

Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository: <http://orca.cf.ac.uk/96214/>

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Dimitriadis, Stavros and Linden, David Edmund Johannes 2016. Modulation of brain criticality via suppression of EEG long-range temporal correlations (LRTCs) in a closed-loop neurofeedback stimulation. *Clinical Neurophysiology* 127 (8) , pp. 2878-2881. 10.1016/j.clinph.2016.05.359 file

Publishers page: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2016.05.359>
<<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2016.05.359>>

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See <http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html> for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



Modulations of Brain Criticality via Suppression of EEG Long-Range Temporal Correlations (LRTC) in a Closed-Loop Neurofeedback Stimulation

Human behavioral and cognitive performance are beset by imperfections. Even in simple tasks where a subject should tap with the finger at a fixed interval produce errors whether the tapping is defined by a metronome (Hennig et al., 2011) or not (Gilden et al., 1995). Fluctuations of tapping errors do not demonstrate a random variation between taps but show temporally correlated patterns that extend up to hundreds of seconds (Gilden et al., 1995). The error time series can be described via a power-law of frequency spectrum defined via $P(f) \propto 1/f^\beta$, where P denotes the power of the frequency component f while the scaling exponent β refers to the propagation of tapping errors (Gilden et al., 1995). The range of the observed β values lie around 1 suggesting that the time series describing the tapping errors have long-range temporal correlations (LRTCs) (Chen et al., 1997; Rangarajan and Ding, 2000; Hennig et al., 2011; Torre et al., 2011).

We have known for many decades that psychological time series are not randomly clustered. For example, reaction-time and hit-rates in continuous performance tasks (CPT) are power-law autocorrelated within over hundreds of seconds time-windows (Chen et al., 2001 ; Gilden et al., 1995 ; Gilden, 2001 ; Helps et al., 2010 ; Ihlen and Vereijken, 2010 ; Monto et al., 2008 ; Thornton et al., 2005). Tasks tapping into other cognitive domains such as size estimation and detection of threshold stimuli also demonstrate power-law frequency scaling (Gilden et al., 1995; Gilden, 1997; Monto et al., 2008). Naturalistic man-made sequences outside the lab-oriented experimental

paradigms like the fluctuations of loudness in speech and music show LRTCs (Voss and Clarke, 1975; Levitin et al., 2012). The biological origins and relevance of these dynamics, however, remain unclear (Farrell et al., 2006 ; Kello, 2010).

Similar to behavioural performance, the fluctuations of neuronal activity at various spatio-temporal scales exhibit a scale-free and governed by distributions of power-law. On long times scales of around 100 secs , amplitude envelopes of spontaneous brain activity recorded with both magneto- and electro-encephalography (M/EEG) demonstrates both LRTCs and scale-free fluctuations (Linkenkaer-Hansen, et al. 2001). These fluctuations of amplitude envelopes based on M/EEG reflect the underlying spontaneous human brain activity discovered via functional MRI (fMRI) and is defined by coherent activity described over slow fluctuations of blood oxygenation level-dependent (BOLD) signals among anatomical and functional distinct brain systems (Biswal et al., 1995 ; Raichle, 2001 ; Damoiseaux et al., 2006). The oscillation amplitudes are directly correlated with these BOLD fluctuations (Goldman et al., 2002 ; Leopold et al., 2003 ; Mantini et al., 2007 ; Sadaghiani et al., 2010 ; Scholvinck et al., 2010) while the coherent M/EEG related maps are closely related to the correlation maps derived from BOLD activity (Leopold et al., 2003 ; Brookes et al., 2011 ; de Pasquale et al., 2010 ; Nikouline et al., 2001). Moreover, BOLD signals also exhibit scale-free temporal (Suckling et al., 2008 ; Wink et al., 2008 ; He, 2011) and correlations in various spatiotemporal scales (Equiluz et al., 2005 ; Expert et al., 2011 ; Tagliazucchi et al., 2012). It is more than evident that scaling law of LRTCs is a unifying fundamental characteristic of spontaneous brain activity recorded with EEG, MEG and fMRI imaging methods (Chialvo, 2010 ; He et al., 2010).

In temporal scales from seconds to hundreds of seconds, the amplitude fluctuations and psychological dynamics of neuronal oscillations obey power-law distributions of LRTCs. In the

msec temporal scale, neuronal activity encapsulates neuronal avalanches that also exhibit power-law distribution and lifetime distributions (Palva et al., 2013). Fractal properties of neuronal activity LRTCs and avalanches and also power-law scaling behaviour support that brain functions near a critical state (Linkenkaer-Hansen et al., 2001 ; Chialvo, 2010 ; Beggs and Plenz, 2003 ; Plenz and Thiagarajan, 2007 ; Werner, 2010). Computational modelling studies validated that LRTCs and neuronal avalanches are coupled (Poil et al., 2008) and this relationship is supported from interactions of neuronal circuits in a critical regime (Chialvo, 2010). A study based on task and resting-state source reconstructed M/EEG recordings and behavioural responses demonstrated that behavioural scaling laws, LTRCs and neuronal avalanches were significantly and high correlated (Palva et al., 2012).

Scale free property characterizes self-similar processes which means that their properties are similar at every scale (Hardstone, et al. 2012). When a complex system operates at a critical state then its characteristic dynamics presents a scale-free profile (Chialvo, 2010). A complex system functions at a critical regime when it demonstrates critical neural dynamics which are present when a system operates in the boundary between regularity and randomness (Hernandez-Urbina and Herrmann, 2016). When a complex system balances at this boundary then it is more flexible and it can achieve its maximum computational power (Shew, et al. 2009, Kinouchi and Copelli. 2006), transmission capacity and optimal information storage (Shew, et al. 2011). To quantitatively describe scale-free dynamics of a complex system that operates in a near critical state, the corresponding power-law scaling exponent of LRTCs can be estimated (Bak, et al. 1987). The scaling exponents related with LRTCs denote the decay of auto-correlations and by adopting the algorithmic approach of detrended fluctuation analysis (DFA), range between 0.5 to ~1, where 0.5 indicates a temporally uncorrelated time series (Peng et al., 2004).

Scaling exponents of LRTCs can be valuable predictors of behavioural dynamics (Palva, et al. 2013, Smit, et al. 2013) and have been suggested as biomarkers of many brain diseases (Linkenkaer-Hansen, et al. 2005 ; Montez, et al. 2009 ; Nikulin, et al. 2012). LRTCs characterize the amplitude envelopes of human neuronal oscillatory dynamics in MEG and EEG (Linkenkaer-Hansen, et al. 2001) and in intracranial recordings (Monto, et al. 2007, Zhigalov, et al. 2015). We analysed MEG spontaneous dynamic functional connectivity in both non-impaired and reading-disabled children via the notion of network metrics (global and local efficiency (GE/LE)) and by analyzing nodal network metric time series (NMTS^{GE/LE}) via DFA and detected significantly lower values of scaling exponents for reading-disabled children compared to non-impaired readers over left temporo-parietal brain areas (Dimitriadis et al., 2013). Recently, analysing spontaneous MEG source activity independently for amplitude and phase in carriers and non-carriers of APOE-e4 allele, we revealed significant group-differences on the scaling exponents based on the phase and not in amplitude only in β and γ on seven ROIs (Dimitriadis et al., 2016).

To discover how brain criticality can be modulated, it is important to examine how (ab)normal LRTCs are linked to human brain (dys)functions in relationship to cognition. This is an important step for designing novel therapeutic strategies for various brain disorders and diseases associated with LRTCs. For a complex system like the human brain to operate on a critical regime, it is important to keep a balance between inhibition and excitation (Shew, et al. 2009, Beggs and Timme. 2012) and to avoid super-critical and sub-critical states that are linked with extreme levels of neuronal excitation and inhibition, respectively.

Neurofeedback technologies have attracted growing interest from various fields of research and have been applied, *e.g.*, in the treatment of brain disorders such as the attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) (Arns, et al. 2009), depression (Linden, 2014), Parkinson's

disease (Subramanian et al., 2011) and epilepsy (Strehl, et al. 2014) helping also people with severe neuromuscular disorders (Wolpaw, et al. 2002). Additionally, neurofeedback has been adopted into brain computer interfaces (BCI) applications which gained popularity in video gaming and generally in digital entertainment (Kaplan, et al. 2013). Nevertheless, neurofeedback is not yet widely acknowledged from the neuroscience community as a common research strategy in cognitive neuroscience due to technical and conceptual difficulties (Jensen, et al. 2011).

Numerous studies focused on modulating α -rhythm- (8–12 Hz) via neurofeedback (for review, (Gruzelier, 2014a). An increase of power in α rhythm has led to varied cognitive improvements like increased performance in working memory capacity in a span test (Escolano et al., 2011) and in a mental rotation task (Zoefel, et al. 2011). Neurofeedback training focusing on α rhythm has been reported to provide both affective and cognitive benefits like improved mood, intelligence, behavioural response like reaction time and sustained attention (Gruzelier. 2014a) which is a significant indicator that neurofeedback strategy might alter the functionality of neuronal processing. Endogenously, human perceptual performance can be improved via neurofeedback modulation of retinotopic neural activity in targeted areas of the visual cortex (Scharnowski, et al. 2012). Similar effects can be also achieved exogenously via rhythmic visual stimulation (Mathewson, et al. 2012) and transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) (Romei, et al. 2012).

Neurofeedback training causes changes in neural activity which are linked to shift in the cortical balance of excitation/inhibition (Ros et al., 2010, 2014 ; Studer et al., 2014). Particularly, suppression of α activity causes an increment of cortico-spinal excitability and a decrement of intra-cortical inhibition which was the very first evidence of linking neurofeedback modulation of the cortical excitation/inhibition balance (Ros, et al. 2010). In order to achieve shifts of excitation/inhibition balance during clinical practise and cognitive tasks via neurofeedback

modulation (Ros et al., 2014), cognitive and voluntary demanding approaches should be adopted. A closed-loop stimulation as part of neurofeedback strategy has an advantage over alternative methods since it combines endo/exo-genous methodology such as using specific attributes of neuronal activity that can trigger different sensory stimuli and can finally influence spontaneous activity.

In a recent study, the researchers examined whether a closed-loop neurofeedback paradigm where high amplitude α oscillatory dynamics trigger flash stimuli during an eyes-closed resting-state task (Zhigalov et al., 2016 this issue). The stimulation threshold that was adopted allowed to control the stimulation rate through the adjustment of α oscillatory amplitude via intrinsic neuroregulation. Linking α oscillatory activity with excitability, the adjustments of α oscillatory amplitude via intrinsic neuroregulation are directly connected with shifts in excitation/inhibition balance (Wang, 2010). Additionally, subjects were not aware of the link between visual stimuli and ongoing neuronal activity and for that reason the experimental paradigm can assess the effects of endogenous mechanisms adaptively (Kaplan, et al. 2005 ; Batty, et al.2006). This novel closed-loop paradigm allowed to suppress evoked responses and LRTCs of ongoing brain activity without any significant changes in the α power spectrum. The results based on the estimated scaling exponents of EEG LRTCs during the closed-loop neurofeedback paradigm were compared with a disconnected sham condition. This study presented the proof of concept for a novel closed-loop neurofeedback paradigm that imply changes on the operating point of brain dynamics over the sub/super critical dimensions. This was achieved by mediating the balance between excitation and inhibition via the closed-loop neuroregulation strategy (Zhigalov et al., 2016 this issue).

The presented closed-loop neurofeedback strategy that modulates the LRTC opens new avenues for studying the functional role of brain dynamics and criticality in healthy subjects and

for designing novel therapeutic protocols for various brain disorders and diseases that are linked to LRTCs (Zhigalov et al., 2016 this issue). Criticality estimated with LRTCs is the universal signature of healthy brain systems by analysing multichannel recordings from various neuroimaging methods (Massobrio et al., 2015). Experimental observations of LRTCs estimated over phase synchronization in EEG/MEG signals suggest that the main driving mechanism of the observed avalanche activity is global where all temporal scales contributing to the characteristic system behavior (Botcharova et al., 2014). LRTCs have been reported as being impaired in epilepsy (Monto et al., 2007), Alzheimer's disease (Montez et al., 2009), schizophrenia (Nikulin et al., 2012), major depressive disorder (Linkenkaer-Hansen et al., 2005), post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) (Ros et al., 2015 ; for reviews see Cohen et al., 2010) and in age-related cognitive disorders (Mishra and Gazzaley, 2014) and for that reason a closed-loop neurofeedback approach could be a valuable tool for non-pharmaceutical treatment (Zhigalov et al., 2016 this issue).

Conflict of interest

The author has no potential conflicts of interest to be disclosed.

References

- Arns M, de Ridder S, Strehl U, Breteler M, Coenen A. Efficacy of neurofeedback treatment in ADHD: the effects on inattention, impulsivity and hyperactivity: a meta-analysis. *Clin.EEG Neurosci.* 2009;40:180-189.
- Bak P, Tang C, Wiesenfeld K. Self-organized criticality: An explanation of the $1/f$ noise. *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 1987;59:381-384.
- Batty MJ, Bonnington S, Tang BK, Hawken MB, Gruzelier JH. Relaxation strategies and enhancement of hypnotic susceptibility: EEG neurofeedback, progressive muscle relaxation and self-hypnosis. *Brain Res.Bull.* 2006;71:83-90.
- Beggs JM, Plenz D (2003) Neuronal avalanches in neocortical circuits. *J Neurosci* 23(35):11167–11177.
- Beggs JM, Timme N. Being critical of criticality in the brain. *Front.Physiol.* 2012;3:163.
- Biswal B, Yetkin FZ, Haughton VM, Hyde JS (1995) Functional connectivity in the motor cortex of resting human brain using echo-planar MRI. *Magn Reson Med* 34(4): 537–541.
- Botcharova, M., Farmer, S., and Berthouze, L. (2014). Markers of criticality in phase synchronisation. *Front. Syst. Neurosci.* 8:176. doi: 10.3389/fnsys.2014.00176
- Brookes MJ, et al. (2011) Investigating the electrophysiological basis of resting state networks using magnetoencephalography. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108(40): 16783–16788.
- Chen Y, Ding M, Kelso JAS.(1997) Long memory processes ($1/\hat{f}\{\alpha\}$ type) in human coordination. *Phys Rev Lett* 79:4501–4504.
- Chen Y, Ding M, Kelso JA (2001) Origins of timing errors in human sensorimotor coordination. *J Mot Behav* 33(1):3–8.
- Chialvo DR (2010) Emergent complex neural dynamics. *Nat Phys* 6:744–750.
- Coben R¹, Linden M, Myers TE. Neurofeedback for autistic spectrum disorder: a review of the literature. *Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback.* 2010 Mar;35(1):83-105. doi: 10.1007/s10484-009-9117-y.
- Damoiseaux JS, et al. (2006) Consistent resting-state networks across healthy subjects. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 103(37):13848–13853.

de Pasquale F, et al. (2010) Temporal dynamics of spontaneous MEG activity in brain networks. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 107(13):6040–6045.

Dimitriadis, SI, N. A. Laskaris, P. G. Simos, S. Micheloyannis, J. M. Fletcher, R. Rezaie, and A. C. Papanicolaou, Altered temporal correlations in resting-state connectivity fluctuations in children with reading difficulties detected via MEG, *Neuroimage*, vol. 83, pp. 307-317, Dec 2013.

Dimitriadis SI, Brindley LM, Tom Lancaster¹, Muthukumaraswamy S, Linden D, Singh, K. Criticality of Phase and Amplitude Dynamics in the Resting Brain in Carriers of APOE e-4 Allele. *OHBM*, 24-29 June, Lausanne, Switzerland, 2016.

Eguíluz VM, Chialvo DR, Cecchi GA, Baliki M, Apkarian AV (2005) Scale-free brain functional networks. *Phys Rev Lett* 94(1):018102.

Escolano C, Aguilar M, Minguez J. EEG-based upper alpha neurofeedback training improves working memory performance. *Conf.Proc.IEEE Eng.Med.Biol.Soc.* 2011;2011:2327-2330.

Expert P, et al. (2011) Self-similar correlation function in brain resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging.

J R Soc Interface 8(57):472–479.

Farrell S, Wagenmakers EJ, Ratcliff R (2006) 1/f noise in human cognition: Is it ubiquitous, and what does it mean? *Psychon Bull Rev* 13(4):737 – 741.

Gilden DL, Thornton T, Mallon MW(1995) 1/F noise in human cognition. *Science* 267:1837–1839.

Gilden DL (2001) Cognitive emissions of 1/f noise. *Psychol Rev* 108(1):33 – 56.

Goldman RI, Stern JM, Engel J, Jr., Cohen MS (2002) Simultaneous EEG and fMRI of the alpha rhythm. *Neuroreport* 13(18):2487-2492.

Gruzelier JH. EEG-neurofeedback for optimising performance. I: a review of cognitive and affective outcome in healthy participants. *Neurosci.Biobehav.Rev.* 2014a;44:124-141.

Gruzelier JH. EEG-neurofeedback for optimising performance. III: a review of methodological and theoretical considerations. *Neurosci.Biobehav.Rev.* 2014b;44:159-182.

Hardstone R, Poil SS, Schiavone G, Jansen R, Nikulin VV, Mansvelder HD, et al. Detrended fluctuation analysis: a scale-free view on neuronal oscillations. *Front.Physiol.* 2012;3:450.

He BJ, Zempel JM, Snyder AZ, Raichle ME (2010) The temporal structures and functional significance of scale-free brain activity. *Neuron* 66(3):353–369

He BJ (2011) Scale-free properties of the functional magnetic resonance imaging signal during rest and task. *J Neurosci* 31(39):13786–13795.

Helps SK, Broyd SJ, James CJ, Karl A, Sonuga-Barke EJS (2010) The attenuation of very low frequency brain oscillations in transitions from a rest state to active attention. *J Psychophysiol* 23:191–198.

Hennig H, Fleischmann R, Fredebohm A, Hagemayer Y, Nagler J, Witt A, Theis FJ, Geisel T (2011) The nature and perception of fluctuations in human musical rhythms. *PLoS ONE* 6:e26457.

Hernandez-Urbina, V, and Michael Herrmann, JM (2016) Neuronal avalanches in complex networks. *Interdisciplinary Physics* DOI:10.1080/23311940.2016.1150408

Ihlen EA, Vereijken B (2010) Interaction-dominant dynamics in human cognition: Beyond 1/f(alpha) fluctuation. *J Exp Psychol Gen* 139(3):436–463.

Jensen O, Bahramisharif A, Oostenveld R, Klanke S, Hadjipapas A, Okazaki YO, et al. Using brain-computer interfaces and brain-state dependent stimulation as tools in cognitive neuroscience. *Front. Psychol.* 2011;2:100.

Kaplan AY, Lim JJ, Jin KS, Park BW, Byeon JG, Tarasova SU. Unconscious operant conditioning in the paradigm of brain-computer interface based on color perception. *Int J Neurosci.* 2005;115:781-802.

Kaplan A, Shishkin S, Ganin I, Basyul I, Zhigalov A. Adapting the P300-Based Brain–Computer Interface for Gaming: A Review. *Computational Intelligence and AI in Games, IEEE Transactions on* 2013;5:141-149.

Kello CT, et al. (2010) Scaling laws in cognitive sciences. *Trends Cogn Sci* 14(5):223–232.

Kinouchi O, Copelli M. Optimal dynamical range of excitable networks at criticality. *Nature Physics* 2006;2:348-351.

Leopold DA, Murayama Y, Logothetis NK (2003) Very slow activity fluctuations in monkey visual cortex: Implications for functional brain imaging. *Cereb Cortex* 13(4):422–433.

Levitin DJ, Chordia P, Menon V (2012) Musical rhythm spectra from Bach to Joplin obey a 1/f power law. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 109:3716–3720.

Linden DE. Neurofeedback and networks of depression. *Dialogues Clin.Neurosci.* 2014;16:103-112.

Linkenkaer-Hansen K, Nikouline VV, Palva JM, Ilmoniemi RJ. Long-range temporal correlations and scaling behavior in human brain oscillations. *J.Neurosci.* 2001;21:1370-1377.

Linkenkaer-Hansen K, Monto S, Rytsala H, Suominen K, Isometsa E, Kahkonen S. Breakdown of long-range temporal correlations in theta oscillations in patients with major depressive disorder. *J.Neurosci.* 2005;25:10131- 10137.

Mantini D, Perrucci MG, Del Gratta C, Romani GL, Corbetta M (2007) Electrophysiological signatures of resting state networks in the human brain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 104(32):13170–13175.

Massobrio, P., de Arcangelis, L., Pasquale, V., Jensen, H. J. & Plenz, D. Criticality as a signature of healthy neural systems. *Front. Syst. Neurosci.* 9, doi: 10.3389/fnsys.2015.00022 (2015).

Mathewson KE, Prudhomme C, Fabiani M, Beck DM, Lleras A, Gratton G. Making waves in the stream of consciousness: entraining oscillations in EEG alpha and fluctuations in visual awareness with rhythmic visual stimulation. *J.Cogn.Neurosci.* 2012;24:2321-2333.

Mishra J, Gazzaley A. Closed-loop rehabilitation of age-related cognitive disorders. *Semin Neurol.* 2014 Nov;34(5):584-90. doi: 10.1055/s-0034-1396011. Epub 2014 Dec 17.

Montez T, Poil SS, Jones BF, Manshanden I, Verbunt J, Van Dijk BW, Brussaard AB, Van Ooyen A, Stam CJ, Scheltens P, others (2009) Altered temporal correlations in parietal alpha and prefrontal theta oscillations in early-stage Alzheimer disease. *PNAS* 106:1614.

Monto S, Vanhatalo S, Holmes MD, Palva JM (2007) Epileptogenic neocortical networks are revealed by abnormal temporal dynamics in seizure-free subdural EEG. *Cereb Cortex* 17:1386.

Monto S, Palva S, Voipio J, Palva JM (2008) Very slow EEG fluctuations predict the dynamics of stimulus detection and oscillation amplitudes in humans. *J Neurosci* 28(33):8268–8272.

Nikouline VV, Linkenkaer-Hansen K, Huttunen J, Ilmoniemi RJ (2001) Interhemispheric phase synchrony and amplitude correlation of spontaneous beta oscillations in human subjects: A magnetoencephalographic study. *Neuroreport* 12(11):2487–2491.

Nikulin VV, Jönsson EG, Brismar T (2012) Attenuation of long range temporal correlations in the amplitude dynamics of alpha and beta neuronal oscillations in patients with schizophrenia. *NeuroImage.*

Palva et al., Neuronal long-range temporal correlations and avalanche dynamics are correlated with behavioral scaling laws. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2013; 110: 3585–3590

Peng, C.K.; et al. (1994). "Mosaic organization of DNA nucleotides". *Phys. Rev. E* 49: 1685–1689.

Plenz D, Thiagarajan TC (2007) The organizing principles of neuronal avalanches: Cell assemblies in the cortex? *Trends Neurosci* 30(3):101–110

Poil SS, Hardstone R, Mansvelder HD, Linkenkaer-Hansen K (2012) Critical-state dynamics of avalanches and oscillations jointly emerge from balanced excitation/inhibition in neuronal networks. *J Neurosci* 32(29):9817–9823.

Raichle ME (2011) The restless brain. *Brain Connect* 1(1):3 –12.

Rangarajan G, Ding M.(2000) Integrated approach to the assessment of long range correlation in time series data. *Phys Rev E* 61:4991–5001.

Romei V, Thut G, Mok RM, Schyns PG, Driver J. Causal implication by rhythmic transcranial magnetic stimulation of alpha frequency in feature-based local vs. global attention. *Eur.J.Neurosci.* 2012;35:968-974.

Ros T, J Baars B, Lanius RA, Vuilleumier P. Tuning pathological brain oscillations with neurofeedback: a systems neuroscience framework. *Front.Hum.Neurosci.* 2014;8:1008.

Ros T, Munneke MA, Ruge D, Gruzelier JH, Rothwell JC. Endogenous control of waking brain rhythms induces neuroplasticity in humans. *Eur.J.Neurosci.* 2010;31:770-778.

Sadaghiani S, et al. (2010) Intrinsic connectivity networks, alpha oscillations, and tonic alertness: A simultaneous electroencephalography/functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *J Neurosci* 30(30):10243 – 10250.

Scharnowski F, Hutton C, Josephs O, Weiskopf N, Rees G. Improving visual perception through neurofeedback. *J.Neurosci.* 2012;32:17830-17841

Schölvinck ML, Maier A, Ye FQ, Duyn JH, Leopold DA (2010) Neural basis of global resting-state fMRI activity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 107(22):10238–10243.

Shew WL, Yang H, Petermann T, Roy R, Plenz D. Neuronal avalanches imply maximum dynamic range in cortical networks at criticality. *J.Neurosci.* 2009;29:15595-15600.

Smit DJ, Linkenkaer-Hansen K, de Geus EJ. Long-range temporal correlations in resting-state alpha oscillations predict human timing-error dynamics. *J.Neurosci.* 2013;33:11212-11220.

Strehl U, Birkle SM, Worz S, Kotchoubey B. Sustained reduction of seizures in patients with intractable epilepsy after self-regulation training of slow cortical potentials - 10 years after. *Front.Hum.Neurosci.* 2014;8:604.

Studer P, Kratz O, Gevensleben H, Rothenberger A, Moll GH, Hautzinger M, et al. Slow cortical potential and theta/beta neurofeedback training in adults: effects on attentional processes and motor system excitability. *Front.Hum.Neurosci.* 2014;8:555

Suckling J, Wink AM, Bernard FA, Barnes A, Bullmore E (2008) Endogenous multi-fractal brain dynamics are modulated by age, cholinergic blockade and cognitive performance. *J Neurosci Methods* 174(2):292–300.

Subramanian L¹, Hindle JV, Johnston S, Roberts MV, Husain M, Goebel R, Linden D. Real-time functional magnetic resonance imaging neurofeedback for treatment of Parkinson's disease. *J Neurosci.* 2011 Nov 9;31(45):16309-17. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3498-11.2011.

Tagliazucchi E, Balenzuela P, Fraiman D, Chialvo DR (2012) Criticality in large-scale brain fMRI dynamics unveiled by a novel point process analysis. *Front Physiol* 3:1

Thornton TL, Gilden DL (2005) Provenance of correlations in psychological data. *Psychon Bull Rev* 12(3):409–441.

Torre K, Balasubramanian R, Rheaume N, Lemoine L, Zelaznik HN. (2011) Long-range correlation properties in motor timing are individual and task specific. *Psychon Bull Rev* 18:339–346.

Voss RF, Clarke J. (1975) “1/f noise” in music and speech. *Nature* 258:317–318.

Wang XJ. Neurophysiological and computational principles of cortical rhythms in cognition. *Physiol.Rev.* 2010;90:1195-1268.

Werner G (2010) Fractals in the nervous system: Conceptual implications for theoretical neuroscience. *Front Physiol* 1:1–28.

Wink AM, Bullmore E, Barnes A, Bernard F, Suckling J (2008) Monofractal and multifractal dynamics of low frequency endogenous brain oscillations in functional MRI. *Hum Brain Mapp* 29(7):791-801.

Wolpaw JR, Birbaumer N, McFarland DJ, Pfurtscheller G, Vaughan TM. Brain-computer interfaces for communication and control. *Clin.Neurophysiol.* 2002;113:767-791.

Zhigalov A, Arnulfo G, Nobili L, Palva S, Palva JM. Relationship of Fast- and Slow-Timescale Neuronal Dynamics in Human MEG and SEEG. *J.Neurosci.* 2015;35:5385-5396.

Zoefel B, Huster RJ, Herrmann CS. Neurofeedback training of the upper alpha frequency band in EEG improves cognitive performance. *Neuroimage* 2011;54:1427-1431.

Dimitriadis Stavros I.¹⁻⁴, Linden David^{1,2}

Corresponding author:

Dimitriadis Stavros I.

¹ Institute of Psychological Medicine and Clinical Neurosciences, Cardiff University School of Medicine, Cardiff, United Kingdom

²Cardiff University Brain Research Imaging Center (CUBRIC), School of Psychology, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK

³Artificial Intelligence and Information Analysis Laboratory, Department of Informatics, Aristotle University, 54124 Thessaloniki, Greece

⁴NeuroInformatics Group, AUTH, Thessaloniki, Greece

e-mail: DimitriadisS@cardiff.ac.uk ; stidimitriadis@gmail.com

Mobile:+44 - 07851983732