Nickel isotopic composition of the mantle
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Abstract

This paper presents a detailed high-precision study of Ni isotope variations in mantle peridotites and their minerals, komatiites as well as chondritic and iron meteorites. Ultramafic rocks display a relatively large range in $\delta^{60}\text{Ni}$ \textsuperscript{60}Ni/\textsuperscript{58}Ni relative to the NIST SRM 986 Ni isotope standard) for this environment, from 0.15±0.07‰ to 0.36±0.08‰, with olivine-rich rocks such as dunite and olivine cumulates showing lighter isotope compositions than komatiite, lherzolite and pyroxenite samples. The data for the mineral separates shed light on the origin of these variations. Olivine and orthopyroxene display light $\delta^{60}\text{Ni}$ whereas clinopyroxene and garnet are isotopically heavy. This indicates that peridotite whole-rock $\delta^{60}\text{Ni}$ may be controlled by variations in modal mineralogy, with the prediction that mantle melts will display variable $\delta^{60}\text{Ni}$ values due to variations in residual mantle and cumulate mineralogy. Based on fertile peridotite xenoliths and Phanerozoic komatiite samples it is concluded that the upper mantle has a relatively homogeneous Ni isotope composition, with the best estimate of $\delta^{60}\text{Ni}_{\text{mantle}}$ being $0.23\pm0.06$‰ (2 s.d.). Given that >99% of the Ni in the silicate Earth is located in the mantle, this also defines the Ni isotope composition of the Bulk Silicate Earth (BSE). This value is nearly identical to the results obtained for a suite of chondrites and iron meteorites (mean $\delta^{60}\text{Ni}$ 0.26±0.12‰ and 0.29±0.10‰, respectively) showing that the BSE is chondritic with respect to its Ni isotope composition, with little to no Ni mass-dependent isotope fractionation resulting from core formation.

1. Introduction

Nickel is a first-row transition metal that, under mantle melting conditions, behaves as a strongly compatible element. The Earth’s upper mantle has a mean Ni concentration of 2000 µg/g, which means this reservoir contains >99% of the silicate Earth’s Ni inventory (e.g. McDonough and Sun, 1995; Palme and O’Neill, 2014). In mantle-derived rocks Ni is primarily concentrated in olivine, the dominant silicate mineral in the upper mantle. In Mg-rich mantle olivine, Ni\textsuperscript{2+} substitutes for Mg\textsuperscript{2+} in octahedral coordination, leading to olivine Ni concentrations of around 3000 µg/g (e.g. Sato, 1977; Stosch, 1981; Deer et al., 1982; Witt-Eickschen and O’Neill, 2005). Spinel is the only other mantle mineral that can accommodate similar amounts of Ni, also predominantly in octahedral coordination (Stosch, 1981; Witt-Eickschen and O’Neill, 2005). However, as the modal abundance of spinel in upper mantle litholo-
gies is <5%, its impact on the mantle Ni budget is minor. Orthopyroxene is the second most common mineral in the upper mantle and can hold smaller, but significant, amounts of Ni (<1000 µg/g), substituting into octahedral coordination space for Mg or Fe in the orthopyroxene lattice structure (Stosch, 1981; Deer et al., 1982; Witt-Eickschen and O’Neill, 2005). Nickel can also be found in lower concentrations (<500 µg/g) in clinopyroxene and garnet, also situated in octahedral coordination in both minerals (Witt-Eickschen and O’Neill, 2005). Although the hosts of Ni in the lower mantle are less well constrained, Ni has been observed to be concentrated in ferropericlase inclusions within diamonds, where it is present in amounts of 1–2.5 wt.% (Kaminsky, 2012). Nickel has also been found in its native form (Kaminsky, 2012), which is potentially due to disproportionation of magnesiowustite into bridgemanite which produces Fe-Ni metal (Frost et al., 2004; Wade and Wood, 2005; Williams et al., 2012).

Nickel stable isotopes are a relatively new geochemical tracer that has not been evaluated in detail in terrestrial high-temperature environments. A number of studies have attempted to quantify the degree of δ⁶⁰Ni (permil deviation in ⁶⁰Ni/⁵⁸Ni relative to the NIST SRM 986 Ni isotope standard) variation in igneous rocks, including mantle derived materials (Cameron et al., 2009; Steele et al., 2011; Gall et al., 2012, 2013; Gueguen et al., 2013; Chernomozhkin et al., 2015; Ratié et al., 2015; Estrade et al., 2015). However, most of these data are limited to rock standards or reference materials, and no systematic Ni stable isotope study of mantle rocks has been published. Other work on Ni stable isotopes in high-temperature environments has focused on meteorites (Cook et al., 2007; Moynier et al., 2007; Steele et al., 2011, 2012) and magmatic sulphide ore bearing bodies (Hofmann et al., 2014), while a number of studies have focused on the application of Ni isotopes in low temperature geochemistry (e.g. Porter et al., 2014; Cameron and Vance, 2014; Wasylkeni et al., 2015; Ratié et al., 2015; Estrade et al., 2015).

Published Ni isotope compositions for igneous rocks range between -0.1 and 0.3‰ in δ⁶⁰Ni (e.g. Cameron et al., 2009; Gueguen et al., 2013). This study investigates this surprisingly large range in isotope composition for a high-temperature environment. Our aim is to better define the Ni isotope composition of the mantle by presenting data from peridotites, komatiites and mantle mineral separates, and to discuss these in the context of published whole-rock data. Finally, we aim to compare the isotope composition of the Bulk Silicate Earth (i.e. the mantle) with that of meteorites, to estimate the impact of core formation on the Ni isotope system on Earth. For this chondrite and iron meteorite data are presented and compared with existing data on such materials.

2. Sample selection

2.1. Mantle xenoliths, peridotites and mineral separates

Most of the ultramafic xenoliths analysed in this study are from the Neogene volcanic province in Northern Tanzania, a part of the eastern Rift Valley of East Africa. These samples were selected for this study as they have been well characterised for a range of elemental and isotopic tracers and because they represent ancient sub continental lithospheric mantle. The majority of these samples were collected from the Lashaine volcano, an area famous for carbonatite lavas and the presence of ultramafic xenoliths similar to those from kimberlites (e.g. Dawson et al., 1970; Cohen et al., 1984; Dawson, 2002). The samples comprise two garnet lherzolites (BD730 and BD1355), three spinel harzburgites (BD774, BD822 and BD1542) and one alkaline pyroxenite (BD744). The garnet peridotites consist of varying proportions of red to purple garnet, emerald green clinopyroxene, orthopyroxene and magnesium-rich olivine (Fo90 for olivine from BD730), the latter without any signs of serpentinisation (Dawson et al., 1970). The spinel peridotites contain a much higher percentage of olivine (80-90 vol.% compared to 50-65 vol.% in the garnet peridotites) and lower amounts of orthopyroxene (5-12 vol.% versus 20-40 vol.% in the garnet peridotites),
but similar amounts of clinopyroxene (1-3 vol.% only in both groups) (Dawson et al., 1970; Reid et al., 1975; Rhodes and Dawson, 1975). The pyroxenite sample consists of rounded iron-rich olivine (Fo84), opaque phases (mainly chromite and magnetite), and clinopyroxene, surrounded by mica (Dawson and Smith, 1972). Other mantle rocks samples analysed were a kimberlite hosted garnet lherzolite xenolith from the Balfontain Mine in South Africa (e.g. Carswell and Dawson, 1970) and a dunite from the Appalachian peridotite massif in Jackson County, N. Carolina, USA (e.g. Kulp and Brobst, 1954; Stueber, 1969). These samples were both provided by the Natural History Museum in London. For interlaboratory comparison we have also analysed the USGS reference materials DTS-1 and PCC-1 for their Ni isotope compositions. The reference sample PCC-1 is a partially serpentinised harzburgite from the Cazadero ultramafic massif, California, USA (Flanagan, 1967, 1969; Barnes and O’Neil, 1969) and DTS-1 is a dunite sample from the Twin Sisters Mountain, Washington, USA (Flanagan, 1967, 1969; Ragan, 1963).

In addition to whole rock mantle xenoliths we have analysed mineral separates from mantle xenoliths from the Cameroon Line, which have previously been studied for Fe isotopes (Williams et al., 2004, 2005). The goal of these analyses was to determine the magnitude of inter-mineral Ni isotope fractionation and to compare the behaviour of Ni and Fe isotopes. The analysed samples comprise three spinel lherzolites from different volcanic centres in Cameroon: (1) olivine from C235A, Lake Barungi Mbo near Mount Cameroon; (2) orthopyroxene from C273Q, Lake Enep near Oku in Cameroon; (3) olivine, orthopyroxene and clinopyroxene from P13, Ngaoundere Plateau, Cameroon (Lee et al., 1996). Two mineral megacrysts, garnet and clinopyroxene, were also analysed from sample N12, a harzburgite from the Biu Plateau in Nigeria. The three analysed spinel lherzolites contain 45-65 vol.% olivine, 25-35 vol.% orthopyroxene, 10-15 vol.% clinopyroxene and <5 vol.% spinel, while the Biu Plateau harzburgite contains 55-60 vol.% olivine and 40-45 vol.% orthopyroxene as well as small amounts (<5%) of clinopyroxene and garnet (Lee et al., 1996). In a previous study of the same samples, Williams et al. (2005) concluded that the minerals were largely in inter-mineral Fe isotopic equilibrium, based on the constant offsets between the different phases from mantle xenoliths and massif samples.

2.2. Komatiites

Komatiites are generated by extremely high-degree (35 to 50%) partial melting of the mantle. The occurrence of komatiites is largely restricted to Archean and early Proterozoic terranes, which is considered to reflect the higher ambient mantle temperatures at these times (e.g. Nisbet et al., 1993; Arndt et al., 2008). As large-degree partial melts komatiites approximate the chemical composition of their source, and have thus been used to constrain the composition of the mantle for Fe isotopes (e.g. Dauphas et al., 2010; Hibbert et al., 2012), Mo isotopes (Greber et al., 2015) and Cu isotopes (Savage et al., 2015).

The komatiites of Gorgona Island, Colombia, are the only documented occurrence of Phanerozoic komatiite (dated at ~90 Ma; Walker et al., 1999; Stanton et al., 1998). Being the youngest known example of komatiite these are also the texturally least altered specimens of this rock type available and have been well characterised in previous publications (e.g. Aitken and Echeverría, 1984; Arndt et al., 1997; Kerr et al., 1996; Kerr, 2005). The mineralogy of the Gorgona cumulates and komatiites consists of olivine, plagioclase, pyroxene, Cr-spinel and altered, devitrified glass, with the metamorphic grade not exceeding greenschist facies (Aitken and Echeverría, 1984). Some of the samples analysed in this study have previously been analysed for Fe isotopes by Hibbert et al. (2012).

2.3. Meteorites

For this study nine magmatic iron meteorites from six different meteorite classes were analysed (Negrillos and North Chile, IIA; Sikhote-Alin, IIB; Arispe, IC; Charcas and Henbury, IIIA; Clark County, IIIF;
Nickel has five stable isotopes: $^{58}\text{Ni}$, $^{60}\text{Ni}$, $^{61}\text{Ni}$, $^{62}\text{Ni}$ and $^{64}\text{Ni}$, with the respective abundances of 68.08%, 26.22%, 1.14%, 3.63% and 0.93% (Gramlich et al., 1989). A double-spike approach was used here for correction of instrumental mass bias, and, as mentioned above, isotopic fractionation during sample processing. The double spike consisted of 25:75 $^{61}\text{Ni}$:$^{62}\text{Ni}$ and was added to each sample at a sample:spike Ni ratio of 0.4. The purified Ni solutions were analysed using a Nu Plasma II (Nu Instruments) high-resolution multi-collector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (MC-ICPMS) at Oxford University. Sample solutions of 100 ng/g Ni were introduced into the plasma in 0.3 M (2%) HNO$_3$ using a Nu Instruments DSN desolvator and a PFA concentric nebulizer (Elemental Scientific). Measurements consisted of 40 10-second integrations and baseline measurements were measured by ESA deflection. The possible interference of $^{56}\text{Fe}$ on $^{58}\text{Ni}$ was corrected online through simultaneous measurement of $^{57}\text{Fe}$. All analyses were performed at medium mass resolution mode (resolving power, m/$\Delta m \approx 3000$) to ensure that any polyatomic interferences were resolved. The mean beam intensity obtained under these conditions were $\sim$3 V on mass 58, or around 40 V per ppm Ni. The data reduction procedure of measured isotope ratios followed Siebert et al. (2001).

Most samples analysed during this study were digested twice and all processed solutions were analysed on at least 2 different occasions. Multiple full repeats and analyses of the USGS reference materials PCC-1 (15 individual analyses), BHVO-2 (35 analyses) and SCo-1 (16 analyses) gave a long term external reproducibility of $\pm$0.07‰ (2 s.d.) for silicate samples (Gall et al., 2012). All Ni isotope ratios reported here are presented as the $^{60}\text{Ni}/^{58}\text{Ni}$ ratio relative to the Ni isotope standard SRM 986 (NIST).

$$\delta^{60}\text{Ni} = \left[ \frac{(^{60}\text{Ni}/^{58}\text{Ni})_{\text{sample}}}{(^{60}\text{Ni}/^{58}\text{Ni})_{\text{SRM 986}}} - 1 \right] \times 1000$$
4. Results

All results are given in Tables 1-3. The number of replicate analyses (n) for each sample is given in these tables and all uncertainties are reported as 2 standard deviations (2 s.d.) unless noted otherwise.

Results of peridotite and komatiite analyses can be found in Table 1. The Ni isotope composition of the two USGS peridotite reference materials DTS-1 and PCC-1 were determined to be -0.08±0.07‰ and 0.14±0.06‰ respectively. Our values for these rock standards are in excellent agreement with previously published data reported by Güggen et al. (2013) (DTS-1: -0.07±0.05‰ and PCC-1: 0.12±0.05‰) and Chernonozhkin et al. (2015) (DTS-1: -0.07±0.01‰ and PCC-1: 0.17±0.05‰). There is a discrepancy with the δ\textsuperscript{60}Ni for PCC-1 reported by Cameron et al. (2009), who obtain a value nearly 0.20‰ heavier (0.34±0.08‰). As this heavy value has not been replicated by either this study, Güggen et al. (2013) or Chernonozhkin et al. (2015) it is not included in the discussion nor in the comparison of new and published data in Fig. 1. To examine the effect of low- vs. high-pressure dissolution on the Ni isotope composition separate samples of the DTS-1 dunite were dissolved using the different digestion techniques. No difference in δ\textsuperscript{60}Ni (within error) were seen between the different types of digestions of this sample, even though the spinels were clearly still undissolved in the low-pressure experiments.

The eight ultramafic samples display δ\textsuperscript{60}Ni ranging from 0.15 to 0.36‰. The dunite (NHM-1) exhibits the lowest δ\textsuperscript{60}Ni of 0.15±0.07‰. Two of the three harzburgite samples also display low values of 0.18±0.07‰ (BD1542) and 0.20±0.03‰ (BD822), while the last harzburgite (BD774) has a higher δ\textsuperscript{60}Ni of 0.28±0.06‰. The two volcanic lherzolite xenoliths possess slightly higher δ\textsuperscript{60}Ni than dunite, of 0.23±0.06‰ (BD730) and 0.25±0.06‰ (BD1355), and the kimberlite lherzolite xenolith (NHM-2) having a very similar δ\textsuperscript{60}Ni of 0.22±0.06‰. The single analysed pyroxenite xenolith (BD744) have a significantly higher δ\textsuperscript{60}Ni than all other samples: 0.36±0.08‰. The mean δ\textsuperscript{60}Ni value of all peridotite xenolith samples is 0.22±0.08‰ (i.e. excluding the pyroxenite and dunite samples).

The Gorgona samples show a similar range in δ\textsuperscript{60}Ni to the peridotite xenoliths, with δ\textsuperscript{60}Ni values ranging from 0.15 to 0.26‰. The three olivine cumulate samples display lower δ\textsuperscript{60}Ni values of 0.15±0.05‰, 0.19±0.04‰ and 0.22±0.04‰ respectively, while the two komatiite samples show slightly higher δ\textsuperscript{60}Ni of 0.24±0.06‰ and 0.26±0.07‰.

The δ\textsuperscript{60}Ni for each mineral separate is given in Table 2 and reveals mineralogical differences. Olivine ranges from 0.14±0.05‰ for sample C273Q to 0.17±0.05‰ for P13, whereas orthopyroxenes show much lower values of -0.04±0.04‰ (C235A) and -0.02±0.06‰ (P13). The single lherzolite clinopyroxene separate analysed displays an extremely high δ\textsuperscript{60}Ni value of 2.83±0.11‰, while the harzburgite clinopyroxene sample also displays a heavy, though less extreme, value of 0.42±0.08‰. The sole garnet analysed shows an intermediate δ\textsuperscript{60}Ni of 0.30±0.06‰.

Results of meteorite analyses are given in Table 3. The Ni isotope composition of eight of the nine iron meteorites analysed show a range in δ\textsuperscript{60}Ni from 0.20‰ to 0.36‰, with no systematic differences between sample groups. The last iron meteorite, Duel Hill (IVA), displays a significantly lighter isotope composition of -0.06±0.06‰. Chondrite meteorites display a range in δ\textsuperscript{60}Ni similar to that of iron meteorites. Of the carbonaceous chondrites the CI1 chondrite Orgueil show the lowest value of 0.18±0.04‰, Allende and Murchison (CV3 and CM2, respectively) possess very similar values of 0.24±0.07‰ and 0.23±0.07‰, respectively, whereas Oranuas (CO3) show a slightly higher value of 0.29±0.08‰. The two enstatite chondrites Indarch (EH4) and Khaipur (EL6) have very similar values of 0.28±0.09‰ and 0.29±0.08‰. The most variation in δ\textsuperscript{60}Ni within chondrite meteorites is found amongst ordinary chondrites. This group of six samples display δ\textsuperscript{60}Ni values varying between 0.16±0.05‰ and 0.51±0.07‰.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Rock type</th>
<th>Mineralogy (%)</th>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>δ(^{60})Ni (‰)</th>
<th>2 s.d.</th>
<th>(n)</th>
<th>Ni (µg/g)</th>
<th>Mg#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DTS-1</td>
<td>Washington, USA</td>
<td>orogenic, dunite</td>
<td>ol, sp</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.077</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2360</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCC-1</td>
<td>California, USA</td>
<td>orogenic, harzburgite</td>
<td>ol, opx, cpx, sp</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2325</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHM-1</td>
<td>North Carolina, USA</td>
<td>orogenic, dunite</td>
<td>ol, sp</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2830</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHM-2</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>kimberlite, lherzolite</td>
<td>ol, opx, cpx, gt</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1740</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD744</td>
<td>Lashaine, Tanzania</td>
<td>volcanic, pyroxenite</td>
<td>ol, cpx, mica, sp</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.359</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD822</td>
<td>Lashaine, Tanzania</td>
<td>volcanic, harzburgite</td>
<td>ol (84.2), cpx (11.8), cpx (1.2), sp (0.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2345</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD1542</td>
<td>Lashaine, Tanzania</td>
<td>volcanic, harzburgite</td>
<td>ol (87.5), cpx (7.7), cpx (2.0), sp (0.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD774</td>
<td>Lashaine, Tanzania</td>
<td>volcanic, harzburgite</td>
<td>ol (89.3), cpx (4.6), cpx (3.2), sp (0.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2165</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD730</td>
<td>Lashaine, Tanzania</td>
<td>volcanic, lherzolite</td>
<td>ol (65.2), cpx (19.9), cpx (2.0), gt (12.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.226</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1880</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD1355</td>
<td>Matsoka, Tanzania</td>
<td>volcanic, lherzolite</td>
<td>ol (49.4), cpx (43.4), cpx (2.2), gt (3.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.245</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C273Q</td>
<td>Lake Enep</td>
<td>lherzolite</td>
<td>ol (45), cpx (35), cpx (15), sp (5)</td>
<td>olivine</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2870</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C235A</td>
<td>Lake Barombi Mbo</td>
<td>lherzolite</td>
<td>ol (60), cpx (25), cpx (10), sp (5)</td>
<td>orthopyroxene</td>
<td>-0.035</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P13</td>
<td>Ngaoundere Plateau</td>
<td>lherzolite</td>
<td>ol (65), cpx (25), cpx (5), sp (5)</td>
<td>olivine</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3150</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N12</td>
<td>Biu Plateau</td>
<td>harzburgite</td>
<td>ol (55), cpx (40), cpx, gt (5)</td>
<td>clinopyroxene</td>
<td>2.834</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>garnet</td>
<td>0.423</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Sample information and results for peridotite and komatiite samples analysed for their Ni isotope composition. Chemical as well as mineral compositions are taken from Dawson et al. (1970), Dawson and Smith (1972), and Reid et al. (1975) for the mantle xenoliths and from Kerr et al. (1996) for the Phanerozoic komatiites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Rock type</th>
<th>Mineralogy (%)</th>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>δ(^{60})Ni (‰)</th>
<th>2 s.d.</th>
<th>(n)</th>
<th>Ni (µg/g)</th>
<th>Mg#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C273Q</td>
<td>Lake Enep</td>
<td>lherzolite</td>
<td>ol (45), cpx (35), cpx (15), sp (5)</td>
<td>olivine</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2870</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C235A</td>
<td>Lake Barombi Mbo</td>
<td>lherzolite</td>
<td>ol (60), cpx (25), cpx (10), sp (5)</td>
<td>orthopyroxene</td>
<td>-0.035</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P13</td>
<td>Ngaoundere Plateau</td>
<td>lherzolite</td>
<td>ol (65), cpx (25), cpx (5), sp (5)</td>
<td>olivine</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3150</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N12</td>
<td>Biu Plateau</td>
<td>harzburgite</td>
<td>ol (55), cpx (40), cpx, gt (5)</td>
<td>clinopyroxene</td>
<td>2.834</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>garnet</td>
<td>0.423</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Sample information and results for mineral separates from three lherzolite xenoliths from the Cameroon Line analysed for their Ni isotope composition. Modal mineral compositions are from Lee et al. (1996) and chemical data from Williams et al. (2005).
Table 3: Sample information and results for meteorites analysed for their Ni isotope composition. All samples analysed were from the Natural History Museum, London (BM) apart from three iron meteorites from the Smithsonian National Museum of Natural History, Washington D.C. (USNM). The Ni concentrations are published values for each meteorite: a Kallemeyn et al. (1989), b Morgan et al. (1985), c McSween (1977), d Hertogen et al. (1983), e cited Duke:1961, f Jarosewich (1990), g Wasson (1969), h Buchwald (1975), i Goldberg et al. (1951), j Wasson and Richardson (2001), k Scott et al. (1973).

5. Discussion

5.1. Evidence for mineralogical control on $\delta^{60}$Ni variations in mantle rocks

The data from mineral separates demonstrate a significant degree of high temperature Ni isotope fractionation in mantle rocks. The two orthopyroxene separates are isotopically light relative to the two olivine separates and both olivine and orthopyroxene separates are significantly lighter than both clinopyroxene and garnet (Fig. 1). Nickel is situated in the same lattice position (replacing Mg in octahedral coordination) in all the analysed minerals, so the different isotope compositions of minerals is unlikely to be due to crystallographic differences. To investigate inter-sample mineral relationships all main three silicate phases (olivine, orthopyroxene and clinopyroxene) of the spinel lherzolite sample P13 were analysed. Analyses of dunite DTS-1 digested in low-pressure beakers, with the spinels remaining undissolved, show no difference in $\delta^{60}$Ni from samples digested in high-pressure vessels. This indicates that spinel may not have a large impact on the sample Ni isotope composition. So although the co-existing spinel (~5% modal mineralogy of sample, Lee et al. (1996); Table 2) was not analysed for sample P13 an approximative Ni isotope composition of the bulk sample was calculated as $\delta^{60}$Ni = 0.25 ± 0.13‰ (the comparatively large error on this value reflects the propagation of errors on individual mineral separate analyses). This value is consistent with data from other peridotites. The same mineral separates from sample P13 were also analysed for their Fe isotope composition by Williams et al. (2005) (see supplementary material for $\delta^{57}$Fe val-
Figure 1: The figure shows Ni isotope data for whole-rocks and mineral separates together with previously published data of peridotite reference materials and serpentinites. The smaller data points represent published Ni isotope data from Gueguen et al. (2013)\(^1\)\(^{1,2,8}\), Chernomazkin et al. (2015)\(^1\)\(^{1,2,4}\), Steele et al. (2011)\(^3\)\(^{4}\), Ratié et al. (2015)\(^5\), Estrade et al. (2015)\(^6\), Gall et al. (2013)\(^7\). The anomalous measurement of PCC-1 by Cameron et al. (2009), is not included in this comparison. A best estimate of the Ni isotope composition of the mantle, \(\delta^{60}\text{Ni} = 0.23 \pm 0.06\) ‰, is highlighted as the shaded area.

It is possible that the extremely heavy isotope composition of the P13 clinopyroxene represents kinetic isotope fractionation rather than equilibrium fractionation. While Fe isotopes do appear to record inter-mineral isotope equilibrium (within error), the mass balance of Fe and Ni is very different in mantle rocks, making it possible for kinetic isotope effects to be preserved for Ni isotopes alone. However, considering that the equilibration temperature for sample P13 has been calculated to \(>800^\circ\text{C}\) (Lee et al., 1996) it is unlikely that kinetic isotope effects would be preserved in individual minerals. The very heavy isotope composition of clinopyroxene from P13 could also have been skewed by undiscovered mineral inclusions present in the sample. Such inclusions would need to be both very Ni-rich and to possess an extreme isotope composition to have an effect on the sample. As the dissolution of this sample was not performed in a high-pressure vial it is unlikely that any spinel inclusion was ac-
Figure 2: The figure shows the modal mineral abundances of olivine, orthopyroxene and clinopyroxene in the Tanzanian lherzolite and harzburgite xenoliths plotted against their whole-rock $\delta^{60}$Ni values. Clinopyroxene is highlighted separately on the right to demonstrate that a heavy isotope composition for whole rocks is likely due to a larger amount of isotopically heavy clinopyroxene.

Figure 2 shows the modal mineral abundances of olivine, orthopyroxene and clinopyroxene in the Tanzanian xenolith samples plotted against their whole-rock $\delta^{60}$Ni. There is a striking positive correlation between whole-rock $\delta^{60}$Ni and the modal abundance of clinopyroxene, with the isotopically heaviest sample containing the most clinopyroxene.

Incidentally dissolved. Similarly, published data have shown that sulphides are isotopically very light (as low as -1.03‰; Gueguen et al., 2013; Hofmann et al., 2014). One possibility is a base metal grain, as these are the only phases with significant Ni that are also predicted to be isotopically heavy with respect to silicate (Lazar et al., 2012). However, in this case, the sample would have displayed anomalously high Ni concentrations, which was not observed. Moreover, these are extensively studied samples and the presence of metal grains would have been apparent in trace element analyses (Lee et al., 1996) or the Fe isotope study by Williams et al. (2005). Additionally, as the other clinopyroxene analysis (from harzburgite N12; 0.42±0.08‰) and the analysis of the pyroxenite xenolith (BD744; 0.36±0.08‰) both show significantly heavier values than all other minerals and bulk rocks, it seems likely that an isotopically heavy composition for clinopyroxene in mantle rocks is normal. The limited data and variable degree of Ni isotope fractionation between clinopyroxene and other silicate minerals make it premature to determine the causes of the P13 clinopyroxene isotope composition. Nonetheless, its heavy composition effectively demonstrates the potential impact of the modal mineralogy of the fractionating assemblages on the bulk Ni isotope compositions of mantle rocks. This suggests significant implications for the Ni isotope compositions of mantle partial melts derived from depleted (olivine-dominated) versus enriched (pyroxene-dominated, potentially as a consequence of surface recycling) lithologies. The nickel stable isotope compositions of primitive melts may therefore, in combination with other tracers, be a useful tracer of mantle source lithology.

Figure 2 shows the modal mineral abundances of olivine, orthopyroxene and clinopyroxene in the Tanzanian xenolith samples plotted against their whole-rock $\delta^{60}$Ni. There is a striking positive correlation between whole-rock $\delta^{60}$Ni and the modal abundance of clinopyroxene, with the isotopically heaviest sample containing the most clinopyroxene. The figure shows that the heavy harzburgite (BD774) contains 2-3 times as much clinopyroxene.
as the other two harzburgite samples. This suggests that, although the majority of Ni in mantle rocks is located in olivine, whole-rock Ni isotope compositions are strongly dependent on the proportion of clinopyroxene. From the very strong preference of clinopyroxene for isotopically heavy Ni (Fig. 1, Table 2) this is entirely understandable.

A compilation of all the available $\delta^{60}$Ni data of ultramafic rocks divided according to sample type is also shown in Fig. 1 (smaller triangles). Previously published data for unaltered peridotite samples are all analyses of the rock reference material PCC-1, DTS-1, DTS-2 (USGS) and JP-1 (GJS) (Steele et al., 2011; Gueguen et al., 2013; Chernonozhkin et al., 2015). The USGS reference sample PCC-1 is a harzburgite (Cazadero ultramafic massif, California) and DTS-1, -2 (Twin Sisters Mountain, Washington) and JP-1 (Horoman dunite, Japan; Imai et al., 1995) are dunites. All these reference materials are from Alpine-type ultramafic massifs, similar to the Appalachian dunite NHM-1 analysed during this study. All the analyses of dunites and most of the harzburgites show these depleted olivine-rich rock types have light Ni isotope compositions relative to lherzolites (Fig. 1). This is entirely consistent with our mineral Ni isotope data, and, as discussed above, highlights the potential of Ni isotopes as a tracer of mantle mineral heterogeneity. However, an alternative possibility that must be considered is that the low $\delta^{60}$Ni signatures of the peridotite massif samples (PCC-1, DTS-1 and -2, JP-1 and NHM-1) reflect secondary alteration processes, such as serpentinisation. To evaluate this possibility we have also plotted published data for serpentinised peridotites in Fig. 1 (smaller circles). These samples come from four different locations: the Logatchev hydrothermal field on the mid-Atlantic ridge (Gueguen et al., 2013), the Cerro Matoso laterite deposit, Colombia (Gall et al., 2013), the Barro Alto ultramafic massif, Brazil (Ratié et al., 2015), and the ophiolitic belt in Albania (Estrade et al., 2015). All but one of these samples display $\delta^{60}$Ni similar to fresh peridotite xenoliths, varying between 0.09‰ and 0.32‰. These data suggest that $\delta^{60}$Ni in peridotites is not significantly affected by serpentinization and that such processes cannot be responsible for the large range in $\delta^{60}$Ni found in mantle rocks. It is furthermore possible for other processes, such as refertilisation and recycling, to have influenced the Ni isotope composition of peridotites. However, metasomatic processes would enrich peridotites in mainly incompatible elements. As Ni is a very compatible element in mantle rocks it is unlikely that peridotite Ni isotope composition should be affected by metasomatism.

Our data demonstrate that whole-rock $\delta^{60}$Ni variations most likely reflect mineral isotope fractionation effects and also provide an indication of how this affects the isotope composition of bulk rocks and melt depleted residues. Due to the non-modal nature of partial melting processes and the preferential contribution of clinopyroxene to the melting assemblage, isotopically heavy clinopyroxene could contribute to melt extraction generating depleted mantle residues with light Ni isotope composition relative to fertile mantle material. This could be the reason for olivine-rich cumulate komatiites from Gorgona displaying lower $\delta^{60}$Ni relative to the primitive komatiite samples from the same suite, and that dunites and harzburgites appear to be isotopically lighter than lherzolites (Fig. 1). Therefore, a best estimate of the primitive mantle $\delta^{60}$Ni may be defined by the composition of the three lherzolite samples (two from Lashaine volcano and one from a South African kimberlite) and the two Gorgona komatiite samples. The suitability of these samples as a base for estimating the Ni isotope composition of the BSE is further supported by their overlap with the komatiite samples analysed. That these two sets of rock types are completely within error of each other broadly supports homogenous distribution of Ni isotopes in the mantle. This yields a $\delta^{60}$Ni$_{mantle}$ value of 0.23±0.06‰ (mean and 2 s.d. of all measurements of these samples; n = 25). The calculated bulk rock $\delta^{60}$Ni for the fertile lherzolite sample P13 (0.25±0.13‰) is also in excellent agreement with this narrower $\delta^{60}$Ni estimate for the mantle.

Published Fe isotope data exist for the Gorgona komatiites (data from Hibbert et al., 2012) the ultramafic reference materials PCC-1, DTS-2 (from
Figure 3: $\delta^{60}\text{Ni}$ plotted against $\delta^{57}\text{Fe}$ for the Gorgona samples, the peridotite reference materials PCC-1, DTS-1, DTS-2, JP-1 and the spinel lherzolite P13 mineral separates. Nickel isotope data for DTS-2 and JP-1 are from Steele et al. (2011). Fe isotope data for PCC-1 and DTS-2 are from Dauphas et al. (2009), DTS-1 and JP-1 from Poitrasson et al. (2004), P13 and its mineral separates from Williams et al., 2005. These data suggest a relationship between the two isotope systems in mantle rocks. All Fe isotope data can be found in a supplemental data table.

Dauphas et al., 2009), DTS-1 and JP-1 (from Poitrasson et al., 2004) and for the mineral separates from spinel lherzolite P13 (from Williams et al., 2005). When these data are plotted against $\delta^{60}\text{Ni}$ a clear positive relationship is observed for all but one of the whole-rock samples ($R^2 = 0.936$; Fig. 3). The isotopically heaviest samples for both Ni and Fe are the komatiites, while olivine-rich cumulates and rocks have lower $\delta^{60}\text{Ni}$ and $\delta^{57}\text{Fe}$ values.

The heavy Fe isotope compositions of mantle partial melts relative to peridotites has previously been interpreted in terms of partial melting and the preferential partitioning of isotopically heavy Fe into the melt phase (e.g. Williams et al., 2005; Dauphas et al., 2010, 2014). Interestingly, while Fe and Ni isotopes appear to show similar behaviour, the partitioning of Fe isotopes between melt and residue has been interpreted to be at least in part a function of the more incompatible nature of isotopically heavy Fe$^{3+}$ (Williams et al., 2005; Dauphas et al., 2010, 2014). As Ni is not a redox-sensitive element under the conditions of mantle partial melting the strong correlation between Fe and Ni isotopes must therefore reflect other processes that do not relate to variations in oxidation state but which affect both isotope systems, such as variations in mantle melting lithology (e.g. Williams and Bizmis, 2014). The mineral separates (olivine and orthopyroxene) as well as the reconstructed composition of P13 are also plotted in Fig. 3. The calculated composition for this sample ($\delta^{60}\text{Ni}_{P13} = 0.25 \pm 0.13\%$ and $\delta^{57}\text{Fe}_{P13} = 0.15 \pm 0.18\%$) plots together with the komatiites at the heavier end of the spectrum, another indication of this sample’s fertility. The olivine separate from sample P13 plots along the trend next to the Gorgona olivine cumulates. However, the P13 orthopyroxene separate has a very low $\delta^{60}\text{Ni}$ given its relatively high $\delta^{57}\text{Fe}$ and thus does not plot on the main trend. On the other hand, the P13 orthopyroxene overlaps with the only whole-rock sample (DTS-1) that does not follow the general trend (Fig. 3), possibly indicating a higher prevalence of orthopyroxene in this sample. The $\delta^{57}\text{Fe}$ of the P13 clinopyroxene separate is heavier than the values for olivine and orthopyroxene (see insert on Fig. 3), although due to its extremely high $\delta^{60}\text{Ni}$ this mineral plots far from the general $\delta^{60}\text{Ni}$–$\delta^{57}\text{Fe}$ trend seen in Fig. 3. This may be indicative of isotopic disequilibrium between these phases, and future studies are required to confirm this.

5.2. Isotope composition of the BSE, meteorites and Ni isotope fractionation during core formation

Given that >99% of the Ni in the silicate Earth is located in the mantle, our value of $\delta^{60}\text{Ni}_{\text{mantle}}$ of 0.23±0.06‰ is also the best estimate for the BSE. This is in good agreement with the previously suggested the value of 0.18±0.04‰ by Steele et al. (2011) and overlaps with the 0.15±0.24‰ proposed by Cameron et al. (2009), while a third estimate of 0.05±0.05‰ by Gueguen et al. (2013) is significantly lighter than all of these. All these studies however incorporate data for altered mantle and fractionated crustal rocks in their estimates. In contrast, the BSE estimate presented in this study is entirely based on fertile lherzolites and komatiites.
Considering the evidence given here for a mineralogical control on the Ni isotope composition, this new estimate should represent a more accurate evaluation of the mean Ni isotope composition of the Bulk Silicate Earth.

Chondritic meteorites have previously been found to vary between 0.18‰ and 0.33‰ (Fig. 5 – Cameron et al., 2009; Steele et al., 2012). The mass-dependent Ni isotope data of chondrites from Moynier et al. (2007) and Cook et al. (2007) are not included here, as almost all data from these studies are from measurements of chondrite metal separates and not whole-rock samples. The only exception to this are two measurements of bulk silicate samples in Moynier et al. (2007), one of Allende (CV3 chondrite) and one of Murchison (CM2 chondrite). These data are however significantly lighter (approximately -0.2‰, Fig. 5) than both our and the Cameron et al. (2009) analyses of the same chondrite samples. This is probably due to the chemical separation technique used by Moynier et al. (2007), from which the Ni yield was only about 80% for silicates. As neither Moynier et al. (2007) nor Cook et al. (2007) used the double-spike technique, any stable isotope fractionation relating to chemical processing may not have been adequately corrected for. Additionally, errors on measurements performed in these two studies are significantly larger (estimated ±0.14‰ on δ^{60}Ni from Moynier et al. (2007); up to ±0.80‰ on δ^{60}Ni from Cook et al. (2007); Fig. 5) than errors for values presented here or other more recently published data, making direct comparisons difficult.
Figure 5: Comparison between meteorite data from this study and published data from Cameron et al. (2009); Steele et al. (2011, 2012); Gueguen et al. (2013); Chernonozhkin et al. (2015); Moynier et al. (2007) and Cook et al. (2007). The chondrite data from Moynier et al. (2007) and Cook et al. (2007) is mostly from metal separates, not bulk samples.

Our data for chondrite meteorites suggest that ordinary chondrites span a larger range of $\delta^{60}\text{Ni}$ than carbonaceous or enstatite chondrites (Fig. 6). The isotopically heaviest of these sample is Bruderheim (L5), an ordinary chondrite that has been noted to have large mineralogical variations between the metallic and the silicate phase and a lower average olivine:pyroxene ratio than normal for chondrites (Duke et al., 1961). This makes it difficult to be certain that the $\delta^{60}\text{Ni}$ measured here is representative of its bulk isotope composition, especially considering the above evidence of a mineralogical control on a sample's Ni isotope composition. Excluding this one heavy sample our mean $\delta^{60}\text{Ni}$ value for chondrites becomes $0.26 \pm 0.12\%$ (mean and 2 s.d. of all measurements of these samples; n = 71), which in excellent agreement with published values for similar samples (Cameron et al., 2009; Steele et al., 2012). Even excluding Bruderheim, ordinary chondrites still show a large variation in $\delta^{60}\text{Ni}$. One possible explanation is an isotopically heavy metal component, as there appears to be a relationship between the metal content (LL, L, or H class) and the Ni isotope composition in ordinary chondrites (Fig. 6). This correlates well with high T metal-talc experiments by Lazar et al. (2012) that found indications for the metal phase being isotopically heavy relative to silicate.
Figure 6: Nickel isotope composition of meteorites plotted against the Ni content and divided into meteorite class. Based on these data, there appear to be a relationship between a ordinary chondrite metal content (class LL, L, H) and Ni isotope composition, apart from in sample Bruderheim. Iron meteorites do not show a similar relationship between $\delta^{60}\text{Ni}$ and class. The one very light iron meteorite sample (Duel Hill, IVA, -0.06±0.06‰) contains up to twice the amount of Ni compared to the other iron meteorites.

Figure 6 also shows the Ni isotope composition of iron meteorites displayed according to their meteorite class. Apart from the one very light sample (Duel Hill, IVA, -0.06±0.06‰) the data for iron meteorites are all within error and do not show any indications of their Ni isotope composition being dependent on class. This light outlier sample Duel Hill is likely anomalous, especially considering its low concentration of Ir and W, combined with very high concentrations of Au, As, Cu and Ni (Wasson and Richardson, 2001). This points towards this meteorite being a sample of core material that has undergone extreme degrees of fractional crystallisation, possibly crystallising very late from the parental magma (Wasson and Richardson, 2001). It is also possible that this sample does not at all belong to the main crystallisation sequence of the IVA parent body (Smoliar et al., 1996, based on Re-Os dating). Omitting the Duel Hill sample, our analyses of iron meteorites give a mean $\delta^{60}\text{Ni}$ of 0.29±0.10‰ (mean and 2 s.d. of all measurements of these samples; n = 47). The range in $\delta^{60}\text{Ni}$ we observe for iron meteorites is in very good agreement with published data from Cameron et al. (2009); Steele et al. (2011); Gueguen et al. (2013) and Chernozhkin et al. (2015). The Ni isotope data from Moynier et al. (2007) and Cook et al. (2007) are, again, spread over a much wider range than any other published data (Fig. 5), although this may in part be due to the wider range of samples, such as non-magmatic iron meteorites, included in these studies.
Nickel isotope data from metal-talc experiments by Lazar et al., 2012 suggest that Ni isotope fractionation between metal and silicate is temperature dependent, with $\Delta^{60}{\text{Ni}}_{\text{metal-talc}}$ being very small at normal mantle temperatures. Our data from iron meteorites (IM) and mantle rocks (BSE) give an average Ni isotope fractionation ($\Delta^{60}{\text{Ni}}_{\text{IM-BSE}}$) between these phases of 0.056‰ ($\pm$0.12‰, 2 s.d.; the large error on this value is due to the propagation of errors on individual sample analyses), which would put the minimum exposure temperature for these samples to $\sim 1200^\circ$C.

It has recently been shown that the BSE has a chondritic stable isotope composition for Mo (Greber et al., 2015) and Cr (Bonnand et al., 2015) (contrary to earlier suggestions by Hin et al., 2013; Burkhardt et al., 2014; Moynier et al., 2011). On the other hand the BSE is non-chondritic for Si stable isotopes (ascribed to core formation by Armytage et al., 2011), and also for V isotopes (Prytulak et al., 2013; Nielsen et al., 2014, reasons remain unclear). The similarity in mean $\delta^{60}$Ni between chondrites, iron meteorites and the BSE indicates that, in the case of Ni isotopes, core formation was not accompanied by any measurable isotope fractionation. This conclusion is consistent with the study by Lazar et al. (2012), based on solid metal-talc Ni isotope fractionation experiments. These authors found that even though the metal appears to be consistently slightly isotopically heavier than the silicate phase, the fractionation factor is minor at core-mantle boundary conditions ($\Delta^{60}{\text{Ni}}_{\text{metal-talc}} = 0.02\%$ at 2500 K). The authors also noted that metal-silicate isotope fractionation appear to be temperature dependent. When using their expression for this ($\Delta^{62}{\text{Ni}}_{\text{metal-talc}} = 0.25(\pm0.02) \times 10^6/T^2$ ($\pm$2 s.e.) to calculate the fractionation factor at mantle temperatures, $\Delta^{60}{\text{Ni}}_{\text{metal-talc}}$ varies between 0.04 and 0.09‰ for temperatures from 1400 down to 900°C (Fig. 7). If the fractionation factor is based on our $\delta^{60}$Ni data for the BSE and iron meteorites (IM), $\Delta^{60}{\text{Ni}}_{\text{IM-BSE}}$ becomes 0.056‰ ($\pm$0.06; s.d.), which would correspond to a temperature of at least 1200°C (Fig. 7). The similarity in isotope composition for chondrites and iron meteorites furthermore implies that iron meteorites were all formed in equilibrium with silicate mantles at high temperatures, with no Rayleigh effects preserved. This supports the concept of magmatic iron meteorites being relict planetary cores and not impact melts.

6. Conclusions

1. Our data show that the upper mantle is variable in its Ni isotope composition, with a mean value of 0.23‰ ($\pm$0.13‰, 2 s.d.; $n = 66$)

2. There are systematic variations in $\delta^{60}$Ni between different mantle minerals, with olivine and orthopyroxene being significantly lighter than clinopyroxene, providing evidence that mantle Ni isotope compositions are controlled by differences in mineralogy caused by melt extraction and fractional crystallisation.

3. There is a relationship between $\delta^{57}$Fe and $\delta^{60}$Ni in ultramafic rocks related to mineralogy, with lower values of both isotope systems recorded in olivine-rich dunite and harzburgite and higher values shown in more fertile material.

4. Our best estimate for the $\delta^{60}{\text{Ni}}_{\text{BSE}}$ is 0.23$\pm$0.06‰ based solely on lherzolite xenoliths and Phanerozoic komatiites. This is in good agreement with previously suggested values of Cameron et al. (2009) and Steele et al. (2011) but heavier than the value proposed by Gueguen et al. (2013).
5. Comparison between our BSE value and Ni isotope data for chondrite meteorites indicates that the BSE is chondritic and that core formation did not generate any measurable Ni isotope fractionation.

6. Iron meteorites generally display chondritic $\delta^{60}$Ni, with a mean of $0.29 \pm 0.10\%$. However, Duel Hill is very light ($-0.06 \pm 0.06\%$), which is likely related to extreme fractional crystallisation associated with this sample.
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