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Silicon is a promising alternative to current thermoelectric materials (Bi$_2$Te$_3$). Silicon nanoparticle based materials show especially low thermal conductivities due to the high number of interfaces, which increases the observed phonon scattering. The major obstacle with these materials is maintaining a high electrical conductivity. Surface functionalization with phenylacetylene shows an electrical conductivity of 18.1 S m$^{-1}$ and seebeck coefficient of 3228.8 V K$^{-1}$ as well as maintaining a thermal conductivity of 0.1 W K$^{-1}$m$^{-1}$. This gives a ZT of 0.6 at 300 K which is significant for a bulk silicon based material and is similar to that of other thermoelectric materials such as Mg$_2$Si, PbTe and SiGe alloys.

Over the past 20 years there has been increasing pressure for the development of technologies which take advantage of renewable energy sources. This is a result of the environmental impacts and future availability of fossil fuels. There has also been an emphasis on the recycling of waste energy (energy scavenging) to improve the efficiency of many industrial and commercial processes.

Thermoelectric materials are able to take advantage of wasted or unutilized heat sources, such as in furnaces, car exhausts, and solar cells. As a result thermoelectric materials have become an area of great interest. These materials are able to convert a temperature gradient into electrical power, and vice versa without mechanical intervention. The power output from current commercial modules produced are however, modest, but the power generated from these devices can then be used elsewhere for low power applications, e.g. powering sensors or safety feedback loops.

The thermoelectric performance of a material can be expressed in terms of a figure of merit (ZT), given by the following expression:

$$ZT = \frac{\sigma S^2}{k} T$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\sigma$ is the electrical conductivity, $S$ is the Seebeck coefficient, $k$ is thermal conductivity and $T$ is temperature. Commercial thermoelectric materials generally have a ZT value of around 1.

The most common thermoelectric materials used today are based on bismuth telluride. ZT values of 2.4 at room temperature have been recorded for thin films, using bismuth telluride alloys, Bi$_2$Te$_3$/Sb$_2$Te$_3$. The major drawback with these materials is that the tellurium required to produce them is toxic and also expensive, due to its low abundance. As a consequence there has been a lot of focus on producing thermoelectric materials from alternative sources such as silicon, conductive polymers, and magnesium silicide.

Silicon is a key material in the semiconductor electronics industry and as a result there is a well-established infrastructure based on these materials. Bulk silicon itself has a relatively high Seebeck coefficient (-86 $\mu$V K$^{-1}$) and a high electrical conductivity when doping is optimized (3030.3 S cm$^{-1}$), which results in a very high power factor. However, it also displays a very high thermal conductivity 87.3 W m$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$ which results in a very low ZT of 0.008. Silicon nanostructures show much lower thermal conductivities as a result of a more efficient boundary scattering of phonons, which can lead to a marked improvement to the figure of merit. For silicon nanowires, alteration of surface roughness, diameter and doping concentrations of nanowires has recently resulted in achieving a high ZT ($\approx$1). Although it is worth noting, this was obtained from a single narrow nanowire, it is not yet demonstrated if the value can be translated into bulk materials.

Silicon nanowires show great potential as thermoelectric materials but preparation methods typically use harsh conditions or toxic and expensive reagents, such as trisilane.
hydrogen fluoride and silver nitrate.\textsuperscript{17} It is possible to avoid such issues in the synthesis of silicon nanoparticles (SiNPs).\textsuperscript{18} Highly porous films or pellets of SiNPs show exceptionally low thermal conductivity.\textsuperscript{10} These films also display low electrical conductivity, due to the difficulty of transporting electrons across the junctions between SiNPs.\textsuperscript{19} Hydrogen terminated SiNPs require high temperature compaction or spark plasma sintering (SPS) to produce a conductive pellet. As a result, crystal growth can be observed, significantly compromising the initial thermal conductivity advantages.\textsuperscript{13, 20}

Introducing suitable functionalization to the nanoscale silicon has been shown to be a method of maintaining the conductivity at the surface,\textsuperscript{22} and shows an improvement of the conductivity between two materials, acting as a conductive bridge.\textsuperscript{22} This study shows Si-Molecule-Metal junctions to be more conductive than a Si:H-Hg junction, which is attributed to the alignment of the ligands on the surface. More over the attachments of these molecules give an increased density of surface states of which have energy positions deep into the band gap, which act as slow traps that quench the surface photoconductivity. The use of a conjugated capping layer, such as phenylacetylene, could give an improved conductivity of a pellet presses at ambient temperature compared to that of a silicon based material of equivalent density and porosity. There are issues with the attachment of such groups to a silicon surface, since the typical hydrosilylation method for SiNPs functionalization does not give desirable high surface coverage.\textsuperscript{23} This is due to the ability of such surface agents, once attached to quench the reactive species.\textsuperscript{24} However, an alternative method is the reaction of a suitable nucleophile with chloride terminated SiNPs. A well-documented synthesis for chloride terminated SiNPs is the reduction of SiCl\textsubscript{4} using a suitable hydride free reducing agent such as sodium naphthalide or sodium silicide.\textsuperscript{25} Suitable nucleophiles which are subsequently introduced, include alcohols and organomatalic reagents.\textsuperscript{26}

In the following report phenylacetylene capped SiNPs are produced using a micelle reduction method.\textsuperscript{25, 27} This entails the reduction of micelles of SiCl\textsubscript{4} using sodium naphthalide to produce chlorine terminated SiNPs, followed by alkylation (functionalization) using lithium phenylacetylide as shown in Scheme 1. The resulting SiNPs were characterized using a combination of Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), \textsuperscript{1}H-NMR, \textsuperscript{13}C-NMR, transmission electron microscopy (TEM), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDX), thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). The thermoelectric properties of a cold pressed pellet of phenylacetylene capped SiNPs were measured at room temperature. For this material a \textit{Z} of 0.6 was obtained, which is a high value for a bulk SiNPs pellet\textsuperscript{13, 20} and is comparable to many thermoelectric materials.\textsuperscript{6b, c, 28}

### Experimental

#### Materials

Silicon tetrachloride (Sigma, 99 %), lithium phenylacetylide (Sigma, 1 M solution in tetrahydrofuran), sodium (Acros, 99.8 %), naphthalene (Acros, 99 %), tetrahydrofuran (Fisher, 99.5 %, dried over sodium/benzophenone and freshly distilled) and toluene (Fisher, 99 %).

#### Synthesis of Phenylacetylene Capped SiNPs

Silicon tetrachloride was dispersed in tetrahydrofuran by sonication for 15 mins and a solution of freshly prepared sodium naphthalide (70 mL) was added. This was prepared by ultrasonication of sodium metal (0.7 g) and naphthalene (2.9 g) for 3 hr in dry tetrahydrofuran (70 mL). After the addition of sodium naphthalide solution the mixture was placed in an ultrasonic bath for 1 hr to give a dark brown suspension. To this a solution of lithium phenylacetylide (5 mL, 1 M solution in tetrahydrofuran) was added followed by further ultrasonication for 2 hr. All precipitate was allowed to settle, the clear liquid was decanted off and all solvent was removed in \textit{vacuo}. The resulting solid was then heated to 373 K under reduced pressure to remove the residual naphthalene. The resulting solid was dissolved in toluene, washed with water to remove any remaining lithium chloride; the organic layer was dried to give phenylacetylene capped SiNPs, as an orange crystalline solid.

#### FTIR

The FTIR spectrum was collected using a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum 100 ATR FTIR spectrometer. The background was corrected by subtracting a spectrum of the clean crystal.

#### 1H-NMR and 13C-NMR

---

**Scheme 1.** Synthesis of phenylacetylene capped SiNPs
Measurements of sample dissolved in CDCl₃ were taken using a Bruker 500 MHz NMR spectrometer. These samples were measured relative to chloroform from the lock solvent (CDCl₃).

**XPS**

XPS analysis was carried out on a K-Alpha XPS instrument (Thermo Scientific, East Grinstead, UK). A monochromatic Al Ka x-ray source (1486.6 eV) was used with a spot size of 400 mm diameter. A pass energy of 200 eV and step size of 0.4 eV was used for survey spectra. A pass energy of 40 eV and step size of 0.1 eV was used for high resolution spectra.

**TEM**

TEM micrographs were taken using a JEOL JEM2000 transmission electron microscope. TEM samples were prepared by drop casting a solution of phenylacetylene capped SiNPs in chloroform onto a 200 mesh copper grid with a holey carbon film. The solvent was evaporated and TEM micrographs were taken at different spots of the grid. The mean diameter and standard deviation were acquired by the measurement of 100 nanoparticles manually from images of different spots on the grid.

**TGA and DSC**

TGA and DSC analysis was performed using a METTLER TOLEDO TGA-1. The solid sample (approx. 5 mg) was placed in a 40 μL aluminum pan and measurements were taken during the heating of the sample from 300 to 773 K. The background measurements were taken while heating the empty pan over the same temperature range.

**Preparation of pellet and measurement of thermoelectric properties**

A dry powder of the sample was pressed uniaxially using a hydraulic press and die into a 13 mm diameter pellet of thickness 1–2 mm by applying the maximum pressure of 800 MPa for 3 min. The pressing was carried out at room temperature.

The Seebeck coefficient was measured at room temperature using a hot probe apparatus.²⁹ A four probe DC current equipment was used to measure the room temperature electrical resistivity. A current I= 10 mA was applied between two probes and the voltage difference V generated at the other two probes was measured. The resistivity R was calculated using

\[
R = \frac{V}{I} \times F \times C \times d \quad (2)
\]

where F and C are geometrical correction factors and d the pellet thickness. In both measurements the data was taken at different regions of the pellet. The resistivity reported here is the average of two measurements with applied electrical current in two opposite directions. The contacts are spring loaded pressure contact. The influence of the parasitic capacitance is ignored here because the measurement was carried out under DC condition as thermoelectric devices operate in DC mode.

Thermal diffusivity measurements were performed at 373 K and 423 K using an Anter Flashline 3000 instrument. Measurements were made on pellets of phenylacetylene capped silicon nanoparticles. This instrument determines both the thermal diffusivity (α) and the heat capacity (Cₚ) of the sample. The thermal conductivity (κ) is calculated from the relationship:

\[
\kappa = \alpha C_p \rho \quad (3)
\]

where ρ is the sample density. For the determination of the heat capacity, side-by-side testing of a reference material, Pyroceram™ 9606, of known heat capacity, was carried out. The procedure used for the determination of the heat capacity has been described in detail.³⁰ Efforts to extend the measurements to higher temperatures were unsuccessful as the sample pellet deformed.

**Results and Discussion**

The FTIR spectrum (Figure 1) shows the peaks expected for the phenylacetylene functionalization of SiNPs. The spectrum shows peaks at 3051 cm⁻¹, representative of aromatic CH, and at both 1596 and 1487 cm⁻¹ which is representative of aromatic C-C bonds. Also clearly visible is the sharp peak at 2161 cm⁻¹, which is characteristic of the C≡C, which, when paired with the absence of a sharp peak at 3300 cm⁻¹, suggests that the terminal side of the alkyne is attached to silicon. This is also supported by the Si-C≡C peaks at 1441 and 1221 cm⁻¹. The Si-O peak between 1100 and 1000 cm⁻¹ is observable but is broad and weak when compared with the sharp peaks at 1068 and 1026 cm⁻¹ which are also characteristic of phenylacetylene.

![Figure 1. FTIR spectrum of phenylacetylene capped SiNPs.](image)

Further evidence of phenylacetylene capping can also be observed in the¹H-NMR (Figure 2a) and ¹³C-NMR (Figure 2b) spectra. ¹H-NMR shows two multiplet peaks at 7.53 and 7.30 ppm with an integration of 2:3 respectively, characteristic of the phenyl protons of phenylacetylene. Also, there was no presence of the peak for a proton on the alkyne at 3 ppm. These features
are evidence of the molecule being bound to the SiNPs. The $^{13}$C-NMR shows the four peaks for the phenyl carbons at 132, 129, 128 and 121 ppm and 2 peaks for the alkyne at 106 and 86 ppm, characteristic of the carbon closest to the phenyl and the silicon respectively.

Figure 2. NMR spectrum of phenylacetylene capped SiNPs: (a) 1H-NMR; (b) 13C-NMR.

The TEM image shows that spherical SiNPs have been synthesized (Figure 3). The SiNPs show a wide distribution of particle diameters (inset Figure 3). The mean diameter of the nanoparticles produced is 6 nm with a standard deviation of 1 nm, obtained by analyzing 100 particles from different regions of the grid. The high standard deviation and wide size distribution can be explained by the lack of controlling influence on the micelle size, i.e. lack of use of surfactants.\textsuperscript{31a}

The SiNPs are rich in both silicon (inset Figure 4) and carbon. This can be observed from the XPS survey spectrum of the SiNPs (Figure 4). Moderate levels of oxygen can also be seen in Figure 4. However, lower levels of oxygen were observed on EDX (Supporting Information). This increased oxygen level observed in XPS is typical of X-ray induced oxidation of SiNPs.\textsuperscript{31} Additionally XPS does not show any signals from elemental impurities of potential byproducts: sodium, lithium and chlorine.

Figure 3. TEM image and size distribution of phenylacetylene capped silicon nanoparticles. Inset, size distribution of silicon nanoparticles.

Figure 4. XPS survey spectrum for phenylacetylene capped silicon nanoparticles. Inset, high resolution XPS spectrum of silicon signal.

The DSC trace (Figure 5) begins at a flat level of heat flow before a negative peak can be observed at 445 K representing a solid-liquid phase transition. Meanwhile the weight on the TGA trace (Figure 5) remains close to 100 %. After this feature the heat flow into the sample begins to decrease relatively rapidly, which suggests that the sample is beginning to undergo degradation. The DSC trace reaches a maximum at 593 K, where there is no net flow of heat into or out of the sample.
showing completion of the initial degradation. After 593 K the heat flow into the sample increases again, the TGA trace shows that the weight continues to decrease; becoming more rapid above 700 K. This is likely to be due to continued degradation. The DSC and TGA traces are significant in that they show to what temperature a material produced from phenylacetylene capped SiNPs can be used or tested. The observation of a solid to liquid phase transition at 448 K suggests that the material could only be used up to approx 420 K, before the melting process begins warping the material. Also it should be noted that this limits the temperatures at which material can be processed as significant softening occurs a moderately low temperatures. Thus, the material would be suitable for low temperature thermoelectric applications only. Examples include: energy scavenging from hot water/cooling water outflow from a plant or thermal spring, or the conversion of heat from light harvesting.

The thermoelectric measurements are given in Table 1. The measurements obtained at ambient temperature show that the material produced has an exceptionally high Seebeck coefficient and low thermal conductivity. These two values offset the low electrical conductivity in the equation for the figure of merit (ZT). The thermal conductivity was measured at 373 K and 423 K, and the values were 0.15 and 0.19 W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ respectively. The listed value in Table 1 is an extrapolated value expressed to one decimal place. This gives an ambient temperature ZT of 0.6. This value is comparative to other silicon materials of similar porosity, the electrical conductivity of a pellet of phenylacetylene SiNPs is significantly large. However, when compared with materials typically involved in thermoelectric applications the electrical conductivity is low. This conductivity could however be improved and optimized through the introduction of charge carriers, which can be achieved by modifying the SiNPs and/or doping the ligands. Both of these should be understood in order to optimize this material. The magnitude of the Seebeck coefficient is much higher than that which is documented for other silicon based materials. This can be attributed to the low carrier concentration discussed above. If the charge carrier concentration is optimized the Seebeck coefficient would be expected to be reduced by a factor of 10 to 100, based on previous work regarding silicon based materials. Optimization of doping would mean that a compromise between the magnitude of the electrical conductivity and Seebeck coefficient will need to be made, which in practical terms would make for a more useful material but may alter the ZT positively or negatively.

| Table 1. Thermoelectric measurements of a pellet of phenylacetylene capped silicon nanoparticles at room temperature. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\rho$ [kg m$^{-3}$] | $\sigma$ [S m$^{-1}$] | $S$ [mV K$^{-1}$] | $\kappa$ [W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$] | ZT |
| 1022.0 | 18.1 | 3228.8 | 0.1 | 0.6 |

The low thermal conductivity values may be in part due to the low density. Because the samples were simply cold pressed, the density might be well below the theoretical (crystallographic) value. The effect of densification on $\kappa$ is of the form below:

$$k_{\text{porous}} = \frac{4}{3} \varphi$$

where $\varphi$ is the porosity defined as

$$\varphi = 1 - \frac{\rho_{\text{porous}}}{\rho_{\text{dense}}}$$

Unfortunately, given the presence of the organic capping agents, the calculation of the theoretical density is less obvious. If the organic component is neglected, then the density is expected to be that of silicon, which is 2329 kg m$^{-3}$. The measured density is approximately 1022 kg m$^{-3}$. This suggests, compared to pure silicon, the sample is approximately 44% dense. Of course this is a gross approximation as it ignores the organic component completely, but it does suggest that the sample is quite porous and that $\kappa$ is well below that of the dense material.

Relative to other silicon materials of similar porosity, the electrical conductivity of a pellet of phenylacetylene SiNPs is significantly large. However, when compared with materials typically involved in thermoelectric applications the electrical conductivity is low. This conductivity could however be improved and optimized through the introduction of charge carriers, which can be achieved by modifying the SiNPs and/or doping the ligands. Both of these should be understood in order to optimize this material.

The magnitude of the Seebeck coefficient is much higher than that which is documented for other silicon based materials. This can be attributed to the low carrier concentration discussed above. If the charge carrier concentration is optimized the Seebeck coefficient would be expected to be reduced by a factor of 10 to 100, based on previous work regarding silicon based materials. Optimization of doping would mean that a compromise between the magnitude of the electrical conductivity and Seebeck coefficient will need to be made, which in practical terms would make for a more useful material but may alter the ZT positively or negatively.

**CONCLUSION**

Surface analysis of phenylacetylene functionalized SiNPs shows a surface coverage considerably higher than that previously documented for capping with similar molecules using hydrosilylation based methods. The thermal stability shows that the material produced, when cold pressed undergoes a phase transition at 448 K, so would only be suitable to be used as a thermoelectric material up to 420 K. The thermoelectric performance at ambient temperature is comparable to other silicon based thermoelectric materials, such as silicon nanowires, where a $ZT \approx 1$ was obtained from a single narrow nanowire. Although it is not yet demonstrated if the $ZT$ value can be translated into bulk materials. On the other
hand, phenylacetylene capped silicon nanoparticles have been readily compacted into bulk materials with a respectable ZT of 0.6 prior to optimization of the electrical conductivity by doping. This is a high ZT value compared to other silicon based bulk materials and is comparable to other better established thermoelectric materials such as Mg$_2$Si and SiGe Alloys. This result shows a significant step toward silicon based thermoelectric materials.
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