

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository: <https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/136363/>

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Matthews, Emily, Ellison, Amy and Cable, Joanne 2021. *Saprolegnia parasitica* zoospore activity and host survival indicates isolate variation in host preference. *Fungal Biology* 125 (4) , pp. 260-268. 10.1016/j.funbio.2020.11.002

Publishers page: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.funbio.2020.11.002>

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See <http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html> for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



1 *Saprolegnia parasitica* zoospore activity and host survival indicates isolate variation in host
2 preference

3 Emily Matthews¹, Amy Ellison^{1,2}, Joanne Cable^{1*}

4 ¹ School of Biosciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff, CF10 3AX, UK.

5 ² School of Natural Sciences, Bangor University, Environment Centre Wales, Bangor,
6 Gwynedd, LL57 2UW, UK.

7 * Corresponding author: cablej@cardiff.ac.uk

8 **Abstract**

9 The ubiquitous freshwater pathogen *Saprolegnia parasitica* has long been considered a true
10 generalist, capable of infecting a wide range of fish species. It remains unclear, however,
11 whether different isolates of this pathogen, obtained from distinct geographic locations and
12 host species, display differences in host preference. To assess this, the current study examined
13 the induced zoospore encystment responses of four *S. parasitica* isolates towards the skin of
14 four fish species. While three of the isolates displayed ‘specialist’ responses, one appeared to
15 be more of a ‘generalist’. *In vivo* challenge infections involving salmon and sea trout with the
16 ‘generalist’ (salmon isolate EA001) and a ‘specialist’ (sea trout isolate EA016) pathogen,
17 however, did not support the *in vitro* findings, with no apparent host preference reflected in
18 infection outcomes. Survival of sea trout and salmon though was significantly different
19 following a challenge infection with the sea trout (EA016) isolate. These results indicate that
20 while *S. parasitica* isolates can be considered true generalists, they may target hosts to which
21 they have been more frequently exposed (potential local adaptation). Understanding host
22 preference of this pathogen could aid our understanding of infection epidemics and help with
23 the development of fish management procedures.

24

25 **Keywords:** host preference; specialist; generalist; local adaptation; oomycete.

26

27 Declarations of interest: none.

28

29 **Introduction**

30 Host specificity is an important parasite trait; providing an accurate depiction of a parasite's
31 ecological niche (Poulin and Mouillot 2003). It is determined by the number of host species
32 that a parasite can successfully invade and the taxonomic relationship between these host
33 species. While some parasites might trade off their virulence (the severity of infection) against
34 transmissibility (ability to spread infection from host to host) with optimum parasite fitness
35 striking a balance between the production of transmission stages and damage to the host, for
36 others the interaction is more complex (Acevdeo et al. 2019). This is partly driven by host
37 range; a given parasite species may infect a wide range of phylogenetically distinct host taxa,
38 with parasite fitness varying from host to host. Thus, the composition of the host population
39 presents a selective pressure that contributes to evolution of parasite generalism or specialism
40 (Futuyma and Moreno 1988). Parasites with low host specificity are considered generalists,
41 capable of switching between distantly related host species, moreover they tend to exhibit a
42 similar level of virulence across their broad host range (Poulin and Mouillot 2003; Leggett et
43 al. 2013). More specialised parasites may possess a high specificity for certain host species or
44 taxonomic group for which they exhibit an optimal level of virulence.

45 Fungal and fungal-like parasites are thought to possess the broadest host range of any
46 parasite group (Fisher et al. 2012). Perhaps the most notorious example being the aquatic

47 chytrid fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* (*Bd*) that infects over 700 amphibian species
48 (Olson et al. 2013) and is also capable of infecting fish (Liew et al. 2017). Furthermore, several
49 fish pathogenic oomycetes are considered true generalists able to infect several different host
50 families (Gozlan et al. 2014). Members of the *Saprolegnia* genus are particularly destructive;
51 *Saprolegnia diclina* is a virulent pathogen of fish eggs (Kitancharoen et al. 1997; Fregeneda-
52 Grandes et al. 2007; van den Berg et al. 2013) and *S. ferax* is believed to be partly responsible
53 for declines in amphibian populations (Kiesecker et al. 2001; Pounds 2001). *S. parasitica* is
54 arguably the most important animal pathogenic oomycete with a reported 1 in 10 of all farmed
55 raised salmon succumbing to saprolegniasis and frequent associations with declining natural
56 wild fish populations (van West 2006). Previously, *S. parasitica* was considered opportunistic,
57 only able to infect fish hosts as a secondary pathogen. Several salmonid studies, however, have
58 highlighted that certain isolates of *S. parasitica* are primary invaders and highly virulent (Neish
59 1977; Willoughby and Pickering 1977). Despite this, marked differences in virulence have
60 been observed between *S. parasitica* isolates (Yuasa and Hatai 1995) and the host range of
61 individual isolates remains unexplored.

62 *S. parasitica* produces free-swimming zoospores during the infective stage of its life
63 cycle. These zoospores are unicellular, single nucleated cells that are able to swim freely via
64 two flagella; one tinselated and one whiplash flagellum (Burr and Beakes 1994). They are
65 responsible for the first essential step in establishing an infection, namely locating and
66 attaching to a host. Upon achieving this, these infectious propagules encyst, germinate and
67 sprout mycelial hyphae which then penetrate the host tissues (Willoughby et al. 1983; Diéguez-
68 Uribeondo et al. 1994). If isolates of *S. parasitica* are specialised for particular hosts, this could
69 be reflected in their induced zoospore encystment responses towards different fish species.

70 The current study combines *in vitro* induced zoospore encystment data with targeted *in*
71 *vivo* challenge experiments to uncover the extent of specialism/generalism within this species.

72 The *in vitro* investigations assessed the host preference of four *S. parasitica* isolates that are
73 both geographically distinct and originally isolated from different host species. The *in vivo*
74 studies aimed to determine whether the *in vitro* findings were reflected in challenge infection
75 outcomes. Moreover, infections involving different populations of the same species were
76 included to determine whether *S. parasitica* host preference exists at a population level.

77 We hypothesise that while the isolates investigated may not be highly specialised to a
78 single host species, they do display preferences towards a limited number of host species. A
79 phylogenetic analysis of the four *S. parasitica* isolates based on nuclear ribosomal internal
80 transcribed spacer (nrITS) sequence data has also been included to examine their position
81 within the *Saprolegnia* taxonomic system proposed by Sandoval-Sierra et al. (2014). This data
82 could potentially expand our understanding of *S. parasitica* infections and inform future
83 aquaculture practices.

84 **Materials and Methods**

85 *Host origin and maintenance*

86 Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*), sea trout (*Salmo trutta*), common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) and
87 three-spined stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*) (n=6 per species) were net caught from
88 hatcheries or the wild and delivered to our aquarium facilities at Cardiff University for use in
89 the induced encystment assays (see Table 1). Moreover, further net-caught Atlantic salmon and
90 sea trout (n=60 per species) were obtained for use in the experimental challenge infections (see
91 Table 1). Prior to experimental procedures, fish were maintained in 90 L tanks at a density of
92 1 fish L⁻¹. Both prior to and during experimental procedures, fish were exposed to a water
93 temperature of 12±0.5°C, oxygen saturation of >91%, 12 h light: 12 h dark cycle and fed trout
94 pellets daily unless otherwise stated.

95 *Saprolegnia culture and zoospore production*

96 Four *Saprolegnia parasitica* isolates were obtained directly from four naturally infected fish
97 hosts collected during routine sampling by the Environment Agency (see Table 2). On the
98 riverbank, a small mycelial clump (approx. 4-5 cm²) was extracted from the affected tissue of
99 a live, recently caught fish using forceps and placed immediately onto a potato dextrose agar
100 (PDA, 39g L⁻¹) plate. The plate was sealed using parafilm and sent to our facilities at Cardiff.
101 Cultures were sub-cultured monthly onto fresh PDA plates according to Stewart et al. (2017).
102 For zoospore production, petri dishes containing ~40 ml glucose-yeast broth (Glucose 10g L⁻¹,
103 Yeast Extract 2.5g L⁻¹) were inoculated with three 5 mm diameter plugs of healthy white
104 mycelia from the stock culture. The *S. parasitica* mycelia were left to grow for 72 h at 20°C,
105 then washed with dechlorinated water in order to remove excess glucose-yeast broth. To induce
106 zoospore production, the mycelia were placed in a 50/50 mixture of dechlorinated water and
107 aquarium water at 10°C for 72 h. The resulting zoospore suspension was concentrated via
108 centrifugation at 4600 rpm for 10 min at room temperature. Zoospores in the concentrated
109 suspension were enumerated using a haemocytometer.

110 *DNA extraction and sequencing of internal transcribed spacer (ITS) rDNA*

111 DNA was extracted using the following modified protocol outlined by Vilgalys and Hester
112 (1990). Briefly, ~0.3g of mycelia from each of the respective *S. parasitica* isolates was ground
113 under liquid nitrogen and suspended in 500 µl of 2X (w/v) CTAB extraction buffer (100 mM
114 Tris, 20 mM Na₂EDTA, 1.4M NaCl, pH 8.0). The samples were then subject to a freeze-thaw
115 step in which they were placed at -80°C for 10 min and subsequently incubated at 65°C for 30
116 min. Samples were extracted twice using equal volumes of chloroform-isoamyl alcohol (24:1);
117 DNA was precipitated by adding 2 volumes of isopropyl alcohol and placing the samples at -
118 20°C for 24 h. The resulting genomic DNA pellets were washed once with 70% EtOH, dried

119 under a laminar flow hood and re-suspended in 50 µl of TE buffer (10 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA,
120 pH 8.0).

121 PCR amplification of the ITS region was performed using the universal fungal primers
122 of White et al. (1990): 5'-GGAAGTAAAAGTCGTAACAAGG-3' (ITS 5-Forward) and 5'-
123 TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-3' (ITS 4-Reverse). The PCR reaction mix consisted of
124 15 µl of Taq PCR Master Mix (Qiagen), 1.5 µl of each forward and reverse primer, 20-50 ng
125 genomic DNA and nuclease-free water to give a total reaction volume of 30 µl. The PCR
126 protocol was as follows: initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min, 5 amplification cycles of:
127 denaturation at 94°C for 30s, annealing at 58°C for 30s and extension at 72°C for 1 min;
128 subsequently a further 33 cycles were included where the annealing temperature was changed
129 to 48°C. A final extension at 72°C for 10 min concluded the PCR. In order to check the PCR
130 products were the correct size (approx. 700 bp), they were run on a 1% agarose gel and
131 visualised using UV-transillumination. PCR products were then sequenced via Sanger
132 sequencing and a NCBI BLAST search for related sequences was used to confirm each sample
133 as *S. parasitica* (>98% sequence identity to *S. parasitica*).

134 *Phylogenetic analysis*

135 ITS sequence data for the four *S. parasitica* isolates (see Supplementary materials) investigated
136 here were included in a phylogenetic analysis alongside *Saprolegnia* spp. sequences from
137 GenBank that have been previously designated into phylogenetic clusters by Sandoval-Sierra
138 et al. (2014) and an isolate of *Aphanomyces astaci* served as an outgroup (Supplementary Table
139 S1). The Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) software v10.0.2 was used to
140 first align the ITS sequences using the ClustalW algorithm with default settings, and
141 subsequently construct a phylogenetic tree using the Maximum Likelihood method based on
142 the Jukes-Cantor model. Relative branch support of the tree was estimated using a bootstrap

143 analysis with 1000 replicates, all other settings were set to default. The tree was converted into
144 Newick format and imported into FigTree v1.4.4 to produce a circular phylogenetic tree.

145 *In vitro induced zoospore encystment assays*

146 To obtain skin samples for the induced zoospore encystment assays, Atlantic salmon, sea trout,
147 common carp and three-spined stickleback (n=6 per species; details in Table 1) were euthanised
148 with an overdose of MS-222 and pithed to destroy the brain (Home Office Schedule 1 method).
149 Skin was subsequently removed from each fish and samples from each respective fish species
150 were pooled together and homogenised in phosphate buffered saline (PBS 1X) at a
151 concentration of 0.1g skin ml⁻¹. The homogenised solution was centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 5
152 min. The resulting pellet was discarded and the supernatant was aliquoted and stored at -20°C
153 until required.

154 A modified capillary root model (Halsall 1976) was used to assess the induced zoospore
155 encystment responses of the four *S. parasitica* isolates listed in Table 2. The assay was
156 performed in a plastic petri dish (48 mm base diameter x 12 mm depth) containing 5 ml of
157 zoospore suspension prepared as described previously and adjusted to a concentration of ~300
158 zoospores ml⁻¹. A cell scraper was used to ensure no spores were encysted on the sides or base
159 of the petri dishes and that the spores were evenly dispersed throughout the suspension. Two
160 micro-capillary tubes (Drummond Scientific, 2.9 cm length, 20 µl volume) were introduced
161 into the petri dish, containing either the fish skin solution ('test' solution) or PBS (1X 'control'
162 solution) and positioned at specific distances apart using forceps (see Fig. 1A). The test and
163 control tubes were left in the zoospore solution for 10 min, then removed and the contents of
164 each expelled into an Eppendorf tube. These tubes were vortexed for 45 s, causing any
165 zoospores to encyst. The number of encysted zoospores in each tube was then counted using a
166 haemocytometer.

167 To account for potential differences between batches of zoospores, the assays were
168 conducted in the following manner; for each *S. parasitica* isolate two batches of zoospores
169 were produced. Per batch, 10 replicates of the assay were performed against each fish species.
170 Hence, across the two batches of spores per isolate, a total of 20 replicates were achieved
171 against each fish species. To control for potential side bias, the position of the test and control
172 tubes was alternated. Room lighting (constant overhead LED lighting) and temperature
173 ($20\pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$) were kept constant throughout the assays. The induced zoospore encystment
174 responses were expressed by a ratio that was calculated for each assay replicate using the
175 following equation:

$$\text{Induced zoospore encystment ratio (IZER)} = \frac{\text{Mean no. of zoospores in 'test' tube}}{\text{Mean no. of zoospores in 'control' tubes}}$$

178 The assay was subsequently modified to assess the induced zoospore encystment
179 responses of the salmon (EA001) and sea trout (EA016) *S. parasitica* isolates (see Table 2)
180 when presented with a direct choice between the skins of two fish species. Micro-capillary
181 tubes containing salmon skin solution ('salmon test') and sea trout skin solution ('sea trout
182 test') were used in the same assay alongside a PBS control (Fig. 1B). All other experimental
183 conditions were consistent with those described previously. The induced zoospore encystment
184 responses of these isolates towards salmon and sea trout were calculated using the induced
185 zoospore encystment ratio (IZER) equation above.

186 In vivo *Saprolegnia parasitica* challenge infections

187 Challenge infections were conducted to assess whether the induced zoospore encystment ratios
188 (IZERs) obtained for the salmon (EA001) and sea trout (EA016) *S. parasitica* isolates were
189 reflected in infection outcomes. The experimental procedure described here was performed
190 separately for these isolates in order to avoid cross contamination. Zoospore suspensions were

191 prepared as described above and a concentration of $\sim 3 \times 10^5 \text{ L}^{-1}$ used for all of the experimental
192 infections. Juvenile salmon and sea trout ($n=60$ per species, see Table 1) were subjected to an
193 adjusted ‘ami-momi’ technique (Hatai and Hoshiai, 1994) in which they were individually
194 shaken in a net for 30 s to introduce abrasions to the fish body and remove protective mucus.
195 Of these fish, $n=50$ per species were assigned to the ‘treatment’ condition and placed into glass
196 aquaria (31 W x 61 D x 31 H cm) separated by species, containing a well-oxygenated zoospore
197 suspension at a density of 1 fish L^{-1} for 24 h without food. Following zoospore exposure, fish
198 were transferred into individual transparent plastic 1 L containers of dechlorinated water and
199 daily feeding was resumed. The remaining fish acted as the ‘controls’ ($n=10$ per species) and
200 were handled in exactly the same manner without exposure to zoospores, before transfer to
201 individual containers. Water in both the treatment and control containers was changed every
202 24 h. Fish were checked hourly for signs of saprolegniasis over the duration of the experimental
203 period of 168 h. Fish were categorised as either symptomatic (mild and cleared or severe) or
204 asymptomatic according to the severity of their symptoms (Table 3). Any fish displaying severe
205 signs of infection were euthanised via overdose with MS-222 and pithing.

206 This experiment was subsequently repeated using two different stocks of juvenile
207 salmon from the River Tyne: one from the North Tyne and another from the South Tyne. While
208 there is no available data to confirm whether these were genetically distinct populations of fish,
209 the literature on within-river genetic structure of salmon suggests that river tributaries generally
210 contain distinct populations (Vähä et al. 2007). Hence these will be referred to as different
211 populations from here onwards. The fish were kept separated according to population and all
212 other experimental procedures were the same as those described previously.

213 *Animal Ethics*

214 All procedures and protocols followed ARRIVE guidelines, were approved by the Cardiff
215 University Animal Ethics Committee and conducted under UK Home Office license PPL
216 30/3424.

217 *Statistical Analyses*

218 Analyses were conducted using R statistical software (version 3.5.1, R Core Team 2018) with
219 the significance threshold $P < 0.05$ used for all models. Non-significant terms were removed
220 during model refinement based on Analysis of Variance (Crawley 2007) while model
221 robustness was assessed using residual plots (Pinheiro and Bates 2000).

222 A Generalised Linear Model (GLM) fitted with a Gaussian error family and identity
223 link function was used to examine the induced zoospore encystment of four *S. parasitica*
224 isolates towards the skin of four fish species. Induced zoospore encystment ratio (IZER) was
225 the dependent term in the model, fixed terms included: *S. parasitica* isolate (EA001, EA016,
226 EA012, CF006), fish skin (salmon, sea trout, common carp, three-spined stickleback - herein
227 referred to as stickleback) and the interaction between these two terms. Zoospore batch (1, 2)
228 was included as a fixed factor in the original model but was removed during model refinement
229 due to non-significance ($P > 0.05$). *Post-hoc* analysis (lsmeans package; Lenth 2016) was
230 conducted to compare the IZERS of each *S. parasitica* isolate.

231 A second GLM fitted with a Gaussian error family and identity link function was used
232 to examine the induced zoospore encystment of the salmon (EA001) and sea trout (EA016)
233 isolates when presented with salmon and sea trout skin in a single assay. The dependent and
234 independent terms were the same as those outlined in the previous model and again, zoospore
235 batch (1, 2) was included in the original model but removed due to non-significance ($P > 0.05$).

236 Comparisons between the IZERs of the two *S. parasitica* isolates were assessed using *post-hoc*
237 analysis (lsmeans package; Lenth 2016).

238 Proportional odds logistic regression (POLR) models (MASS package; Venables and
239 Ripley 2002) were used to examine the infection outcomes of the *S. parasitica* experimental
240 challenge infections. Infection outcome of each fish (asymptomatic, mild and cleared, severe)
241 was the dependent term in the models, fixed effects included; *S. parasitica* isolate (salmon
242 isolate EA001, sea trout isolate EA016), and fish species/population (model 1 – salmon, sea
243 trout; model 2 – North Tyne, South Tyne salmon). Fish standard length (mm), initially included
244 in the models, was non-significant ($P>0.05$) and therefore was consequently removed.

245 Kaplan-Meier survival plots (survival package; Therneau 2015) were generated for
246 challenge infections involving the sea trout isolate (EA016) only, log-rank tests were used to
247 compare the survival plots. Survival analysis was not possible for the salmon isolate (EA001)
248 as no mortalities occurred during the challenge infections with this isolate.

249 **Results**

250 *Phylogenetic analysis*

251 All four isolates of *S. parasitica* used in the current study fell within Cluster 3 of the taxonomic
252 system proposed by Sandoval-Sierra et al. (2014) (Supplementary Fig. S1)**Error! Reference**
253 **source not found.** Although, identical by ITS, subsequent genome resequencing revealed
254 significant genetic differences between isolates (Matthews 2020).

255 *In vitro induced zoospore encystment assays*

256 Induced zoospore encystment ratios (IZERs) displayed by the *S. parasitica* isolates (EA001,
257 EA016, EA012, CF006) were dependent on fish skin (salmon, sea trout, common carp,
258 stickleback) (GLM; $df=9$, $P<0.0001$), but there was no clear trend in preference. The salmon

259 isolate (EA001) showed a significant preference for salmonid and carp over stickleback (Fig.
260 2A). The sea trout isolate (EA016) IZERs were significantly higher for sea trout and common
261 carp compared to salmon or stickleback skin (Fig. 2B). The common carp (EA012) isolate
262 displayed a preference for common carp and salmon over sea trout and stickleback skin (Fig.
263 2C). Lastly, the IZERs of the stickleback isolate (CF006) were significantly higher for salmon
264 compared to sea trout or stickleback skin (Fig. 2D). Mean zoospore numbers in the 'test' and
265 'control' tubes for each isolate are presented in Table 4. In light of these results, the salmon
266 EA001 and the sea trout EA016 isolates were selected for further *in vitro* induced zoospore
267 encystment testing and *in vivo* challenge experiments (EA001 showing no preference for sea
268 trout or salmon, compared to EA016 preferring sea trout skin).

269 When simultaneously presented with salmon and sea trout skin, zoospores of the
270 salmon isolate (EA001) and the sea trout isolate (EA016) displayed consistently generalist and
271 specialist induced zoospore encystment responses, respectively. For EA001, there were no
272 significant differences in IZER for salmon and sea trout skin ($P>0.05$) (Fig. 3). Conversely, we
273 confirmed the preference of EA016 for sea trout compared to salmon skin ($P<0.0001$) (Fig. 3).
274 Mean zoospore numbers in 'salmon test', 'sea trout test' and 'control' tubes for each isolate are
275 presented in Table 5.

276 *In vivo Saprolegnia parasitica challenge infections*

277 Infection outcomes (asymptomatic, mild and cleared, severe) from the *S. parasitica* challenge
278 infections revealed no evidence of isolate-specific host preference. Neither fish species
279 (salmon, sea trout; Figs. 4A and B) nor fish population (North, South Tyne salmon; Figs. 4C
280 and D) significantly affected fish infection outcomes for either parasite isolate (salmon isolate
281 EA001, sea trout isolate EA016; GLMs; $df=1$, $P>0.05$). Infection outcomes were, however,
282 significantly different between the two *S. parasitica* isolates (GLMs; $df=1$, $P<0.0001$); EA001

283 established infections in only 34% and 24% of salmon and sea trout respectively (Fig. 4A) in
284 addition to 20% and 24% of North and South Tyne salmon (Fig. 4C). Conversely, EA016
285 successfully infected 100% of challenged fish (Figs. 4B and D).

286 Indications of host-preference were observed from the survival analysis; while there
287 was no overall significant difference in the survival of salmon and sea trout challenged with
288 the sea trout isolate (EA016) (log-rank test; $P>0.05$), there was a significant difference during
289 the initial 48 h of the infection (Fig. 5A). At 24 h, sea trout survival was reduced to 84%,
290 whereas none of the salmon died during this period (log-rank test; $P<0.05$; Fig. 5A). At 48 h,
291 60% of the sea trout were alive compared to 96% of the salmon (log-rank test; $P<0.0001$; Fig
292 5A). There was no significant difference in survival for North and South Tyne salmon
293 challenged with the sea trout isolate (EA016) (log-rank test; $P>0.05$; Fig. 5B).

294 **Discussion**

295 The current study is the first to investigate the host preference of *S. parasitica* at an isolate
296 level. The *in vitro* induced zoospore encystment assays indicate that the salmon isolate
297 (EA001) is a generalist, exhibiting a similar level of preference for three fish species, whereas
298 the sea trout (EA016), carp (EA012) and stickleback (CF006) isolates are more specialised,
299 showing a higher preference for one or two fish species. These *in vitro* results, however, were
300 not reflected in the *in vivo* challenge infections; no differences between salmon and sea trout
301 infection outcomes were observed within the salmon isolate (EA001) or sea trout isolate
302 (EA016) challenges. Infection outcomes between salmon populations (North and South Tyne)
303 were also not significantly different for either isolate, suggesting host preference does not occur
304 at a population level. Despite this, survival analysis revealed a significantly higher number of
305 sea trout mortalities compared to salmon within 48 h of the sea trout isolate (EA016) challenge
306 infection, which could be a potential indication of host preference/adaptation.

307 The phylogenetic analysis presented here offered no separation between the four
308 isolates as they all possessed an identical ITS sequence and fell within Cluster 3 of the
309 taxonomic system proposed by Sandoval-Sierra et al. (2014) alongside other isolates classified
310 as *S. parasitica*. Despite the four *S. parasitica* isolates sharing the same ITS sequence – the
311 DNA barcode traditionally used for *Saprolegnia* species identification – isolates were
312 genetically distinct (confirmed via whole genome resequencing see Matthews 2020) and
313 exhibited considerable differences in host preference and virulence, suggesting this region is
314 not suitable for isolate discrimination.

315 Interestingly, all isolates examined here yielded a low induced zoospore encystment
316 response to sticklebacks. Moreover, even the more ‘specialist’ isolates demonstrated a similar
317 level of induced zoospore encystment towards fish from different families; the sea trout isolate
318 (EA016), for instance, displayed a comparable level of preference for sea trout and carp
319 (Family Salmonidae and Cyprinidae, respectively). Hence, reaffirming the postulation that
320 *Saprolegnia* spp. are able to target a wide range of phylogenetically distant species. A potential
321 criticism of the induced zoospore encystment assay is that homogenisation of the fish skin in
322 solution would have destroyed its structure and biological components. However, El-Feki *et*
323 *al.* (2003) employed the same methodology in their chemotaxis assay and found that fish skin
324 induced the highest chemotactic response compared to other fish tissue extracts including
325 mucus, blood, and gills.

326 The drastically different levels of virulence displayed by the salmon (EA001) and sea
327 trout (EA016) isolates in the challenge infections may be a reflection of different time in
328 culture; EA001 was isolated 510 days before EA016. Maintaining pathogens (fungi, bacteria
329 and viruses) on/in artificial culture media for extended periods can cause an attenuation of
330 virulence (Druelle et al. 2008; Almaguer-Chávez et al. 2011; Ansari and Butt 2011). Passage
331 through a susceptible fish host and subsequent re-isolation can restore virulence in *S. parasitica*

332 cultures (Songe et al. 2014), there are, however contamination risks associated with re-
333 culturing which could confound results.

334 Disparity between sea trout and salmon survival during the initial 48 h of the sea trout
335 isolate (EA016) challenge infection could reflect the different induced zoospore encystment
336 responses displayed by this isolate; if its zoospores are less attracted to salmon skin, as our
337 induced zoospore encystment results would suggest, it may have taken longer to locate the
338 salmon in comparison to the sea trout. It may also reflect subtle differences in the early stages
339 of host-pathogen interaction. The initial attachment of *S. parasitica* zoospores to host cells is
340 purportedly achieved via cell-binding proteins such as lectins (Jiang et al. 2013). In salmonids,
341 this triggers a strong inflammatory response via the induction of proinflammatory cytokines
342 and antimicrobial peptides (AMPs), in particular interleukin-1 β (IL-1 β), IL-6 and tumour
343 necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) (de Bruijn et al. 2012; Belmonte et al. 2014). Effector proteins and/or
344 proteases then suppresses several constituents of the adaptive immune system by
345 downregulating T-helper cell cytokines, antigen presentation machinery and immunoglobulins
346 (Jiang et al. 2013; Belmonte et al. 2014). Subsequently host cells are attacked by a multitude
347 of virulence factors in the form of proteases, lipases and lysing enzymes (Jiang et al. 2013). It
348 may be that the salmon immune response was able to suppress the initial EA016 invasion,
349 however immune defences were eventually overcome and salmon survival plummeted from 96
350 to 60% between 48 and 60 h. Sequencing of the *S. parasitica* genome revealed the arsenal of
351 virulence proteins employed by this pathogen are rapidly evolving due to co-evolution with the
352 host (Jiang et al. 2013). Hence, isolates of *S. parasitica* could potentially target host species to
353 which they have been more frequently exposed and consequently adapted to (Williams 1966;
354 Kawecki and Ebert 2004; Savolainen et al. 2013). The sea trout isolate (EA016) examined here
355 may be better adapted to sea trout, which is reflected in its induced zoospore encystment

356 responses and ability to initiate rapid virulence and host mortality during the challenge
357 experiment.

358 Overall, this study indicates that *S. parasitica* is a generalist with isolate variation in
359 host preference. Uncovering the host preference of the key isolates within the UK could aid
360 our understanding of disease outbreaks in the wild and fish management practises within
361 aquaculture. For example, if the sea trout isolate (EA016) investigated here was present in a
362 salmon aquaculture facility, knowledge of the 48 h lag in salmon mortality would prompt the
363 application of treatments to boost immune function and potentially reduce mortalities.
364 Furthermore, we demonstrate that the ITS region does not offer *S. parasitica* isolate separation
365 and is not indicative of isolate severity.

366 **Acknowledgements**

367 We thank the Environment Agency for providing all *S. parasitica* samples. This research was
368 funded by a Knowledge Economy Skills Scholarship (KESS) to EM, supported by European
369 Social Funds (ESF) through the Welsh Government. KESS is a pan-Wales higher level skills
370 initiative led by Bangor University on behalf of the HE sector in Wales. It is part funded by the
371 Welsh Government's ESF convergence programme for West Wales and the Valleys. AE was
372 additionally supported by a BBSRC Discovery Fellowship (BB/R010609/1).

373 **References**

374

375 Acevedo MA, Dillemath FP, Flick AJ, Faldyn M, Elderd BD, 2019. Virulence-driven trade-
376 offs in disease transmission: A meta-analysis. *Evolution* **73**: 636-647.

377 Almaguer-Chávez JA, Welsh O, Lozano-Garza HG, Said-Fernández S, Romero-Díaz VJ,
378 Ocampo-Candiani J, Vera-Cabrera L, 2011. Decrease of virulence for BALB/c mice

379 produced by continuous subculturing of *Nocardia brasiliensis*. *BMC Infectious Diseases*
380 **11**: 290.

381 Ansari MA, Butt TM, 2011. Effects of successive subculturing on stability, virulence, conidial
382 yield, germination and shelf-life of entomopathogenic fungi. *Journal of Applied*
383 *Microbiology* **110**: 1460–1469.

384 Beakes G, 1983. A comparative account of cyst coat ontogeny in saprophytic and fish-lesion
385 (pathogenic) isolates of the *Saprolegnia diclina – parasitica* complex. *Canadian Journal*
386 *of Botany* **61**: 603–625.

387 Belmonte R, Wang T, Duncan GJ, Skaar I, Mélida H, Bulone V, van West P, Secombes CJ,
388 2014. Role of pathogen-derived cell wall carbohydrates and prostaglandin E2 in immune
389 response and suppression of fish immunity by the oomycete *Saprolegnia parasitica*.
390 *Infection and Immunity* **82**: 4518–4529.

391 de Bruijn I, Belmonte R, Anderson VL, Saraiva M, Wang T, van West P, Secombes CJ, 2012.
392 Immune gene expression in trout cell lines infected with the fish pathogenic oomycete
393 *Saprolegnia parasitica*. *Developmental and Comparative Immunology* **38**: 44–54.

394 Bruno DW, Wood BP, 1999. *Saprolegnia* and other Oomycetes. In: Bruno DW, Woo PTK
395 (eds), *Fish Diseases and Disorders, Volume 3: Viral, Bacterial and Fungal Infections*, 2nd
396 edn. CABI International, Wallingford, England, pp. 599–659.

397 Burr AW, Beakes GW, 1994. Characterization of zoospore and cyst surface structure in
398 saprophytic and fish pathogenic *Saprolegnia* species (oomycete fungal protists).
399 *Protoplasma* **181**: 142–163.

400 Crawley MJ, 2007. Statistical Modelling. In: *The R book*. Wiley, England, UK, pp. 323–386.

- 401 Diéguez-Uribeondo J, Cerenius L, Söderhäll K, 1994. Repeated zoospore emergence in
402 *Saprolegnia parasitica*. *Mycological Research* **98**: 810–815.
- 403 Druelle J, Sellin CI, Waku-Kouomou D, Horvat B, Wild FT, 2008. Wild type measles virus
404 attenuation independent of type I IFN. *Virology Journal* **5**: 22.
- 405 Fisher MC, Henk DA, Briggs CJ, Brownstein JS, Madoff LC, McCraw SL, Gurr SJ, 2012.
406 Emerging fungal threats to animal, plant and ecosystem health. *Nature* **484**: 186–194.
- 407 Fregeneda-Grandes J, Rodríguez-Cadenas F, Aller-Gancedo J, 2007. Fungi isolated from
408 cultured eggs, alevins and broodfish of brown trout in a hatchery affected by
409 Saprolegniosis. *Journal of Fish Biology* **71**: 510–518.
- 410 Futuyma DJ, Moreno G, 1988. The evolution of ecological specialization. *Annual Review of*
411 *Ecology and Systematics* **19**: 207–233.
- 412 Gozlan RE, Marshall WL, Lilje O, Jessop CN, Gleason FH, Andreou D, 2014. Current
413 ecological understanding of fungal-like pathogens of fish: What lies beneath? *Frontiers in*
414 *Microbiology* **5**: 1–16.
- 415 Hatai, K, Hoshiai, G-I, 1994. Pathogenicity of *Saprolegnia parasitica* coker. In: Mueller, GJ
416 (ed) *Salmon Saprolegniasis*. Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon: U.S.
417 Department of Energy, pp. 87-89.
- 418
- 419 Hallett IC, Dick MW, 1986. Fine structure of zoospore cyst ornamentation in the
420 Saprolegniaceae and Pythiaceae. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society* **86**: 457–
421 463.
- 422 Halsall DM, 1976. Zoospore chemotaxis in Australian isolates of *Phytophthora* species.
423 *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* **22**: 409–422.

424 Jiang RH, de Bruijn I, Haas BJ, Belmonte R, Löbach L, Christie J, van den Ackerveken G,
425 Bottin A, Bulone V, Díaz-Moreno SM, Dumas B, Fan L, Gaulin E, Govers F, Grenville-
426 Briggs LJ, Horner NR, Levin JZ, Mammella M, Meijer HJ, Morris P, Nusbaum C, Oome
427 S, Phillips AJ, van Rooyen D, Rzeszutek E, Saraiva M, Secombes CJ, Seidl MF, Snel B,
428 Stassen JH, Sykes S, Tripathy S, van den Berg H, Vega-Arreguin JC, Wawra S, Young
429 SK, Zeng Q, Dieguez-Uribeondo J, Russ C, Tyler BM, van West P, 2013. Distinctive
430 Expansion of Potential Virulence Genes in the Genome of the Oomycete Fish Pathogen
431 *Saprolegnia parasitica*. *PLOS Genetics* **9**: e1003272.

432 Kawecki TJ, Ebert D, 2004. Conceptual issues in local adaptation. *Ecology Letters* **7**: 1225–
433 1241.

434 Kiesecker JM, Blaustein AR, Miller CL, 2001. Transfer of a Pathogen from Fish to
435 Amphibians. *Conservation Biology* **15**: 1064–1070.

436 Kitancharoen N, Yamamoto A, Hatai K, 1997. Fungicidal effect of hydrogen peroxide on
437 fungal infection of rainbow trout eggs. *Mycoscience* **38**: 375–378.

438 Leggett HC, Buckling A, Long GH, Boots M, 2013. Generalism and the evolution of parasite
439 virulence. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **28**: 592–596.

440 Lenth RV, 2016. Least-Squares Means: The R Package lsmeans. *Journal of Statistical Software*
441 **69**: 1–33.

442 Liew N, Mazon Moya MJ, Wierzbicki CJ, Hollinshead M, Dillon MJ, Thornton CR, Ellison
443 A, Cable J, Fisher MC, Mostowy S, 2017. Chytrid fungus infection in zebrafish
444 demonstrates that the pathogen can parasitize non-amphibian vertebrate hosts. *Nature*
445 *Communications* **8**: 15048.

- 446 Matthews E, 2020. Environmental factors impacting *Saprolegnia* infections in wild fish stocks.
447 Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Cardiff University, UK.
- 448 Neish GA, 1977. Observations on saprolegniasis of adult sockeye salmon, *Oncorhynchus nerka*
449 (Walbaum). *Journal of Fish Biology* **10**: 513–522.
- 450 Olson DH, Aanensen DM, Ronnenberg KL, Powell CI, Walker SF, Bielby J, Garner TWJ,
451 Weaver G, Fisher MC, 2013. Mapping the Global Emergence of *Batrachochytrium*
452 *dendrobatidis*, the Amphibian Chytrid Fungus. *PLoS ONE* **8**: e56802.
- 453 Pinheiro JC, Bates DM, 2000. *Mixed-Effects Models in S and S-PLUS*. Springer-Verlag, New
454 York, p. 548.
- 455 Poulin R, Mouillot D, 2003. Parasite specialization from a phylogenetic perspective: a new
456 index of host specificity. *Parasitology* **126**: 473–480.
- 457 Pounds JA, 2001. Climate and amphibian declines. *Nature* **410**: 639–640.
- 458 Sandoval-Sierra JV, Martín MP, Diéguez-Uribeondo J, 2014. Species identification in the
459 genus *Saprolegnia* (Oomycetes): Defining DNA-based molecular operational taxonomic
460 units. *Fungal Biology* **118**: 559–578.
- 461 Savolainen O, Lascoux M, Merilä J, 2013. Ecological genomics of local adaptation. *Nature*
462 *Reviews Genetics* **14**: 807–820.
- 463 Songe MM, Thoen E, Evensen Ø, Skaar I, 2014. *In vitro* passages impact on virulence of
464 *Saprolegnia parasitica* to Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar* L. parr. *Journal of Fish Diseases*
465 **37**: 825–834.
- 466 Stewart A, Jackson J, Barber I, Eizaguirre C, Paterson R, van West P, Williams C, Cable J,
467 2017. Hook, Line and Infection: A Guide to Culturing Parasites, Establishing Infections

468 and Assessing Immune Responses in the Three-Spined Stickleback. *Advances in*
469 *Parasitology* **98**: 39–109.

470 Therneau T, 2015. A Package for Survival Analysis in S version 2.38. [https://cran.r-](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/survival/index.html)
471 [project.org/web/packages/survival/index.html](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/survival/index.html) (accessed 21.09.17).

472 Vähä J-P, Erkinaro J, Niemelä E, Primmer CR, 2007. Life-history and habitat features
473 influence the within-river genetic structure of Atlantic salmon. *Molecular Ecology* **16**:
474 2638–2654.

475 van den Berg AH, McLaggan D, Diéguez-Uribeondo J, van West P, 2013. The impact of the
476 water moulds *Saprolegnia diclina* and *Saprolegnia parasitica* on natural ecosystems and
477 the aquaculture industry. *Fungal Biology Reviews* **27**: 33–42.

478 Venables WN, Ripley BD, 2002. *Modern Applied Statistics with S*. Springer, New York, p.
479 495.

480 Vilgalys R, Hester M, 1990. Rapid genetic identification and mapping of enzymatically
481 amplified ribosomal DNA from several *Cryptococcus* species. *Journal of Bacteriology*
482 **172**: 4238–4246.

483 van West P, 2006. *Saprolegnia parasitica*, an oomycete pathogen with a fishy appetite: new
484 challenges for an old problem. *Mycologist* **20**: 99–104.

485 White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J, 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal
486 ribosomal RNA Genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ, White
487 TJ (eds), *PCR - Protocols and Applications: A Laboratory Manual*. Academic Press, pp.
488 315–322.

489 Williams GC, 1966. *Adaptation and Natural Selection*. Princeton University Press, New
490 Jersey, p. 328.

491 Willoughby LG, Pickering AD, 1977. Viable saprolegniaceae spores on the epidermis of the
492 Salmonid fish *Salmo trutta* and *Salvelinus alpinus*. *Transactions of the British Mycological*
493 *Society* **68**: 91–95.

494 Yuasa K, Hatai K, 1995. Relationship between Pathogenicity of *Saprolegnia* spp. Isolates to
495 Rainbow Trout and their Biological Characteristics. *Fish Pathology* **30**: 101–106.

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503 **Tables and Figures**

504

505 Table 1. Origin of fish used for induced zoospore encystment assays and challenge infections.

506

Experiment	Fish Species	Life stage	Mean weight (g) + range	Mean standard length (mm) + range	Source	Date of arrival at Cardiff University
Induced zoospore encystment assays	Atlantic salmon (<i>Salmo salar</i>)	Juvenile	17.4 (14.8-20.4)	111.0 (104.6-117.8)	Kielder Salmon Hatchery, Hexham, Northumberland	June 2016
	Sea trout (<i>Salmo trutta</i>)	Juvenile	9.2 (7.8-10.2)	92.3 (88.5-96.2)		
	Common carp (<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>)	Juvenile	41.41 (23.5-63.5)	123.9 (103.8-138.2)	DC Freshwater Fish, Brookwood, Surrey	
	Three-spined stickleback (<i>Gasterosteus aculeatus</i>)	Adult	1.7 (0.6-2.8)	48.0 (43.9-57.2)	Roath Brook, Cardiff	
Challenge infection 1	Atlantic salmon	Juvenile	1.3 (0.7- 2)	40.1 (34.9-48.2)	Kielder Salmon Hatchery, Hexham, Northumberland	July 2017
	Sea trout	Juvenile	1.2 (0.6-2.1)	41.4 (32.9-48.1)		
Challenge infection 2	North Tyne Atlantic salmon	Juvenile	2.7 (1 - 4.8)	50.8 (36.9-64.9)		
	South Tyne Atlantic salmon	Juvenile	2.2 (1-4.1)	49.1 (36.8-61.6)		

507

508 Table 2. Origin of *Saprolegnia parasitica* isolates used for the *in vitro* induced zoospore
 509 encystment assays. *Denotes isolates used in subsequent induced zoospore encystment assays
 510 and challenge infections.

511

Isolate	Host species	River/waterbody	Date isolated
EA001*	Atlantic Salmon (<i>Salmo salar</i>)	River Esk, Yorkshire, England. (54°26'59.1"N, 0°48'12.42"W)	10/01/2015
EA016*	Sea trout (<i>Salmo trutta</i>)	River Dart, Devon, England. (50°27'36.432"N, 3°41'42.144"W)	03/06/2016
EA012	Common carp (<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>)	Lake near Romsey, Hampshire, England. (50°59'40.6"N, 1°34'46.7"W)	22/03/2016
CF006	Three-spined stickleback (<i>Gasterosteus aculeatus</i>)	Roath Brook, Cardiff, Wales. (51°29'54.1572"N, 3°9'54.2484"W)	15/07/2016

512

513 Table 3. Fish in the *Saprolegnia parasitica* experimental infections were categorised as either
 514 asymptomatic, symptomatic (mild and cleared) or symptomatic (severe) of saprolegniasis
 515 according to these symptoms.

Asymptomatic	Symptomatic	
	Mild and cleared infection	Severe infection
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No signs of saprolegniasis 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Small tufts of mycelial growth on the body which were no longer present upon conclusion of the experiment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Extensive mycelial body coverage Lethargy Respiratory distress Loss of equilibrium

516

517

518 Table 4. Mean zoospore numbers of the salmon (EA001), sea trout (EA016), common carp
 519 (EA012) and three-spine stickleback (CF006) isolates in the ‘test’ and ‘control’ tubes of the *in*
 520 *vitro* induced zoospore encystment assays

521

Isolate	Fish skin	Mean no. of zoospores in ‘test’ tubes	Mean no. of zoospores in ‘control’ tubes
EA001	Atlantic Salmon (<i>Salmo salar</i>)	5.25	0.5
	Sea trout (<i>Salmo trutta</i>)	5	0.35
	Common carp (<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>)	3.65	0.35
	Three-spined stickleback (<i>Gasterosteus aculeatus</i>)	0.85	0.35
EA016	Salmon	2.6	0.45
	Sea trout	5.6	0.25
	Common carp	5.45	0.3
	Three-spined stickleback	1.7	0.2
EA012	Salmon	2.55	0.2
	Sea trout	1.6	0.25
	Common carp	2.7	0.2
	Three-spined stickleback	0.85	0.35
CF006	Salmon	3.05	0.2
	Sea trout	1.25	0.15
	Common carp	1.95	0.2
	Three-spined stickleback	2.5	0.45

522

523

524 Table 5. Mean zoospore numbers of the salmon (EA001) and sea trout (EA016) isolates in the
 525 ‘salmon test’, ‘sea trout test’ and ‘control’ tubes of the *in vitro* induced zoospore encystment
 526 assays

Isolate	Mean no. of zoospores in ‘salmon test’ tubes	Mean no. of zoospores in ‘sea trout test’ tubes	Mean no. of zoospores in ‘control’ tubes
EA001	3.15	3.1	0.25
EA016	3.15	8.3	0.3

527

528

529

530

531

532

533 Fig. 1. Induced zoospore encystment assays - experimental set-up. (A) Assay used to assess
534 the induced zoospore encystment of four *Saprolegnia parasitica* isolates: EA001 (salmon),
535 EA016 (sea trout), EA012 (common carp) and CF006 (three-spined stickleback) against a fish
536 skin extract (from salmon, sea trout, common carp or three-spined stickleback) and PBS
537 control. (B) Modified assay used to compare the induced zoospore encystment of isolates
538 EA001 (salmon) and EA016 (sea trout) when simultaneously exposed to salmon and sea trout
539 skin extracts alongside a PBS control.

540

541 Fig. 2. Induced zoospore encystment ratios of four *Saprolegnia parasitica* isolates: (A) Salmon
542 isolate (EA001), (B) Sea trout isolate (EA016), (C) Common carp isolate (EA012) and (D)
543 Three-spined stickleback isolate (CF006) against skin extracts from four fish species (salmon,
544 sea trout, common carp and three-spined stickleback). Statistical significance displayed;
545 $P < 0.05$ (*), < 0.01 (**), < 0.001 (***). Dots above and below the box and whisker plots signify
546 outliers.

547

548 Fig. 3. Induced zoospore encystment ratios of the *Saprolegnia parasitica* zoospore isolates;
549 salmon isolate (EA001) and sea trout isolate (EA016) when presented with a direct choice
550 between salmon and sea trout skin extracts. Statistical significance displayed; $P < 0.0001$ (***).

551

552 Fig. 4. Percentage of salmon and sea trout, and North and South Tyne salmon that were
553 asymptomatic, mild and cleared and severely infected with saprolegniasis when challenged
554 with the *Saprolegnia parasitica* salmon isolate (EA001; (A) and (C) respectively), and the sea
555 trout isolate (EA016; (B) and (D) respectively).

556

557 Fig. 5. Survival plots showing percent survival (+95% confidence intervals) of (A) Salmon
558 and sea trout, and (B) North and South Tyne salmon challenged with *Saprolegnia parasitica*
559 sea trout isolate (EA016). Control fish (both species and populations) not challenged with *S.*
560 *parasitica* displayed 100% survival (dashed line).

561