulated drug. Moreover, the phospholipids used to encapsulate the drug are 'permeability proenhancer lipids' that are converted to 'permeability enhancers' after enzyme hydrolysis, which can further enhance drug diffusion across target cell membranes (Andresen et al., 2004a).

*In vitro* experiments have been undertaken with LiPlasomes encapsulating doxorubicin, which show release of drug within 2-4 h in the presence of sPLA$_2$-secreting colon cancer cells. Greater cytotoxicity was seen than for free drug. Doxil® alone showed only slow doxorubicin release and significantly less cytotoxicity after 6 h treatment (Andresen et al., 2004b). Further *in vitro* studies using HT29 cells compared LiPlasome-encapsulated cisplatin with a clinically tested Stealth liposomal formulation. In this case the LiPlasome formulation had an IC$_{50}$ value of 10 μM (ten-fold lower than for free cisplatin) while the stealth liposomal platinate had an IC$_{50}$ value that was > 1000 μM (24 h incubation) (Andresen et al., 2004c).

The *in vitro* experiments using LiPlasomes have mainly used a group II PLA$_2$
extracted from snake venom (*Agkistrodon piscivorus piscivorus*). It is composed of a 121 amino acids, eight of which are lysine residues (Han *et al.*, 1997). In contrast, the human group IIA sPLA₂ found endogenously in tumour tissues contains 124 amino acids, six of which are lysine residues. Human group IIA sPLA₂’s expression and secretion is induced by pro-inflammatory agents (e.g. IL-2, TNF and particularly IL-6) and is inhibited by glucocorticoids (Diez *et al.*, 1992). Studies by Cupillard *et al* (1999) showed that mouse group IIA sPLA₂’s have a high affinity to the mouse M-type membrane receptor (1-10 nM range), but much lower affinity to receptors from other animal species, including human.

These observations could mean that the LiPlasome may not be degraded in the same way *in vivo* as it has *in vitro* due to the use of snake venom PLA₂ from a different species to the cells. Furthermore, studies comparing the activity of bee venom and bovine pancreas PLA₂ described in section 4.3.1 showed a significant difference in *in vitro* cytotoxicity towards MCF-7 cells, with the mammalian PLA₂ being far less toxic. This is a potential limitation for LiPlasomes, which rely on human, intratumoural PLA₂ for activation.

### 7.1.2 Choice of liposomal drug formulation: DaunoXome®

DaunoXome® was selected here as the model liposomal drug formulation. Doxil® and Myocet® have been previously described in detail in section 1.5.2 and Table 7.1 lists the characteristics of some liposomal drug formulations on the market. DaunoXome® is commonly used as a first-line chemotherapy agent for advanced AIDS-related Kaposi’s sarcoma (Rosenthal *et al.*, 2002). It is usually administered IV over 60 min at a dose of 40 mg/m², with doses repeated every two weeks. DaunoXome® contains daunorubicin citrate (Figure 7.2) encapsulated in small unilamellar vesicles (SUV) dispersed in an aqueous medium containing sucrose, glycine and calcium chloride dihydrate (2 mg/mL, pH 4.9-6.0). DaunoXome® was selected since the liposomes are not PEGylated (unlike Doxil®). The PEG coat could sterically hinder access of the dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate, decreasing phospholipid hydrolysis. Furthermore, studies on the body distribution of Doxil® suggests that drug clearance occurs in two phases, with a relatively short first phase ($t_{1/2}$
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product name</th>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Phospholipids (Drug/lipid ratio)</th>
<th>Liposome type</th>
<th>Particle diameter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Abelcet®</strong></td>
<td>Invasive fungal infections in immuno-compromised patients</td>
<td>DMPC: DMPG 7:3 (1:1 molar ratio)</td>
<td>MLV</td>
<td>&lt; 5 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amphotericin B</strong></td>
<td>Visceral leishmaniasis</td>
<td>HSPC 213 mg; DSPG 84 mg; cholesterol 52 mg; α-tocopherol 0.64 mg (1:6 w/w ratio)</td>
<td>SUV</td>
<td>&lt; 100 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AmBisome®</strong></td>
<td>Cryptococcal meningitis in HIV-infected patients Aspergillus, Candida and/or Cryptococcus infections</td>
<td>DMPC: EPG (1:7.5-15)</td>
<td>SUV</td>
<td>&lt; 100 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Visudyne™</strong></td>
<td>Age-related macular degeneration (AMD)</td>
<td>DSPC: cholesterol 2:1 (18.7:1 w/w ratio)</td>
<td>SUV</td>
<td>~ 45 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DaunoXome®</strong></td>
<td>Advanced HIV-related Kaposi’s Sarcoma</td>
<td>mPEG-DSPE: HSPE: cholesterol 1:3:1 (1:6 w/w ratio)</td>
<td>LUV</td>
<td>100 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Doxil®</strong></td>
<td>Ovarian Cancer</td>
<td>EPC: cholesterol 1:1 (1:4 molar ratio)</td>
<td>OLV</td>
<td>180 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Myocet®</strong></td>
<td>Advanced HIV-related Kaposi’s Sarcoma, Ovarian cancer, Advanced breast Cancer</td>
<td>EPC: cholesterol 1:1</td>
<td>OLV</td>
<td>180 nm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DMPC- dimyristoyl phosphatidylcholine; DMPG- dimyristoyl phosphatidylglycerol; HSPC- hydrogenated soy phosphatidylcholine; DSPG-distearoyl phosphatidylglycerol; EPG- egg phosphatidylglycerol; mPEG-DSPE- N-(carbonyl-methoxypolyethylene glycol 2000)-1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine sodium salt; EPC- egg phosphatidylcholine; MLV- multilamellar vesicle; SUV- small unilamellar vesicle; LUV- large unilamellar vesicle; OLV- oligolamellar vesicle
Figure 7.2 Chemical structure of daunorubicin, MWW = 563.99.
≈ 5 h) and a prolonged second phase ($t_{1/2} = 45$ h) (Janknegt, 1996). This biphasic drug clearance is not seen with DaunoXome®, which has a $t_{1/2}$ of ~ 5 h (Bellott et al, 2001), and so it was decided that DaunoXome® would give a simpler drug release profile for these initial proof of concept studies than a PEGylated formulation.

When administered as free drug, daunorubicin has a $t_{1/2}$ of 0.77 h. Its use is associated with severe acute toxicities including myelosuppression, alopecia, necrosis of tissue following extravasation and cumulative dose-limiting cardiotoxicity (maximum tolerated cumulative dose (MTD) = 550 mg/m²) (reviewed in Hortobagyi, 1997). Moreover, since daunorubicin is inherently fluorescent, monitoring drug release from the liposomes can be undertaken simply using a fluorescent platerader. Daunorubicin produces a three-peak fluorescence emission spectra (520 – 700 nm) corresponding to its triple helical complexes. It is well known that the shape of the fluorescence spectrum of daunorubicin is markedly changed by factors such as hydrophobicity of the solvent and intercalating with DNA (Goldman et al, 1978; Laigle et al, 1996).

### 7.1.3 PLA₂ as a trigger for PELT

Although proof of concept studies for PELT used PLC to rupture liposomes, it was interesting to determine whether PLA₂ could also be used. A number of studies have shown that sPLA₂ can degrade liposomes (including bee venom PLA₂) (Ghomashchi et al, 1998; Jorgensen et al, 1999a) and also it was shown here that dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate retained activity in the cytotoxicity assays and the egg yolk emulsion assay (Chapters 4 and 5). An additional benefit of using a dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate as a component of PELT would be the option to combine its individual activity at the cell surface with improved liposomal action (Figure 7.1e).

Moreover, while PLC and HPMA copolymer have a similar molecular weight (~ 30,000 g/mol) (HPMA copolymer was used as a polymer carrier for PLC (Satchi-Fanairo and Duncan, unpublished)) the dextrin used here (MW ~ 51,000 g/mol) is approximately 3 times larger than PLA₂. This should allow greater protein masking as well as a better pharmacokinetic profile able to promote better tumour targeting by the EPR effect. As dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate can be activated by $\alpha$-amylase (the
PUMPT concept (Chapter 4) this might enhance the slow unveiling of PLA$_2$ to be able to release liposomally-entrapped drug.

### 7.1.4 Aims of these studies

To summarise, the aims of this study were:

- To evaluate the ability of free PLA$_2$ and the dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate to trigger the release of daunorubicin from DaunoXome$^\circledR$.
- To assess the effect of incubation of DaunoXome$^\circledR$ with free PLA$_2$ and dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate on liposome size by photon correlation spectroscopy (PCS).
- To test the cytotoxicity of daunorubicin and DaunoXome$^\circledR$ incubated with free PLA$_2$ and the dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate in MCF-7 cells.

### 7.2 Methods

Succinoylated dextrin was prepared as described in section 3.2.2. EFdex5 (20.9 mol % succinoylation) was used for these studies. Dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugates were synthesised and characterised according to methods described in Chapter 4. DexPLA7 (MW ~ 195,000 g/mol; PLA$_2$ content 9.4 wt. %) was used in these studies.

#### 7.2.1 Fluorescence properties of free daunorubicin and DaunoXome$^\circledR$- effect of Triton X-100

First, the fluorescence emission spectrum of DaunoXome$^\circledR$ was determined in PBS (pH 7.6) and a Triton X-100 solution (1 % v/v) (to solubilise liposomes and release daunorubicin). DaunoXome$^\circledR$ was diluted in PBS (20 µg/mL), placed in a polystyrene cuvette (3 mL), and the emission was measured in the interval 500-700 nm with the excitation wavelength set at $\lambda_{ex} = 485$ nm. For comparison, DaunoXome$^\circledR$, diluted in Triton X-100 solution (20 µg/mL in 1 % v/v Triton X-100 solution), was monitored for fluorescence in the same way.

The effect of Triton X-100 concentration on the fluorescence of free daunorubicin was studied in various concentrations of Triton X-100. A stock solution of Triton X-100 was prepared in ddH$_2$O (1 % v/v) and serial dilutions were made to
produce a range of concentrations (0 - 1% v/v). A stock solution of daunorubicin (1 mg/mL) was then diluted using Triton X-100 solutions to a final concentration of 20 μg/mL. Daunorubicin + Triton X-100 solutions (3 mL) were transferred to a polystyrene cuvette and their fluorescence emission was measured in the interval 500-700 nm and the excitation wavelength was set at $\lambda_{ex} = 485$ nm.

### 7.2.2 Stability testing of liposomes

PCS was used to measure mean diameter and polydispersity of DaunoXome® following incubation with dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate, PLA₂, Triton X-100 and in PBS. DaunoXome® (2 mg/mL) was diluted fresh on the day of experiment to 1:100 in PBS (pH 7.6) and stored at 4 °C prior to use. Dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate and PLA₂ were dissolved in PBS (pH 7.6, 100 μg/mL) and equilibrated at 37 °C. DaunoXome® solution (1 mL) was added to a polystyrene cuvette and allowed to equilibrate to 37 °C in the PCS machine (30 min). Dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate, PLA₂ or Triton X-100 (2%) solution (1 mL) was added immediately before initiating the first measurement. The stability of the liposomes was followed for 3 h at 37 °C ($n = 3$). Data is presented as mean liposome diameter over 3 h.

### 7.2.3 Daunorubicin release from DaunoXome® in the presence of PLA₂ and dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate

DaunoXome® (2 mg/mL) was diluted fresh on the day of experiment (1:100) in PBS (pH 7.6) and was always stored at 4 °C between experiments on the day of use. Then PLA₂ or dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate (100 μg/mL PLA₂ eq.; final experiment concentration of 50 μg/mL) (100 μL) was added to each well of a black 96-well microfluor plate and the plate was incubated at 37 °C for 30 min prior to starting the experiment to preserve enzyme activity. Triton X-100 (2% v/v; final experiment concentration of 1% v/v) was used to give 100% drug release value while PBS was used as a negative control.

A countdown of 60 min was started upon addition of 100 μL of DaunoXome® solution to the first column (6 replicates) and the plate incubated at 37 °C to ensure PLA₂ activity was preserved. Subsequently, DaunoXome® solution was added at 50, 40, 30, 20, 10, 5 and 0 min time points. Fluorescence was measured using a
fluorescent plate reader \((\lambda_{ex} = 485 \text{ nm and } \lambda_{em} = 520 \text{ nm})\) and results presented as percentage of 100 % drug release by Triton X-100 (corrected for background fluorescence of intact DaunoXome®).

7.2.4 Unmasking of dextrin-PLA\(_2\) conjugates by incubation with \(\alpha\)-amylase

To study the effects of \(\alpha\)-amylase on the ability of the dextrin-PLA\(_2\) conjugates to release daunorubicin from DaunoXome®, dextrin-PLA\(_2\) conjugates (0.5 mg/mL) were first incubated with \(\alpha\)-amylase (2 mg/mL, 200 SU/mL) for 16 h at 37 °C in Tris buffer (1.5 mL, pH 8.2). The release of daunorubicin from DaunoXome® was then followed as described in section 7.2.3.

7.2.5 Cytotoxicity assessment in MCF-7 cells

For determination of cytotoxicity against MCF-7 treatments were tested by MTT assay (section 2.4.7.5) using media supplemented as detailed in below.

To compare the cytotoxicity of daunorubicin and DaunoXome®, complete media was supplemented with a range of concentrations of daunorubicin or DaunoXome®.

To study the effect of PLA\(_2\) or dextrin-PLA\(_2\) conjugate on the cytotoxicity of daunorubicin or DaunoXome®, complete media was used. A PLA\(_2\) or dextrin-PLA\(_2\) conjugate concentration of 50 \(\mu\)g/mL PLA\(_2\) eq. was used and the daunorubicin or DaunoXome® concentration varied.

7.3 Results

7.3.1 Fluorescence properties of free daunorubicin and DaunoXome® - effect of Triton X-100

The fluorescence emission spectra of daunorubicin exhibited peaks at 560, 590 and 620 nm. When the liposomes were incubated with Triton X-100, fluorescence was noticeably increased. The fluorescence of DaunoXome® in PBS was ~ 12-13 times lower than when they were incubated in the presence of Triton X-100 (Figure 7.3).

Overall, the general shape of the fluorescence emission spectrum of free daunorubicin was unchanged by the addition of Triton X-100, up to 1 % v/v and
Figure 7.3 Fluorescence emission spectra of DaunoXome® before and after release of entrapped daunorubicin using Triton X-100. DaunoXome® (20 µg/mL) was suspended in PBS or Triton X-100 (1 % v/v) solution.
there was no obvious fluorescence quenching (Figure 7.4).

7.3.2 Stability testing of DaunoXome®

DaunoXome® showed a classical unimodal distribution of size (Figure 7.5a). The mean diameter was 49.8 nm and this remained the same over a 3 h incubation period (Figure 7.5b). When Triton X-100 was added the vesicle diameter dropped rapidly to < 20 nm within 1 min. In contrast, when DaunoXome® was incubated with free PLA₂ the liposomes appeared to get larger over time, reaching a maximum diameter of ~ 120 nm after 3 h. When liposomes were incubated with the dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate, the mean diameter remained unchanged over the course of the 3 h experiment. However, when the dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate was unmasked using α-amylase, a slight increase in size of the liposomes was seen (~ 60 nm).

7.3.3 Daunorubicin release from DaunoXome®

When DaunoXome® was incubated with various concentrations of native PLA₂ complete release of daunorubicin was achieved after 1 h incubation with 50 and 100 μg/mL PLA₂ (Figure 7.6). Incubation of DaunoXome® with 25 μg/mL achieved only partial drug release, which appeared to plateau at ~ 60 %. As expected, Triton X-100 caused immediate release of 100 % daunorubicin, while PBS caused < 5 % daunorubicin release during the 1 h incubation.

A concentration of 50 μg/mL PLA₂ eq. was subsequently selected for assessing the drug release from DaunoXome® by the dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate. Dextrin conjugation reduced daunorubicin release by PLA₂ to ~ 20 % of the free PLA₂ level (Figure 7.7) Addition of α-amylase led to a faster initial rate of drug release, however free daunorubicin detected after 1 h was statistically equal to that of masked dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate.

7.3.4 Cytotoxicity of DaunoXome® in MCF-7 cells

First, the cytotoxicity of free daunorubicin towards MCF-7 cells was compared to that of DaunoXome® (Figure 7.8). The results showed very similar effects on cell viability, such that free drug had an IC₅₀ of 125.0 ± 35 μg/mL, and DaunoXome® had an IC₅₀ value of 83.6 ± 10.7 μg/mL. These results were not significantly different.
Figure 7.4 Fluorescence emission spectra of Daunorubicin in varying concentrations of Triton X-100. Daunorubicin (20 μg/mL) was suspended in Triton X-100 (0-1 % v/v) solution.
Figure 7.5 Measurements of liposome diameter for stability testing of DaunoXome® +/- PLA₂ and dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate (50 µg/mL PLA₂ eq.) over 3 h. Panel (a) shows a typical unimodal calibration curve of DaunoXome® in PBS. Panel (b) shows variation over time of DaunoXome® diameter. Data represents diameter (nm) ± SD, (n = 6; except DaunoXome® n =3). Where error bars are invisible they are within size of data points. * indicates significance compared to PBS control, where p<0.05 (ANOVA and Bonferroni post hoc test).
Figure 7.6 Release of daunorubicin from DaunoXome® in the presence of different PLA₂ concentrations over 60 min. DaunoXome® in the absence of enzyme is included as a control. Triton X-100 (1 % v/v) was used for 100 % drug release. Data represents % fluorescence compared to Triton X-100 (1 % v/v) solution ± SEM, (n = 6). Where error bars are invisible they are within size of data points.
Figure 7.7 Release of daunorubicin from DaunoXome® in the presence of PLA₂, dextrin-PLA₂, unmasked dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate (50 µg/mL PLA₂ eq.) over 60 min. DaunoXome® in the absence of enzyme is included as a control. Triton X-100 (1 % v/v) was used for 100 % drug release. Data represents % fluorescence compared to Triton X-100 (1 % v/v) solution ± SEM, (n = 6). Where error bars are invisible they are within size of data points. * indicates significance compared to PLA₂ control, where p<0.05 (ANOVA and Bonferroni post hoc test). + indicates significance compared to dextrin-PLA₂ control, where p<0.05 (ANOVA and Bonferroni post hoc test).
Next, the cell viability of MCF-7 cells incubated with free daunorubicin (Figure 7.9) or DaunoXome® (Figure 7.10) +/- PLA₂ or dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate was assessed. The IC₅₀ values obtained are summarised in Table 7.2. When free daunorubicin was combined with PLA₂, it was found to be as cytotoxic as the daunorubicin + dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate combination (IC₅₀ values = 10.0 µg/mL and 16.7 µg/mL, respectively). However, when DaunoXome® was combined with the dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate it was more cytotoxic than DaunoXome® with free PLA₂ (IC₅₀ values = 33.6 µg/mL compared to 4.3 µg/mL, respectively).

7.4 Discussion

Since Triton X-100 was to be used to achieve complete drug release, it was first considered important to (i) confirm that encapsulation of daunorubicin in DaunoXome® quenched its fluorescence, and (ii) that Triton X-100 would not greatly alter the spectral shape or fluorescence output of daunorubicin. As expected, encapsulation decreased the fluorescence output of daunorubicin. However, addition of Triton X-100 to the DaunoXome® solution greatly increased the fluorescence, and the intensity and shape of the fluorescence spectrum was the same as that of free daunorubicin.

The results here showed that PLA₂ caused a surprising increase in liposome diameter, which is likely to be accompanied by a striking change in vesicle volume. The liposomes in the absence of PLA₂, however, were stable for 3 h, suggesting that the morphological changes must be attributable to hydrolysis of phospholipids. It was expected that lysophospholipids and fatty acid hydrolysis products would desorb as monomers, micelles or smaller vesicles (Speijer et al., 1996). It has been reported that higher membrane curvature allows tighter packing of the wedge-shaped lysophospholipids in the outer monolayer (Haydon and Taylor, 1963). It is, therefore, surprising that incubation of DaunoXome® with PLA₂, and to a lesser extent, unmasked dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate, induced growth of liposomes, rather than shrinkage. However, this trend has also been reported when liposomes were incubated with PLC (Basanez et al., 1997). Initially, vesicle aggregation was seen by TEM, followed by PLC-induced vesicle fusion, and ultimately, the formation of
Figure 7.8 Cell viability of MCF-7 cells incubated with daunorubicin and DaunoXome® for 72 h. Graph compares the effect of daunorubicin and DaunoXome® concentration on cell viability. Data represents % normal growth of control cells ± SEM, (n =18). Where error bars are invisible they are within size of data points. NS defines no significant difference (p>0.05), calculated using paired students t-test.

Table 7.2 IC₅₀ values of daunorubicin and DaunoXome® +/- PLA₂ and dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate following cell viability assessment using the MTT assay (72 h).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Drug + PLA₂</th>
<th>% change</th>
<th>Drug + dextrin-PLA₂</th>
<th>% change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daunorubicin</td>
<td>125.0 ± 35</td>
<td>10.0 ± 2.5</td>
<td>↓ 92</td>
<td>16.7 ± 3.3</td>
<td>↓ 87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DaunoXome®</td>
<td>83.6 ± 10.7</td>
<td>33.6 ± 4.3</td>
<td>↓ 60</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>↓ 95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data represents % normal growth of control cells ± SEM, (n = 18).
Figure 7.9 Cell viability of MCF-7 cells incubated with daunorubicin +/- PLA₂ and dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate (50 μg/mL PLA₂ eq.) for 72 h. Graph shows effect of daunorubicin concentration on cell viability. Data represents % normal growth of control cells ± SEM, (n = 6; except daunorubicin n =18). Where error bars are invisible they are within size of data points. * indicates significance compared to daunorubicin control, where p<0.05 (ANOVA and Bonferroni post hoc test). NS defines no significant difference (p>0.05) from daunorubicin + PLA₂ control (ANOVA and Bonferroni post hoc test).
Figure 7.10 Cell viability of MCF-7 cells incubated with DaunoXome® +/- PLA₂ and dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate (50 μg/mL PLA₂ eq.) for 72 h. Graph shows effect of DaunoXome® concentration on cell viability. Data represents % normal growth of control cells ± SEM, (n = 6; except DaunoXome® n =18). Where error bars are invisible they are within size of data points. * indicates significance compared to DaunoXome® control, where p<0.05 (ANOVA and Bonferroni post hoc test). + indicates significance compared to DaunoXome® + PLA₂ control, where p<0.05 (ANOVA and Bonferroni post hoc test).
large spherical vesicles.

Studies have also described the action of PLA$_2$ on phospholipid vesicles (Lehtonen and Kinnunon, 1995; Wilschut et al., 1979). Here, they hypothesised that accumulation of lysophospholipids and fatty acids can alter the permeability of the membrane, rendering them more prone to osmolytic swelling. This osmotic stretching of the lipid bilayer can further modulate its susceptibility to PLA$_2$ activity. It therefore seems likely that osmolytic swelling is the reason behind the liposome growth seen with DaunoXome®, and to a lesser extent, unmasked dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate.

Although dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate did not have the osmolytic effect of free PLA$_2$, it was encouraging to see that unmasked dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate showed some swelling of liposomes over time, suggesting that activity of PLA$_2$ had been reinstated (16 %) following dextrin degradation. This data confirms the previous findings that dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate is a suitable model for PUMPT, even as a component of PELT.

It was shown that PLA$_2$ rapidly released daunorubicin from DaunoXome® (Figure 7.7). Although the daunorubicin release triggered by dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate was not as rapid, it was still reassuring to see a slow increase in free drug over the 1 h incubation. Since it has been previously shown that PLA$_2$ requires Ca$^{2+}$ as a cofactor for maximal activity, it is likely that this activity would increase in physiological conditions, where Ca$^{2+}$ is present. It would have been interesting here to undertake these experiments in PBS +/- Ca$^{2+}$, but this was not done.

It was apparent that α-amylase treated dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate was able to release drug from DaunoXome® faster than the masked conjugates. Again, physiological conditions, where α-amylase is present, would be expected to trigger this unmasking of the dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate.

When Carter et al. (1998) incubated DaunoXome® with PLC for 1 h, they observed maximal release of daunorubicin at 1 nM enzyme. However, the authors did not report the drug release from liposomes using their anti-CEA antibody-PLC conjugate, so it is difficult to assess whether conjugation of the antibody reduced the
ability of PLC to burst liposomes. They did, however, report a 16-18 fold increase in free daunorubicin in tissue medium following 1 h pre-treatment of HeLa cells with anti-CEA antibody-PLC conjugate and 3 h treatment with DaunoXome® , compared to free PLC with DaunoXome® . In the experiments reported here, maximal drug release after 1 h was achieved using 50 μg/mL (3.16 μM) PLA₂. This is much higher than the concentration of PLC required for 100% daunorubicin release. The superior ability of PLC to release encapsulated drug was also seen by Satchi-Fainaro and Duncan (unpublished), and supports the theory that PLC may be more active towards DaunoXome® than PLA₂. Since DaunoXome® contains PC and both PLC and PLA₂ are reported to preferentially hydrolyse PC, they should both hydrolyse DaunoXome® readily. However, DaunoXome® also contain cholesterol (2 PC: 1 cholesterol), which has been shown to enhance PLC’s activity (Ruiz-Arguello et al, 1998). This enhancement could further explain the higher activity seen with PLC conjugates.

Drug release studies by Satchi-Fainaro and Duncan (unpublished) used the same fluorescence methods as in these experiments. However, they showed complete release of daunorubicin from DaunoXome® during incubation with HPMA copolymer-PLC conjugate. Perhaps since PLC and PLA₂ have different phospholipid specificities (PI and PC compared to PC, PE and SM, respectively), the composition of liposomes could also influence the rate of drug release from the vesicles.

### 7.4.1 Cytotoxicity of DaunoXome®

The IC₅₀ values obtained in previous cytotoxicity studies investigating DaunoXome® in a number of tumour cell lines are summarised in Table 7.3 for comparison with those obtained for MCF-7 in these studies. In all cases, DaunoXome® appeared to be more cytotoxic to the cells than free daunorubicin, though there was a broad variation between IC₅₀ values. In these studies, the cytotoxicity of free and liposomal daunorubicin was not significantly different, although DaunoXome® had an IC₅₀ value of 84 ± 10.7 ng/mL, while free daunorubicin had an IC₅₀ value of 125 ± 35 ng/mL.
Table 7.3 IC₅₀ values reported in the literature of daunorubicin and liposomal daunorubicin towards various cell lines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>Tumour origin</th>
<th>IC₅₀ (ng/mL)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KSC-10</td>
<td>AIDS-related Kaposi’s sarcoma</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>7.2 (Masood et al, 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colo-320 DM</td>
<td>Human colorectal adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>64 (Masood et al, 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1798</td>
<td>Murine lymphosarcoma</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>12.8 (Masood et al, 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME180</td>
<td>Human ovarian carcinoma</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>11 (Masood et al, 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K562</td>
<td>Human chronic myeloid leukaemia</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>10000 (Liu et al, 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>Human T-lymphoblastic leukaemia</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>77 (Liu et al, 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daunorubicin</td>
<td>DaunoXome</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Jorgensen et al (1999a, 1999b) have also studied PLA$_2$-triggered drug release from liposomes, although they used PEG-covered liposomes, while the liposomes used in these studies were uncoated. In fact, the authors reported that sPLA$_2$ was unable to hydrolyse DaunoXome® or Doxil® due to their high cholesterol content and inability to activate PLA$_2$ by electrostatic attractions. They concluded that PLA$_2$ is more active towards PEGylated liposomes and that the enzyme activity increased with increasing degree of PEGylation (Jorgensen et al, 1999b). This effect is believed to be attributed to an electrostatic interaction between the cationic PLA$_2$ and the anionic phosphate head groups of the lipopolymers (reviewed in Jorgensen et al, 2002). This observation does not correlate with the results obtained in these studies, where PLA$_2$ induced 100% daunorubicin release from non-PEGylated liposomes at 50 µg/mL after 1 h.

If, as Davidsen et al (2003) suggested, the phospholipid hydrolysis products, lysophospholipids and free fatty acids, enhance drug permeation across the target membrane and destabilise the liposomal carriers, then cytotoxicity of DaunoXome® with PLA$_2$ or the dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate would be expected to be higher than for free drug. However, this was not the case in these studies, where there was little difference seen between free drug and the liposomal formulation with PLA$_2$ or dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate. Similarly, Wilschut et al (1979) also noted that, despite PLA$_2$ hydrolysis of 60% of the outer membrane phospholipids, the liposomes still maintained barrier properties. The authors suggested that, although lysophospholipids and fatty acids are known to have a destabilising effect on membranes, this could be controlled so long as the membrane curvature was high and hydrolysis products were located only in one half of the bilayer.

Clostridium novyi-NT has previously been used to enhance the release and efficacy of liposomal doxorubicin (Cheong et al, 2006). Since C. novyi-NT is haemolytic and can selectively infect and kill tumours by exploiting their hypoxic environment (Barbe et al, 2006; Ryan et al, 2006), it was hypothesised that these properties could be utilised to improve tumour-selective drug release from liposomes. In these studies, mice bearing large, established tumours were treated with C. novyi-NT and a single dose of Doxil®. This combination led to complete
tumour regression in 100% of mice and 65% of the mice were still alive after 90 days. Interestingly, when mice were treated with free doxorubicin and *C. novyi-NT*, 100% died within 2 weeks, showing the importance of liposomal encapsulation for limiting systemic toxicity. The authors concluded that an enzyme, liposomase, was responsible for liposome disruption and associated enhancement of intratumoural release of liposomal doxorubicin. However, based the findings of the studies reported here, and the work of Carter et al. (1998) using PLC, it is possible that the enzyme responsible for the lipolytic affects was actually PLC, since this enzyme is secreted from *C. novyi-NT* (Bettegowda et al., 2006). Nevertheless, this supports the potential of enzyme-triggered intratumoural liposomal drug release.

### 7.5 Conclusions

Having shown that a dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate has potential as a novel treatment of breast cancer, these studies have also proven its applicability as a means of triggering drug release from liposomes. It has been shown that the PUMPT concept demonstrated previously, is also applicable to PELT, and unmasked dextrin-PLA$_2$ has higher activity towards liposomes than the masked enzyme. This work showed that it possible to trigger the release of liposomally encapsulated drug using PLA$_2$ and dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate, and that these conjugates were able to enhance cytotoxicity towards MCF-7 cells. Morphological changes were observed in liposomes incubated with PLA$_2$ and unmasked dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate, suggestive of osmolytic swelling of the vesicles.
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8.1 General comments: Dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate as an anticancer agent

Previous clinical studies demonstrated the exciting anticancer potential of PLA₂ (Costa et al., 1998; Cura et al., 2002). The first aim of this thesis was to ascertain whether PLA₂ conjugates could be synthesised that would display reduced cytotoxicity and anticancer activity in cell lines (e.g. breast cancer) with high expression of EGFR. From Chapter 3 to 6, the research has evolved from synthesis and characterisation of dextrin-PLA₂ to mechanistic studies.

8.1.1 Recent developments in the field: polymer-protein conjugates and other breast cancer treatments

It is important to consider how the field of nanomedicine and cancer therapy has evolved since the commencement of these studies in 2004. Although recent statistics show that two thirds of women newly diagnosed with breast cancer are likely to survive for over 20 years (Cancer Research UK), the incidence and mortality rates of advanced metastatic breast cancer remain high, and the disease is still a major health priority. Consequently, the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) plans to publish clinical guidelines for early and advanced breast cancer treatment in January 2009, giving evidence-based recommendations for good practice.

Breast cancer treatment has advanced dramatically since the introduction of radical mastectomy in 1882 (Figure 8.1). Chemotherapy has evolved from nitrogen mustards, discovered in the 1940s during World War Two (reviewed in Benderly, 2002), to tamoxifen, an endocrine agent initially developed as a contraceptive (reviewed in Jordan, 2008). More recently, treatments with improved specificity have become the main focus of research. Cancer therapy using proteins and peptides such as L-lysine-α-oxidase (Kusakabe et al., 1980) and phosphoglycerate kinase (Lay et al., 2000), and the development of a number of molecular targeted compounds such as trastuzumab and bevacizumab, have demonstrated the ongoing research in the field of novel breast cancer therapies. Enhanced tumour specificity has also been demonstrated by new polymer-protein conjugates entering clinical trials for cancer (reviewed in Pasut et al., 2008). For example, PEG-methioninase acts to deplete
Figure 8.1 Milestones in the areas relating to these studies.

- **1855**: Rudolf Virchow proposed that "every cell originates from another cell", demonstrating the origin of cancer.
- **1882**: William Halsted develops radical mastectomy.
- **1896**: George Beaston reports that ovary removal improves breast cancer prognosis.
- **1899**: First report of patient cured of cancer by radiation.
- **1938**: Crotoxin first isolated.
- **1965**: Introduction of combination chemotherapy. Liposomes described by Bangham.
- **1974**: Tamoxifen is licensed in UK for advanced breast cancer.
- **1977**: Davis and Abuchowski develop PEGylation concept.
- **1982**: Dextran-streptokinase enters market as first polymer-protein conjugate.
- **1990**: PEG-adenosine deaminase receives FDA approval.
- **1998**: Trastuzumab approved for HER2-positive advanced breast cancer.
- **1999**: Doxil approved for ovarian cancer treatment.
- **2002**: Phase I clinical trial results of crotoxin in cancer treatment published.
methionine stores in tumour cells, which are often auxotrophic for this protein. Conjugation of methioninase to PEG (3-7 molecules of PEG per protein) increased its half-life 36-fold and reduced anti-methioninase antibodies 100-1000-fold after repeated administration, compared to those elicited by the native enzyme (Yang et al, 2004). All of these conjugates, however, contain PEG, a non-biodegradable polymer, despite the well-known limitations associated with it (section 1.2.1). PEGylation chemistry is frequently being reviewed and improved (Brocchini et al, 2007; Fontana et al, 2007), and therefore remains a key area in the developing field of nanomedicine.

In parallel, a study of PubMed citations since 1975 in the field of phospholipase in cancer revealed rising interest in this area (Figure 8.2). However, after a peak around 1995, publications appear to have decreased—possibly owing to toxicity issues and drug delivery problems (Cura et al, 2002; Freitas and Frezard, 1997). This trend highlights once more the importance of developing novel methods for delivery of therapeutic proteins for cancer treatment.

8.1.2 Current status of crotoxin

Given that the rationale for dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate stemmed, in part, from the PLA₂, crotoxin (reviewed in section 1.1) (Cura et al, 2002), it is important to review the most recent developments in this product.

Consideration has been made to using drug delivery systems to reduce crotoxin’s toxicity. As mentioned previously (section 1.3), PLA₂ has been conjugated to PEG (Bianco et al, 2002). Liposomal formulations encapsulating crotoxin have also been described (Freitas and Frezard, 1997; Magalhaes et al, 2001). Here, Freitas and Frezard (1997) encapsulated crotoxin into liposomes made from SM and cholesterol. No toxicity was seen when mice were administered (IV) liposomal crotoxin at a dose of up to 91 times as high as its LD₉₀. Magalhaes et al (2001) investigated the stability of liposomes having different membrane compositions (non-phospholipid amphiphile, polyoxyethylene 2-cetyl ether, dicetyl phosphate and cholesterol). All liposome formulations retained > 75% encapsulated crotoxin after 1
Figure 8.2 Results published in Pubmed database during the period 1975-2007. Panels a-c represent the number of publications cited in PubMed since 1975, where the search terms were: a) phospholipase, b) PLA$_2$, and c) PLA$_2$ + cancer.
week incubation at 37 °C. As expected, toxicity in mice was dependant on the membrane composition, such that liposomes composed of PLA₂-resistant phospholipids were less toxic. These studies demonstrate the interest in utilising novel drug delivery techniques for delivery of PLA₂.

Major advances with crotoxin have also been made by Celtic Biotech, who are developing it for the treatment of cancer, pain and viral infections (e.g. HIV) (Celtic Biotech Ltd., 2005). Recent publications have described mechanistic studies of the cytotoxic effects of crotoxin in human breast cancer cells (Yan et al, 2006; Yan et al, 2007), but interest is also growing in its analgesic properties (Zhang et al, 2006; Zhu et al, 2007). The pain relieving properties of crotoxin were discovered when it was noticed that patients receiving crotoxin required less analgesic medications (Giorgi et al, 1993). Zhang et al (2006) have since discovered that the analgesic effects are mediated through an action on the central nervous system, but do not involve the muscarinic and opioid receptors, which are responsible for the analgesic effects of neostigmine and morphine, respectively. More recently, Zhu et al (2007) showed that crotoxin mediates its analgesic effects, at least in part, by the central serotonergic system, like amitriptyline.

Since PLA₂ from other snake and bee venoms has also shown analgesic activity (Chen and Robinson, 1990; Pu et al, 1995), it is possible that PLA₂ from bee venom could also have this effect, adding a further therapeutic opportunity to a dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate. However, while studies have shown that bee venom does have analgesic properties, it is the water-soluble fraction (<10,000 g/mol) that is responsible for this effect (Kwon et al, 2005).

8.1.3 Critical evaluation of the present study

The choice of dextrin as polymeric carrier for PLA₂ was appropriate for the reasons outlined in sections 1.3.1 and 4.1.2. Moreover, as outlined by Duncan et al (2008), an ideal conjugate for PUMPT should be capable of fully masking the enzyme’s activity after polymer conjugation, but be capable of reinstating maximal activity after unmasking by polymer degradation. While the range of masked-unmasked activity described in the literature for dextrin conjugates is varied (see
Table 4.4), the dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugates synthesised here demonstrated 80\% greater activity of the conjugate after unmasking by $\alpha$-amylase. Furthermore, while the development of novel polymer-protein conjugates containing non-biodegradable polymers (e.g. PEG) is limited by their molecular weight and slow clearance from the body after longer-term clinical use, dextrin's biodegradability means that conjugates above the renal threshold (40,000 g/mol) can still be of clinical value.

Selection of bee venom PLA$_2$ was made after comparison with bovine PLA$_2$, since these were the only two commercially and readily available PLA$_2$s. However, with the growing success of crotoxin, it would be interesting to synthesise and characterise a dextrin-crotoxin conjugate for comparison to the bee venom PLA$_2$ conjugates.

To fully assess the clinical efficacy of dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugates as anti-cancer agents the most important experiments relate to \textit{in vivo} assessment of pharmacokinetics and anti-tumour activity. Since these kinds of experiments have been used to test the PDEPT combinations in mice bearing subcutaneous B16F10 melanoma (Satchi \textit{et al}, 2001; Satchi-Fainaro \textit{et al}, 2003), as well as a number of other anti-cancer polymer conjugates (Ulbrich \textit{et al}, 2003), these experiments would be relatively easy to perform. Although \textit{in vitro} model systems are useful for evaluating cytotoxicity, it is important to note that this technique represents a gross simplification of the \textit{in vivo} scenario. Data obtained from \textit{in vitro} studies can only give a possible indication of what could happen \textit{in vivo}, since it lacks the complexity of the multicomponent physiological processes of a living model. Nevertheless, \textit{in vitro} studies were still considered to be essential for investigating the potential of dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugates as novel anti-cancer agents.

\subsection*{8.1.4 Options for future development of dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugates}

The results presented in this thesis underline the possibilities of a polymer-phospholipase conjugate. However, some questions remain unanswered. Therefore, first, the most important outstanding experiments in developing dextrin-PLA$_2$ as a novel anti-cancer agent are described, and then a broader perspective of future research areas that could be explored is given.
In future studies, it would be interesting to study the physicochemical characteristics of the dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugates. The size and shape of the conjugates, with respect to their composition, protein loading and following unmasking, could be investigated using small-angle neutron scattering (SANS). This technique has previously been used to study the size, conformation and solution behaviour of unconjugated polymers (poly(amidoamine) (Khayat et al., 2006)), micelle components (DSPE-PEG(2000)-CCK8 peptide (Tesauro et al., 2007)) and polymer-drug conjugates (HPMA copolymer-doxorubicin (Paul et al., 2007)). SANS would be a valuable tool to determine conformation-activity relationships for dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugates under physiologically relevant conditions.

Circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy would also be a valuable tool for studying the effect of dextrin-conjugation on the folding of PLA$_2$. This technique uses differential absorption of left- and right-handed polarised light to determine the structure of macromolecules. PEG-GCSF conjugate has been analysed using CD spectroscopy, which showed that the secondary structure of GCSF was not altered by site-specific conjugation to PEG (Paul et al., 2007). CD spectroscopy would be useful to assess the conformational changes of PLA$_2$ when conjugated to dextrin, but also after unmasking of the conjugate by incubation with α-amylase.

Considering the results obtained in these studies from a broader point of view, as well as the recent developments of polymer conjugates described in the literature, there are a number of potential avenues for extending these studies. Figure 8.3 (panels a-b) shows a schematic representation of some future possibilities for dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugates as novel anticancer agents. First, since dextrin-PLA$_2$ and doxorubicin showed enhanced cytotoxicity in MCF-7 cells (Figure 5.10) and recent studies have shown enhanced activity of HPMA copolymer-aminoglutethimide (AGM)-doxorubicin, compared to HPMA copolymer-doxorubicin with HPMA copolymer-AGM (Greco et al., 2005), it would be interesting to investigate dextrin-PLA$_2$-doxorubicin conjugates.

Secondly, a targeting agent, such as EGF, could be added to the dextrin-PLA$_2$ conjugate to enhance tumour specificity, since EGFR is commonly overexpressed on cancer cells. However, while a number of tumour-targeting methods have been
Figure 8.3 Schematic representation of the future possibilities for the use of dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates as novel anticancer treatments. Where P = PLA₂, D = drug (e.g. doxorubicin), T = targeting residue (e.g. EGF), E = enzyme (e.g. β-lactamase), and TR = target receptor (e.g. EGFR).
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described (e.g. antibodies, peptides, and folate) (reviewed in Duncan, 2005), only PK2 (HPMA copolymer-doxorubicin-galactosamine) has been evaluated clinically.

8.2 General comments: Dextrin-PLA\textsubscript{2} conjugate as a trigger for PELT

Previous studies have demonstrated the possibility of using HPMA copolymer-Gly-Gly-PLC as a trigger for drug release from liposomes. Therefore, Chapter 7 of this thesis aimed to provide proof of concept data for the use of dextrin-PLA\textsubscript{2} conjugate as a trigger for PELT.

8.2.1 Current status of LiPlasome development

Given that the rationale for using dextrin-PLA\textsubscript{2} conjugate as a trigger for PELT stemmed, in part, from LiPlasome (reviewed in section 7.1.1) (Jorgensen et al., 2002), the recent developments in this product are interesting to review.

Since the initial studies provided proof of principle for LiPlasome, ongoing research has investigated further the expression and activity of sPLA\textsubscript{2} in tumour tissue (Tribler et al., 2007). Further studies have explored the molecular basis of phospholipid hydrolysis (Linderoth et al., 2008; Peters et al., 2007) and optimised phospholipid functionalisation and structure for PLA\textsubscript{2} degradation (Linderoth et al., 2007). At the end of 2007, LiPlasome Pharma reported successful completion of its preclinical studies, which demonstrated proof of principle of tumour-activation and integrated prodrug and drug delivery platform (LiPlasome Pharma A/S, 2008). Therefore, Phase I studies of LiPlasome encapsulating doxorubicin, cisplatin (LiPlaCis) and methotrexate (LiPloxa), as well as novel prodrug lipids that are specifically converted to active anticancer lipids by tumoural PLA\textsubscript{2}, are due to commence early in 2008.

8.2.2 Critical evaluation of the present study

The data presented here were only preliminary to demonstrate proof of concept, and further investigations are necessary for optimisation of the concept.

Initial proof of concept studies for the PELT concept used HPMA copolymer-
Gly-Gly-PLC (Satchi-Fainaro and Duncan, unpublished). These non-biodegradable conjugates contained ~ 60 wt. % PLC, compared to 5-9 wt. % PLA₂ in dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates. These differences make it difficult to compare the efficiency of HPMA copolymer-PLC conjugate and dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate to trigger drug release from liposomes. The value of using a biodegradable polymer has already been reviewed in sections 1.3.1 and 4.1.2. It is unknown whether the biodegradability of dextrin improves the ability of PLA₂ to trigger drug release from liposomes, so it would be interesting to compare dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates with HPMA copolymer- or PEG-PLA₂ conjugates. The benefits of these polymers have been outlined in sections 1.2.1 and 1.3.1.

Although these studies used the commercially available formulation, DaunoXome®, improved activity and selectivity could be achieved by designing the liposome specifically for the PELT concept. Literature shows that PLA₂ preferentially hydrolyses PC, SM and PE (Diez et al, 1994; Monteggia et al, 2000), and has a preference for curved, tightly packed membranes (Best et al, 2002). The hydrolytic activity of the dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate could be assessed using phospholipid monolayers in Langmuir troughs. These systems allow the preparation of stable model membranes in different molecular environments while simultaneously assessing their influence on the rate of phospholipid hydrolysis. Grainger et al (1990) have used this method to study phospholipid hydrolysis by PLA₂. By studying the activity of dextrin-PLA₂ towards a variety of phospholipid monolayers, it would be possible to select the best composition for the drug-loaded liposomes.

These studies also reported morphological changes in DaunoXome® during incubation with PLA₂. TEM would be a valuable tool to further investigate the effects of dextrin-PLA₂ conjugate to the liposomal surface. This technique would also elucidate the mechanism of action of dextrin-PLA₂.

8.2.3 Options for future development of PELT

Since PELT is part of a whole new field of two-step processes and combination therapies using polymers as carriers Figure 8.3 (panels c-f) also shows several polymer combinations based on this model for development in the future.
Briefly, panel (c) represents a liposome encapsulating two different drugs to act synergistically on cancer cells. Panel (d) describes a drug-loaded liposome bearing an anti-cancer drug conjugated to the surface via an enzymatically degradable linker. This model uses a polymer-PLA₂-enzyme conjugate to simultaneously trigger drug liberation from inside liposome and release the drug bound to the liposome surface after enzymatic cleavage of the degradable linker. Panel (e) represents the PELT concept using PEGylated liposomes, since some literature has suggested that PLA₂ is more active on these surfaces (reviewed in section 7.4.3). Finally, panel (f) demonstrates polymer-PLA₂ bearing a targeting residue which co-localises with drug-loaded liposomes labelled with the corresponding target receptor. This concept has the potential to increase specificity, though relies heavily on the efficacy of the EPR effect.

8.3 Contribution of this thesis to nanotechnological medicine

The work undertaken in this thesis has demonstrated two ways in which dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates can be used as anticancer agents.

These studies have shown the ability to mask and unmask enzyme activity by triggered degradation of dextrin (Ferguson et al., 2006a; Ferguson et al., 2006b). The underlying mechanism of dextrin-PLA₂'s anticancer activity with respect to EGFR has been demonstrated (Ferguson and Duncan, 2008; Ferguson et al., 2007) and its intracellular fate followed (Richardson et al., 2008). Results from the PELT studies have proven that dextrin-PLA₂ conjugates are suitable triggers for drug release from liposomes (Ferguson and Duncan, 2008).

In conclusion, bioresponsive conjugates of PLA₂ have shown exciting anticancer activity. Not only has its inherent anticancer activity been demonstrated, but also dextrin-PLA₂'s ability to trigger drug release from liposomes in the PELT model offers an additional opportunity for research.
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